

## INDEX

---

**ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE.** See **Constitutional Law**, II; XI, 1; XII, 2; **Federal Rules of Evidence**.

**ADOLESCENT FAMILY LIFE ACT.** See **Stays**, 1.

**AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES.** See **Constitutional Law**, XI, 1; XII, 2.

**AID TO FAMILIES WITH DEPENDENT CHILDREN.** See **Constitutional Law**, IX, 1; XVIII.

**ALCOHOL AND DRUG USE BY JURORS.** See **Criminal Law**, 1.

**AMATEUR SPORTS ACT.**

*Use of word "Olympic"—Trademark remedies and defenses.*—In enacting § 110 of Amateur Sports Act, Congress intended to give United States Olympic Committee exclusive use of word "Olympic" without regard to whether unauthorized use of word tends to cause confusion; § 110 incorporates trademark remedies but not trademark defenses available under Lanham Act. *San Francisco Arts & Athletics, Inc. v. United States Olympic Committee*, p. 522.

**ARKANSAS.** See **Constitutional Law**, XIII.

**ARMED FORCES.** See also **Jurisdiction**, 1.

*Bivens actions—Suits by enlisted personnel—Immunity from suit.*—*Chappell v. Wallace*, 462 U. S. 296, disallows an action under *Bivens* doctrine whenever serviceman's injury arises out of activity "incident to service" and is not limited to cases where claimed wrongs involve direct orders in performance of military duty. *United States v. Stanley*, p. 669.

**ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL.** See **Constitutional Law**, XII.

**ATTORNEY'S FEES.**

*Clean Air Act—Enhancement of fee award—Contingency of success.*—In a case seeking an award of attorney's fees under Clean Air Act, Court of Appeals' judgment affirming District Court's enhancement of fee award for contingency of success, is reversed. *Pennsylvania v. Delaware Valley Citizens' Council for Clean Air*, p. 711.

**BIVENS ACTIONS.** See **Armed Forces**.

**BURDEN OF PROOF.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

**CAPITAL SENTENCES.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.

**CERTIORARI.**

*Improvident grant—Premature question.*—Where Supreme Court's review of question on which it granted certiorari—whether respondent retained an expectation of privacy in bag that he placed in communal trash bin in which police during warrantless search found incriminating evidence and which was basis for warrant to search respondent's apartment—would be premature in that question was never subject of state-court judgment and was never properly presented, certiorari was dismissed as improvidently granted. *California v. Rooney*, p. 307.

**CHARGES TO JURY.** See *Criminal Law*, 2.

**CIVIL ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS UNDER RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS ACT.** See *Statutes of Limitations*.

**CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964.** See *Constitutional Law*, VII.

**CLAYTON ACT.** See *Statutes of Limitations*.

**CLEAN AIR ACT.** See *Attorney's Fees*.

**CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE STANDARD.** See *Constitutional Law*, IV.

**CO-CONSPIRATORS' OUT-OF-COURT STATEMENTS AS ADMISSIBLE IN EVIDENCE.** See *Constitutional Law*, II; *Federal Rules of Evidence*.

**COMMERCE CLAUSE.** See *Constitutional Law*, I.

**COMMERCIAL SPEECH.** See *Constitutional Law*, X, 2.

**COMMUNAL TRASH BINS AS SUBJECT TO WARRANTLESS SEARCH.** See *Certiorari*.

**COMPULSORY PROCESS CLAUSE.** See *Constitutional Law*, XIII.

**CONFLICT OF INTEREST.** See *Constitutional Law*, XII, 1.

**CONFRONTATION OF WITNESSES.** See *Constitutional Law*, II.

**CONGRESSIONAL SPENDING POWER.** See *Constitutional Law*, XVI.

**CONSPIRACIES.**

*Conspiracy to defraud United States—Federally financed electric cooperative.*—To extent that evidence established a conspiracy by petitioners to defraud a corporation owned and operated by rural electric distribution cooperatives, petitioner did not violate 18 U. S. C. § 371, which prohibits conspiracies to defraud "the United States, or any agency thereof," notwithstanding fact that corporation received federal financial assistance and was subject of federal supervision; but to extent that evidence established

**CONSPIRACIES**—Continued.

that petitioners conspired to cause corporation to make misrepresentations to Rural Electrification Administration, a credit agency of Department of Agriculture, petitioners' § 371 convictions may stand. *Tanner v. United States*, p. 107.

