

INDEX

ACCESS OF PUBLIC AND PRESS TO VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JURORS. See **Constitutional Law, I.**

ADMINISTRATIVE SUBPOENAS DUCES TECUM. See **Constitutional Law, II, 2.**

ADVISERS OF INVESTMENT COMPANIES. See **Investment Company Act of 1940.**

AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES WARRANTING DEATH SENTENCE. See **Habeas Corpus, 2.**

AIRLINES. See **Airport Development Acceleration Act of 1973.**

AIRPORT DEVELOPMENT ACCELERATION ACT OF 1973.

Airlines' gross income—Pre-emption of state tax.—Section 7(a) of Act, which proscribes state taxes on gross receipts derived from sale of air transportation, pre-empts Hawaii tax on annual gross income of airlines operating within State, even though state statute declared tax to be one on airlines' personal property, which would be permissible under § 7(a). *Aloha Airlines, Inc. v. Director of Taxation*, p. 7.

ALIENS. See **Collateral Estoppel, 2; Immigration and Nationality Act.**

AMENDED TAX RETURNS. See **Internal Revenue Code, 1.**

ARSON. See **Constitutional Law, II, 1; Criminal Law.**

ATOMIC ENERGY ACT OF 1954.

Injuries from nuclear contamination—Punitive damages—Pre-emption of state law.—An award of punitive damages in an action to recover under Oklahoma common-law tort principles for contamination injuries suffered by appellant's decedent while employed in a federally licensed nuclear plant operated by one of appellees was not pre-empted by federal law, particularly Atomic Energy Act or Price-Anderson Act. *Silkwood v. Kerr-McGee Corp.*, p. 238.

CAPITAL PUNISHMENT. See **Habeas Corpus, 2; Stays, 1-6.**

CASE OR CONTROVERSY. See **Jurisdiction.**

CHARACTER EVIDENCE INSTRUCTIONS. See **Procedure.**

CIVIL SERVICE REFORM ACT OF 1978.

Collective bargaining with agency—Employee union representative—Right to per diem and travel expenses.—Interpretation of § 7131(a) of Act by Federal Labor Relations Authority—so as to require federal agencies to pay per diem and travel expenses (in addition to salary) of a Government employee representing union in collective bargaining with agency—was improper. *Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms v. FLRA*, p. 89.

CLEAN AIR ACT. See *Collateral Estoppel*, 1.

COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT ACT. See also *Stays*, 7.

Sale of Outer Continental Shelf oil and gas leases—Activity “directly affecting” coastal zone.—Department of Interior’s sale of oil and gas leases located on Outer Continental Shelf beyond States’ coastal zones is not an activity “directly affecting the coastal zone” within meaning of § 307(c)(1) of Act, and thus a review by Department to determine whether proposed sale of leases would be consistent with state programs for management of coastal zone was not required. *Secretary of Interior v. California*, p. 312.

COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL.

1. *Construction of Clean Air Act—Estoppel against Government.*—Doctrine of mutual defensive collateral estoppel is applicable against United States to preclude relitigation of same issue already litigated against same party in another case involving virtually identical facts; thus Government was collaterally estopped from asserting that private contractors were “authorized representatives” under Clean Air Act for purposes of inspecting respondent company’s premises because of contrary decision in another case involving same parties. *United States v. Stauffer Chemical Co.*, p. 165.

2. *Naturalization of aliens—Constitutional issue—Estoppel against Government.*—United States may not be collaterally estopped on an issue adjudicated against it in an earlier lawsuit brought by a different party; thus Government was not collaterally estopped from litigating respondent Filipino national’s claim in naturalization proceedings that he had been denied due process by Government’s administration of Nationality Act of 1940 with regard to naturalization of noncitizens who had served in Armed Forces during World War II, on basis of an earlier unappealed District Court decision against Government in suit brought by other Filipino nationals. *United States v. Mendoza*, p. 154.

COLLECTIVE BARGAINING WITH GOVERNMENT AGENCY.

See *Civil Service Reform Act of 1978*.

COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN JUDGE AND JUROR. See *Habeas Corpus*, 1.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW. See also **Collateral Estoppel, 2; Habeas Corpus; Stays, 2.**

I. Right of Access to Criminal Trials.

Voir dire examination of jurors—Public proceedings.—Constitutional guarantees as to fair trial and open public proceedings in criminal trial cover proceedings for *voir dire* examination of potential jurors, and presumption of openness was not rebutted in instant case where state trial court denied petitioner's motion that *voir dire* in prosecution for rape and murder be open to public and press. *Press-Enterprise Co. v. Superior Court of Cal.*, p. 501.

