

INDEX

ACADEMIC DISMISSAL FROM STATE SCHOOL. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

ACCESS TO JUDICIAL RECORDS. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII, 1; XII; **Judicial Records**.

ADDITIONAL PUNISHMENT. See **Criminal Law**.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT. See **Judicial Review**, 1.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULEMAKING PROCEEDINGS. See **Judicial Review**.

ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE. See **Constitutional Law**, XV.

AGGRAVATED BANK ROBBERY. See **Criminal Law**.

AIRPORT AND AIRWAY REVENUE ACT OF 1970. See **Federal-State Relations**, 1.

ALIENS. See also **Federal-State Relations**, 2.

G-4 aliens—Capacity to change domicile—Federal law.—Under federal law, aliens holding a G-4 visa (a nonimmigrant visa granted to officers or employees of international treaty organizations) have legal capacity to change domicile. *Elkins v. Moreno*, p. 647.

ALLOWABLE DEDUCTIONS ON INCOME TAX RETURNS. See **Internal Revenue Code**, 2.

ANTICOMPETITIVE AGREEMENTS. See **Shipping Act**, 1916.

ANTICOMPETITIVE RESTRAINTS. See **Antitrust Acts**, 2.

ANTITRUST ACTS. See also **Constitutional Law**, VII; **Shipping Act**, 1916.

1. *Ban on engineers' competitive bidding—Sherman Act—Restraint of trade.*—Professional engineers' association's canon of ethics prohibiting competitive bidding by members, on its face, restrains trade within meaning of § 1 of Sherman Act, and Rule of Reason does not support defense based on assumption that competition itself is unreasonable. *National Society of Professional Engineers v. United States*, p. 679.

2. *Cities as subject to antitrust laws.*—Apart from whether petitioner cities, which own and operate electric utility systems, are exempt from antitrust laws as agents of State under "state action" doctrine of *Parker v. Brown*, 317 U. S. 341, there are insufficient grounds for inferring that Con-

ANTITRUST ACTS—Continued.

gress did not intend to subject cities to antitrust liability. *Lafayette v. Louisiana Power & Light Co.*, p. 389.

APPEALS. See also **Judicial Review**.

1. *Court of Appeals—Ineffective review*.—Court of Appeals' judgment affirming District Court's denial of state prisoner's habeas corpus petition is vacated and case is remanded, where it appears that ineffective review was accorded because of Court of Appeals' reference to wrong statute, District Court, and case. *Proctor v. Warden*, p. 559.

2. *Court of Appeals' jurisdiction—Waiver of Fed. Rule Civ. Proc. 58's separate-judgment requirement*.—Court of Appeals properly assumed jurisdiction under 28 U. S. C. § 1291, where, although District Court failed to set forth judgment in separate document as required by Fed. Rule Civ. Proc. 58, parties were deemed to have waived such requirement. *Bankers Trust Co. v. Mallis*, p. 381.

3. *Order denying dismissal of indictment—Appealability before trial*.—A defendant may not, *before* trial, appeal a federal district court's order denying his motion to dismiss an indictment because of an alleged violation of his Sixth Amendment right to a speedy trial. *United States v. MacDonald*, p. 850.

APPELLATE JURISDICTION. See **Appeals**, 2.**APPOINTMENT OF SEPARATE COUNSEL FOR CODEFENDANTS.**

See **Constitutional Law**, XI, 1, 2.

APPOINTMENT OF STATE POLICE. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.**ARMED BANK ROBBERY.** See **Criminal Law**.**ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL.** See **Constitutional Law**, XI.**ASSOCIATIONS.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 1; **Constitutional Law**, VII.**ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION.** See **Judicial Review**, 2.**ATTENUATION OF CONNECTION BETWEEN ILLEGAL SEARCH AND PROOF.** See **Constitutional Law**, XV.**AVIATION PROGRAMS.** See **Federal-State Relations**, 1.**BANK ROBBERY COMMITTED WITH FIREARMS.** See **Criminal Law**.**BANKS.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI; **Mootness**.**BAN ON COMPETITIVE BIDS BY ENGINEERS.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 1; **Constitutional Law**, VII.**BAN ON CORPORATE POLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS OR EXPENDITURES.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI; **Mootness**.

