

## INDEX

---

**ADMINISTRATIVE SEGREGATION OF PRISONERS.** See **Constitutional Law, II.**

**ALABAMA.** See **Stays, 3.**

**ALIENS.** See also **Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952.**

*Permanent resident status—Government's delay in processing application—Estoppel.*—Government was not estopped from denying respondent alien's application for permanent resident status on asserted ground that Immigration and Naturalization Service's 18-month delay in processing application, which was based on petition of respondent's wife (a United States citizen) requesting that he be granted an immigrant visa as her spouse—wife having withdrawn her petition when marriage broke up, thus removing support for respondent's application required under Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952—constituted "affirmative misconduct." *INS v. Miranda*, p. 14.

**AMENDMENT OF JUDGMENT.** See **Jurisdiction.**

**ANTITRUST ACTS.**

*Treble-damages action—Union's standing to sue.*—Based on union's complaint alleging that petitioner multiemployer association and its members coerced certain third parties and some of petitioner's members to enter into business relationships with nonunion contractors and subcontractors and thus adversely affected trade of certain unionized firms, thereby restraining union's business activities, union was not a person injured by reason of an antitrust violation within meaning of § 4 of Clayton Act, and thus union could not maintain treble-damages action. *Associated General Contractors of California v. Carpenters*, p. 519.

**APPORTIONMENT OF DAMAGES.** See **Damages.**

**APPORTIONMENT OF RIVER WATER.** See **Water Rights.**

**ARIZONA.** See **Stays, 4.**

**ARMED CRIMINAL ACTION.** See **Constitutional Law, I.**

**ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL.** See **Constitutional Law, VII.**

**AT-LARGE ELECTION OF CITY COUNCILMEN.** See **Voting Rights Act of 1965.**

**BANKRUPTCY.**

*Bankruptcy Reform Act of 1978—Retrospective application—Liens on debtor's property.*—Provision of Bankruptcy Reform Act of 1978 permit-

**BANKRUPTCY**—Continued.

ting individual debtors in bankruptcy proceedings to avoid nonpossessory, nonpurchase-money liens on certain property, including household furnishings and appliances, does not apply retrospectively so as to destroy pre-enactment property rights of creditors who, before enactment of 1978 Act, perfected liens on debtors' household furnishings and appliances that are exempted by Act from inclusion within debtors' estates. *United States v. Security Industrial Bank*, p. 70.

**BANK TAXES.** See *State Bank Taxes*.

**BLOOD-ALCOHOL TESTS.** See *Constitutional Law*, VI, 2.

**BROADCASTING.** See *Rehabilitation Act of 1973*; *Stays*, 1, 4.

**CALIFORNIA.** See *Stays*, 5.

**CAPITAL PUNISHMENT.** See *Criminal Law*; *Stays*, 5.

**CHURCHES' CONTROL OF ISSUANCE OF LIQUOR LICENSES.**  
See *Constitutional Law*, III.

**CITY ELECTIONS.** See *Voting Rights Act of 1965*.

**CLAYTON ACT.** See *Antitrust Acts*.

**CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE.** See *Securities Regulation*.

**COLLECTIVE-BARGAINING AGREEMENTS.** See *Damages*; *National Labor Relations Board*.

**COLORADO.** See *Water Rights*.

**COMMERCIAL TELEVISION STATION LICENSES.** See *Rehabilitation Act of 1973*.

**COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1934.** See *Rehabilitation Act of 1973*.

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.** See also *Bankruptcy*; *Criminal Law*; *Habeas Corpus*; *Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952*; *State Bank Taxes*; *Stays*, 3, 4.

**I. Double Jeopardy.**

*Multiple punishment—Two convictions arising from same conduct.*—Respondent's Missouri convictions and sentences in a single trial for both armed criminal action and first-degree robbery by means of a dangerous weapon did not violate Double Jeopardy Clause, even though both convictions arose from same conduct. *Missouri v. Hunter*, p. 359.

**II. Due Process.**

*Prisoners—Administrative segregation.*—Administrative segregation of prisoners does not involve an interest independently protected by Due Process Clause, but under pertinent Pennsylvania law respondent prisoner acquired protected liberty interest in remaining in general prison popula-

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**

tion; however, minimum due process requirements were satisfied by process afforded him upon his being placed in administrative segregation to protect prison officials and other inmates pending investigation of his role in a prison riot, a detailed adversary proceeding not being necessary. *Hewitt v. Helms*, p. 460.

