

## INDEX

---

**ABORTION.** See **Constitutional Law, VI.**

**ABUSE OF DISCRETION.** See **Equal Access to Justice Act; Speedy Trial Act of 1974.**

**ADMISSION TO STATE BAR.** See **Constitutional Law, X.**

**ADOLESCENT FAMILY LIFE ACT.** See **Constitutional Law, VI.**

### **APPEALS.**

1. *Notices of appeal—Specification of parties.*—Failure to file a notice of appeal in accordance with Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 3(c)'s requirement that notice "specify the party or parties taking the appeal" presents a jurisdictional bar to appeal. *Torres v. Oakland Scavenger Co.*, p. 312.

2. *Notices of appeal—Timeliness of prisoners' filings.*—Under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a)(1), *pro se* prisoners' notices of appeal are "filed" at moment of delivery to prison authorities for forwarding to district court. *Houston v. Lack*, p. 266.

**APPOINTMENTS CLAUSE.** See **Constitutional Law, I.**

**ARTICLE III JUDGES.** See **Constitutional Law, II.**

**ATTORNEYS.** See **Constitutional Law, X.**

**ATTORNEY'S FEES.** See **Equal Access to Justice Act.**

**BAR ADMISSIONS.** See **Constitutional Law, X.**

**BENEFITS PAYABLE UNDER PENSION PLANS.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964, 1.**

**BENEVOLENT ORDERS.** See **Constitutional Law, V, 2.**

**BIVENS ACTIONS.** See **Social Security Act.**

**CAPITAL PUNISHMENT.** See **Constitutional Law, IV, XII.**

**CASE OR CONTROVERSY.** See **Constitutional Law, V, 1; Standing to Sue.**

**CHARITABLE SOLICITATIONS.** See **Constitutional Law, VIII, 2.**

**CIVIL CONTEMPT.** See **Jurisdiction, 3.**

**CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1871.** See also **Constitutional Law**, XVI, 1.

*State-action requirement—Physician treating state prison inmates.*—A physician who is under contract with a State to provide medical services on a part-time basis to inmates at a state-prison hospital acts “under color of state law,” within meaning of 42 U. S. C. § 1983, when he treats an inmate. *West v. Atkins*, p. 42.

**CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964.**

1. *Sex discrimination—Effective date of liability for unequal pension fund benefits payments.*—*Arizona Governing Committee for Tax Deferred Annuity and Deferred Compensation Plans v. Norris*, 463 U. S. 1073— which extended principle of *Los Angeles Dept. of Water and Power v. Manhart*, 435 U. S. 702, that unequal pension plan contributions for male and female employees based on actuarial tables reflecting women’s greater life spans violated Title VII, to unequal benefits payments—establishes appropriate date for commencing liability for employer-operated pension plans that offered discriminatory payment options, and liability may not be imposed for pre-*Norris* conduct; District Court’s awards of both prejudgment and postjudgment benefits adjustments are impermissible retroactive relief. *Florida v. Long*, p. 223.

2. *Subjective employment selection system—Disparate impact analysis.*—Disparate impact analysis may be applied to claims of discrimination caused by a subjective or discretionary selection process such as the one used by respondent in a case where a black employee seeking promotion to supervisory positions was rejected in favor of white applicants. *Watson v. Fort Worth Bank & Trust*, p. 977.

**CLAIMS COURT.** See **Jurisdiction**, 2.

**CLUBS.** See **Constitutional Law**, V, 2; VII; **Standing to Sue**.

**COLLECTIVE-BARGAINING ACTIVITIES.** See **Jurisdiction**, 1.

**COLOR OF LAW.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1871**.

**COMPULSION OF LABOR.** See **Criminal Law**, 1.

**CONFRONTATION OF WITNESSES.** See **Constitutional Law**, III.

**CONSENT DIRECTIVE AUTHORIZING DISCLOSURE OF RECORDS.** See **Constitutional Law**, IX, 2.

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.** See also **Civil Rights Act of 1871**; **Criminal Law**, 1; **Jurisdiction**, 4; **Social Security Act**; **Standing to Sue**.

**I. Appointments Clause.**

*Ethics in Government Act of 1978—Appointment of independent counsel.*—It does not violate Appointments Clause for Congress to vest appointment of independent counsel in Special Division, a special court created by Act. *Morrison v. Olson*, p. 654.