BENEFITS UNDER GI BILL. See Constitutional Law, III, 2.

BENEFITS UNDER SOCIAL SECURITY ACT. See Constitutional Law, XIII.

BROADCASTERS' RIGHT OF ACCESS TO JUDICIAL RECORDS.

See Constitutional Law, VIII, 1; XII; Judicial Records.

BUSINESS AND OCCUPATION TAXES. See Constitutional Law, I, 2; IX.

CANON OF ETHICS PROHIBITING COMPETITIVE BIDS BY ENGINEERS. See Antitrust Acts, 1; Constitutional Law, VII.

CARRIERS. See Shipping Act, 1916.

CAUTIONARY JURY INSTRUCTIONS ON ACCUSED'S REFUSAL TO TESTIFY. See Constitutional Law, X; XI, 3.

CERTIFICATION. See Federal-State Relations, 2.

CERTIORARI.

Change in case's posture—Improvident grant of certiorari—Dismissal.—Where respondents' counsel urged in this Court that Court of Appeals' judgment be affirmed on a theory different from that court's reasoning in reversing District Court, writ of certiorari is dismissed as having been improvidently granted. Bankers Trust Co. v. Mallis, p. 381.

CHANGE IN CASE'S POSTURE AS REQUIRING DISMISSAL OF CERTIORARI. See Certiorari.

CITIES AS SUBJECT TO ANTITRUST LAWS. See Antitrust Acts, 2.

CITIES AS SUBJECT TO VOTING RIGHTS ACT OF 1965. See Voting Rights Act of 1965, 1.

CITIZENSHIP AS REQUIREMENT FOR STATE POLICE APPOINTMENT. See Constitutional Law, IV.

CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1871.

Students' actions for suspension without due process—Nominal damages.—In public school students' actions under Act against school officials, wherein students were found to have been suspended from school without procedural due process, students, absent proof of actual injury, are entitled to recover only nominal damages. Carey v. Piphus, p. 247.

CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964.

Sex discrimination—Pension fund contributions—Differential between female and male employees—Retroactive recovery.—Employer's requirement that its female employees make larger contributions to pension fund than its male employees violated § 703 (a)(1) of Act making it unlawful for an employer to discriminate against any individual because of such individ-

CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964—Continued.

ual's sex, but it was inappropriate for District Court to order refund of excess contributions antedating amendment to pension plan, made while suit was pending, eliminating such contribution differential. *Los Angeles Dept. of Water & Power v. Manhart*, p. 702.

CLERGY DISQUALIFICATION FROM PUBLIC OFFICE. See Constitutional Law, V.**CODEFENDANTS' RIGHT TO SEPARATE COUNSEL.** See Constitutional Law, XI, 1, 2.**COLLECTIVE-BARGAINING AGREEMENTS.** See Federal-State Relations, 4; *Shipping Act, 1916*.**COMMENTS ON ACCUSED'S REFUSAL TO TESTIFY.** See Constitutional Law, X; XI, 3.**COMMERCE CLAUSE.** See Constitutional Law, I.**COMMON CARRIERS BY WATER.** See *Shipping Act, 1916*.**COMMON-LAW RIGHT OF ACCESS TO JUDICIAL RECORDS.** See Judicial Records.**COMPENSATORY DAMAGES.** See *Civil Rights Act of 1871*.**COMPETITIVE BIDS BY ENGINEERS.** See *Antitrust Acts*, 1; Constitutional Law, VII.**COMPETITIVE RESTRAINTS.** See *Shipping Act, 1916*.**COMPULSORY SELF-INCRIMINATION.** See Constitutional Law, X.**CONFLICT OF INTEREST IN COUNSEL'S REPRESENTING CODEFENDANTS.** See Constitutional Law, XI, 1, 2.**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.** See also Appeals, 3.**I. Commerce Clause.**

1. *State regulation of oil tankers—Tug-escort requirement.*—Washington Tanker Law tug-escort requirement for oil tankers does not violate Commerce Clause. *Ray v. Atlantic Richfield Co.*, p. 151.