II. Searches and Seizures.

1. *Fire at residence—Search by arson investigators.*—Where (1) five hours after firefighters extinguished fire at respondents' home and left premises, arson investigators entered house without respondents' consent or a search warrant, (2) search of basement produced evidence that fire had been set deliberately, (3) search of upper portions of house revealed additional evidence of arson, and (4) motion to suppress evidence was denied by state court prior to respondents' trial on arson charges, Michigan Court of Appeals' judgment reversing trial court's judgment was, in turn, reversed insofar as it excluded fuel can found in plain view by firefighters, but was affirmed insofar as it excluded rest of evidence as product of unconstitutional postfire search of premises. *Michigan v. Clifford*, p. 287.

2. *Subpoena duces tecum—Labor Department investigation.*—Where a Labor Department official, acting pursuant to authority under Fair Labor Standards Act to subpoena witnesses and documentary evidence relating to investigations of an employer's compliance with Act, entered appellee motel and restaurant and served an administrative subpoena *duces tecum* on one of appellee's employees, subpoena did not violate Fourth Amendment. *Donovan v. Lone Steer, Inc.*, p. 408.

"CONTINUOUS PHYSICAL PRESENCE" OF ALIEN. See **Immigration and Nationality Act.**

COPYRIGHTS.

Contributory infringement—Marketing of video tape recorders. Petitioners' marketing of video tape recorders to general public does not constitute contributory infringement of respondents' copyrighted works broadcast on public airwaves. *Sony Corp. v. Universal City Studios, Inc.*, p. 417.

CORPORATE SHAREHOLDERS' SUITS. See **Investment Company Act of 1940.**

COURTS OF APPEALS. See **Jurisdiction.**

CRIMINAL LAW. See also **Constitutional Law**, I; II, 1; **Habeas Corpus; Procedure; Stays**, 1-6.

Racketeering—Arson ring—Forfeiture of insurance proceeds.—Insurance proceeds received by petitioner, whose involvement in an arson ring resulted in his conviction under federal statutes relating to racketeering, constituted an illegally acquired "interest" within meaning of 18 U. S. C. § 1963(a)(1) and were therefore subject to forfeiture. *Russello v. United States*, p. 16.

DAMAGES. See **Atomic Energy Act of 1954**.

DEATH PENALTY. See **Habeas Corpus**, 2; **Stays**, 1-6.

DEPORTATION. See **Immigration and Nationality Act**.

DERIVATIVE ACTIONS BY CORPORATE SHAREHOLDERS. See **Investment Company Act of 1940**.

DISCRIMINATION BASED ON SEX. See **Jurisdiction**.

"DISPLACED PERSON." See **Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970**.

DUE PROCESS. See **Collateral Estoppel**, 2.

EIGHTH AMENDMENT. See **Habeas Corpus**, 2.

EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES. See **Civil Service Reform Act of 1978**.

ESTOPPEL. See **Collateral Estoppel**.

FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 2.

"FAIR USE" OF COPYRIGHTED MATERIALS. See **Copyrights**.

FALSE TAX RETURNS. See **Internal Revenue Code**, 1.

FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY ACT OF 1968. See **Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970**.

FEDERAL INCOME TAXES. See **Internal Revenue Code**.

FEDERAL LABOR RELATIONS AUTHORITY. See **Civil Service Reform Act of 1978**.

FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE. See **Investment Company Act of 1940; Jurors**.

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS. See **Airport Development Acceleration Act of 1973; Atomic Energy Act of 1954; Coastal Zone Management Act; Habeas Corpus**, 2; **Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970**.

