

INDEX

ABORTION. See Constitutional Law, VII.

ACCESS TO COURTS. See Constitutional Law, I.

AGE DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT ACT OF 1967.

Exemption for employee benefit plans—Subterfuge for discrimination.—Section 4(f)(2) of ADEA exempts all provisions of bona fide employee benefit plans from ADEA's purview, unless plan is a subterfuge for discrimination in non-fringe-benefit aspects of employment relationship. *Public Employees Retirement System of Ohio v. Betts*, p. 158.

ANTITRUST ACTS. See Stays.

ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. See Constitutional Law, VIII.

ATTORNEYS. See Constitutional Law, I, VIII.

BANKRUPTCY. See Constitutional Law, IX, X.

BENEFIT PLANS. See Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.

BONA FIDE EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS. See Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.

CALIFORNIA. See Stays.

CAPITAL PUNISHMENT. See Constitutional Law, I, II, VIII; Habeas Corpus.

CASE OR CONTROVERSY. See Constitutional Law, VII.

CHANUKAH MENORAH DISPLAYED ON PUBLIC PROPERTY. See Constitutional Law, III.

CHILDHOOD ABUSE AS MITIGATING EVIDENCE IN DEATH PENALTY DETERMINATIONS. See Constitutional Law, II, 2; Habeas Corpus.

CHRISTIAN NATIVITY SCENE DISPLAYED ON PUBLIC PROPERTY. See Constitutional Law, III.

CLAYTON ACT. See Stays.

COLLATERAL REVIEW OF CONVICTIONS. See Habeas Corpus.

COLLEGE FACILITIES AS LOCATIONS WHERE COMMERCIAL SPEECH REGULATION PERMITTED. See *Constitutional Law*, V, 1.

COLOMBIA. See *Jurisdiction*, 2.

COMMERCIAL SPEECH. See *Constitutional Law*, V, 1.

COMMERCIAL-TELEPHONE-MESSAGE REGULATION. See *Constitutional Law*, V, 2.

COMMON LAW. See *Constitutional Law*, IV.

COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1934. See *Constitutional Law*, V, 2.

COMPULSORY SELF-INCRIMINATION. See *Constitutional Law*, VI.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW. See also *Habeas Corpus*; *Jurisdiction*, 1.

I. Access to Courts.

Death-row inmates—Counsel for postconviction proceedings.—Court of Appeals' decision that indigent Virginia death-row inmates were denied meaningful access to courts because they were not guaranteed attorneys during postconviction proceedings, is reversed. *Murray v. Giarratano*, p. 1.

II. Cruel and Unusual Punishment.

1. *Death penalty—Defendant aged 16 or 17 when crime committed.*—Imposition of capital punishment on an individual for a crime committed at age 16 or 17 does not constitute cruel and unusual punishment under Eighth Amendment. *Stanford v. Kentucky*, p. 361.

2. *Death penalty—Mitigating evidence—Mentally retarded defendant.*—Failure to give instructions informing a jury that it could consider and give effect to defendant's mitigating evidence of mental retardation and childhood abuse by declining to impose death penalty compels conclusion that jury was not provided with a vehicle for expressing its "reasoned moral response" to that evidence in rendering its sentencing decision as is required by Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments; however, Eighth Amendment does not categorically prohibit execution of mentally retarded capital murderers of petitioner's reasoning ability. *Penry v. Lynaugh*, p. 302.

III. Establishment of Religion.

Recurring holiday displays on public property.—Crèche depicting a Christian nativity scene placed on Grand Staircase of Allegheny County Courthouse, when viewed in its overall context, violates Establishment Clause; however, Court of Appeals' holding that a Chanukah menorah displayed outside City-County Building next to a decorated Christmas tree and a sign declaring city's "salute to liberty" also violated Establishment

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

Clause, is reversed. *County of Allegheny v. American Civil Liberties Union*, Greater Pittsburgh Chapter, p. 573.

IV. Excessive Fines.

Punitive damages—Private parties—Federal common law.—Excessive Fines Clause of Eighth Amendment does not apply to punitive damages awards in cases between private parties; nor does federal common law provide a basis for disturbing a jury's punitive damages award. *Browning-Ferris Industries of Vt., Inc. v. Kelco Disposal, Inc.*, p. 257.

V. Freedom of Speech.

1. *Commercial speech—Application of least-restrictive-means test—Overbreadth claim.*—Although housewares parties conducted in campus dormitories constituted commercial speech, District Court was not required to apply a least-restrictive-means test to determine validity of a public school's resolution prohibiting commercial enterprises from operating in campus facilities; respondents' overbreadth claim—based on an assertion that resolution impermissibly prohibits noncommercial speech—is not ripe for review, since lower courts never considered an as-applied challenge, which should be decided before an overbreadth claim, and since lower courts never recognized that case involved both commercial and non-commercial speech. *Board of Trustees of State University of New York v. Fox*, p. 469.