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.****II. Article III Judges.**

*Ethics in Government Act of 1978—Special Division's powers.*—Powers relating to appointment of independent counsel vested in Special Division—a special court created by Act—do not violate Article III, under which executive or administrative duties of a nonjudicial nature may not be imposed on Article III judges. *Morrison v. Olson*, p. 654.

**III. Confrontation of Witnesses.**

*Sexual assault charge—Use of screen to separate witnesses from defendant.*—Defendant's right to "confront," face-to-face, witnesses giving evidence against him at trial was violated when screen was placed between him and child sexual abuse victims during their testimony, which screen blocked him from their sight but allowed him to see them dimly and hear them; however, case is remanded for State Supreme Court to determine whether such error was harmless beyond a reasonable doubt. *Coy v. Iowa*, p. 1012.

**IV. Cruel and Unusual Punishment.**

1. *Death sentence—Conviction of 15-year-old.*—Judgment affirming conviction of, and death sentence given to, petitioner, who was 15 years old at time he committed murder, is vacated. *Thompson v. Oklahoma*, p. 815.

2. *Death sentence—Instructions on mitigating evidence.*—In a habeas corpus action, Court of Appeals' judgment that a jury's consideration of mitigating evidence was not limited in violation of Eighth Amendment by Texas trial court's failure to give certain jury instructions requested by petitioner in sentencing phase of his capital trial, is affirmed. *Franklin v. Lynaugh*, p. 164.

**V. Equal Protection of the Laws.**

1. *Charges for schoolbus services.*—Appellant mother and daughter were not estopped from challenging North Dakota law allowing certain school districts to charge for schoolbus service by fact that mother had signed bus service contracts, and such signing did not render case moot; however, law does not violate Equal Protection Clause. *Kadrmas v. Dickinson Public Schools*, p. 450.

2. *Exemption from local discrimination law for "distinctly private" clubs.*—Exemption for benevolent orders and religious corporations, deemed to be "distinctly private," from coverage under New York City law forbidding discrimination in certain private clubs does not violate Equal Protection Clause, since city council could have reasonably believed that exempted organizations are different in kind from appellant association's members. *New York State Club Assn., Inc. v. New York City*, p. 1.

## CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

### VI. Establishment of Religion.

*Adolescent Family Life Act.*—Act—which authorizes federal grants to certain organizations for services as to, and research on, premarital adolescent sexual relations and pregnancy if, *inter alia*, grantee furnishes certain specified services, involves religious organizations in program, and does not use funds for family planning services or promotion of abortion—does not, on its face, violate Establishment Clause; however, case is remanded for further consideration whether Act, as applied, violates Clause. *Bowen v. Kendrick*, p. 589.

### VII. Freedom of Association.

*Private clubs and associations—Facial attack on local antidiscrimination law.*—Appellant association's facial First Amendment attack on New York City law forbidding discrimination by certain private clubs fails insofar as it is based on a claim that law is invalid in all of its applications and insofar as it is based on a claim that law is overbroad in that it applies to "distinctly private" clubs. *New York State Club Assn., Inc. v. New York City*, p. 1.

### VIII. Freedom of Speech.

1. *Ban on picketing before private residence.*—Local ordinance making it "unlawful for any person to engage in picketing before or about" an individual's residence is not facially invalid under First Amendment, since it is content neutral, leaves open ample alternative channels of communication, and serves significant government interest of protecting residential privacy. *Frisby v. Schultz*, p. 474.

2. *State Charitable Solicitations Act—Legality of fees—Disclosure and licensing requirements.*—Act is unconstitutional insofar as it uses percentages to determine legality of professional fundraisers' fees, requires that such fundraisers disclose to potential donors gross percentage of revenues retained in prior charitable solicitations, and requires that such fundraisers be licensed before engaging in solicitation. *Riley v. National Federation of Blind of North Carolina*, p. 781.

### IX. Privilege Against Self-Incrimination.

1. *Motion to quash subpoena—Production of corporate records.*—Where federal grand jury issued a subpoena to petitioner as corporations' president to produce corporate records, he could not resist subpoena on ground that act of production would incriminate him in violation of Fifth Amendment. *Braswell v. United States*, p. 99.

2. *Requiring target of investigation to authorize disclosure of bank records.*—A District Court order compelling a grand jury investigation target to sign a consent directive authorizing foreign banks to disclose records

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**

of his accounts, without identifying those documents or acknowledging their existence, did not violate target's Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination, since such a directive is not testimonial in nature. *Doe v. United States*, p. 201.

