

## INDEX

---

**ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS.** See Equal Access to Justice Act.

**ADMISSIBILITY OF PRIOR FELONY CONVICTIONS TO IMPEACH CIVIL WITNESSES.** See Federal Rules of Evidence.

**ADOPTION PROCEEDINGS.** See Indian Child Welfare Act of 1978.

**AGENCY.** See Copyright Act of 1976; Jurisdiction, 3.

**AGE RESTRICTIONS ON ADMISSION TO DANCE HALL.** See Constitutional Law, V, VI.

**AGREEMENTS TO ARBITRATE.** See Securities Acts.

**AIR CARRIERS.** See Warsaw Convention.

**ANTITRUST ACTS.**

*State indirect purchaser statutes—Pre-emption by federal law.*—Rule limiting federal antitrust recoveries to overcharged direct purchasers does not prevent indirect purchasers from recovering damages flowing from state antitrust law violations. *California v. ARC America Corp.*, p. 93.

**APPEALS.** See Jurisdiction, 2.

**APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL.** See Attorneys.

**ARBITRATION.** See Securities Acts.

**ARIZONA.** See New Mexico-Arizona Enabling Act of 1910.

**ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS.** See National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 2.

**ARRESTS.** See Constitutional Law, IX, 2.

**ASSOCIATIONAL RIGHTS OF MINORS.** See Constitutional Law, VI.

**ATTORNEYS.**

*Federal courts—Compulsory appointments in civil cases.*—Title 28 U. S. C. § 1915(d)—which provides that federal courts may “request” an attorney to represent any person claiming *in forma pauperis* status—does not authorize a court to require an unwilling attorney to represent an indigent litigant in a civil case. *Mallard v. United States District Court for Southern District of Iowa*, p. 296.

**ATTORNEY'S FEES.** See **Equal Access to Justice Act.**

**AUDITING SESSION PAYMENTS TO CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY AS CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS.** See **Taxes.**

**BALANCE OF POWER AMONG GOVERNMENT BRANCHES.** See **Constitutional Law, X.**

**BANKRUPTCY.**

1. Amendments to Bankruptcy Rules, p. 1119.
2. *Liquidation sale—Imposition of sales or use tax.*—Neither doctrine of intergovernmental tax immunity nor 28 U. S. C. § 960—which specifically authorizes States to impose taxes on a bankruptcy trustee's business operations—proscribes imposition of a sales or use tax on a bankruptcy liquidation sale. *California State Board of Equalization v. Sierra Summit, Inc.*, p. 844.

**BENEFIT PLANS.** See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.**

**BURDEN OF PRODUCTION OF EVIDENCE.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964, 2.**

**BURDEN OF PROOF.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964, 2, 3.**

**BUSINESS JUSTIFICATION FOR DISCRIMINATORY EMPLOYMENT PRACTICES.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964, 2.**

**BUSINESS TAXES.** See **Constitutional Law, I, 2.**

**CAPITAL MURDER.** See **Constitutional Law, VIII.**

**CASE OR CONTROVERSY.**

1. *Access to classified government information—Employee nondisclosure agreements—Mootness—Ripeness.*—Where certain federal employees were required to sign nondisclosure agreement form to gain access to classified and "classifiable" information in violation of federal law prohibiting use of those particular forms, controversy is moot as to current employees who have been notified that term "classifiable" no longer controls their disclosure of information, and case is remanded for District Court to determine various other claims regarding notice, including whether part of case dealing with disclosure of information to Congress is ripe for determination. *American Foreign Service Assn. v. Garfinkel*, p. 153.

2. *Mootness—Prize list publication.*—Appeal on issue whether federal statute prohibiting mailing of any publication containing list of prizes drawn or awarded by means of a lottery, gift enterprise, or scheme offering prizes based on chance is constitutional as applied to prize lists is moot, since appellees are willing to forgo their claim now that appellants have taken position that statute does not apply to noncommercial publishing of prize lists. *Frank v. Minnesota Newspaper Assn., Inc.*, p. 225.

**CENSORSHIP OF PRISONERS' MAIL.** See **Constitutional Law, VII.**

**CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS.** See **Taxes.**

**CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY.** See **Taxes.**

**CIVIL PENALTIES.** See **Constitutional Law, II.**

**CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1871.**

*State statutes of limitations—Tolling provisions for prisoners.*—A federal court applying a state statute of limitations to an inmate's federal civil rights action under 42 U. S. C. § 1983 should give effect to State's provision tolling limitations period for prisoners. *Hardin v. Straub*, p. 536.

**CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964.**

1. *Racial discrimination—Collateral attack on consent decree.*—White firefighters alleging that they were denied promotions in favor of less qualified blacks are not precluded from challenging employment decisions taken pursuant to consent decrees entered into by city, county, and black firefighters in settlement of black firefighters' lawsuit alleging racially discriminatory hiring and promotion practices in violation of Title VII. *Martin v. Wilks*, p. 755.

2. *Racial discrimination—Proving a prima facie disparate-impact case—Shifting burden of proof.*—In determining whether a prima facie disparate-impact case of racial discrimination in a cannery's hiring/promotion practices is made out where unskilled cannery jobs are filled predominantly by nonwhites and higher paying skilled noncannery jobs are filled predominantly by whites, proper comparison is between racial composition of at-issue jobs and racial composition of qualified population in relevant labor market; as part of a prima facie case, plaintiffs must show that statistical disparity is result of employment practices attacked; if a prima facie case is established with respect to any of company's practices, burden of producing evidence of a legitimate business justification for those practices shifts to company, but burden of persuasion remains with plaintiffs at all times. *Wards Cove Packing Co. v. Atonio*, p. 642.

3. *Sex discrimination—Burden of proof.*—Court of Appeals' decision that an employer who has allowed a discriminatory motive to play a part in an employment decision must prove by clear and convincing evidence that it would have made same decision in absence of discrimination, and that petitioner had not met that burden, is reversed. *Price Waterhouse v. Hopkins*, p. 228.

4. *Sex discrimination—Seniority system—Disparate impact.*—Under Title VII, operation of a seniority system having a disparate impact on men and women is not unlawful unless discriminatory intent is proved; statute

**CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964—Continued.**

of limitations began to run upon adoption of system, not time that female employees were affected by its application. *Lorance v. AT&T Technologies, Inc.*, p. 900.

**CIVIL WITNESSES.** See **Federal Rules of Evidence.**

**CLASSIFIED AND CLASSIFIABLE GOVERNMENT INFORMATION.** See **Case or Controversy, 1.**

**CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE STANDARD OF PROOF.**  
See **Civil Rights Act of 1964, 3.**

**COLLATERAL FINAL ORDERS.** See **Jurisdiction, 2.**

**COMMERCE CLAUSE.** See **Constitutional Law, I.**

**COMPULSORY APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL.** See **Attorneys.**

**CONSENT DECREES.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964, 1.**

**CONSOLIDATED OMNIBUS BUDGET RECONCILIATION ACT OF 1985.** See **Constitutional Law, X.**

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.** See also **Case or Controversy, 2; Taxes.**

**I. Commerce Clause.**

1. *Severance taxes—Pre-emption of state law by federal law—Indian tribes as States.*—A State may validly impose severance taxes on same on-reservation oil and gas production by non-Indian lessees as is subject to Tribe's own severance tax, since state taxation is not pre-empted by federal law and does not impose an unlawful multiple tax burden on interstate commerce, and since Indian tribes may not be treated as States for tax apportionment purposes. *Cotton Petroleum Corp. v. New Mexico*, p. 163.

2. *State Corporation Business Tax—Deduction of federal windfall profit tax.*—State Corporation Business Tax, which denies oil companies deductions for federal windfall profit tax payments, satisfies elements of test set forth in *Complete Auto Transit, Inc. v. Brady*, 430 U. S. 274, and therefore passes Commerce Clause scrutiny and does not violate Fourteenth Amendment. *Amerada Hess Corp. v. Director, Division of Taxation, New Jersey Department of Treasury*, p. 66.

**II. Double Jeopardy.**

*False Claims Act—Relation of authorized recovery to actual loss.*—Statutory penalty authorized by Act—a civil fine of \$2,000 per false claim plus twice amount of Government's actual loss—violated Double Jeopardy Clause as applied to respondent, since \$130,000 penalty assessed for his 65 false Medicare claims bore no rational relation to Government's \$585 in actual damages and \$16,000 in costs. *United States v. Halper*, p. 435.

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.****III. Due Process.**

1. *Imposition of sentence—Presumption of vindictiveness.*—Presumption of vindictiveness set forth in *North Carolina v. Pearce*, 395 U. S. 711—which held that a sentence cannot be increased upon reconviction at a second trial after first conviction has been overturned on appeal and remanded for a new trial—does not apply when a sentence imposed after a trial is greater than that previously imposed after a guilty plea, since relevant sentencing information available to a judge after a guilty plea will usually be considerably less than that available after a trial. *Alabama v. Smith*, p. 794.

2. *Prison visitation rules.*—State's regulations governing general prison visitation, which include a nonexhaustive list of prison visitors who may be excluded without a hearing, do not give inmates a liberty interest in receiving visitors. *Kentucky Department of Corrections v. Thompson*, p. 454.