- "FIRE" SEARCHES.** See *Constitutional Law*, II, 1.
- FIRST AMENDMENT.** See *Constitutional Law*, I.
- FLORIDA.** See *Habeas Corpus*, 2.
- FORFEITURES.** See *Criminal Law*.
- FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT.** See *Constitutional Law*, II, 1.
- FOURTH AMENDMENT.** See *Constitutional Law*, II.
- FRAUDULENT TAX RETURNS.** See *Internal Revenue Code*, 1.
- GAS DEPLETION ALLOWANCE.** See *Internal Revenue Code*, 2.
- GAS LEASES.** See *Coastal Zone Management Act*; *Internal Revenue Code*, 2; *Stays*, 7.
- GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES.** See *Civil Service Reform Act of 1978*.
- HABEAS CORPUS.** See also *Stays*, 3, 4.
1. *Communications between juror and judge—Harmless error—State-court findings.*—Lower federal courts erred in granting habeas corpus relief to respondent state prisoner on ground that unrecorded *ex parte* communications between a trial judge and a juror could never be harmless error, and under 28 U. S. C. § 2254(d) should have deferred to presumptively correct state-court finding that alleged constitutional violations were harmless error. *Rushen v. Spain*, p. 114.
2. *State-court death penalty—Federal habeas corpus proceedings.*—Where (1) Florida Supreme Court held that state-court trial judge had not considered nonstatutory aggravating circumstance of future dangerousness in sentencing respondent to death after conviction for murder, and (2) Federal District Court, denying habeas corpus relief, held that record did not support claim that trial judge had improperly considered such aggravating circumstance, Court of Appeals erred in concluding that record did not support Florida Supreme Court's finding, and in reversing District Court, since it did not appear that, even if sentencing judge considered improper factor, balancing process of comparing aggravating and mitigating circumstances, as prescribed by Florida statute, was so infected as to render death sentence constitutionally impermissible. *Wainwright v. Goode*, p. 78.
- HAWAII.** See *Airport Development Acceleration Act of 1973*.
- HONORARY SOCIETIES' MEMBERSHIP POLICIES.** See *Jurisdiction*.
- HOUSE SEARCHES BY ARSON INVESTIGATORS.** See *Constitutional Law*, II, 1.

IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT.

Suspension of deportation—“*Continuous physical presence*” requirement.—Where respondent illegal alien had left United States for three months and had then improperly reentered country, she did not meet 7-year “continuous physical presence” requirement of § 244(a)(1) of Act so as to be eligible to seek suspension of deportation. *INS v. Phinpathya*, p. 183.

IMPARTIALITY OF JURORS. See *Habeas Corpus*, 1.

INCOME TAXES. See *Airport Development Acceleration Act of 1973*; *Internal Revenue Code*.

INFRINGEMENT OF COPYRIGHTS. See *Copyrights*.

INSPECTION OF PREMISES UNDER CLEAN AIR ACT. See *Collateral Estoppel*, 1.

INSTRUCTIONS TO JURY. See *Procedure*.

INSURANCE PROCEEDS FROM ARSON. See *Criminal Law*.

INTERNAL REVENUE CODE.

1. *Fraudulent return*—*Nonfraudulent amended return*—*Limitations period for tax assessment*.—Where a taxpayer files a false or fraudulent return § 6501(c)(1) of Code applies and a tax may be assessed “at any time,” regardless of whether 3-year limitations period for assessment of income taxes expired after taxpayer filed a nonfraudulent amended return. *Badaracco v. Commissioner*, p. 386.

2. *Oil and gas depletion allowance*—*Independent producers and royalty owners*.—Section 613A of Code, relating to deduction from taxable income of percentage depletion allowance by independent oil and gas producers and royalty owners, does not deny allowance for percentage depletion on advance royalty or lease bonus income altogether; such taxpayers are entitled to allowance at some time during productive life of oil and gas leases. *Commissioner v. Engle*, p. 206.

INVESTMENT COMPANY ACT OF 1940.

Shareholder's suit—*Fees of company's adviser*.—Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.1, which applies to stockholders’ “derivative” actions, does not apply to an action brought by an investment company shareholder against company and its adviser for breach of fiduciary duty as to adviser’s fees under § 36(b) of Act, and thus plaintiff in such a case need not first make a demand upon company’s directors before bringing suit. *Daily Income Fund, Inc. v. Fox*, p. 523.

JURISDICTION.

Court of Appeals—*University's assistance of all-male society*—*Mootness*.—Where (1) Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare notified a university that it was violating a federal regulation by allowing peti-

JURISDICTION—Continued.

tioner all-male honorary society to conduct initiation ceremony on campus, (2) university thereafter prohibited ceremony, (3) petitioner then brought an action in Federal District Court challenging Secretary's interpretation of regulation, and (4) before Court of Appeals affirmed summary judgment for Secretary, university's president wrote a letter to petitioner stating that regardless of lawsuit's outcome, petitioner could not conduct activities on campus until it discontinued its sexually discriminatory membership policy, letter rendered case moot and Court of Appeals had no jurisdiction to decide it. *Iron Arrow Honor Society v. Heckler*, p. 67.