2. *Communications Act of 1934—Interstate transmission of obscene and indecent telephone messages.*—Section 223(b) of Act, which bans commercial telephone "dial-a-porn" messages, does not unconstitutionally prohibit interstate transmission of obscene commercial telephone messages, but it does unconstitutionally prohibit transmission of indecent messages. *Sable Communications of Cal., Inc. v. FCC*, p. 115.

VI. Privilege Against Self-Incrimination.

Adequacy of Miranda warnings.—Informing a suspect that an attorney would be appointed for him "if and when you go to court" does not render warnings inadequate under *Miranda v. Arizona*, 384 U. S. 436. *Duckworth v. Eagan*, p. 195.

VII. Right to Abortion.

Preamble to state law—Restriction on use of public employees, facilities, and funds—Viability testing.—Constitutionality of Missouri abortion statute's preamble—which states that life begins at conception and that unborn children have certain rights—need not be determined, since preamble has not been applied to restrict rights of appellee abortion services providers; restrictions on use of public employees and facilities for performance or assistance of nontherapeutic abortions do not contravene Supreme Court's abortion decisions; controversy over prohibition on use of public funds to

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

encourage or counsel a woman to have a nontherapeutic abortion is moot, since appellees contend that, given State's interpretation of provision, they are not adversely affected by it; Court of Appeals' decision that provision requiring a physician to determine if an unborn child is viable before he can perform an abortion on a woman whom he believes is carrying an unborn child of 20 or more weeks gestation violates *Roe v. Wade*, 410 U. S. 113, and subsequent cases, is reversed. *Webster v. Reproductive Health Services*, p. 490.

VIII. Right to Counsel.

Right to counsel—Assistance of counsel—Evidence of future dangerousness.—Where Texas law prohibits imposing a death sentence on an individual when State has shown no probability that he would commit future acts of violence constituting a continuing threat to society, and where neither defendant Powell nor his counsel were notified that a psychiatric examination of Powell to determine his competency for trial would also include an examination on issue of future dangerousness, evidence of future dangerousness was taken in deprivation of Powell's Sixth Amendment right to assistance of counsel. *Powell v. Texas*, p. 680.

IX. Right to Jury Trial.

Bankruptcy trustee's suit to recover an allegedly fraudulent transfer.—Provided that Congress has not permissibly assigned resolution of claim to a non-Article III adjudicative body that does not use a jury as factfinder, Seventh Amendment entitles a person who has not submitted a claim against a bankruptcy estate to a jury trial when sued by bankruptcy trustee to recover an allegedly fraudulent monetary transfer. *Granfinanciera, S. A. v. Nordberg*, p. 33.

X. States' Immunity from Suit.

Bankruptcy Code—Monetary recovery.—Court of Appeals' judgment that plain language of § 106(c) of Bankruptcy Code abrogates sovereign immunity only to extent necessary to determine a State's rights in debtor's estate and does not abrogate such immunity from recovery of an avoided preferential transfer of money or from a turnover proceeding, is affirmed. *Hoffman v. Connecticut Dept. of Income Maintenance*, p. 96.

CRECHE DISPLAYED ON PUBLIC PROPERTY. See Constitutional Law, III.

CRIMINAL LAW. See Constitutional Law, I, II, VI, VIII; Habeas Corpus; Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act.

CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT. See Constitutional Law, II.

CUSTODIAL INTERROGATION. See Constitutional Law, VI.

DAMAGES. See Constitutional Law, IV; Jurisdiction, 1.

- DEATH PENALTY.** See Constitutional Law, II, VIII; Habeas Corpus.
- DEATH-ROW INMATES' RIGHTS.** See Constitutional Law, I.
- "DIAL-A-PORN."** See Constitutional Law, V, 2.
- DISCRIMINATION ON BASIS OF AGE.** See Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.
- DISTRICT COURTS.** See Freedom of Information Act.
- DIVESTITURE.** See Stays.
- DUE PROCESS.** See Jurisdiction, 1.
- EIGHTH AMENDMENT.** See Constitutional Law, II, IV; Habeas Corpus.
- ELEVENTH AMENDMENT.** See Constitutional Law, X.
- EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS.** See Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.
- EMPLOYERS AND EMPLOYEES.** See Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.
- EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION.** See Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.
- ESTABLISHMENT OF RELIGION.** See Constitutional Law, III.
- EXCESSIVE FINES CLAUSE.** See Constitutional Law, IV.
- EXCESSIVE PUNITIVE DAMAGES.** See Constitutional Law, IV; Jurisdiction, 1.
- EXECUTION OF JUVENILES.** See Constitutional Law, II, 1.
- EXECUTION OF MENTALLY RETARDED MURDERERS.** See Constitutional Law, II, 2.
- FEDERAL COMMON LAW.** See Constitutional Law, IV.
- FEDERAL TAXES.** See Freedom of Information Act.
- FIFTH AMENDMENT.** See Constitutional Law, VI.
- FIRST AMENDMENT.** See Constitutional Law, III, V.
- FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT.** See Constitutional Law, II, 2; VI; Habeas Corpus; Jurisdiction, 1.
- FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT.**