**IV. Eighth Amendment.**

*Death penalty—Description of victim's personal characteristics.*—Since, for purposes of imposing death penalty, defendant's punishment must be tailored to his personal responsibility, Eighth Amendment was violated where jury heard prosecutorial comments about victim's personal characteristics and thus could have imposed death sentence because of factors about which defendant was unaware and that were irrelevant to his decision to kill. *South Carolina v. Gathers*, p. 805.

**V. Equal Protection of the Laws.**

*City ordinance—Regulation of teenage dance halls.*—City ordinance restricting admission to certain dance halls to minors does not violate Equal Protection Clause, because there is a rational relationship between age restriction and city's interest in promoting teenagers' welfare. *Dallas v. Stanglin*, p. 19.

**VI. Freedom of Association.**

*City ordinance—Regulation of teenage dance halls.*—City ordinance restricting admission to certain dance halls to minors and limiting dance hall hours does not infringe minors' First Amendment right to associate with persons over 18. *Dallas v. Stanglin*, p. 19.

**VII. Freedom of Speech.**

*Prisoner mail censorship—Publications sent to prisoners.*—Regulations authorizing prison wardens to reject an incoming publication if it is found to be detrimental to prison's security, good order, or discipline or if it might facilitate criminal activity are facially valid, since they are reasonably related to legitimate penological interests; but case is remanded for an

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**

examination of regulations' validity as applied to any excluded publications as to which there is a live controversy. *Thornburgh v. Abbott*, p. 401.

**VIII. Right to Jury Trial.**

*Capital offense—Jury sentencing.*—Sixth Amendment does not require that specific findings authorizing imposition of a death sentence be made by a jury. *Hildwin v. Florida*, p. 638.

**IX. Searches and Seizures.**

1. *Reasonable suspicion—Totality of circumstances.*—Totality of respondent's actions, including paying for his airline tickets in cash, staying at his destination only 48 hours when his round trip took 20 hours, appearing to be nervous, and checking no baggage, which taken individually may have been consistent with innocent travel, was sufficient to give Drug Enforcement Administration agents a reasonable suspicion that he was transporting illegal drugs. *United States v. Sokolow*, p. 1.

2. *Use of excessive force—"Objective reasonableness" standard.*—All claims that law enforcement officials have used excessive force in course of an arrest, investigatory stop, or other "seizure" of a free citizen are properly analyzed under Fourth Amendment's "objective reasonableness" standard, rather than under a substantive due process standard. *Graham v. Connor*, p. 386.

**X. Separation of Powers.**

*Delegation of taxing power to Executive Branch—Pipeline safety user fees.*—Section 7006 of Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985—which directs Secretary of Transportation to establish a schedule of, and collect, pipeline safety user fees from certain hazardous liquid and natural gas pipeline facilities operators—is not an unconstitutional delegation of taxing power by Congress to Executive Branch. *Skinner v. Mid-America Pipeline Co.*, p. 212.

**CONVICTIONS AS ADMISSIBLE TO IMPEACH CIVIL WITNESSES. See Federal Rules of Evidence.****COPYRIGHT ACT OF 1976.**

*Commissioned sculpture as "work made for hire"—Sculpture's author.*—Applying common law of agency principles to determine whether sculpture commissioned by petitioners and made by respondent is a "work made for hire" for purposes of determining copyright ownership, work was prepared by an independent contractor rather than an employee; however, petitioners may be sculpture's joint author if it is determined that parties prepared work with intention that their contributions be merged into inseparable or interdependent parts of a unitary whole. *Community for Creative Non-Violence v. Reid*, p. 730.

