

INDEX

ABUSE OF DISCRETION. See **Contempt.**

ACCUMULATED PROFITS USED IN CALCULATION OF INDIRECT TAX CREDITS. See **Taxes, 1.**

ACT OF STATE DOCTRINE.

Requirement that court declare invalid a foreign sovereign's official act.—Where respondent filed a damages action against petitioners, alleging that they had obtained a construction contract from Nigerian Government by bribing Nigerian officials in violation of Nigerian law, act of state doctrine did not apply, since suit did not require a United States court to declare invalid an official act of a foreign sovereign but, rather, required imputing to foreign officials an unlawful motivation in performance of such an official act. *W. S. Kirkpatrick & Co. v. Environmental Tectonics Corp., International*, p. 400.

ADMISSION OF EVIDENCE. See **Constitutional Law, II; III, 1; Evidence.**

AGE DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT ACT OF 1967.

Collective actions—Court-authorized notice.—District courts have discretion in managing ADEA actions to authorize and facilitate notice of collective action to potential plaintiffs. *Hoffmann-La Roche Inc. v. Sperleng*, p. 165.

ALIENATION OF PENSION BENEFITS. See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.**

ANTITRUST ACTS.

Federal Trade Commission Act—Sherman Act—Attorney boycott.—Boycott by attorneys, who agreed to stop providing representation, as court-appointed counsel, to indigent defendants in District of Columbia criminal cases until their compensation was increased, constituted a horizontal arrangement among competitors that was a naked restraint of price and output in violation of Sherman and Federal Trade Commission Acts and was not immunized from antitrust regulation by First Amendment exemption for politically motivated civil rights boycotts; Court of Appeals erred in creating an exception to antitrust *per se* liability rules for boycotts having an expressive component. *Federal Trade Commission v. Superior Court Trial Lawyers Assn.*, p. 411.

ARTICLE III STANDING. See **Constitutional Law, I.**

- ASSIGNMENT OR ALIENATION OF PENSION BENEFITS.** See Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.
- ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL.** See Courts of Appeals.
- ATTORNEYS.** See Antitrust Acts; Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- BENEFITS FOR CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES.** See Social Security Act.
- BENEFITS FOR RETIREMENT.** See Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.
- BOYCOTT AS A RESTRAINT OF PRICE AND OUTPUT.** See Antitrust Acts.
- CALIFORNIA.** See Constitutional Law, I; Taxes, 3.
- CASE OR CONTROVERSY.** See Constitutional Law, I.
- CHILD ABUSE.** See Constitutional Law, VI.
- CHILD-DISABILITY BENEFITS.** See Social Security Act.
- CITIZENSHIP FOR PURPOSES OF DIVERSITY JURISDICTION.** See Jurisdiction, 1.
- CITIZEN SUITS.** See Jurisdiction, 2.
- CITY COUNCILMEMBERS AS INDIVIDUALLY LIABLE FOR VIOLATION OF CONSENT DECREE.** See Contempt.
- CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1871.**
Rights created by National Labor Relations Act—Compensatory damages.—Where a city violated federal law by conditioning renewal of petitioner's taxicab franchise on settlement of a pending labor dispute between petitioner and its union, National Labor Relations Act granted petitioner rights enforceable under 42 U. S. C. § 1983 and, thus, petitioner could maintain a § 1983 action for compensatory damages. *Golden State Transit Corp. v. Los Angeles*, p. 103.
- CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964.** See Subpoenas.
- CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1968.** See Contempt.
- CLASS ACTIONS.** See Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.
- COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL.** See Constitutional Law, II.
- COLLECTIVE ACTIONS.** See Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.
- COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES.** See Subpoenas.
- COMMERCE CLAUSE.** See Taxes, 3.

- COMPENSATORY DAMAGES.** See Civil Rights Act of 1871.
- COMPETITORS' BOYCOTT AS A RESTRAINT OF PRICE AND OUTPUT.** See Antitrust Acts.
- CONCURRENT JURISDICTION.** See Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act.
- CONSENT DECREES.** See Contempt.
- CONSERVATION.** See Jurisdiction, 2.
- CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.** See also Antitrust Acts; Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1986 and 1987; Standing to Sue; Taxes, 3.