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.** See also **Armed Forces; Certiorari; Criminal Law, 1; Jurisdiction, 1.**

**I. Commerce Clause.**

1. *State manufacturing tax.*—State of Washington's manufacturing tax that is assessed only on those products manufactured within State that are sold to out-of-state purchasers discriminates against interstate commerce in violation of Commerce Clause. *Tyler Pipe Industries, Inc. v. Washington State Dept. of Revenue*, p. 232.

2. *State taxes on trucks.*—Pennsylvania lump-sum annual taxes on operation of trucks on State's highways, consisting of a flat fee for identification markers from which Pennsylvania-registered trucks are exempt and an axle tax on trucks over a specified weight that is offset by a reduction in registration fees for Pennsylvania trucks, are unconstitutional because methods by which they are assessed discriminate against interstate commerce in a way that contradicts Commerce Clause's central purpose of guaranteeing a free trade area among States. *American Trucking Assns., Inc. v. Scheiner*, p. 266.

**II. Confrontation of Witnesses.**

*Co-conspirator's out-of-court statements.*—Where co-conspirator's tape-recorded telephone statements to informant were admissible in evidence against petitioner under Federal Rule of Evidence 801(d)(2)(E), admission of statements did not violate petitioner's rights under Confrontation Clause of Sixth Amendment; a showing of declarant's unavailability is not required where hearsay statement is a co-conspirator's out-of-court declaration nor is an independent inquiry into reliability required where evidence falls within a firmly rooted hearsay exception, such as co-conspirator exception. *Bourjaily v. United States*, p. 171.

**III. Double Jeopardy.**

*Murder prosecution—Breach of plea agreement.*—Double Jeopardy Clause did not bar prosecution of respondent for first-degree murder following his breach of a plea agreement under which he had pleaded guilty to second-degree murder and had been sentenced and imprisoned. *Ricketts v. Adamson*, p. 1.

**IV. Due Process.**

*Preponderance of evidence standard—Paternity determinations.*—Under Fourteenth Amendment, Pennsylvania's preponderance of evidence

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**

standard is appropriate burden of proof for determining paternity rather than clear and convincing standard required in parental rights termination cases. *Rivera v. Minnich*, p. 574.

**V. Eighth Amendment.**

*Mandatory death sentence—Prisoner convicted of murder.*—Nevada statute that mandates death penalty for prison inmate convicted of murder while serving life sentence without possibility of parole, under which respondent was sentenced to death for murdering a fellow prisoner, violates Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments. *Sumner v. Shuman*, p. 66.

**VI. Eminent Domain.**

*Land-use permit requirement—Public purpose.*—Conditioning issuance of rebuilding permit on granting a public-access easement would be a lawful land-use regulation if it substantially furthered governmental purposes that would justify denial of permit; imposition of access-easement condition, permitting public to cross petitioner's property from one public beach to another, is not an exercise of land-use regulation power because condition does not serve public purpose related to permit requirement. *Nollan v. California Coastal Comm'n*, p. 825.

**VII. Establishment of Religion.**

*Religious organizations—Employment discrimination based on religion—Exemption from prohibition.*—Applying § 702 of Civil Rights Act of 1964—which exempts religious organizations from Act's prohibition of religious discrimination in employment—to religious organizations' secular activities does not violate Establishment Clause; thus, § 702 could be applied to shield religious organizations affiliated with Mormon Church from liability for discharging an employee from a nonprofit facility run by those organizations because he was not a member of Church and eligible to attend its temples. *Corporation of Presiding Bishop of Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints v. Amos*, p. 327.

**VIII. Extradition.**

*Federal court's authority.*—Holding of *Kentucky v. Dennison*, 24 How. 66, that federal courts have no power to order a State Governor to fulfill State's obligation under Extradition Clause to deliver up fugitives from justice can stand no longer; thus, it need not be determined what applicability that Clause, which refers only to "States," may have to Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, which may now predicate on Extradition Act without regard to Clause's direct applicability its mandamus action in Federal District Court to compel Iowa to deliver a fugitive who fled there after being released on bail following his arraignment on felony charges in Puerto Rico. *Puerto Rico v. Branstad*, p. 219.

## CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

## IX. Fifth Amendment.

1. *Deficit Reduction Act of 1984—Aid to Families with Dependent Children—Filing unit—Rational-basis standard.*—Deficit Reduction Act of 1984 provision amending Aid to Families with Dependent Children program to require that families include in their filing unit all children living in same home, including those for whom support payments are being received, does not violate Fifth Amendment due process and equal protection principles; appropriate standard of review is whether Congress had a “rational basis” for its decision to amend program. *Bowen v. Gilliard*, p. 587.

2. *Governmental actor—United States Olympic Committee.*—United States Olympic Committee is not a governmental actor to which Fifth Amendment applies. *San Francisco Arts & Athletics, Inc. v. United States Olympic Committee*, p. 522.

## X. Freedom of Speech.

1. *Discharge of employee.*—Discharge of respondent from her job as a clerical employee in a county constable’s office for remarking, after hearing of an attempt on President’s life, “If they go for him again, I hope they get him,” violated her First Amendment right to freedom of expression. *Rankin v. McPherson*, p. 378.

2. *Use of word “Olympic”—Trademark—Commercial speech.*—Congressional grant to United States Olympic Committee of limited property right in word “Olympic” falls within scope of trademark law protection and, thus, within bounds of First Amendment; exclusive use of word “Olympic” could be granted without requiring that authorized user prove unauthorized use is likely to cause confusion, even where unauthorized user claims an expressive rather than purely commercial purpose. *San Francisco Arts & Athletics, Inc. v. United States Olympic Committee*, p. 522.

## XI. Privilege Against Self-Incrimination.

1. *Murder trial—Admission of psychiatric report—Rebuttal of defendant’s evidence.*—Admission in evidence at petitioner’s murder trial of psychiatric report proffered by prosecution to rebut petitioner’s psychological evidence presented as affirmative mental-status defense did not violate petitioner’s Fifth Amendment rights where his counsel had requested examination; under these circumstances, prosecution could rebut petitioner’s evidence without implicating his privilege against self-incrimination. *Buchanan v. Kentucky*, p. 402.

2. *Murder trial—Postarrest silence—Single question by prosecutor.*—Prosecutor’s single question concerning respondent’s postarrest silence, when followed by an immediate objection and jury instructions to disregard questions to which objections had been sustained, did not violate respondent’s right to remain silent after arrest guaranteed by Due Process

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**

Clause of Fourteenth Amendment; prosecutor's misconduct in attempting to use postarrest silence to impeach respondent did not so infect trial with unfairness so as to make resulting conviction a denial of due process. *Greer v. Miller*, p. 756.

**XII. Right to Counsel.**

1. *Conflict of interest—Ineffective assistance of counsel—Failure to present mitigating circumstances.*—Appointment of partners to represent petitioner and coindictee in separate trials did not so infect petitioner's attorney's representation as to constitute a conflict of interest violative of petitioner's right to counsel under Sixth Amendment; attorney's decision not to develop and present mitigating evidence at either of two state-court death sentencing hearings was supported by reasonable professional judgment. *Burger v. Kemp*, p. 776.

2. *Murder trial—Admission of psychiatric report—Rebuttal of defendant's evidence.*—Admission in evidence at petitioner's murder trial of psychiatric report proffered by prosecution to rebut petitioner's psychological evidence presented as affirmative mental-status defense did not deny petitioner his Sixth Amendment right to effective assistance of counsel. *Buchanan v. Kentucky*, p. 402.

**XIII. Right to Testify.**

*Exclusion of hypnotically refreshed testimony.*—Arkansas' rule prohibiting admission of hypnotically refreshed testimony violated petitioner's right under Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment, Compulsory Process Clause of Sixth Amendment, and Fifth Amendment's privilege against self-incrimination to testify on her own behalf as a defendant at her manslaughter trial. *Rock v. Arkansas*, p. 44.

**XIV. Right to Trial by Impartial Jury.**

*Murder trial—"Death qualification" of jury.*—In joint murder trial in which death penalty was sought only against petitioner's codefendant, petitioner was not deprived of his Sixth Amendment right to an impartial jury, representative of a fair cross section of community, because prosecution was permitted to "death qualify" jury. *Buchanan v. Kentucky*, p. 402.