**X. Privileges and Immunities Clause.**

*Residency requirement for admission to Virginia's Bar.*—Virginia's residency requirement for admission to State's bar without examination violates Privileges and Immunities Clause. *Supreme Court of Virginia v. Friedman*, p. 59.

**XI. Right to Counsel.**

*Postindictment questioning.*—Petitioner's interrogation following his indictment did not violate his Sixth Amendment right to counsel, since he did not seek to have counsel present; since State, by admonishing him with *Miranda* warnings, met its burden of showing that his waiver was "knowing and intelligent"; and since right to counsel is not "superior" to, or "more difficult" to waive, than its Fifth Amendment counterpart. *Patterson v. Illinois*, p. 285.

**XII. Right to Impartial Jury.**

*Capital case—Removal of juror for cause.*—In a capital case, trial court's error in failing to remove for cause prospective juror who had declared that he would vote to impose death automatically if jury found petitioner guilty did not abridge petitioner's Sixth and Fourteenth Amendment right to an impartial jury, since petitioner's peremptory challenge removed him as effectively as if trial court had done so, and did not abridge petitioner's Fourteenth Amendment due process rights by arbitrarily depriving him of his full complement of peremptory challenges. *Ross v. Oklahoma*, p. 81.

**XIII. Right to Jury Trial.**

*Necessity for remand for trial on defense formulated by Court of Appeals.*—Although defense formulated by Court of Appeals differed from instructions given by District Court to jury at trial, Seventh Amendment guarantee of jury trial does not require that case be remanded for trial on new theory if evidence presented in first trial would not suffice, as a matter of law, to support a jury verdict under properly formulated defense. *Boyle v. United Technologies Corp.*, p. 500.

**XIV. Searches and Seizures.**

*Evidence initially discovered during an illegal entry.*—Fourth Amendment does not require suppression of evidence initially discovered during police officers' illegal entry of private premises, if that evidence is also dis-

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**

covered during a later search pursuant to a valid warrant that is wholly independent of initial illegal entry. *Murray v. United States*, p. 533.

**XV. Separation of Powers.**

*Ethics in Government Act of 1978—Interference with Executive Branch functions.*—Independent counsel provisions of Act do not violate separation-of-powers principles by impermissibly interfering with Executive Branch functions, such as removal of executive officials. *Morrison v. Olson*, p. 654.

**XVI. Supremacy Clause.**

1. *Civil Rights Act of 1871—Pre-emption of state law.*—Because Wisconsin notice-of-claim statute—which requires that certain notice provisions be met before suit can be brought in state court against a state or local government entity—conflicts in both its purpose and effects with 42 U. S. C. § 1983's remedial objectives, and because its enforcement in state-court actions will frequently and predictably produce different outcomes in § 1983 litigation based solely on whether a claim is asserted in state or federal court, it is pre-empted pursuant to Supremacy Clause when a § 1983 action is brought in a state court. *Felder v. Casey*, p. 131.

2. *Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) proceedings—Pre-emption of state proceedings.*—FERC proceedings resulting in an order allocating costs of a nuclear powerplant among specified state utilities pre-empted State Public Service Commission from conducting prudence inquiry before approving rate increase to pay for FERC-mandated power. *Mississippi Power & Light Co. v. Mississippi ex rel. Moore*, p. 354.

3. *Uniquely federal interests—Pre-emption of state tort law.*—Even in absence of federal legislation specifically immunizing Government contractors, federal law can shield contractors from liability for design defects in military equipment, since procurement of equipment by United States is an area of "uniquely federal interest" in which state law is pre-empted and replaced, where necessary, by federal law of a content prescribed (without a specific statutory directive) by courts. *Boyle v. United Technologies Corp.*, p. 500.

**CONTEMPT JUDGMENTS.** See *Jurisdiction*, 4.

**CONTRACTORS' LIABILITY FOR DESIGN DEFECTS IN GOVERNMENT'S EQUIPMENT.** See *Constitutional Law*, XVI, 3.

**CONTRACTS.** See *Venue*.

**CORPORATE RECORDS.** See *Constitutional Law*, IX, 1.

**COURTS OF APPEALS.** See *Appeals*; *Constitutional Law*, XIII; *Equal Access to Justice Act*; *Jurisdiction*, 2, 4; *Speedy Trial Act of 1974*.