I. Case or Controversy.

Standing to sue—Foreign corporations challenging their domestic subsidiaries' taxes.—Foreign corporations, sole shareholders of American subsidiaries, have Article III standing to challenge in federal court, on Foreign Commerce Clause grounds, accounting method by which a State determines subsidiaries' taxable income, since a ruling of unconstitutionality would prevent actual financial injury to corporations. Franchise Tax Board of California v. Alcan Aluminium Ltd., p. 331.

II. Double Jeopardy.

Collateral estoppel—Introduction of evidence relating to alleged criminal conduct for which defendant has been acquitted.—Introduction, at petitioner's bank robbery trial, of testimony relating to an alleged crime that he had previously been acquitted of committing, which Government used to strengthen its identification of him as bank robber, did not violate Double Jeopardy Clause's collateral-estoppel component, since prior acquittal did not determine ultimate issue in bank robbery case. Dowling v. United States, p. 342.

III. Due Process.

1. *Fundamental fairness—Introduction of evidence relating to alleged criminal conduct for which defendant has been acquitted.*—Introduction, at petitioner's bank robbery trial, of testimony relating to an alleged crime that he had previously been acquitted of committing, which Government used to strengthen its identification of him as bank robber, did not violate due process test of fundamental fairness, especially in light of trial judge's jury instructions explaining his acquittal and limited purpose for which testimony was being admitted. Dowling v. United States, p. 342.

2. *Ordinance regulating sexually oriented businesses—Licensing of motels renting rooms for fewer than 10 hours.*—Provision in a city ordinance regulating sexually oriented businesses that requires licensing of motels renting rooms for fewer than 10 hours does not violate Due Process Clause, since city has produced adequate support for its supposition that such rent-

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

als result in increased crime or other secondary effects. *FW/PBS, Inc. v. Dallas*, p. 215.

IV. Freedom of Association.

Ordinance regulating sexually oriented businesses—Licensing of motels renting rooms for fewer than 10 hours.—Provision in a city ordinance regulating sexually oriented businesses that requires licensing of motels renting rooms for fewer than 10 hours does not place an unconstitutional burden on right to freedom of association, since such a limitation will not have any discernable effect on sorts of personal bonds that play a critical role in Nation's culture and traditions by cultivating and transmitting shared ideals and beliefs. *FW/PBS, Inc. v. Dallas*, p. 215.

V. Freedom of Expression.

Prior restraints—Ordinance regulating sexually oriented businesses.—Court of Appeals' judgment that a city ordinance requiring that, *inter alia*, sexually oriented businesses be licensed did not violate First Amendment despite its failure to provide procedural safeguards required by *Freedman v. Maryland*, 380 U. S. 51, is reversed. *FW/PBS, Inc. v. Dallas*, p. 215.

VI. Privilege Against Self-Incrimination.

Court order to produce missing child.—A mother who is custodian of her abused child pursuant to a juvenile court order may not invoke Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination to resist a subsequent court order to produce child. *Baltimore City Department of Social Services v. Bouknight*, p. 549.

VII. Right to Jury Trial.

Exclusion of blacks—Peremptory challenges.—A white defendant had standing to raise a Sixth Amendment challenge to exclusion of black venire members from petit jury; however, his Sixth Amendment claim was without merit because a prohibition upon exclusion of cognizable groups through peremptory challenges has no basis in Amendment's text, is without support in this Court's decisions, and would undermine, rather than further, Amendment's guarantee of right to trial by "an impartial jury." *Holland v. Illinois*, p. 474.

CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST ON PENSION BENEFITS. See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.**

CONTEMPT.