- CORPORATE BUSINESS TAXES.** See Constitutional Law, I, 2.
- COURTS OF APPEALS.** See Jurisdiction, 1, 2.
- CRIMINAL LAW.** See Constitutional Law, III, 1; IV; VIII; IX; Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, 1; Federal Magistrates Act; Habeas Corpus.
- CUSTODY PROCEEDINGS.** See Indian Child Welfare Act of 1978.
- DAMAGES.** See Antitrust Acts; Constitutional Law, II; Warsaw Convention.
- DAM PROJECTS.** See National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 2.
- DANCE HALL REGULATION.** See Constitutional Law, V, VI.
- DEATH PENALTY.** See Constitutional Law, IV; VIII.
- DEFINED BENEFIT PLANS.** See Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, 2.
- DELEGATION OF POWERS BY CONGRESS.** See Constitutional Law, X.
- DISABILITY BENEFITS.** See Uniformed Services Former Spouses' Protection Act.
- DISCLOSURE OF CLASSIFIED GOVERNMENT INFORMATION.** See Case or Controversy, 1.
- DISCRIMINATION AGAINST INTERSTATE COMMERCE.** See Constitutional Law, I.
- DISCRIMINATION ON BASIS OF RACE.** See Civil Rights Act of 1964, 1, 2.
- DISCRIMINATION ON BASIS OF SEX.** See Civil Rights Act of 1964, 3, 4.
- DISCRIMINATORY INTENT AS PREREQUISITE FOR PROVING DISPARATE-IMPACT CLAIM.** See Civil Rights Act of 1964, 4.
- DISMISSAL OF ACTIONS.** See *In Forma Pauperis* Claims.
- DISMISSAL OF DISPENSABLE NONDIVERSE PARTIES.** See Jurisdiction, 1.
- DISPARATE-IMPACT THEORY OF DISCRIMINATION.** See Civil Rights Act of 1964, 2, 4.
- DISPENSABLE NONDIVERSE PARTIES.** See Jurisdiction, 1.
- DISTRICT COURTS.** See Attorneys; Equal Access to Justice Act; *In Forma Pauperis* Claims; Jurisdiction, 3.
- DIVERSITY JURISDICTION.** See Jurisdiction, 1.

**DIVORCE.** See **Uniformed Services Former Spouses' Protection Act.**

**DOCTRINE OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL TAX IMMUNITY.** See **Bankruptcy, 2.**

**DOMICILE.** See **Indian Child Welfare Act of 1978.**

**DOUBLE JEOPARDY.** See **Constitutional Law, II.**

**DRUG SMUGGLING.** See **Constitutional Law, IX, 1.**

**DUE PROCESS.** See **Constitutional Law, I, 2; III; IX, 2.**

**EARLY RETIREMENT BENEFITS.** See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, 2.**

**EIGHTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law, IV.**

**EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT INCOME SECURITY ACT OF 1974.**

1. *State criminal prosecutions—Pre-emption of state law by federal law.*—A company's policy of paying its discharged employees for their unused vacation time does not constitute an "employee welfare benefit plan" within meaning of Act, and therefore Act does not pre-empt state criminal action against a company to enforce that policy. *Massachusetts v. Morash*, p. 107.

2. *Termination of defined benefit plan—Payment of early retirement benefits before assets revert to employer.*—ERISA does not require a plan administrator, upon a defined benefit plan's termination, to pay participants unreduced early retirement benefits under plan before residual assets may revert to an employer; however, Court of Appeals must consider views of Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation and Internal Revenue Service when determining whether respondents are entitled to damages on grounds that unreduced early retirement benefits may qualify as "accrued benefits" and that such benefits may be "liabilities" under ERISA. *Mead Corp. v. Tilley*, p. 714.

**EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES.** See **Case or Controversy, 1; Civil Rights Act of 1964; Copyright Act of 1976; Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.**

**EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964.**

**ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS.** See **National Environmental Policy Act of 1969.**

**EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE ACT.**

*Social Security claimant—Attorney's fees for administrative proceedings.*—A federal court has power under EAJA to award a Social Security claimant attorney's fees for representation provided during administrative proceedings held pursuant to a district court order remanding action to Secretary of Health and Human Services. *Sullivan v. Hudson*, p. 877.

**EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS.** See Constitutional Law, I, 2; V.

**ESTABLISHMENT OF RELIGION.** See Taxes.

**EVIDENCE.** See Civil Rights Act of 1964, 2, 3; Federal Rules of Evidence.

**EXCESSIVE FORCE.** See Constitutional Law, IX, 2.

**EXCLUSION FROM EVIDENCE OF PRIOR FELONY CONVICTIONS.** See Federal Rules of Evidence.

**EXECUTIVE BRANCH POWERS.** See Constitutional Law, X.

**FALSE CLAIMS ACT.** See Constitutional Law, II.

**FEDERAL COURTS.** See Attorneys; *In Forma Pauperis* Claims; Jurisdiction.

**FEDERAL DISTRICT COURTS.** See Attorneys; Equal Access to Justice Act; *In Forma Pauperis* Claims; Jurisdiction, 3.

**FEDERAL EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES.** See Case or Controversy, 1.

**FEDERAL INCOME TAXES.** See Taxes.

**FEDERAL MAGISTRATES ACT.**

*“Additional duties”—Presiding at jury selection—Felony trial.*—Presiding, without defendant’s consent, at jury selection in a felony trial, is not one of “additional duties” that Act permits court to assign to magistrates. *Gomez v. United States*, p. 858.

**FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE.**

Amendments to rules, p. 1125.

**FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE.** See *In Forma Pauperis* Claims.

**FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE.**

Amendments to rules, p. 1135.

**FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE.**

*Impeachment of a civil witness—Felony convictions—Effect of unfair prejudice.*—Rule 609(a)(1) requires a judge to permit impeachment of a civil witness with evidence of prior felony convictions regardless of ensuing unfair prejudice to witness or party offering testimony. *Green v. Bock Laundry Machine Co.*, p. 504.

**FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN INSURANCE CORPORATION.**  
See Jurisdiction, 3.

- FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS.** See *Antitrust Acts; Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, 1; New Mexico-Arizona Enabling Act of 1910; Uniformed Services Former Spouses' Protection Act.*
- FEDERAL TORT CLAIMS ACT.** See *Jurisdiction, 4.*
- FELONY CONVICTIONS AS ADMISSIBLE TO IMPEACH CIVIL WITNESSES.** See *Federal Rules of Evidence.*
- FELONY TRIALS.** See *Federal Magistrates Act.*
- FIFTH AMENDMENT.** See *Constitutional Law, II; III, 2.*
- FINAL ORDERS.** See *Jurisdiction, 2.*
- FINES.** See *Constitutional Law, II.*
- FIRST AMENDMENT.** See *Constitutional Law, VI, VII; Taxes.*
- FORUM-SELECTION CLAUSES.** See *Jurisdiction, 2.*
- FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT.** See *Constitutional Law, I, 2; III, 1; V.*
- FOURTH AMENDMENT.** See *Constitutional Law, IX.*
- FREEDOM OF ASSOCIATION.** See *Constitutional Law, VI.*
- FREEDOM OF SPEECH.** See *Constitutional Law, VII.*
- FREE EXERCISE OF RELIGION.** See *Taxes.*
- GAS PIPELINE SAFETY USER FEES.** See *Constitutional Law, X.*
- GAS SEVERANCE TAXES.** See *Constitutional Law, I, 1.*
- HABEAS CORPUS.**  
*"In custody"—Need to be serving sentence under attack.—Pro se petitioner who, while in federal prison, filed a petition for habeas corpus, alleging that 1978 state sentences that he would begin to serve when his federal prison term expired were illegally enhanced by an invalid 1958 state sentence which had already expired, was "in custody" on 1978 sentences because State had placed detainer with federal authorities, but was not "in custody" on expired 1958 sentence as required by 28 U. S. C. § 2241(c)(3). Maleng v. Cook, p. 488.*
- HAZARDOUS LIQUID PIPELINE SAFETY USER FEES.** See *Constitutional Law, X.*
- HIRING PRACTICES AS EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION.** See *Civil Rights Act of 1964, 1, 2.*
- ILLEGAL DRUGS.** See *Constitutional Law, IX, 1.*
- IMMUNITY FROM TAXES.** See *Bankruptcy, 2.*

**IMPEACHMENT OF WITNESSES.** See Federal Rules of Evidence.

**INCOME TAXES.** See Taxes.

**IN CUSTODY.** See Habeas Corpus.

**INDEPENDENT CONTRACTORS.** See Copyright Act of 1976.

**INDIAN CHILD WELFARE ACT OF 1978.**

*Tribal jurisdiction over custody actions—Determining domicile.*—Twins whose parents were enrolled members of Tribe and domiciliaries of its reservation but who were born off reservation were domiciled on reservation within meaning of Act's provision giving Tribe exclusive jurisdiction over custody proceedings, and state court had no jurisdiction to enter an adoption decree. *Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians v. Holyfield*, p. 30.

**INDIAN COMMERCE CLAUSE.** See Constitutional Law, I, 1.

**INDIRECT PURCHASER STATUTES.** See Antitrust Acts.

**IN FORMA PAUPERIS CLAIMS.** See also Attorneys; Supreme Court, 4.

*Federal courts—Dismissal of frivolous actions.*—A complaint filed *in forma pauperis* is not automatically frivolous within meaning of 28 U. S. C. § 1915(d) because it fails to state a claim upon which relief could be granted under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). *Neitzke v. Williams*, p. 319.

**INTERGOVERNMENTAL TAX IMMUNITY.** See Bankruptcy, 2.

**INTERLOCUTORY ORDERS.** See Jurisdiction, 2.

**INTERNAL REVENUE CODE.** See Taxes.

**INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE.** See Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, 2; Taxes.