**III. Establishment of Religion.**

*Liquor licenses—Control by churches and schools—Validity of Massachusetts statute.*—A Massachusetts statute vesting in churches and schools power to prevent issuance of liquor licenses for premises within a 500-foot radius of church or school by objecting to license applications violates Establishment Clause of First Amendment. *Larkin v. Grendel's Den, Inc.*, p. 116.

**IV. Freedom of Association.**

1. *Financial dealings of political parties—Disclosure—Validity of Ohio laws.*—Ohio statutory provisions requiring every candidate for political office to report names and addresses of campaign contributors and recipients of campaign disbursements cannot be constitutionally applied to appellee Socialists Workers Party, a minor political party that historically has been object of harassment by Government officials and private parties. *Brown v. Socialist Workers '74 Campaign Committee*, p. 87.

2. *National Right to Work Committee's political fund—Contributions—Restrictions of federal statute.*—For purposes of Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, which limits non-capital-stock corporations' solicitation of contributions to funds segregated for use for political purposes during federal elections to "members" of corporation, persons solicited by National Right to Work Committee (a corporation without capital stock) for contributions to its fund did not qualify as members, and First Amendment associational rights asserted by Committee were overborne by interests Congress sought to protect. *FEC v. National Right to Work Committee*, p. 197.

**V. Impairment of Contracts.**

*Intrastate gas market—Price controls—Validity of Kansas statute.*—A 1979 Kansas Act imposing price controls on intrastate gas market with regard to contracts executed before April 20, 1977, and governing application of contractual "governmental price escalator" and "price redetermination" clauses, did not impair appellant seller's 1975 intrastate contracts (containing such clauses) with appellee in violation of Contract Clause, and thus contract price could be escalated under either contractual clause only to ceiling prescribed by Act; nor did Kansas Supreme Court err in holding that enactment of § 105 of federal Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978, relating to ceiling prices for intrastate gas, did not trigger governmental price escalator clauses in parties' contracts. *Energy Reserves Group, Inc. v. Kansas Power & Light Co.*, p. 400.



**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.****VI. Privilege Against Self-Incrimination.**

1. *Civil deposition testimony—Grant of use immunity.*—A deponent's civil deposition testimony repeating verbatim or closely tracking his prior immunized testimony—such as respondent's testimony before a grand jury for which he had been granted use immunity pursuant to 18 U. S. C. § 6002—is not, without duly authorized assurance of immunity at time of deposition, immunized testimony within meaning of § 6002, and therefore may not be compelled over a valid assertion of his Fifth Amendment privilege. *Pillsbury Co. v. Conboy*, p. 248.

2. *Prosecution for drunken driving—Refusal to take blood-alcohol test.*—Admission in evidence in a prosecution for drunken driving of defendant's refusal to submit to a blood-alcohol test does not offend his Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination, and is not fundamentally unfair in violation of due process, even when police fail to warn him that refusal can be used against him at trial. *South Dakota v. Neville*, p. 553.

**VII. Right to Counsel.**

*Polygraph test—Presence of counsel—Waiver of right.*—Where respondent, who had been arrested for rape, requested a polygraph examination after consulting with his counsel, was given *Miranda* warnings and signed a waiver certificate prior to taking examination, and admitted upon a question by examining official (after conclusion of examination) that he had intercourse with victim but said that it was consensual, respondent waived right to have counsel present not only during administration of examination itself, but also at "post-test" questioning, unless circumstances changed so seriously that his answers no longer were voluntary, or unless he no longer was waiving his rights knowingly and voluntarily. *Wyrick v. Fields*, p. 42.

**CONTRACT CLAUSE.** See *Constitutional Law*, V.

**CONTRACTORS' ASSOCIATIONS.** See *Antitrust Acts*; *National Labor Relations Board*.

**CONTRIBUTIONS TO CORPORATIONS' POLITICAL FUNDS.** See *Constitutional Law*, IV, 2.

**CONTRIBUTIONS TO POLITICAL PARTIES.** See *Constitutional Law*, IV, 1.

**COURTS OF APPEALS.** See *Interstate Commerce Commission*.

**CRIMINAL LAW.** See also *Constitutional Law*, I; VI, 2; VII; *Habeas Corpus*; *Stays*, 4, 5.

*Ohio prosecution—Death penalty—Consideration of prior Illinois conviction.*—Admission in evidence in respondent's Ohio murder trial of a prior Illinois conviction based on his guilty plea—introduced by prosecution

**CRIMINAL LAW**—Continued.

only for purpose of obtaining death penalty—did not deprive respondent of any federal right, and in his federal habeas corpus action Court of Appeals erred in reassessing his credibility as a witness in Ohio prosecution and in concluding, contrary to Ohio courts, that Illinois guilty plea was not voluntary and knowing in constitutional sense. *Marshall v. Lonberger*, p. 422.