Sanctions against individual city councilmembers—Abuse of District Court's discretion.—Where a city was found liable for intentionally enhancing segregation in housing in violation of Title VIII of Civil Rights Act of 1968 and Equal Protection Clause, and city counsel agreed to a consent decree requiring enactment of a remedial ordinance but later refused to adopt

CONTEMPT—Continued.

ordinance, District Court's order imposing contempt sanctions against individual councilmembers until they voted for ordinance was an abuse of discretion under traditional equitable principles. *Spallone v. United States*, p. 265.

COURT-APPOINTED COUNSEL. See *Antitrust Acts*.

COURT-AUTHORIZED NOTICE OF CLASS ACTION. See *Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967*.

COURTS OF APPEALS.

Incorrect formulation of lower court's ruling.—Where District Court found that a state-court ruling that ineffective assistance of counsel claims are barred unless they were raised on direct appeal did not apply retroactively to bar petitioner's habeas corpus petition and, thus, denied relief on merits, Court of Appeals' affirmation, which was based on an incorrect view that lower court found that claim was barred because of petitioner's failure to raise it in state-court proceedings, was in error. *Terrell v. Morris*, p. 1.

CRIMINAL LAW. See *Constitutional Law*, II; III, 1; VII; *Courts of Appeals*; *Evidence*.

CUSTOMER DEPOSITS AS TAXABLE INCOME TO PUBLIC UTILITIES. See *Taxes*, 2.

DAMAGES. See *Civil Rights Act of 1871*.

DEFENSE WITNESSES. See *Evidence*.

DEPOSITS AS TAXABLE INCOME TO PUBLIC UTILITIES. See *Taxes*, 2.

DIRECT ACTION PROVISIO. See *Jurisdiction*, 1.

DISABILITY BENEFITS. See *Social Security Act*.

DISCLOSURE OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT DOCUMENTS. See *Freedom of Information Act*.

DISCLOSURE OF PEER REVIEW MATERIALS RELEVANT TO TENURE DECISIONS. See *Subpoenas*.

DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT. See *Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967*; *Subpoenas*.

DISCRIMINATION IN HOUSING. See *Contempt*.

DISCRIMINATION IN JURY SELECTION. See *Constitutional Law*, VII.

DISCRIMINATION ON BASIS OF AGE. See *Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967*.

DISCRIMINATION ON BASIS OF RACE. See *Constitutional Law*, VII; *Contempt*; *Subpoenas*.

DISCRIMINATION ON BASIS OF SEX. See *Subpoenas*.

DISTRICT COURTS. See *Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967*; *Contempt*; *Federal Rules of Civil Procedure*; *Jurisdiction*; *Labor*.

DIVERSITY JURISDICTION. See *Jurisdiction*, 1.

DIVIDENDS FROM FOREIGN SUBSIDIARIES AS TAXABLE INCOME TO DOMESTIC CORPORATIONS. See *Taxes*, 1.

DOCUMENTS EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE BY FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. See *Freedom of Information Act*.

DOUBLE JEOPARDY. See *Constitutional Law*, II.

DUE PROCESS. See *Constitutional Law*, III; *Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1986 and 1987*; *Taxes*, 3.

DUTY OF FAIR REPRESENTATION. See *Labor*.

EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. See *Courts of Appeals*.

ELECTRIC UTILITIES. See *Taxes*, 2.

EMBEZZLEMENT. See *Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974*.

EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT INCOME SECURITY ACT OF 1974.

Prohibition on assignment or alienation of pension benefits—Imposition of constructive trust.—Where a union obtained a money judgment against petitioner, who had pleaded guilty to embezzling union funds, constructive trust in union's favor imposed by court on petitioner's pension benefits violates ERISA's prohibition on assignment or alienation of pension benefits. *Guidry v. Sheet Metal Workers National Pension Fund*, p. 365.

EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION. See *Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967*; *Subpoenas*.

EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS. See *Contempt*.

ESTABLISHMENT OF RELIGION. See *Taxes*, 3.

EVIDENCE. See also *Constitutional Law*, II; III, 1.