**INTERNATIONAL AIR CARRIERS.** See Warsaw Convention.

**INTERSTATE COMMERCE CLAUSE.** See Constitutional Law, I, 1.

**INVESTIGATORY STOPS.** See Constitutional Law, IX.

**JOINT AUTHORS AS COPYRIGHT OWNERS.** See Copyright Act of 1976.

**JURIES.** See Constitutional Law, IV; VIII; Federal Magistrates Act.

**JURISDICTION.** See also Indian Child Welfare Act of 1978.

1. *Courts of appeals—Dismissal of nondiverse parties from suit.*—A court of appeals has authority to grant a motion to dismiss a dispensable nondiverse party in order to produce complete diversity and need not

**JURISDICTION**—Continued.

remand case to district court for dismissal in that court's discretion. *Newman-Green, Inc. v. Alfonso-Larrain*, p. 826.

2. *Courts of appeals—Interlocutory order—Refusal to dismiss action.*—A district court's interlocutory order denying a defendant's motion to dismiss a damages action on basis of a contractual forum-selection clause is not immediately appealable as a collateral final order. *Lauro Lines s.r.l. v. Chasser*, p. 495.

3. *District Courts—Case commenced by Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation as receiver.*—Federal District Court had agency jurisdiction over suit brought by FSLIC, in its capacity as state-chartered savings and loan association's receiver, against association's former directors for breach of fiduciary duties under state law. *Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation v. Ticktin*, p. 82.

4. *Federal Tort Claims Act—Pendent parties.*—Act defines jurisdiction in a manner that does not reach defendants other than United States and thus does not permit an assertion of pendent jurisdiction over additional parties. *Finley v. United States*, p. 545.

**JURY SENTENCING.** See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

**JUVENILE DANCE HALL REGULATION.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

**LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS' USE OF EXCESSIVE FORCE.**  
See **Constitutional Law**, IX, 2.

**LAWYERS.** See **Attorneys.**

**LEASES OF STATE MINERAL LANDS.** See **New Mexico-Arizona Enabling Act of 1910.**

**LEGISLATIVE BRANCH POWERS.** See **Constitutional Law**, X.

**LEGITIMATE PENOLOGICAL INTERESTS.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

**LIBERTY INTERESTS OF PRISONERS.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

**LIMITATION OF ACTIONS.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1871; Civil Rights Act of 1964**, 4.

**LIMITATION OF DAMAGES.** See **Warsaw Convention.**

**LIQUIDATION OF BANKRUPTCY ESTATE.** See **Bankruptcy**, 2.

**MAGISTRATES.** See **Federal Magistrates Act.**

**MAIL CENSORSHIP.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

**MANDATORY APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL.** See **Attorneys.**

- MEDICARE.** See Constitutional Law, II.
- MILITARY RETIREMENT PAY.** See Uniformed Services Former Spouses' Protection Act.
- MINERAL LEASES.** See New Mexico-Arizona Enabling Act of 1910.
- MITIGATION PLANS AS PART OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS.** See National Environmental Policy Act of 1969.
- MONTREAL AGREEMENT.** See Warsaw Convention.
- MOOTNESS.** See Case or Controversy.
- MURDER.** See Constitutional Law, VIII.
- NARCOTICS SMUGGLING.** See Constitutional Law, IX, 1.
- NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT OF 1969.**
1. *Environmental Impact Statements—Requiring mitigation plans and “worst case analyses.”*—In preparing an EIS on a major federal action such as permitting development on, and adjacent to, a national forest, neither Act nor its regulations impose a substantive duty on an agency to mitigate adverse environmental effects, to include a fully developed mitigation plan, or to make a “worst case analysis” if it cannot make a reasoned assessment of proposed project's environmental impact. *Robertson v. Methow Valley Citizens Council*, p. 332.
  2. *Supplemental Environmental Impact Statements.*—Army Corps of Engineers' final Environmental Impact Statement, (FEISS) Supplement No. 1, regarding development of dam project, was not defective on ground that it did not include a complete mitigation plan and a “worst case analysis”; Corps' decision not to further supplement FEISS was not arbitrary and capricious and should not be set aside. *Marsh v. Oregon Natural Resources Council*, p. 360.
- NATIONAL FORESTS.** See National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 1.
- NATURAL GAS PIPELINE SAFETY USER FEES.** See Constitutional Law, X.
- NEW MEXICO-ARIZONA ENABLING ACT OF 1910.**
- Validity of state mineral leases.*—Arizona Supreme Court's holding that state law governing mineral leases on state lands—which does not conform to Enabling Act requirements that lands be advertised, appraised, and leased for their full appraised value—is invalid as to nonhydrocarbon mineral leases, is affirmed. *ASARCO Inc. v. Kadish*, p. 605.
- NONDISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS FOR ACCESS TO CLASSIFIED GOVERNMENT INFORMATION.** See Case or Controversy, 1.