**CUSTODIAL POLICE INTERROGATION.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

**CUSTOMS DUTIES.** See **State and Local Personal Property Taxes**.

**DAMAGES.**

*Wrongful discharge of employee—Suit against employer and union—Apportionment of damages.*—In employee's suit against employer and union where Federal District Court found that employee's damages were caused initially by employer's wrongful discharge of employee and were increased by union's breach of its duty of fair representation in handling employee's grievance under collective-bargaining agreement, apportionment of damages between union and employer was required and employer should not be held solely liable for damages. *Bowen v. USPS*, p. 212.

**DEAF PERSONS.** See **Rehabilitation Act of 1973**.

**DEATH PENALTY.** See **Criminal Law**; **Stays**, 5.

**DEPORTATION OF ALIENS.** See **Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952**.

**DEPOSITIONS.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI, 1.

**DIRECTOR OF OFFICE OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION PROGRAMS.** See **Justiciability**.

**DISBURSEMENTS OF POLITICAL PARTIES.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1.

**DISCHARGE OF EMPLOYEES.** See **Damages**.

**DISCLOSURE OF POLITICAL PARTIES' FINANCIAL MATTERS.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1.

**DISCRIMINATION AGAINST HANDICAPPED PERSONS.** See **Rehabilitation Act of 1973**.

**DISCRIMINATION BASED ON RACE.** See **Voting Rights Act of 1965**.

**DISMISSAL OF APPEALS.** See **Stays**, 2.

**DIVERSION OF RIVER WATER.** See **Water Rights**.

**DOUBLE JEOPARDY.** See **Constitutional Law**, I.

**DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI, 2.

**DUE PROCESS.** See Constitutional Law, II; VI, 2; VII; Criminal Law; Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952.

**ELECTIONS.** See Voting Rights Act of 1965.

**EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES.** See Damages; Longshoremen's and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act.

**ESTABLISHMENT OF RELIGION CLAUSE.** See Constitutional Law, III; Stays, 3.

**ESTOPPEL OF GOVERNMENT.** See Aliens.

**EVIDENCE.** See Constitutional Law, VI, 2; Criminal Law; Securities Regulation.

**EXCLUSION OF ALIENS.** See Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952.

**EXEMPTIONS OF PROPERTY FROM DEBTOR'S ESTATE.** See Bankruptcy.

**EXHAUSTION OF STATE REMEDIES.** See Habeas Corpus.

**FAIR REPRESENTATION BY UNION.** See Damages.

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION.** See Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

**FEDERAL ELECTION CAMPAIGN ACT OF 1971.** See Constitutional Law, IV, 2.

**FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE.** See Jurisdiction.

**FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE.** See Jurisdiction.

**FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS.** See Constitutional Law, V; State and Local Personal Property Taxes; State Bank Taxes; Voting Rights Act of 1965.

**FIFTH AMENDMENT.** See Bankruptcy; Constitutional Law, I; VI; VII.

**FINANCIAL DEALINGS OF POLITICAL PARTIES.** See Constitutional Law, IV, 1.

**FIRST AMENDMENT.** See Constitutional Law, III; IV; Stays, 3, 4.

**FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT.** See Constitutional Law, I; II; VI, 2.

**FRAUD IN SECURITIES TRANSACTIONS.** See Securities Regulation.

**FREEDOM OF ASSOCIATION.** See Constitutional Law, IV.

**FREEDOM OF THE PRESS.** See Stays, 4.



**FUGITIVES FROM JUSTICE.** See *Stays*, 2.

**GAS PRICE CONTROLS.** See *Constitutional Law*, V.

**GUILTY PLEAS.** See *Criminal Law*.

**HABEAS CORPUS.** See also *Criminal Law*.

*State-court conviction—Federal relief—Exhaustion of state remedies.*—Title 28 U. S. C. § 2254's requirement of exhaustion of state remedies was not met where federal habeas corpus petitioner's claim had been interpreted by Michigan Court of Appeals, in affirming his state-court murder conviction, as being predicated on state-law rule that malice should not be implied from fact that a weapon was used, and where argument that trial court's jury instruction as to malice unconstitutionally shifted burden of proof and was inconsistent with presumption of innocence had never been presented to, or considered by, Michigan courts. *Anderson v. Harless*, p. 4.