JURORS. See also **Constitutional Law, I; Habeas Corpus, 1.**

Voir dire examination—Peremptory challenges.—In action by respondent parents and son to recover for injuries sustained by son while operating a lawnmower manufactured by petitioner where jury returned a verdict for petitioner, Court of Appeals erred in holding that new trial should have been granted because respondents' right of peremptory challenge of jurors was prejudiced when one of jurors did not respond to a question on *voir dire* seeking information concerning injuries of family members although juror's son had sustained a broken leg as a result of an exploding tire; respondents were not entitled to a new trial unless juror's failure to disclose denied them their right to an impartial jury. *McDonough Power Equipment, Inc. v. Greenwood*, p. 548.

JURY INSTRUCTIONS. See **Procedure.****JURY SELECTION.** See **Constitutional Law, I; Jurors.****LEASES OF OIL AND GAS LANDS.** See **Coastal Zone Management Act; Internal Revenue Code, 2; Stays, 7.****LIMITATION OF ACTIONS.** See **Internal Revenue Code, 1.****MOOTNESS.** See **Jurisdiction.****NATURALIZATION OF ALIENS.** See **Collateral Estoppel, 2.****NEWS MEDIA'S RIGHT TO ATTEND VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JURORS.** See **Constitutional Law, I.****NUCLEAR CONTAMINATION.** See **Atomic Energy Act of 1954.****OIL DEPLETION ALLOWANCE.** See **Internal Revenue Code, 2.****OIL LEASES.** See **Coastal Zone Management Act; Internal Revenue Code, 2; Stays, 7.****OKLAHOMA.** See **Atomic Energy Act of 1954.****ORGANIZED CRIME CONTROL ACT OF 1970.** See **Criminal Law.****OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF LANDS ACT OF 1953.** See **Coastal Zone Management Act.**

OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF OIL AND GAS LEASES. See Coastal Zone Management Act; Stays, 7.

PER DIEM ALLOWANCE TO GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES. See Civil Service Reform Act of 1978.

PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES OF JURORS. See Jurors.

PRE-EMPTION OF STATE LAW BY FEDERAL LAW. See Airport Development Acceleration Act of 1973; Atomic Energy Act of 1954.

PRESS' AND PUBLIC'S ACCESS TO VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JURORS. See Constitutional Law, I.

PRICE-ANDERSON ACT. See Atomic Energy Act of 1954.

PROCEDURE.

Vacation of Court of Appeals' judgment—Remand.—Court of Appeals' judgment was vacated, and case was remanded, since Government's concession that District Court erroneously refused to give petitioner's character evidence instruction to jury in criminal case, as well as Government's contention that such error was harmless, should have been presented to Court of Appeals in first instance. *Torres-Valencia v. United States*, p. 44.

PRODUCTS LIABILITY. See Jurors.

PROPORTIONALITY OF DEATH SENTENCE. See Stays, 4, 5.

PUBLIC EMPLOYEES. See Civil Service Reform Act of 1978.

PUNITIVE DAMAGES. See Atomic Energy Act of 1954.

RACKETEERING. See Criminal Law.

RADIATION INJURIES. See Atomic Energy Act of 1954.

RECORDING TELEVISION PROGRAMS. See Copyrights.

RELOCATION OF TELEPHONE COMPANY'S FACILITIES. See Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970.

RIGHT OF ACCESS TO CRIMINAL TRIALS. See Constitutional Law, I.

RIGHT TO FAIR TRIAL. See Constitutional Law, I; Jurors.

SEARCHES AND SEIZURES. See Constitutional Law, II.

SEX DISCRIMINATION. See Jurisdiction.

SHAREHOLDERS' SUITS. See Investment Company Act of 1940.

STATE INCOME TAXES. See Airport Development Acceleration Act of 1973.

STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS. See Internal Revenue Code, 1.

STAYS.

1. *Death sentence.*—Applications to stay executions are granted, where each execution was set for a date within period for petitioning this Court for certiorari to review Missouri Supreme Court's affirmance of each conviction and sentence. *McDonald v. Missouri* (BLACKMUN, J., in chambers), p. 1306.