**XV. Searches and Seizures.**

1. *Summary judgment—Qualified immunity—Warrantless searches—Third parties' home.*—FBI agent who participated in warrantless search is entitled to summary judgment on qualified immunity grounds if he can establish as a matter of law that a reasonable officer could have believed that search comported with Fourth Amendment requirement that persons be protected from unreasonable searches and seizures even though search actually did not; there is no exception from principle of qualified immunity in

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**

cases involving allegedly unlawful warrantless searches of innocent third parties' home in search of fugitives. *Anderson v. Creighton*, p. 635.

2. *Warrantless searches—Probationer's home.*—Warrantless search of petitioner's residence, pursuant to Wisconsin regulation authorizing a probation officer to conduct a warrantless search of a probationer's home when his supervisor approves and there are "reasonable grounds" to believe that contraband is present, was reasonable within meaning of Fourth Amendment because regulation is a reasonable response to "special needs" of probation system. *Griffin v. Wisconsin*, p. 868.

**XVI. Spending Power.**

*Highway funds—Withholding from State based on permissible drinking age.*—Even if Congress, in view of Twenty-First Amendment, might lack power to impose directly a national minimum drinking age, indirect encouragement of state action to obtain uniformity in States' drinking ages, pursuant to 23 U. S. C. § 158 (1982 ed., Supp. III)—which directs Secretary of Transportation to withhold a percentage of otherwise allocable federal highway funds from States in which purchase or public possession of any alcoholic beverage by a person less than 21 years of age is lawful—was a valid use of spending power; thus, South Dakota, which by statute permits persons 19 or older to purchase beer, was not entitled to declaratory judgment that § 158 violates limitations on congressional exercise of spending power and Twenty-First Amendment. *South Dakota v. Dole*, p. 203.

**XVII. State's Immunity from Suit.**

*Jones Act—Consent to suit.*—Court of Appeals' judgment affirming District Court's dismissal of employee's personal injury action against Texas Highway Department on grounds that (1) Congress failed to include in Jones Act an unmistakably clear expression of its intention to abrogate States' Eleventh Amendment immunity from suit in federal court, and (2) Texas had not consented to being sued under Jones Act, is affirmed. *Welch v. Texas Dept. of Highways and Public Transportation*, p. 468.

**XVIII. Taking of Property.**

*Aid to Families with Dependent Children program—Filing unit.*—Deficit Reduction Act of 1984 amendment to Aid to Families with Dependent Children program requiring that filing unit include children for whom support payments are being received does not violate Takings Clause of Fifth Amendment. *Bowen v. Gilliard*, p. 587.

**CONTINGENT FEE.** See **Attorney's Fees.**

**COURTS—MARTIAL.** See **Jurisdiction, 1.**

**COURTS OF APPEALS.** See **Jurisdiction, 2.**

**CRIMINAL LAW.** See also *Conspiracies*; *Constitutional Law*, II; III; V; VIII; XI-XV; *Federal Rules of Evidence*.

1. *Juror alcohol and drug use—Right to hearing.*—District Court did not err in refusing to hold an evidentiary hearing at which jurors would testify on juror alcohol and drug use during petitioners' criminal trial; such testimony was barred by Federal Rule of Evidence 606(b), and a hearing was not required under petitioners' Sixth Amendment right to trial by a competent and unimpaired jury. *Tanner v. United States*, p. 107.

2. *Mail fraud—Improper jury charge.*—In prosecution of petitioners for allegedly violating mail fraud statute, 18 U. S. C. § 1341, by devising a scheme to defraud Kentucky's citizens and government of their "intangible right" to have Kentucky's affairs conducted honestly, trial court's charge to jury that such a scheme could be made out in either of two specified ways permitted a conviction for conduct not within reach of § 1341, which is limited to protection of money and property rights and does not extend to citizenry's intangible right to good government. *McNally v. United States*, p. 350.