Tax litigation—District court decisions.—FOIA requires Department of Justice to make available copies of district court decisions it receives in course of litigating tax cases. Department of Justice v. Tax Analysts, p. 136.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH. See Constitutional Law, V.

FRINGE BENEFITS. See Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.

FUTURE DANGEROUSNESS AS PREREQUISITE FOR IMPOSITION OF DEATH PENALTY. See Constitutional Law, VIII.

HABEAS CORPUS. See also Constitutional Law, I.

"New rule"—Capital murder—Jury instructions on mitigating evidence of mental retardation and childhood abuse.—Granting petitioner relief on his claim that Texas juries must, upon request, be given instructions allowing them to give effect to mitigating evidence of mental retardation and childhood abuse in determining whether to impose death penalty would not create a "new rule" which may not generally be applied or announced in cases on collateral review. *Penry v. Lynaugh*, p. 302.

HOLIDAY DISPLAYS ON PUBLIC PROPERTY. See Constitutional Law, III.

INDECENCY. See Constitutional Law, V, 2.

INDIANS. See Zoning.

INDIGENT DEATH-ROW INMATES' RIGHTS. See Constitutional Law, I.

INJUNCTIONS. See Stays.

INTERROGATION BY LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS. See Constitutional Law, VI.

INTERSTATE TRANSMISSION OF OBSCENE AND INDECENT COMMERCIAL TELEPHONE MESSAGES. See Constitutional Law, V, 2.

JURISDICTION. See also Constitutional Law, V, 1; VII.

1. *Supreme Court—Question properly presented for review—Punitive damages as excessive under Due Process Clause.*—Supreme Court declines to consider whether punitive damages awards in cases between private parties may be excessive under Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment, since question was not raised in courts below. *Browning-Ferris Industries of Vt., Inc. v. Kelco Disposal, Inc.*, p. 257.

2. *Supreme Court—Question properly presented for review—Request for jury trial.*—Where issue was not raised below, Court declines to address respondent's contention that petitioner Granfinanciera was a commercial instrumentality of Colombian Government when it made its request for a jury trial below and was therefore not entitled to such a trial under Seventh Amendment or statutory provisions. *Granfinanciera, S. A. v. Nordberg*, p. 33.

- JURY INSTRUCTIONS.** See Constitutional Law, II, 2; Habeas Corpus.
- JURY TRIALS.** See Constitutional Law, IX; Jurisdiction, 2.
- JUVENILES AS ELIGIBLE FOR DEATH PENALTY.** See Constitutional Law, II, 1.
- KENTUCKY.** See Constitutional Law, II, 1.
- LAND-USE REGULATION.** See Zoning.
- LAWYERS.** See Constitutional Law, I, VIII.
- LEAST-RESTRICTIVE-MEANS TEST IN COMMERCIAL SPEECH CONTEXT.** See Constitutional Law, V, 1.
- MEANINGFUL ACCESS TO COURTS.** See Constitutional Law, I.
- MENORAH DISPLAYED ON PUBLIC PROPERTY.** See Constitutional Law, III.
- MENTALLY RETARDED MURDERERS AS ELIGIBLE FOR DEATH PENALTY.** See Constitutional Law, II, 2.
- MENTAL RETARDATION AS MITIGATING EVIDENCE IN DEATH PENALTY DETERMINATIONS.** See Constitutional Law, II, 2; Habeas Corpus.
- MINORS AS ELIGIBLE FOR DEATH PENALTY.** See Constitutional Law, II, 1.
- MIRANDA RIGHTS.** See Constitutional Law, VI.
- MISSOURI.** See Constitutional Law, VII.
- MITIGATING EVIDENCE IN DEATH PENALTY DETERMINATIONS.** See Constitutional Law, II, 2; Habeas Corpus.
- MOOTNESS.** See Constitutional Law, VII.
- MURDER.** See Constitutional Law, I, II, VIII; Habeas Corpus.
- NATIVITY SCENE DISPLAYED ON PUBLIC PROPERTY.** See Constitutional Law, III.
- NINTH AMENDMENT.** See Constitutional Law, VII.
- OBSCENITY.** See Constitutional Law, V, 2.
- OVERBREADTH CHALLENGE TO SPEECH RESTRICTION.** See Constitutional Law, V, 1.
- PATTERN OF RACKETEERING ACTIVITY.** See Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act.
- PENSION PLANS.** See Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.