2. *Death sentence.*—Application to stay execution is denied, where all of applicant's constitutional claims were previously considered in earlier proceedings in state and federal courts. *Sullivan v. Wainwright*, p. 109.

3. *Death sentence.*—Application to stay execution is denied, where fewer than four Justices would grant certiorari to review Federal Court of Appeals' affirmance of District Court's denial of habeas corpus relief to applicant state prisoner; this Court will not adopt a rule calling for an automatic stay where applicant is seeking review of denial of his first federal habeas corpus petition. *Autry v. Estelle*, p. 1.

4. *Death sentence.*—Application to stay execution is granted pending Court of Appeals' disposition of appeal from District Court's denial of habeas corpus relief—sought for first time on ground of Texas Court of Criminal Appeals' failure to consider proportionality of death sentence—or until a further order by this Court or by Circuit Justice. *Autry v. Estelle* (WHITE, J., in chambers), p. 1301.

5. *Death sentence—Vacation of stay.*—Application to vacate Court of Appeals' stay of respondent's execution, pending either this Court's anticipated review of law concerning state-court procedures for review of proportionality of death sentences or this Court's further directions, is granted. *Maggio v. Williams*, p. 46.

6. *Death sentence—Vacation of stay.*—Application to vacate Federal Circuit Judge's order staying respondent's execution is granted. *Woodard v. Hutchins*, p. 377.

7. *Sale of Outer Continental Shelf tracts.*—Applications to stay District Court's preliminary injunction prohibiting Secretary of Interior from conducting a sale of certain tracts on Pacific Outer Continental Shelf for oil and gas leasing are granted. *Clark v. California* (REHNQUIST, J., in chambers), p. 1304.

SUBPOENAS DUCES TECUM ISSUED BY LABOR DEPARTMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 2.

SUPREME COURT.

1. Assignment of Justice Stewart (retired) to the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit, p. 1027.

2. Assignment of Justice Stewart (retired) to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, p. 1074.

SUSPENSION OF DEPORTATION. See **Immigration and Nationality Act**.

TAPE-RECORDING TELEVISION PROGRAMS. See **Copyrights.**

TAXES. See **Airport Development Acceleration Act of 1973; Internal Revenue Code.**

TAX REDUCTION ACT OF 1975. See **Internal Revenue Code, 2.**

TELEPHONE COMPANIES. See **Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970.**

TELEVISION. See **Copyrights.**

TRAVEL EXPENSES OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES. See **Civil Service Reform Act of 1978.**

UNIFORM RELOCATION ASSISTANCE AND REAL PROPERTY ACQUISITION POLICIES ACT OF 1970.

"Displaced person"—Telephone company.—Where respondent company was required to relocate telephone transmission facilities because of a street realignment resulting from federally funded urban renewal project carried out by petitioner, a political subdivision of State, respondent was not a "displaced person" entitled to relocation benefits under Act. *Norfolk Redevelopment & Housing Authority v. Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co.*, p. 30.

UNION REPRESENTATIVES. See **Civil Service Reform Act of 1978.**

UNIVERSITY'S ASSISTANCE OF ALL-MALE HONORARY SOCIETY. See **Jurisdiction.**

URBAN RENEWAL PROJECTS. See **Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970.**

VACATION OF STAYS. See **Stays, 5, 6.**

VICARIOUS LIABILITY FOR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT. See **Copyrights.**

VIDEO TAPE RECORDERS. See **Copyrights.**


VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JURORS. See **Constitutional Law, I; Jurors.**


WORDS AND PHRASES.


1. *"Directly affecting the coastal zone."* §307(c)(1), Coastal Zone Management Act, 16 U. S. C. § 1456(c)(1) (1982 ed.). *Secretary of Interior v. California*, p. 312.


2. *"Displaced person."* § 101(6), Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, 42 U. S. C. § 4601(6). *Norfolk Redevelopment & Housing Authority v. Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co.*, p. 30.


3. *"Interest."* 18 U. S. C. § 1963(a)(1). *Russello v. United States*, p. 16.














FDLP9574



FDLP9574

IF FDL9574
607US PW 263754111-000107 S RT ID: M
M -C5-01-2-20-0013-2-02-01 01030

SKP:523555716-00001

JU 6.8:464

CUST:52

Srv: 10/21 5