**HANDICAPPED PERSONS.** See *Rehabilitation Act of 1973*.

**HARBOR WORKERS.** See *Longshoremen's and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act*.

**HEARING-IMPAIRED PERSONS.** See *Rehabilitation Act of 1973*.

**"HOT CARGO" CONTRACTS.** See *National Labor Relations Board*.

**HOUSEHOLD FURNISHINGS AND APPLIANCES OF DEBTOR.**  
See *Bankruptcy*.

**ILLINOIS.** See *Criminal Law*.

**IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT OF 1952.** See also *Aliens*.

*Exclusion of permanent resident alien—INS's authority.*—Immigration and Naturalization Service had authority under Act to proceed by an exclusion hearing (rather than by deportation proceedings) to determine whether respondent—a permanent resident alien who left country for a brief visit to Mexico that involved an attempt to smuggle aliens across border upon her return—was attempting to "enter" United States and whether she was excludable; on remand, Court of Appeals, which had affirmed District Court judgment vacating INS's exclusion order, should consider whether respondent was afforded due process to which she was entitled in her exclusion hearing. *Landon v. Plasencia*, p. 21.

**IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE.** See *Aliens*;  
*Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952*.

**IMMUNITY FOR TESTIMONY.** See *Constitutional Law*, VI, 1.

**IMMUNITY OF FEDERAL OBLIGATIONS FROM STATE TAXATION.** See *State Bank Taxes*.

**IMPAIRMENT OF CONTRACTS.** See *Constitutional Law*, V.

**IMPLIED CAUSES OF ACTION.** See *Securities Regulation*.

**IMPLIED MALICE.** See *Habeas Corpus*.

**IMPORTS.** See *State and Local Personal Property Taxes*.

**INTERROGATION BY POLICE.** See *Constitutional Law*, VII.

**INTERSTATE COMMERCE ACT.** See *Interstate Commerce Commission*.

**INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION.**

*Railroad rates—ICC's orders—Judicial review.*—Where ICC, acting on a shipper's complaint, first issued a temporary order in 1976 establishing petitioner railroads' rate for moving coal, then, in 1978, acting on railroads' petition, raised rate, and in 1979, again raised rate, Court of Appeals, acting on petitions filed by all parties for review of 1978 and 1979 orders, should have deferred to ICC on questions concerning applicable rates, and court erred in concluding that effect of its decision that 1978 and 1979 orders were arbitrary and capricious was to reinstate 1976 order; court's authority to reject ICC rate orders extended to orders alone and not to rates, reasonableness of which lies within ICC's primary jurisdiction. *Burlington Northern Inc. v. United States*, p. 131.

**INTRASTATE GAS PRICE CONTROLS.** See *Constitutional Law*, V.

**JURISDICTION.** See also *Interstate Commerce Commission*.

*Court of Appeals—Notice of appeal.*—Under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a)(4), governing filing of notices of appeals, a court of appeals lacks jurisdiction to act when a notice of appeal is filed before district court acts on a motion to alter or amend judgment previously filed in district court pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59, and a new notice of appeal is not filed after district court's disposition of Rule 59 motion. *Griggs v. Provident Consumer Discount Co.*, p. 56.

**JUSTICIABILITY.**

*Coverage of Longshoremen's and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act—Standing to seek review of decision.*—In an action involving a Court of Appeals' decision that an individual was not covered by Longshoremen's and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act at time of his injury, there was a justiciable controversy before this Court since such individual, as a party respondent under this Court's Rule 19.6, filed a brief arguing for his coverage under Act, and thus it was unnecessary to determine whether petitioner Director of Office of Workers' Compensation Programs had Art. III standing to seek review of decision below. *Director, OWCP v. Perini North River Associates*, p. 297.

