

RENAISSANCE ARCADE AND BOOKSTORE ET AL. *v.*  
COUNTY OF COOK ET AL.

ON APPLICATION FOR STAY

No. A-173. Decided September 5, 1985

An application to stay an Illinois county trial court's permanent injunction prohibiting petitioners from operating their adult bookstores in certain areas of the county—both the Appellate Court and the Supreme Court of Illinois having denied motions to stay the injunction pending appellate review—is denied.

JUSTICE STEVENS, Circuit Justice.

On March 8, 1985, the Circuit Court of Cook County entered a permanent injunction which prohibits petitioners from operating their adult bookstores in certain unincorporated areas of Cook County, Illinois.\* Petitioners' appeal from the injunction is currently pending in the Appellate Court of Illinois for the First Judicial District. On March 20, 1985, that court denied petitioners' motion for a stay of the injunction pending appellate review. On April 22, 1985, the Illinois Supreme Court likewise entered an order denying petitioners' motion to stay enforcement of the injunction pending review.

Petitioners filed an application for a stay with me in my capacity as Circuit Justice for the Seventh Circuit on August

---

\*The authority for the Circuit Court's injunction is a zoning ordinance adopted by the Cook County Board of Commissioners which restricts "adult uses" and defines one such use, an "adult book store," as:

"An establishment having as a substantial or significant portion of its stock in trade books, magazines and other periodicals which are distinguished or characterized by their emphasis on matter depicting, describing or relating to 'Specified Sexual Activities' or 'Specified Anatomical Areas,' or an establishment with a segment or section devoted to the sale or display of such material." County of Cook, Ill., Zoning Ordinance, § 14.2 (1976), reprinted in Application, Exh. A2.

In their application, petitioners represent that their stay request "only concerns itself with the rights of adult bookstores." *Id.*, ¶ 19.

1322

Opinion in Chambers

29, 1985. Because the application was not filed within 90 days of the Illinois Supreme Court's April 22, 1985, order, however, neither this Court nor a Justice thereof has the authority to treat the application as a petition for certiorari to review "the merits of petitioners' claim that the outstanding injunction will deprive them of rights protected by the First Amendment during the period of appellate review," *National Socialist Party v. Skokie*, 432 U. S. 43, 44 (1977) (*per curiam*). See 28 U. S. C. §2101(c). Moreover, because the application does not indicate that the appeal will become moot unless a stay is granted, it does not appear that an extraordinary writ may be issued pursuant to 28 U. S. C. §1651 in aid of this Court's appellate jurisdiction. Accordingly, the application is denied.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE NUMBER OF CASES FILED, DISPOSED OF, AND REMAINING ON  
DOCKETS AT CONCLUSION OF OCTOBER TERMS 1982, 1983, AND 1984

Terms	ORIGINAL			PAID			IN FORMA PAUPERIS			TOTALS		
	1982	1983	1984	1982	1983	1984	1982	1983	1984	1982	1983	1984
Number of cases on dockets	17	18	15	2,710	2,688	2,575	2,352	2,394	2,416	5,079	5,100	5,006
Number disposed of during terms	3	7	8	2,190	2,148	2,175	2,008	1,985	2,078	4,201	4,140	4,261
Number remaining on dockets	14	11	7	520	540	400	344	409	338	878	960	745
TERMS												
Cases argued during term												
Number disposed of by full opinions												
Number disposed of by per curiam opinions												
Number set for reargument												
Cases granted review this term												
Cases reviewed and decided without oral argument												
Total cases to be available for argument at outset of following term												
	183	174	6	3	183	135	113	184	174	6	4	175
	174	6	3	183	135	113	184	174	6	4	175	159
	6	3	183	135	113	184	174	6	4	175	159	11
	3	183	135	113	184	174	6	4	175	159	11	5
	183	135	113	184	174	6	4	175	159	11	5	185
	135	113	184	174	6	4	175	159	11	5	185	82
	113	184	174	6	4	175	159	11	5	185	82	87

JULY 2, 1985