**"DEATH QUALIFICATION" OF JURY.** See *Constitutional Law*, XIV.

**DEATH SENTENCES.** See *Constitutional Law*, V; XII, 1.

**DEDUCTIONS FROM TAXABLE INCOME.** See *Internal Revenue Code*.

**DEFICIT REDUCTION ACT OF 1984 (DEFRA).** See *Constitutional Law*, IX, 1; XVIII.

**DISCHARGE OF EMPLOYEES.** See *Constitutional Law*, VII; X, 1.

**DISMISSAL OF CERTIORARI.** See *Certiorari*.

**DOUBLE JEOPARDY.** See *Constitutional Law*, III.

**DRINKING AGE.** See *Constitutional Law*, XVI.

**DUE PROCESS.** See *Constitutional Law*, IV; IX, 1; XI; XIII.

**EASEMENT.** See *Constitutional Law*, VI.

**EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL.** See *Constitutional Law*, XII.

**EIGHTH AMENDMENT.** See *Constitutional Law*, V.

**ELECTRIC COOPERATIVES.** See *Conspiracies*.

**ELEVENTH AMENDMENT.** See *Constitutional Law*, XVII.

**EMINENT DOMAIN.** See *Constitutional Law*, VI.

**EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES.** See *Constitutional Law*, VII; X, 1.

**EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION.** See Constitutional Law, VII.

**EQUAL PROTECTION.** See Constitutional Law, IX, 1.

**ESTABLISHMENT OF RELIGION.** See Constitutional Law, VII.

**EVIDENCE.** See Constitutional Law, II; XI, 1; XII, 2; XIII; Federal Rules of Evidence.

**EXEMPTION OF RELIGIOUS ORGANIZATIONS FROM PROHIBITED EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION.** See Constitutional Law, VII.

**EXPRESSIVE SPEECH.** See Constitutional Law, X, 2.

**EXTRADITIONS.** See Constitutional Law, VIII.

**FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT.**

*"Hot goods"—Prohibition against shipment—Application to secured creditors.*—Section 15(a)(1) of Act, which prohibits "any person" from introducing into interstate commerce goods produced in violation of Act's minimum wage and overtime pay provisions ("hot goods"), applies to holders of collateral obtained pursuant to a security agreement and thus applied to petitioner who had a security interest in clothing manufacturer's inventory pursuant to a financing agreement and when manufacturer began to fail financially took possession of inventory, part of which had been manufactured during period when manufacturer's employees were not paid. *Citicorp Industrial Credit, Inc. v. Brock*, p. 27.

**FEDERAL COURTS' AUTHORITY TO ORDER EXTRADITION.** See Constitutional Law, VIII.

**FEDERAL HIGHWAY FUNDS.** See Constitutional Law, XVI.

**FEDERAL INCOME TAXES.** See Internal Revenue Code.

**FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE.** See also Constitutional Law, II; Criminal Law, 1.

*Co-conspirator's out-of-court statements—Admissibility.*—Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 801(d)(2)(E), which provides that a statement is not hearsay if it is made "by a co-conspirator of a party during the course and in furtherance of the conspiracy," a co-conspirator's tape-recorded telephone statements to an informant were properly admitted in evidence against petitioner during his trial on charge, *inter alia*, of conspiring to distribute cocaine. *Bourjaily v. United States*, p. 171.

**FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS.** See Constitutional Law, VIII; XVI.

**FIFTH AMENDMENT.** See Constitutional Law, IX; XI, 1; XIII; XVIII.

**FIRST AMENDMENT.** See Constitutional Law, VII; X.

**FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT.** See Constitutional Law, IV; V; XI, 2; XIII.

**FOURTH AMENDMENT.** See Certiorari; Constitutional Law, XV.

**FRAUD AGAINST THE UNITED STATES.** See Conspiracies.

**FREEDOM OF SPEECH.** See Constitutional Law, X.

**GOODS PRODUCED IN VIOLATION OF FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT.** See Fair Labor Standards Act.

**HIGHWAY FUNDS.** See Constitutional Law, XVI.

**"HOT GOODS."** See Fair Labor Standards Act.

**HYPNOTICALLY REFRESHED TESTIMONY.** See Constitutional Law, XIII.

**IMMUNITY FROM SUIT.** See Constitutional Law, XV, 1; XVII.

**IMPROVIDENT GRANTS OF CERTIORARI.** See Certiorari.

**INCOME TAXES.** See Internal Revenue Code.

**INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL.** See Constitutional Law, XII.

**INJUNCTION.**

*Escrow fund.*—Application for an injunction requiring respondent to establish an escrow fund in which challenged tax payments are to be placed pending final decision on constitutionality of tax, is granted. American Trucking Assns., Inc. v. Gray (BRENNAN, J., in chambers), p. 1306.