**CRIMINAL LAW.** See also **Constitutional Law**, III, IV, XI, XII, XIV; **Speedy Trial Act of 1974**.

1. *Enforcement of Thirteenth Amendment—Meaning of “involuntary servitude.”*—For purposes of criminal prosecutions under federal laws prohibiting individuals from conspiring to prevent persons from exercising their Thirteenth Amendment right to be free from involuntary servitude and from knowingly holding them in involuntary servitude, “involuntary servitude” means a condition of servitude in which victim is forced to work for defendant by use or threat of physical restraint or physical injury or by use or threat of coercion through law or legal process. *United States v. Kozminski*, p. 931.

2. *Prosecutorial misconduct—Dismissal of indictment.*—Where record does not support conclusion that defendants were prejudiced by prosecutorial misconduct before grand jury, District Court lacked authority to invoke its supervisory authority to dismiss indictment. *Bank of Nova Scotia v. United States*, p. 250.

**CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

**CUSTODIAN OF CORPORATE RECORDS AS PROTECTED BY PRIVILEGE AGAINST SELF-INCRIMINATION.** See **Constitutional Law**, IX, 1.

**DAMAGES.** See **Social Security Act**.

**DEATH PENALTY.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, XII.

**DEFECTS IN MILITARY EQUIPMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, XVI, 3.

**DISABILITY BENEFITS.** See **Social Security Act**.

**DISCRETIONARY EMPLOYMENT SELECTION PROCESSES.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**, 2.

**DISCRIMINATION ON BASIS OF RACE.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**, 2.

**DISCRIMINATION ON BASIS OF SEX.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**, 1.

**DISMISSAL OF INDICTMENTS.** See **Criminal Law**, 2; **Speedy Trial Act of 1974**.

**DISPARATE IMPACT ANALYSIS OF EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION CLAIMS.** See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**, 2.

**DISTRICT COURTS.** See **Criminal Law**, 2; **Equal Access to Justice Act**; **Jurisdiction**, 2-4; **Speedy Trial Act of 1974**.

**DIVERSITY JURISDICTION.** See **Venue**.

**DUE PROCESS.** See Constitutional Law, XII; Social Security Act.

**DUTY OF FAIR REPRESENTATION.** See Jurisdiction, 1.

**EFFECTIVE DATE OF LIABILITY FOR DISCRIMINATORY EMPLOYER-OPERATED PENSION PLANS.** See Civil Rights Act of 1964, 1.

**EIGHTH AMENDMENT.** See Constitutional Law, IV.

**ELECTRIC POWER RATES.** See Constitutional Law, XVI, 2.

**EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES.** See Civil Rights Act of 1964.

**EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION.** See Civil Rights Act of 1964.

**EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE ACT.**

*Standard of review—Meaning of substantial justification—“Special factors” used to increase reimbursement rate.*—In an action arising out of Secretary of Housing and Urban Development’s decision not to implement a subsidy program authorized by statute, Court of Appeals correctly applied an abuse-of-discretion standard in reviewing District Court’s determination that Secretary’s decision was not “substantially justified” under Act; statutory phrase “substantially justified” means justified in substance or in the main—that is, justified to a degree that could satisfy a reasonable person; while District Court did not abuse its discretion in finding that Government’s position was not substantially justified, it did abuse its discretion in fixing amount of attorney’s fees, since none of reasons court relied on to increase reimbursement rate above statutory maximum was a “special factor” within Act’s meaning. *Pierce v. Underwood*, p. 552.

**EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS.** See Constitutional Law, V.

**ESTABLISHMENT OF RELIGION.** See Constitutional Law, VI.

**ESTOPPEL.** See Constitutional Law, V, 1.

**ETHICS IN GOVERNMENT ACT OF 1978.** See Constitutional Law, I, II, XV.

**EVIDENCE.** See Constitutional Law, XIII, XIV.

**EXECUTIVE BRANCH.** See Constitutional Law, XV.

**FAMILY PLANNING.** See Constitutional Law, VI.

**FEDERAL COURTS.** See Jurisdiction, 1.

**FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION.** See Constitutional Law, XVI, 2.

**FEDERAL GRAND JURIES.** See Constitutional Law, IX.

**FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE.** See Appeals.

**FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS.** See Constitutional Law, XVI.

**FEDERAL TORT CLAIMS ACT.**

*Intentional tort exception—Negligence of Government employees in failing to prevent intentional assault.*—Where petitioners' lawsuit under Act was based on alleged negligence of Government employees who failed to stop an intoxicated off-duty serviceman from firing rifle at petitioners rather than on fact that serviceman himself was a Government employee at time he committed intentional assault, petitioners' claim was not barred by Act's intentional tort exception. *Sheridan v. United States*, p. 392.

**FIFTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, IX, XI.

**FIRST AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI–VIII; **Jurisdiction**, 1.

**FORUM SELECTION.** See **Venue**.

**FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, V, XII.

**FOURTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, XIV.

**FREEDOM OF ASSOCIATION.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

**FREEDOM OF SPEECH.** See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

**FUNDRAISERS.** See **Constitutional Law**, VIII, 2.

**GOVERNMENT CONTRACTORS.** See **Constitutional Law**, XVI, 3.

**GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES.** See **Federal Tort Claims Act**; **Social Security Act**.

**GRAND JURIES.** See **Constitutional Law**, IX; **Criminal Law**, 2.

**HARMLESS-ERROR DOCTRINE.** See **Constitutional Law**, III.

**ILLEGAL SEARCHES AND SEIZURES.** See **Constitutional Law**, XIV.

**IMMUNIZATION OF GOVERNMENT CONTRACTORS FROM LIABILITY.** See **Constitutional Law**, XVI, 3.

**IMPARTIAL JURIES.** See **Constitutional Law**, XII.

**INDEPENDENT COUNSEL.** See **Constitutional Law**, I, II; **Jurisdiction**, 4.

**INDICTMENTS.** See **Criminal Law**, 2; **Speedy Trial Act of 1974**.

**INTENTIONAL TORTS.** See **Federal Tort Claims Act**.

**INTERROGATION OF PRISONERS.** See **Constitutional Law**, XI.

**INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE.** See **Criminal Law**, 1.

**JURISDICTION.** See also **Appeals**; **Standing to Sue**.

1. *Federal courts—Unfair labor practice charge.*—In an action by bargaining-unit employees, who were not members of petitioner union,

**JURISDICTION**—Continued.

alleging that union's use of their agency fees, which were paid in lieu of union dues, for purposes other than collective-bargaining activities violated duty of fair representation, employees' First Amendment rights, and National Labor Relations Act provision permitting a collective-bargaining agreement to require all employees in bargaining unit to pay union dues whether or not they are union members, courts below had jurisdiction over former two claims; although National Labor Relations Board had primary jurisdiction over third claim, courts were not precluded from deciding its merits insofar as such a decision was necessary to disposition of fair representation claim. *Communications Workers v. Beck*, p. 735.

2. *Federal district courts—Medicaid reimbursement claim.*—Federal district courts, rather than Claims Court, have jurisdiction to review a final Department of Health and Human Services order refusing to reimburse a State for a category of expenditures under its Medicaid program; Court of Appeals erred in not affirming District Court's judgments in their entirety. *Bowen v. Massachusetts*, p. 879.

3. *Federal district courts—Nonparty witness—Defense against civil contempt adjudication.*—A nonparty witness may defend against a civil contempt adjudication for refusing to comply with subpoenas by challenging a district court's subject-matter jurisdiction and is not limited to contention that court lacked even colorable jurisdiction to hear suit. *United States Catholic Conference v. Abortion Rights Mobilization, Inc.*, p. 72.

4. *Supreme Court—Failure to object to consideration of claims' merits.*—On an appeal from a contempt judgment, Supreme Court declines to hear appellant's claim that Court of Appeals lacked authority to consider appellees' constitutional claims, where appellant had failed to object to District Court's consideration of those claims' merits. *Morrison v. Olson*, p. 654.