**KANSAS.** See *Constitutional Law*, V.



**LABOR UNIONS.** See Antitrust Acts; Damages; National Labor Relations Board.

**LICENSING OF LIQUOR ESTABLISHMENTS.** See Constitutional Law, II.

**LICENSING OF TELEVISION STATIONS.** See Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

**LIENS ON HOUSEHOLD FURNISHINGS AND APPLIANCES.** See Bankruptcy.

**LIQUOR LICENSES.** See Constitutional Law, III.

**LONGSHOREMEN'S AND HARBOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION ACT.** See also Justiciability.

*Coverage of Act—Employee “engaged in maritime employment.”*—A construction firm's employee, injured while performing his job on deck of a barge being used in construction of a sewage treatment plant extending over a navigable river, was “engaged in maritime employment” within meaning of § 2(3) of Act, and thus was covered by Act. Director, OWCP v. Perini North River Associates, p. 297.

**MAJORITY-VOTE REQUIREMENT FOR ELECTIONS.** See Voting Rights Act of 1965.

**MALICE.** See Habeas Corpus.

**MARITIME EMPLOYMENT.** See Longshoremen's and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act.

**MASSACHUSETTS.** See Constitutional Law, III.

**MICHIGAN.** See Habeas Corpus.

**MINOR POLITICAL PARTIES.** See Constitutional Law, IV, 1.

**MIRANDA WARNINGS.** See Constitutional Law, VII.

**MISREPRESENTATIONS.** See Securities Regulation.

**MISSOURI.** See Constitutional Law, I.

**MULTIEMPLOYER ASSOCIATIONS.** See Antitrust Acts; National Labor Relations Board.

**MULTIPLE PUNISHMENTS FOR SAME OFFENSE.** See Constitutional Law, I.

**MUNICIPAL ELECTIONS.** See Voting Rights Act of 1965.

**NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT.** See National Labor Relations Board.

**NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD.**

*Unfair labor practices—Remedies—Board's authority.*—Where Board, holding that union and contractors had violated National Labor Relations Act by agreeing not to do business with nonunion dump truck owners and operators, issued a cease-and-desist order, Board acted within its authority in deciding that Act's policies would not be effectuated by an order requiring union and contractors to reimburse truck owners and operators who were compelled to join union for amounts paid as dues, fees, and fringe benefit contributions. *Shepard v. NLRB*, p. 344.