**INSTRUCTIONS TO JURY.** See Criminal Law, 2.

**INTERNAL REVENUE CODE.**

*Surrender of shares to corporation—Loss deduction from taxable income.*—Respondent dominant shareholders, who voluntarily surrendered a portion of their shares to corporation for which they received no consideration but who retained control of corporation, did not sustain an immediate loss entitling them to deduct from taxable income their basis in surrendered shares; rather, a surrendering shareholder must reallocate his basis in surrendered shares to shares he retains. Commissioner v. Fink, p. 89.

**INTERSTATE COMMERCE.** See Constitutional Law, I; Fair Labor Standards Act.

**IOWA.** See Constitutional Law, VIII.

**JONES ACT.** See Constitutional Law, XVII.

**JUDICIAL REVIEW.** See Certiorari.

**JURIES.** See Criminal Law.

**JURISDICTION.**

1. *Courts-martial—Status as member of Armed Forces.*—Art. I, § 8, cl. 14, conditions proper exercise of court-martial jurisdiction solely on accused's status as a member of Armed Forces, not on "service connection" of offense charged. *Solorio v. United States*, p. 435.

2. *Interlocutory appeal—Reinstatement of previously dismissed claim.*—Court of Appeals jurisdiction in interlocutory appeal is limited to order appealed from and does not permit court to reinstate claim dismissed in a different order. *United States v. Stanley*, p. 669.

**JUROR ALCOHOL AND DRUG USE DURING TRIAL.** See **Criminal Law**, 1.

**JURY INSTRUCTIONS.** See **Criminal Law**, 2.

**KENTUCKY.** See **Criminal Law**, 2.

**LAND-USE REGULATION.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

**LANHAM ACT.** See **Amateur Sports Act**.

**LIMITATION OF ACTIONS.** See **Statutes of Limitations**.

**LOSS DEDUCTIONS FROM TAXABLE INCOME.** See **Internal Revenue Code**.

**MAIL FRAUD.** See **Criminal Law**, 2.

**MANDATORY DEATH SENTENCES FOR PRISONERS CONVICTED OF MURDER.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.

**MANSLAUGHTER.** See **Constitutional Law**, XIII.

**MANUFACTURING TAXES.** See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

**MENTAL-STATUS DEFENSES.** See **Constitutional Law**, XI, 1; XII, 2.

**MILITARY PERSONNEL.** See **Armed Forces; Jurisdiction**, 1.

**MINIMUM DRINKING AGES.** See **Constitutional Law**, XVI.

**MINIMUM WAGE PROVISIONS OF FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT.** See **Fair Labor Standards Act**.

**MURDER.** See **Constitutional Law**, III; V; XI; XII, 2; XIV.

**NEVADA.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.

**"OLYMPIC".** See **Amateur Sports Act; Constitutional Law**, X, 2.

**OVERTIME PAY PROVISIONS OF FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT.** See **Fair Labor Standards Act**.

**PATERNITY.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

**PENNSYLVANIA.** See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2; IV.

- PLEA AGREEMENTS. See Constitutional Law, III.
- POSTARREST SILENCE. See Constitutional Law, XI, 2.
- PREPONDERANCE OF EVIDENCE STANDARD. See Constitutional Law, IV.
- PRISONERS. See Constitutional Law, V.
- PRIVILEGE AGAINST SELF-INCRIMINATION. See Constitutional Law, XI; XIII.
- PROBATIONERS. See Constitutional Law, XV, 2.
- PROCEDURE. See Certiorari.
- PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT. See Constitutional Law, XI, 2.
- PSYCHIATRIC EXAMINATIONS. See Constitutional Law, XI, 1; XII, 2.
- PUBLIC-ACCESS EASEMENT. See Constitutional Law, VI.
- PUERTO RICO. See Constitutional Law, VIII.
- RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS ACT CIVIL ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS. See Statutes of Limitations.
- RATIONAL-BASIS STANDARD OF REVIEW. See Constitutional Law, IX, 1.
- RELIGIOUS DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT. See Constitutional Law, VII.
- RIGHT OF CRIMINAL DEFENDANT TO TESTIFY. See Constitutional Law, XIII.
- RIGHT TO CONFRONT WITNESSES. See Constitutional Law, II.
- RIGHT TO COUNSEL. See Constitutional Law, XII.
- RIGHT TO FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION. See Constitutional Law, X, 1.
- RIGHT TO IMPARTIAL JURY. See Constitutional Law, XIV.
- RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL. See Criminal Law, 1.
- RIGHT TO REMAIN SILENT. See Constitutional Law, XI, 2.
- RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION. See Conspiracies.
- SALE OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES TO PERSONS UNDER 21. See Constitutional Law, XVI.