- NONDIVERSE PARTIES.** See Jurisdiction, 1.
- OBJECTIVE REASONABLENESS STANDARD.** See Constitutional Law, IX, 2.
- OIL COMPANIES.** See Constitutional Law, I.
- OIL SEVERANCE TAXES.** See Constitutional Law, I, 1.
- PARENT AND CHILD.** See Indian Child Welfare Act of 1978.
- PARTIES.** See Jurisdiction, 1.
- PENDENT JURISDICTION.** See Jurisdiction, 4.
- PENOLOGICAL INTERESTS.** See Constitutional Law, VII.
- PENSION PLANS.** See Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, 2.
- PIPELINE SAFETY USER FEES.** See Constitutional Law, X.
- PRE-EMPTION OF STATE LAW BY FEDERAL LAW.** See Antitrust Acts; Constitutional Law, I, 1; Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, 1; Uniformed Services Former Spouses' Protection Act.
- PREJUDICE TO CIVIL WITNESSES OR PARTIES AS GROUNDS FOR EXCLUDING EVIDENCE OF PRIOR FELONY CONVICTIONS.** See Federal Rules of Evidence.
- PRESUMPTION OF VINDICTIVENESS IN RESENTENCING.** See Constitutional Law, III, 1.
- PRIMA FACIE CASE.** See Civil Rights Act of 1964, 2.
- PRIOR FELONY CONVICTIONS AS ADMISSIBLE TO IMPEACH CIVIL WITNESSES.** See Federal Rules of Evidence.
- PRISONERS.** See Civil Rights Act of 1871; Constitutional Law, III, 2; VII; Habeas Corpus.
- PRIZE LIST PUBLICATION.** See Case or Controversy, 2.
- PROMOTION PRACTICES AS EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION.** See Civil Rights Act of 1964.
- PROOF.** See Civil Rights Act of 1964, 2-4.
- PROPERTY DIVISIBLE UPON DIVORCE.** See Uniformed Services Former Spouses' Protection Act.
- PROSECUTOR'S COMMENTS REGARDING VICTIM'S PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS AS FACTOR IN DETERMINING SENTENCE.** See Constitutional Law, IV.
- PUBLICATION OF PRIZE LISTS.** See Case or Controversy, 2.

- PUBLIC EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES.** See Civil Rights Act of 1964, 1.
- RACIAL DISCRIMINATION.** See Civil Rights Act of 1964, 1, 2.
- REASONABLE SUSPICION FOR AN INVESTIGATORY STOP.** See Constitutional Law, IX, 1.
- RELIGIOUS FREEDOM.** See Taxes.
- RESENTENCING AFTER RECONVICTION.** See Constitutional Law, III, 1.
- RETIREMENT BENEFITS.** See Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, 2.
- RETIREMENT PAY.** See Uniformed Services Former Spouses' Protection Act.
- RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL.** See Constitutional Law, VIII.
- RIPENESS.** See Case or Controversy, 1.
- SALES TAXES.** See Bankruptcy, 2.
- SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATIONS.** See Jurisdiction, 3.
- SCULPTURE AS "WORK MADE FOR HIRE."** See Copyright Act of 1976.
- SEARCHES AND SEIZURES.** See Constitutional Law, IX.
- SECTION 1983.** See Civil Rights Act of 1871.
- SECURITIES ACTS.**  
*Securities Act of 1933—Enforceability of an agreement to arbitrate.*—A predispute agreement to arbitrate account disputes through binding arbitration under Act is enforceable, and therefore resolution of claims only in a judicial forum is not required. *Rodriguez de Quijas v. Shearson/American Express, Inc.*, p. 477.
- SENIORITY SYSTEMS.** See Civil Rights Act of 1964, 4.
- SENTENCING AFTER RECONVICTION.** See Constitutional Law, III, 1.
- SEVERANCE TAXES.** See Constitutional Law, I, 1.
- SEX DISCRIMINATION.** See Civil Rights Act of 1964, 3, 4.
- SIXTH AMENDMENT.** See Constitutional Law, VIII.
- SOCIAL SECURITY.** See Equal Access to Justice Act.

**STATE INDIRECT PURCHASER STATUTES.** See Antitrust Acts.

**STATE TAXES.** See Bankruptcy, 2; Constitutional Law, I.

**STATISTICAL PROOF.** See Civil Rights Act of 1964, 2.

**STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS.** See Civil Rights Act of 1871; Civil Rights Act of 1964, 4.

**SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS.** See Constitutional Law, IX, 2.

**SUPREME COURT.**

1. Amendments to Bankruptcy Rules, p. 1119.
2. Amendments to Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, p. 1125.
3. Amendments to Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, p. 1135.
4. *In forma pauperis requests—Pro se petitioner.*—Where *pro se* petitioner had been denied leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* 19 times, Clerk of Court was directed not to accept further filings from him requesting leave to file *in forma pauperis* unless his affidavit shows that his financial condition has substantially changed from that reflected in his last nine applications. Wrenn v. Benson, p. 89.
5. Presentation of Solicitor General, p. VII.