**JURY INSTRUCTIONS.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.

**JURY SELECTION.** See **Constitutional Law**, XII.

**JURY TRIALS.** See **Constitutional Law**, XII.

**JUVENILES CONVICTED OF CAPITAL CRIMES.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1.

**LAWYERS.** See **Constitutional Law**, X.

**LICENSING OF CHARITABLE FUNDRAISERS.** See **Constitutional Law**, VIII, 2.

**MEDICAID.** See **Jurisdiction**, 2.

**MILITARY EQUIPMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, XVI, 3.

- MINORS CONVICTED OF CAPITAL CRIMES.** See Constitutional Law, IV, 1.
- MISCONDUCT BY PROSECUTOR.** See Criminal Law, 2.
- MITIGATING EVIDENCE AT CAPITAL SENTENCING HEARING.** See Constitutional Law, IV, 2.
- MOOTNESS.** See Constitutional Law, V, 1.
- MURDER.** See Constitutional Law, IV, XII.
- NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD.** See Jurisdiction, 1.
- NEGLIGENCE BY GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES.** See Federal Tort Claims Act.
- NEW YORK CITY.** See Constitutional Law, V, 2; VII.
- NONPARTY WITNESSES.** See Jurisdiction, 3.
- NORTH CAROLINA.** See Constitutional Law, VIII, 2.
- NORTH DAKOTA.** See Constitutional Law, V, 1.
- NOTICE-OF-CLAIM STATUTES.** See Constitutional Law, XVI, 1.
- NOTICES OF APPEAL.** See Appeals.
- NUCLEAR POWERPLANTS.** See Constitutional Law, XVI, 2.
- PARTIES.** See Appeals, 1.
- PENSION PLANS.** See Civil Rights Act of 1964, 1.
- PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES TO PROSPECTIVE JURORS.** See Constitutional Law, XII.
- PHYSICIANS TREATING PRISON INMATES AS ACTING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW.** See Civil Rights Act of 1871.
- PICKETING BANS.** See Constitutional Law, VIII, 1.
- POSTINDICTMENT QUESTIONING.** See Constitutional Law, XI.
- POWERPLANTS.** See Constitutional Law, XVI, 2.
- PRE-EMPTION OF STATE LAW BY FEDERAL LAW.** See Constitutional Law, XVI.
- PREGNANCY.** See Constitutional Law, VI.
- PRISONERS.** See Appeals, 2; Civil Rights Act of 1871.
- PRIVACY RIGHTS.** See Constitutional Law, VIII, 1.
- PRIVATE CLUBS.** See Constitutional Law, V, 2; VII; Standing to Sue.

- PRIVILEGE AGAINST SELF-INCRIMINATION.** See Constitutional Law, IX.
- PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES CLAUSE.** See Constitutional Law, X.
- PROCUREMENT OF EQUIPMENT BY UNITED STATES.** See Constitutional Law, XVI, 3.
- PRODUCTION OF CORPORATE RECORDS.** See Constitutional Law, IX, 1.
- PROFESSIONAL FUNDRAISERS.** See Constitutional Law, VIII, 2.
- PROMOTIONS.** See Civil Rights Act of 1964, 2.
- PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT.** See Criminal Law, 2.
- PRUDENCE INQUIRY BY STATE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION.** See Constitutional Law, XVI, 2.
- PUBLIC UTILITIES.** See Constitutional Law, XVI, 2.
- RACIAL DISCRIMINATION.** See Civil Rights Act of 1964, 2.
- RECORDS PRODUCTION.** See Constitutional Law, IX, 1.
- REIMBURSEMENT FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES.** See Equal Access to Justice Act.
- RELIGIOUS CORPORATIONS.** See Constitutional Law, V, 2.
- REMOVAL OF PROSPECTIVE JURORS.** See Constitutional Law, XII.
- RESIDENCY REQUIREMENT FOR ADMISSION TO STATE BAR.** See Constitutional Law, X.
- RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY PRIVACY.** See Constitutional Law, VIII, 1.
- RETROACTIVITY OF SUPREME COURT'S JUDGMENTS.** See Civil Rights Act of 1964, 1.
- RIGHT TO COUNSEL.** See Constitutional Law, XI.
- RIGHT TO IMPARTIAL JURY.** See Constitutional Law, XII.
- RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL.** See Constitutional Law, XIII.
- RIGHT TO PRIVACY.** See Constitutional Law, VIII, 1.
- RIGHT TO SUE.** See Standing to Sue.
- SCHOOLS.** See Constitutional Law, V, 1.
- SCREENING WITNESSES' VIEW OF ACCUSED.** See Constitutional Law, III.