Exclusionary rule—Impeachment exception.—State Supreme Court erred in expanding impeachment exception to exclusionary rule to allow State to introduce illegally obtained evidence to impeach testimony of defense witnesses other than defendant himself. *James v. Illinois*, p. 307.

EXCLUSIONARY RULE. See *Evidence*.

EXCLUSION OF BLACKS FROM JURIES. See Constitutional Law, VII.

EXEMPTIONS FROM DISCLOSURE OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT DOCUMENTS. See Freedom of Information Act.

FAIR-CROSS-SECTION REQUIREMENT FOR JURY SELECTION. See Constitutional Law, VII.

FAIR REPRESENTATION DUTY. See Labor.

FEDERAL COURTS. See Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967; Contempt; Courts of Appeals; Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; Jurisdiction; Labor.

FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT. See Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act.

FEDERAL INCOME TAXES. See Taxes, 1, 2.

FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE.

Rule 11—Sanctions against law firms.—A court is authorized to impose Rule 11 sanctions against individual attorney who signs a document—thus certifying that he has read it and that it is well grounded in fact and law—but not against signing attorney's law firm. *Pavelic & LeFlore v. Marvel Entertainment Group*, p. 120.

FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE.

Amendment of Rules, p. 1173.

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION ACT. See Antitrust Acts.

FIFTH AMENDMENT. See Constitutional Law, II; III, 1; VI; Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1986 and 1987.

FIRST AMENDMENT. See Antitrust Acts; Constitutional Law, IV; V; Standing to Sue; Subpoenas; Taxes, 3.

FOREIGN COMMERCE CLAUSE. See Constitutional Law, I; Jurisdiction, 3.

FOREIGN RELATIONS AUTHORIZATION ACT, FISCAL YEARS 1986 AND 1987.

Deductions from awards by Iran-United States Claims Tribunal—Fifth Amendment—Origination Clause.—Section 502 of Act, which requires that a percentage of any award made to an American claimant by Iran-United States Claims Tribunal be deducted and paid to United States Treasury, does not violate Fifth Amendment's Just Compensation or Due Process Clauses; argument that § 502 was enacted in violation of Origination Clause was not reached because question whether such claims present nonjusticiable political questions is presently pending before Supreme Court. *United States v. Sperry Corp.*, p. 52.

FOREIGN SOVEREIGNS' OFFICIAL ACTS. See Act of State Doctrine.

FOREIGN TAX CREDIT. See Taxes, 1.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. See Constitutional Law, III, 2.

FRANCHISE RENEWALS. See Civil Rights Act of 1871.

FREEDOM OF ASSOCIATION. See Constitutional Law, IV.

FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION. See Antitrust Acts; Constitutional Law, V; Standing to Sue.

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT.

Exemptions from disclosure—Documents gathered for law enforcement purposes.—Exemption 7, which exempts from disclosure “records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes,” may be invoked to prevent disclosure of documents not originally created for, but later gathered for, law enforcement purposes. *John Doe Agency v. John Doe Corp.*, p. 146.

FREE EXERCISE OF RELIGION. See Taxes, 3.

FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS. See Constitutional Law, III, 1.

GOVERNMENT DOCUMENTS. See Freedom of Information Act.

HABEAS CORPUS. See Courts of Appeals.

HOUSING DISCRIMINATION. See Contempt.

ILLEGALLY OBTAINED EVIDENCE AS ADMISSIBLE TO IMPEACH DEFENSE WITNESSES. See Evidence.

ILLINOIS. See Constitutional Law, VII; Evidence.

IMPARTIAL JURIES. See Constitutional Law, VII.

IMPEACHMENT EXCEPTION TO EXCLUSIONARY RULE. See Evidence.

INCOME TAXES. See Constitutional Law, I; Jurisdiction, 3; Taxes, 1, 2.

INDIRECT TAX CREDITS. See Taxes, 1.

INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. See Courts of Appeals.

INSURANCE. See Jurisdiction, 1.

INTERNAL REVENUE CODE. See Taxes, 1.