**NATURAL GAS POLICY ACT OF 1978.** See **Constitutional Law, V.**

**NAVIGABLE WATERS.** See **Longshoremen's and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act.**

**NEW MEXICO.** See **Water Rights.**

**NEWS MEDIA COVERAGE OF CRIMINAL CASE.** See **Stays, 4.**

**NOTICE OF APPEAL.** See **Jurisdiction.**

**OFFICE OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION PROGRAMS.** See **Jus-ticiability.**

**OHIO.** See **Constitutional Law, IV, 1; Criminal Law.**

**PENNSYLVANIA.** See **Constitutional Law, II.**

**PERMANENT RESIDENT ALIENS.** See **Aliens; Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952.**

**PERSONAL PROPERTY TAXES.** See **State and Local Personal Property Taxes.**

**PLURALITY-VOTE REQUIREMENT FOR ELECTIONS.** See **Vot-ing Rights Act of 1965.**

**POLICE INTERROGATION.** See **Constitutional Law, VII.**

**POLITICAL FUNDS OF CORPORATIONS.** See **Constitutional Law, IV, 2.**

**POLITICAL PARTIES.** See **Constitutional Law, IV, 1.**

**POLYGRAPH TESTS.** See **Constitutional Law, VII.**

**PORT ARTHUR, TEX.** See **Voting Rights Act of 1965.**

**PRAYERS IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS.** See **Stays, 3.**

**PRE-EMPTION OF STATE LAW BY FEDERAL LAW.** See **State and Local Personal Property Taxes.**

**PREPONDERANCE OF EVIDENCE.** See **Securities Regulation.**

**PRESENCE OF COUNSEL AT POLYGRAPH TEST.** See **Con-stitutional Law, VII.**

- PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE.** See *Habeas Corpus*.
- PRICE CONTROLS ON INTRASTATE GAS.** See *Constitutional Law*, V.
- PRIOR APPROPRIATION OF RIVER WATER.** See *Water Rights*.
- PRIOR CONVICTIONS.** See *Criminal Law*.
- PRISONS AND PRISONERS.** See *Constitutional Law*, II.
- PRIVATE CAUSES OF ACTION.** See *Securities Regulation*.
- PRIVILEGE AGAINST SELF-INCRIMINATION.** See *Constitutional Law*, VI.
- PUBLIC TELEVISION STATION LICENSES.** See *Rehabilitation Act of 1973*.
- PUNISHMENT FOR SEPARATE CONVICTIONS ARISING FROM SAME CONDUCT.** See *Constitutional Law*, I.
- RACIAL DISCRIMINATION.** See *Voting Rights Act of 1965*.
- RAILROAD RATES.** See *Interstate Commerce Commission*.
- REFUSAL TO TAKE BLOOD-ALCOHOL TEST.** See *Constitutional Law*, VI, 2.
- REGISTRATION STATEMENTS FOR SECURITIES.** See *Securities Regulation*.
- REHABILITATION ACT OF 1973.**  
*Public television station licenses—Programming for deaf and hearing-impaired persons.*—Section 504 of Act, prohibiting discrimination against handicapped persons under any federally funded program, does not require Federal Communications Commission to review a public television station's license renewal application—particularly with regard to programming for deaf and hearing-impaired persons—under a different standard than applies to a commercial licensee's renewal application. *Community Television of Southern California v. Gottfried*, p. 498.
- RETROSPECTIVE APPLICATION OF STATUTES.** See *Bankruptcy*.
- RIGHT OF ASSOCIATION.** See *Constitutional Law*, IV.
- RIGHT TO COUNSEL.** See *Constitutional Law*, VII.
- RIGHT TO FAIR TRIAL.** See *Stays*, 4.
- RIOTS IN PRISONS.** See *Constitutional Law*, II.
- "SAME OFFENSE" FOR DOUBLE JEOPARDY PURPOSES.** See *Constitutional Law*, I.



**SCHOOLS.** See **Constitutional Law, III; Stays, 3.**

**SCHOOLS' CONTROL OF ISSUANCE OF LIQUOR LICENSES.** See **Constitutional Law, III.**

**SECURITIES ACT OF 1933.** See **Securities Regulation.**

**SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.** See **Securities Regulation.**

**SECURITIES REGULATION.**

*Misrepresentations in registration statement—Purchasers' cause of action—Standard of proof.*—Availability under § 11 of Securities Act of 1933 of an express remedy to purchasers of a registered security against certain enumerated parties for false or misleading information in a registration statement does not preclude purchasers allegedly defrauded by misrepresentations in a registration statement from maintaining an action under § 10(b) of Securities Exchange Act of 1934; persons seeking recovery under § 10(b) need prove their cause of action by a preponderance of evidence only, not by clear and convincing evidence. *Herman & MacLean v. Huddleston*, p. 375.

**SEGREGATION OF PRISONERS.** See **Constitutional Law, II.**

**SELF-INCRIMINATION.** See **Constitutional Law, VI.**

**SIXTH AMENDMENT.** See **Stays, 4.**

**SKETCHES OF JURORS.** See **Stays, 4.**

**SOUTH DAKOTA.** See **Constitutional Law, VI, 2.**

**STANDING.** See **Antitrust Acts; Justiciability.**

**STATE AND LOCAL PERSONAL PROPERTY TAXES.**

*Tax on imports stored in customs warehouse—Pre-emption by federal law.*—State and local personal property taxes on imported goods stored under bond in a customs warehouse until they are exported, are pre-empted by Congress' comprehensive regulation of customs duties. *Xerox Corp. v. County of Harris*, p. 145.

**STATE BANK TAXES.**

*Taxation of interest on federal obligations—Validity of Tennessee tax.*—Tennessee bank tax—imposed on net earnings, including interest received on obligations of United States and of other States but not interest received on obligations of Tennessee and its political subdivisions—violates immunity of obligations of United States from state taxation and cannot be characterized as a nondiscriminatory franchise tax permissible under 31 U. S. C. § 742. *Memphis Bank & Trust Co. v. Garner*, p. 392.

**STAYS.**

1. *Broadcast of program.*—Application to vacate Court of Appeals' stay of District Court's order forbidding broadcast in Dallas area of a particu-

**STAYS—Continued.**

lar segment of a designated program, is denied. *Bonura v. CBS, Inc.* (WHITE, J., in chambers), p. 1313.

2. *Court of Appeals' judgment—Dismissal of appeal because of fugitive status.*—Application to stay Court of Appeals' judgment—which, because applicant was a fugitive from justice for federal convictions, dismissed his appeal from Tax Court's determination upholding Internal Revenue Service's calculations of taxes due from applicant and his wife—is denied. *Conforte v. Commissioner* (REHNQUIST, J., in chambers), p. 1309.