**TAXES.** See also Bankruptcy, 2; Constitutional Law, I, X.

*Federal income taxes—Charitable deductions—Payment for Church of Scientology services.*—Payments made to branch churches for auditing sessions designed to increase members' spiritual awareness and training courses at which participants study tenets of faith and seek to attain qualifications to conduct auditing sessions are not deductible charitable contributions under § 170 of Internal Revenue Code, and disallowance does not violate Establishment or Free Exercise Clauses of First Amendment. Hernandez v. Commissioner, p. 680.

**TEENAGE DANCE HALL REGULATION.** See Constitutional Law, V, VI.

**TITLE VII OF CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964.** See Civil Rights Act of 1964.

**TOLLING OF LIMITATIONS PERIODS.** See Civil Rights Act of 1871.

**TRIALS.** See Constitutional Law, III, 1.

**TRIBAL JURISDICTION.** See Indian Child Welfare Act of 1978.

**UNFAIR PREJUDICE TO CIVIL WITNESSES OR PARTIES AS GROUNDS FOR EXCLUDING EVIDENCE OF PRIOR FELONY CONVICTIONS.** See Federal Rules of Evidence.

**UNIFORMED SERVICES FORMER SPOUSES' PROTECTION ACT.**

*Property divisible upon divorce—Waived retirement pay.*—Act does not grant state courts power to treat as property divisible upon divorce military retirement pay waived by retiree in order to receive veterans' disability benefits. *Mansell v. Mansell*, p. 581.

**USE TAXES.** See **Bankruptcy**, 2.

**VACATION PAY.** See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974**, 1.

**VETERANS' DISABILITY BENEFITS.** See **Uniformed Services Former Spouses' Protection Act**.

**VICTIM'S CHARACTER AS FACTOR IN DETERMINING SENTENCE.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

**VINDICTIVENESS PRESUMPTION IN RESENTENCING.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

**VISITATION RIGHTS OF PRISONERS.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

**WARSAW CONVENTION.**

*International air carriers—Notice of damages limitation.*—International air carriers that fail to provide notice of damages limitation in 10-point type size as required by Montreal Agreement do not lose benefit of limitation for passenger injury or death provided by Warsaw Convention. *Chan v. Korean Air Lines, Ltd.*, p. 122.

**WELFARE BENEFIT PLANS.** See **Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974**.

**WINDFALL PROFIT TAXES.** See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

**WITNESSES.** See **Federal Rules of Evidence**.

**WORDS AND PHRASES.**

1. "*Additional duties.*" Federal Magistrates Act, 28 U. S. C. § 636(b)(3). *Gomez v. United States*, p. 858.
2. "*Domiciled.*" Indian Child Welfare Act of 1978. 25 U. S. C. § 1911(a). *Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians v. Holyfield*, p. 30.
3. "*Employee welfare benefit plan.*" § 3(1), Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, 29 U. S. C. § 1002(1). *Massachusetts v. Morash*, p. 107.
4. "*Frivolous.*" 28 U. S. C. § 1915(d). *Neitzke v. Williams*, p. 319.
5. "*In custody.*" 28 U. S. C. § 2241(c)(3). *Maleng v. Cook*, p. 488.
6. "*Request.*" 28 U. S. C. § 1915(d). *Mallard v. United States District Court for Southern District of Iowa*, p. 296.

WORDS AND PHRASES—Continued.

7. "Work made for hire." Copyright Act of 1976, 17 U. S. C. § 101. Community for Creative Non-Violence v. Reid, p. 730.

"WORK MADE FOR HIRE." See Copyright Act of 1976.

WORST CASE ANALYSES AS PART OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS. See National Environmental Policy Act of 1969.



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

LIBRARY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO  
540 EAST 57TH STREET  
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637  
TEL: 773-936-3200  
WWW.CHICAGO.EDU

0-142320-27-0 9822



9 780142 320270

WORDS AND PHRASES—Continued

"Work made for hire." Copyright Act of 1976, 17 U.S.C. § 101; Community for Creative Non-Violence v. Edie, p. 129.

"WORK MADE FOR HIRE." See Copyright Act of 1976.

WORST CASE ANALYSES AS PART OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS. See National Environmental Policy Act of 1969.




ISBN 0-16-038261-0





90000





9 780160 382611



















A00007893