IRAN-UNITED STATES CLAIMS TRIBUNAL. See Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1986 and 1987.

JURISDICTION. See also **Labor; Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act; Standing to Sue; Taxes, 3.**

1. *Federal district courts—Diversity—Direct action proviso.*—Direct action proviso in 28 U. S. C. § 1332(c)—which specifies that in any direct action against a liability insurer, insurer shall be deemed a citizen of same State as insured for purposes of diversity jurisdiction—unambiguously applies only to actions *against* insurers, not actions *by* insurers. *Northbrook National Insurance Co. v. Brewer*, p. 6.

2. *Federal district courts—Subject-matter jurisdiction—Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976.*—Where petitioner failed to comply with RCRA's notice and delay requirements before bringing a citizen suit against an alleged violator of RCRA's waste disposal requirements, action must be dismissed for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction. *Hallstrom v. Tillamook County*, p. 20.

3. *Federal district courts—Tax Injunction Act—Foreign corporations challenging their domestic subsidiaries' state taxes.*—Action by foreign corporations, sole shareholders of American subsidiaries, challenging in federal court, on Foreign Commerce Clause grounds, accounting method by which a State determines their subsidiaries' taxable income is barred by Tax Injunction Act—which prohibits district courts from enjoining state taxation where a plain, speedy, and efficient remedy may be had in state court—since, as sole shareholders, corporations have under their direction and control actual taxpayers that have such a remedy for their claims. *Franchise Tax Board of California v. Alcan Aluminium Ltd.*, p. 331.

JURY SELECTION. See **Constitutional Law, VII.**

JUST COMPENSATION CLAUSE. See **Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1986 and 1987.**

LABOR. See also **Civil Rights Act of 1871; Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.**

Fair representation claim—District court jurisdiction—Discrimination in job referrals.—District Court had jurisdiction over a fair representation suit alleging discrimination in hiring-hall referrals, since National Labor Relations Board's jurisdiction over such a claim is not exclusive; however, union's refusal to refer petitioner to employment through union hiring hall because of his political opposition to union's leadership does not give rise to a claim under §§ 101(a)(5) and 609 of Labor-Management Reporting and Disclosure Act of 1959, which forbids a union to "fir[e], suspen[d], expe[l], or otherwise disciplin[e]" a member for exercising LMRDA-secured rights. *Breinger v. Sheet Metal Workers*, p. 67.

LABOR-MANAGEMENT REPORTING AND DISCLOSURE ACT OF 1959. See **Labor.**

- LAW ENFORCEMENT DOCUMENTS AS EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE BY FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.** See *Freedom of Information Act*.
- LAW FIRMS' LIABILITY FOR SANCTIONS UNDER RULE 11.** See *Federal Rules of Civil Procedure*.
- LAWYERS.** See *Antitrust Acts*; *Federal Rules of Civil Procedure*.
- LIABILITY INSURANCE.** See *Jurisdiction*, 1.
- LICENSING OF SEXUALLY ORIENTED BUSINESSES.** See *Constitutional Law*, III, 2; IV; V; *Standing to Sue*.
- LONGSHORE AND HARBOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION ACT.**
Maritime employment—Railroad employees.—Railroad employees were “engaged in maritime employment” within meaning of Act when performing duties essential to loading coal from railway cars to ships; thus, Act was exclusive remedy for their injuries, and suit under Federal Employers' Liability Act, which provides a negligence cause of action for railroad employees, was barred. *Chesapeake & Ohio R. Co. v. Schwalb*, p. 40.
- MARITIME EMPLOYMENT.** See *Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act*.
- NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT.** See *Civil Rights Act of 1871*.
- NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD.** See *Labor*.
- NIGERIA.** See *Act of State Doctrine*.
- NONJUSTICIABLE POLITICAL QUESTIONS.** See *Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1986 and 1987*.
- NOTICE OF COLLECTIVE ACTION.** See *Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967*.
- OBSCENITY.** See *Constitutional Law*, V.
- OFFICIAL ACTS OF FOREIGN SOVEREIGNS.** See *Act of State Doctrine*.
- ORIGINATION CLAUSE.** See *Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1986 and 1987*.
- OUTPUT RESTRAINTS.** See *Antitrust Acts*.
- PARENTS AND CHILDREN.** See *Constitutional Law*, VI.
- PEER REVIEW MATERIALS RELEVANT TO TENURE DECISIONS.** See *Subpoenas*.
- PENSION BENEFITS.** See *Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974*.

- PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES EXCLUDING BLACKS FROM JURIES.** See Constitutional Law, VII.
- PETIT JURIES.** See Constitutional Law, VII.
- POLITICAL QUESTIONS.** See Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1986 and 1987.
- PRICE RESTRAINTS.** See Antitrust Acts.
- PRIOR RESTRAINTS.** See Constitutional Law, V.
- PRIVILEGE AGAINST DISCLOSURE OF MATERIALS REQUESTED IN SUBPOENA.** See Subpoenas.
- PRIVILEGE AGAINST SELF-INCRIMINATION.** See Constitutional Law, VI.
- PUBLIC UTILITIES.** See Taxes, 2.
- RACE-BASED EXCLUSION FROM JURIES.** See Constitutional Law, VII.
- RACIAL DISCRIMINATION.** See Contempt; Subpoenas.
- RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS ACT.**
Civil RICO claims—State-court jurisdiction.—State courts have concurrent jurisdiction over civil RICO claims. *Taffin v. Levitt*, p. 455.
- RAILROAD WORKERS.** See Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act.
- REGULATION OF SEXUALLY ORIENTED BUSINESSES.** See Constitutional Law, III, 2; IV; V; Standing to Sue.
- RELIGIOUS FREEDOM.** See Taxes, 3.
- RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND RECOVERY ACT OF 1976.** See Jurisdiction, 2.
- RESTRAINTS OF PRICE AND OUTPUT.** See Antitrust Acts.
- RETIREMENT BENEFITS.** See Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.
- RIGHT TO COUNSEL.** See Courts of Appeals.
- RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL.** See Constitutional Law, VII.
- RIGHT TO REMAIN SILENT.** See Constitutional Law, VI.
- RULE 11.** See Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- SALES AND USE TAXES.** See Taxes, 3.
- SANCTIONS AGAINST ATTORNEYS.** See Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

SANCTIONS FOR CONTEMPT. See **Contempt.**

SECTION 1983. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871.**

SEGREGATION IN HOUSING. See **Contempt.**

SELF-INCRIMINATION. See **Constitutional Law, VI.**

SEX DISCRIMINATION. See **Subpoenas.**

SEXUALLY ORIENTED BUSINESSES. See **Constitutional Law, III, 2; IV; V; Standing to Sue.**

SHERMAN ACT. See **Antitrust Acts.**

SIXTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law, VII.**

SOCIAL SECURITY ACT.

Supplemental Security Income—Child-disability benefits.—Regulatory scheme, which is used to determine whether a child is disabled and therefore eligible for Supplemental Security Income benefits, is inconsistent with statutory standard requiring that benefits be awarded to a child who suffers from an impairment of "comparable severity" to one that would render an adult disabled, since it is more restrictive than adult-disability test. *Sullivan v. Zebley*, p. 521.

STANDING TO SUE. See also **Constitutional Law, I; VII.**

Ordinance regulating sexually oriented businesses—Provision prohibiting licensing of certain applicants—Civil disability provisions.—In a suit challenging constitutionality of a city ordinance regulating sexually oriented businesses, petitioners lacked standing to challenge (1) provision prohibiting licensing of an applicant who has resided with an individual whose license application has been denied or revoked, and (2) civil disability provisions, which disable those who have been convicted of certain enumerated crimes, as well as their spouses. *FW/PBS, Inc. v. Dallas*, p. 215.