**SEARCHES AND SEIZURES.** See *Certiorari*; *Constitutional Law*, XV.

**SELF-INCRIMINATION.** See *Constitutional Law*, XI; XIII.

**SHAREHOLDERS.** See *Internal Revenue Code*.

**SIXTH AMENDMENT.** See *Constitutional Law*, II; XII-XIV; *Criminal Law*, 1.

**SOUTH DAKOTA.** See *Constitutional Law*, XVI.

**SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY.** See *Constitutional Law*, XVII.

**SPENDING POWER OF CONGRESS.** See *Constitutional Law*, XVI.

**STATE DRINKING AGES.** See *Constitutional Law*, XVI.

**STATE MANUFACTURING TAXES.** See *Constitutional Law*, I, 1.

**STATE TAXES ON OPERATION OF TRUCKS.** See *Constitutional Law*, I, 2.

#### **STATE WHOLESALE TAXES.**

*Jurisdiction to tax.*—Activity in State of Washington of sales representative for appellant out-of-state manufacturer supported State's jurisdiction to tax appellant's wholesale sales to in-state customers; showing of sufficient nexus could not be defeated by argument that sales representative was properly characterized as an independent contractor rather than an agent. *Tyler Pipe Industries, Inc. v. Washington State Dept. of Revenue*, p. 232.

#### **STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS.**

*RICO civil enforcement action*—*Clayton Act statute of limitations as applicable.*—Four-year statute of limitations applicable to Clayton Act civil enforcement action applied in respondent's Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act civil enforcement action against petitioners arising out of respondent's termination as an insurance agent; hence, where action was filed less than four years after respondent's termination, which was earliest time RICO action could have accrued, action was timely. *Agency Holding Corp. v. Malley-Duff & Associates, Inc.*, p. 143.

#### **STAYS.**

1. *Enforcement of Adolescent Family Life Act.*—Application to stay District Court's order enjoining enforcement of parts of Adolescent Family Life Act on constitutional grounds, is granted pending filing and disposition of a certiorari petition. *Bowen v. Kendrick* (REHNQUIST, C. J., in chambers), p. 1304.

2. *Perjury trial.*—Application to stay applicant's perjury trial pending disposition of petition for certiorari, is denied. *Deaver v. United States* (REHNQUIST, C. J., in chambers), p. 1301.

- SUMMARY JUDGMENT.** See Constitutional Law, XV, 1.
- SUPREME COURT.** See also Certiorari.
1. Retirement of JUSTICE POWELL, p. VII.
  2. Term Statistics, p. 1311.
- SURRENDER OF STOCK SHARES TO CORPORATION.** See Internal Revenue Code.
- TAKING OF PROPERTY.** See Constitutional Law, VI; XVIII.
- TAXES.** See Constitutional Law, I; Internal Revenue Code; State Wholesale Taxes.
- TEXAS.** See Constitutional Law, XVII.
- TRADEMARK.** See Amateur Sports Act; Constitutional Law, X, 2.
- TRASH BINS AS SUBJECT TO WARRANTLESS SEARCH.** See Certiorari.
- TRUCK TAXES.** See Constitutional Law, I, 2.
- TWENTY-FIRST AMENDMENT.** See Constitutional Law, XVI.
- UNITED STATES OLYMPIC COMMITTEE.** See Amateur Sports Act; Constitutional Law, IX, 2; X, 2.
- WARRANTLESS SEARCHES.** See Certiorari; Constitutional Law, XV.
- WASHINGTON STATE.** See Constitutional Law, I, 1; State Wholesale Taxes.
- WHOLESALE TAXES.** See State Wholesale Taxes.
- WISCONSIN.** See Constitutional Law, XV, 2.