**SEARCHES AND SEIZURES.** See *Constitutional Law*, XIV.

**SECTION 1983.** See *Civil Rights Act of 1871*; *Constitutional Law*, XVI, 1.

**SEPARATION OF POWERS.** See *Constitutional Law*, XV.

**SERVITUDE.** See *Criminal Law*, 1.

**SEVENTH AMENDMENT.** See *Constitutional Law*, XIII.

**SEX DISCRIMINATION.** See *Civil Rights Act of 1964*, 1.

**SEXUAL ABUSE OF MINORS.** See *Constitutional Law*, III.

**SIXTH AMENDMENT.** See *Constitutional Law*, III, XI, XII.

**SOCIAL SECURITY ACT.** See also *Jurisdiction*, 2.

*Denial of disability benefits—Constitutional tort.*—Improper denial of Social Security disability benefits, allegedly resulting from due process violations by government officials who administered Federal Social Security program, cannot give rise to a *Bivens* cause of action for money damages against those officials. *Schweiker v. Chilicky*, p. 412.

**SOLICITATION OF CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS.** See *Constitutional Law*, VIII, 2.

**SPECIAL DIVISION.** See *Constitutional Law*, I, II.

**“SPECIAL FACTOR” UNDER EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE ACT.**  
See *Equal Access to Justice Act*.

**SPEEDY TRIAL ACT OF 1974.**

*District courts—Dismissal of indictments—Abuse of discretion.*—Act—which requires that an indictment be dismissed if defendant is not brought to trial within a 70-day period—establishes framework which guides district court determinations whether to dismiss with or without prejudice and appellate review of such determinations; analyzing record within such framework, District Court abused its discretion in deciding to bar respondent's reprosecution and Court of Appeals erred in holding otherwise. *United States v. Taylor*, p. 326.

**STANDARD OF REVIEW.** See *Equal Access to Justice Act*.

**STANDING TO SUE.**

*Consortium of private clubs and associations.*—A nonprofit association consisting of a consortium of private clubs and associations has standing to challenge, on behalf of its members, constitutionality of a New York City law forbidding discrimination by certain private clubs, since those members would otherwise have standing to sue in their own right. *New York State Club Assn., Inc. v. New York City*, p. 1.

**STATE ACTION.** See *Civil Rights Act of 1871*.

- STATE BAR ADMISSIONS.** See Constitutional Law, X.
- SUBJECTIVE EMPLOYMENT SELECTION PROCESSES.** See Civil Rights Act of 1964, 2.
- SUBJECT-MATTER JURISDICTION.** See Jurisdiction, 3.
- SUBPOENAS.** See Constitutional Law, IX, 1; Jurisdiction, 3.
- "SUBSTANTIALLY JUSTIFIED" UNDER EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE ACT.** See Equal Access to Justice Act.
- SUPPRESSION OF EVIDENCE.** See Constitutional Law, XIV.
- SUPREMACY CLAUSE.** See Constitutional Law, XVI.
- SUPREME COURT.** See Civil Rights Act of 1964, 1; Jurisdiction, 4.
- THIRTEENTH AMENDMENT.** See Criminal Law, 1.
- TIMELINESS OF APPEAL.** See Appeals, 2.
- TITLE VII OF CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964.** See Civil Rights Act of 1964.
- TORTS.** See Constitutional Law, XVI, 3; Federal Tort Claims Act; Social Security Act.
- TRANSFER OF CIVIL ACTIONS.** See Venue.
- TRIAL BY JURY.** See Constitutional Law, XIII.
- UNFAIR LABOR PRACTICE CHARGES.** See Jurisdiction, 1.
- UNIONS.** See Jurisdiction, 1.
- UNIQUELY FEDERAL INTERESTS.** See Constitutional Law, XVI, 3.
- UTILITIES.** See Constitutional Law, XVI, 2.
- VENUE.**  
*Diversity jurisdiction—Contractual forum-selection clause—Application of state or federal law.*—In a diversity action, 28 U. S. C. § 1404(a)—under which motions to transfer civil actions are adjudicated—rather than state law governs decision whether to give effect to parties' contractual forum-selection clause and transfer case according to terms of agreement. *Stewart Organization, Inc. v. Ricoh Corp.*, p. 22.
- VIRGINIA.** See Constitutional Law, X.
- WISCONSIN.** See Constitutional Law, XVI, 1.
- WITNESSES.** See Constitutional Law, III; Jurisdiction, 3.

**WORDS AND PHRASES.**

1. "*Involuntary servitude.*" 18 U. S. C. §§ 241, 1584. United States v. Kozminski, p. 931.

2. "*Special factor.*" Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U. S. C. § 2412(d)(2)(A)(ii). Pierce v. Underwood, p. 552.


3. "*Substantially justified.*" Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U. S. C. § 2412(d)(1)(A). Pierce v. Underwood, p. 552.























A00007896