STATE-COURT JURISDICTION. See **Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act.**

STATE TAXES. See **Constitutional Law, I; Jurisdiction, 3; Taxes, 3.**

SUBJECT-MATTER JURISDICTION. See **Jurisdiction, 2.**

SUBPOENAS.

Disclosure of peer review materials—Special privilege for universities.—A university does not enjoy a special privilege, grounded in either common law or First Amendment, against disclosure pursuant to subpoena of peer review materials that are relevant to charges of racial or sexual discrimination in tenure decisions in violation of Title VII of Civil Rights Act of 1964. *University of Pennsylvania v. EEOC*, p. 182.

SUBSIDIARY'S FOREIGN TAXES' EFFECT ON DOMESTIC CORPORATION'S TAXES. See **Taxes**, 1.

SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME. See **Social Security Act**.

SUPREME COURT. See also **Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1986 and 1987; Taxes**, 3.

1. Notation of the death of Justice Goldberg (resigned), p. XXI.
2. Proceedings in commemoration of the 200th anniversary of the Supreme Court, p. v.
3. Rules of the Supreme Court, p. 1097.
4. Amendment of Federal Rules of Evidence, p. 1173.

TAXABLE INCOME. See **Taxes**, 2.

TAX CREDITS. See **Taxes**, 1.

TAXES. See also **Constitutional Law, I; Jurisdiction**, 3.

1. *Federal income taxes—Dividends from foreign subsidiary—Indirect tax credits—Calculation of “accumulated profits.”*—Where a domestic corporation reported as income dividends received from its wholly owned foreign subsidiary and then sought an indirect tax credit for a portion of foreign taxes paid by its subsidiary as permitted by § 902 of Internal Revenue Code, and where such credit is calculated by multiplying total foreign tax paid by that portion of subsidiary's after-tax “accumulated profits” that is actually issued to parent company as a dividend, “accumulated profits” are to be calculated in accordance with domestic, rather than foreign, tax principles. *United States v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co.*, p. 132.

2. *Federal income taxes—Taxable income—Utility deposits.*—Deposits made by customers to assure prompt payment of electric bills to a public utility company are not advance payments for electricity and therefore do not constitute taxable income to utility upon receipt. *Commissioner v. Indianapolis Power & Light Co.*, p. 203.

3. *Imposition of state sales and use tax on sales of religious material.*—State's imposition of a generally applicable sales and use tax on a religious organization's distribution of religious materials does not violate either Free Exercise or Establishment Clause; appellant's Commerce and Due Process Clause claim was not properly before this Court, since both trial and appellate courts ruled that claim was procedurally barred on ground that it was not presented to State as required by state law. *Jimmy Swaggart Ministries v. Board of Equalization of California*, p. 378.

TAX INJUNCTION ACT. See **Jurisdiction**, 3.

TENURE DECISIONS. See **Subpoenas**.

TESTIMONY OF DEFENSE WITNESSES. See **Evidence**.

TITLE VII OF CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964. See **Subpoenas.**

TITLE XVI BENEFITS. See **Social Security Act.**

TRIAL BY JURY. See **Constitutional Law, VII.**

UNIONS. See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974; Labor.**

UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES. See **Subpoenas.**

USE TAXES. See **Taxes, 3.**

UTILITIES. See **Taxes, 2.**

WASTE DISPOSAL. See **Jurisdiction, 2.**

WITNESSES. See **Evidence.**

WORDS AND PHRASES.

1. "*Accumulated profits.*" Internal Revenue Code, 26 U. S. C. § 902. *United States v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co.*, p. 132.
2. "*Otherwise disciplin[e].*" §§ 101(a)(5), 609, Labor-Management Reporting and Disclosure Act of 1959. 29 U. S. C. §§ 411(a)(5), 529. *Breining v. Sheet Metal Workers*, p. 67.




ISBN 0-16-043000-3



90000



9 780160 430008











MARICOPA COUNTY LIBRARY DISTRICT



0 00 01 0331441 5

FOR REFERENCE

Do Not Take From This Room





A00007931