3. *District Court judgment—Prayers in public schools.*—Application to stay (pending appeal to Court of Appeals) District Court's judgment—which dismissed applicants' complaint and dissolved a preliminary injunction in an action challenging Alabama statutes that provided for a period for meditation or voluntary prayer in public schools and permitted teachers to lead classes in prayer—is granted. *Jaffree v. Board of School Commissioners of Mobile County* (POWELL, J., in chambers), p. 1314.

4. *State trial court orders—Prohibition of contact with news media and telecast of sketches of jurors.*—Application to stay—pending review in Arizona Supreme Court—state trial court's orders (1) prohibiting court personnel, counsel, witnesses, and jurors in a murder case from speaking directly with press, and (2) directing that all sketches of jurors be reviewed by court before being broadcast on television, is denied. *KPNX Broadcasting Co. v. Arizona Superior Court* (REHNQUIST, J., in chambers), p. 1302.

5. *Vacation of death sentence.*—State's reapplication to stay California Supreme Court's judgment vacating respondent's death sentence and remanding for a new sentencing proceeding, is granted. *California v. Ramos* (REHNQUIST, J., in chambers), p. 1301.

**SUPREMACY CLAUSE.** See **State Bank Taxes.**

**SUPREME COURT.**

1. Proceedings in memory of Justice Fortas, p. VII.

2. Notation of the death of Mrs. Brennan, p. v.

3. Assignment of THE CHIEF JUSTICE as Circuit Justice for the Federal Circuit, p. IV.

**TAKINGS CLAUSE.** See **Bankruptcy.**

**TAXES.** See **State and Local Personal Property Taxes; State Bank Taxes; Stays, 2.**

**TELEVISION COVERAGE OF CRIMINAL CASE.** See **Stays, 4.**

**TELEVISION STATION LICENSES.** See **Rehabilitation Act of 1973.**

**TENNESSEE.** See **State Bank Taxes.**

**TRANSACTIONAL IMMUNITY.** See **Constitutional Law, VI, 1.**

**TREBLE-DAMAGES ACTIONS.** See Antitrust Acts.

**UNFAIR LABOR PRACTICES.** See National Labor Relations Board.

**UNIONS.** See Antitrust Acts; Damages; National Labor Relations Board.

**USE IMMUNITY.** See Constitutional Law, VI, 1.

**VOLUNTARINESS OF GUILTY PLEAS.** See Criminal Law.

**VOTING RIGHTS ACT OF 1965.**

*Federal approval of city voting plan—Majority-vote requirement—Impact upon black voters.*—In appellant city's action under § 5 of Act for federal preclearance of changed voting plan involving expansion of municipal borders and at-large election of certain city councilmen by a majority vote, District Court did not exceed its authority in conditioning approval of plan on change of majority-vote requirement to a plurality-vote requirement so as to neutralize to extent possible plan's adverse impact upon black voters. *Port Arthur v. United States*, p. 159.

**WAIVER OF RIGHT TO COUNSEL'S PRESENCE AT POLYGRAPH TEST.** See Constitutional Law, VII.

**WATER RIGHTS.**

*Diversion of river water—Equitable apportionment.*—In action by Colorado to establish right to divert for future uses water of Vermejo River, all of which was being appropriated presently by users in New Mexico, Special Master properly concluded that flexible principle of equitable apportionment, rather than strict rule of prior appropriation, should be applied; proceedings are remanded to Special Master for additional findings to enable Court to assess correctness of his application of principle of equitable apportionment to facts of case. *Colorado v. New Mexico*, p. 176.

**WEAPONS.** See Constitutional Law, I.

**WORDS AND PHRASES.**

1. "*Engaged in maritime employment.*" § 2(3), Longshoremen's and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act, 33 U. S. C. § 902(3). *Director, OWCP v. Perini North River Associates*, p. 297.

2. "*Person . . . injured . . . by reason of anything forbidden in the anti-trust laws.*" § 4, Clayton Act, 15 U. S. C. § 15. *Associated General Contractors of California v. Carpenters*, p. 519.

**WORKERS' COMPENSATION.** See Justiciability; Longshoremen's and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act.

**ZONING POWER.** See Constitutional Law, III.





