POSTCONVICTION PROCEEDINGS. See Constitutional Law, I.

PREDICATE ACTS OF RACKETEERING. See Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act.

PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS IN BANKRUPTCY. See Constitutional Law, X.

PRIVACY RIGHTS. See Constitutional Law, VII.

PRIVILEGE AGAINST SELF-INCRIMINATION. See Constitutional Law, VI.

PROPERTY RIGHTS. See Zoning.

PSYCHIATRIC EXAMINATIONS. See Constitutional Law, VIII.

PUBLIC EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES. See Constitutional Law, VII.

PUBLIC PROPERTY AS APPROPRIATE PLACE FOR HOLIDAY DISPLAYS. See Constitutional Law, III.

PUNITIVE DAMAGES. See Constitutional Law, IV; Jurisdiction, 1.

RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS ACT.

Pattern of racketeering activity—Predicate acts showing continued criminal activity.—In order to prove a pattern of racketeering activity under Act, a plaintiff or prosecutor must show at least two racketeering predicates that are related *and* that amount to, or threaten likelihood of, continued criminal activity, but need not show that predicates were committed in furtherance of multiple criminal schemes; petitioners may be able to prove that multiple predicates alleged in their complaint satisfy continuity and relationship requirements. *H. J. Inc. v. Northwestern Bell Telephone Co.*, p. 229.

REMEDIES. See Stays.

RIGHT TO COUNSEL. See Constitutional Law, I.

RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL. See Constitutional Law, VIII, IX; Jurisdiction, 2.

RIGHT TO REMAIN SILENT. See Constitutional Law, VI.

RIPENESS FOR ADJUDICATION. See Constitutional Law, V, 1; VII.

SELF-INCRIMINATION. See Constitutional Law, VI.

SEVENTH AMENDMENT. See Constitutional Law, IX; Jurisdiction, 2.

SIXTH AMENDMENT. See Constitutional Law, VIII.

SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY. See **Constitutional Law, X.**

STATES' IMMUNITY FROM SUIT. See **Constitutional Law, X.**

STAYS.

Merger of grocery chains—Clayton Act.—Where State filed an action as a private plaintiff to enjoin merger of respondent retail grocery chains, and Court of Appeals issued a mandate dissolving injunction on ground that remedy of divestiture was not available to private parties under Clayton Act, stay of Court of Appeals' order is granted pending disposition of State's petition for a writ of certiorari and conditioned upon posting of a bond with Clerk of District Court. *California v. American Stores Co.* (O'CONNOR, J., in chambers), p. 1301.

SUPREME COURT. See also **Constitutional Law, V, 1; Jurisdiction.**

Term statistics, p. 1309.

TAXES. See **Freedom of Information Act.**

TELEPHONE-MESSAGE REGULATION. See **Constitutional Law, V, 2.**

TEXAS. See **Constitutional Law, VIII; Habeas Corpus.**

TRIAL BY JURY. See **Constitutional Law, IX; Jurisdiction, 2.**

TRIBAL RIGHTS. See **Zoning.**

TURNOVER PROCEEDINGS IN BANKRUPTCY. See **Constitutional Law, X.**

VIABILITY OF FETUS. See **Constitutional Law, VII.**

VIRGINIA. See **Constitutional Law, I.**

WORDS AND PHRASES.

"Agency records." Freedom of Information Act, 5 U. S. C. § 552(a) (4)(B). *Department of Justice v. Tax Analysts*, p. 136.

ZONING.

Tribal authority over nonmembers' land.—Court of Appeals' ruling that Tribe has authority to zone fee lands owned by nonmembers and located in areas of reservation closed to general public, is affirmed, but court's holding that Tribe has authority to zone nonmembers' land located in areas open to public, is reversed. *Brendale v. Confederated Tribes and Bands of Yakima Indian Nation*, p. 408.

GOVERNMENTAL IMMUNITY, see CONSTITUTIONAL LAW, 10-11

PREDETERMINED OUTCOME, see CONSTITUTIONAL LAW, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

10-11

PROSECUTION, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11

and Contract, 10-11


and Contract, 10-11


ISBN 0-16-042979-X


90000





9 780160 429798












MANICOPA COUNTY LIBRARY DISTRICT



0 00 01 0328472 5





A00007932