2. *State taxation of interstate stevedoring.*—Washington's business and occupation tax does not violate Commerce Clause by taxing interstate commerce activity of stevedoring within State. *Washington Revenue Dept. v. Association of Washington Stevedoring Cos.*, p. 734.

II. Double Jeopardy.

Indian—Tribal Court conviction—Federal prosecution as not barred.—Double Jeopardy Clause of Fifth Amendment does not bar federal rape prosecution of Indian previously convicted in Tribal Court of lesser included offense. *United States v. Wheeler*, p. 313.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**III. Due Process.**

1. *Academic dismissal from medical school*.—Procedures leading to respondent's dismissal from state medical school for academic deficiencies did not violate Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment. *Board of Curators, Univ. of Mo. v. Horowitz*, p. 78.

2. *Fifth Amendment—Equal protection of the laws—Veterans' educational benefits*.—GI Bill provisions requiring Administrator of Veterans' Administration to disapprove veteran's application for educational assistance benefits if veteran enrolls in course in which more than 85% of students are receiving financial assistance or which has been offered for less than two years, do not violate Due Process Clause of Fifth Amendment. *Cleland v. National College of Business*, p. 213.

IV. Equal Protection of the Laws.

Limiting state police to United States citizens.—New York statute limiting state police force appointments to United States citizens does not violate Equal Protection Clause of Fourteenth Amendment. *Foley v. Connelie*, p. 291.

V. Freedom of Religion.

State statute barring minister from serving as constitutional convention delegate.—Tennessee Supreme Court's judgment holding that Tennessee statute barring clergy from serving as delegates to State's constitutional convention did not violate minister's right to free exercise of religion guaranteed by First Amendment, is reversed. *McDaniel v. Paty*, p. 618.

VI. Freedom of Speech.

State ban on corporate expenditures to influence referendum.—Massachusetts criminal statute prohibiting banks and business corporations from making contributions or expenditures for purpose of influencing vote on referendum proposals, violates First Amendment as made applicable to States by Fourteenth. *First National Bank of Boston v. Bellotti*, p. 765.

VII. Freedom of Speech and Association.

Engineers—Injunction against statements that competitive bidding is unethical.—In antitrust suit wherein professional engineers' association's canon of ethics prohibiting competitive bidding by members was held to violate § 1 of Sherman Act, District Court's injunction prohibiting association from adopting any official opinion, policy statement, or guideline stating or implying that competitive bidding is unethical does not abridge First Amendment rights. *National Society of Professional Engineers v. United States*, p. 679.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**VIII. Freedom of the Press.**

1. *Broadcasters' right of access to judicial records—Presidential tape recordings.*—First Amendment guarantee of freedom of press does not require release to broadcasters of tape recordings in District Court's custody made in ex-President's offices and admitted into evidence at his former advisers' criminal trial. *Nixon v. Warner Communications, Inc.*, p. 589.

2. *Judicial disability and misconduct inquiries—State ban on publishing information about inquiries.*—With respect to Virginia statute making it a crime to divulge information regarding proceedings before a commission authorized to hear complaints about judges' disability or misconduct, First Amendment does not permit criminal punishment of third persons who are strangers to such proceedings for divulging or publishing truthful information regarding proceedings. *Landmark Communications, Inc. v. Virginia*, p. 829.

IX. Import-Export Clause.

State taxation of stevedoring.—Washington's business and occupation tax, as applied to stevedoring so as to reach services provided wholly within State to imports, exports, and other goods, is not among "Imposts or Duties" prohibited by Import-Export Clause. *Washington Revenue Dept. v. Association of Washington Stevedoring Cos.*, p. 734.

X. Privilege Against Self-Incrimination.

Jury instruction on accused's refusal to testify—Accused's objection.—State trial judge's instruction to jury, given over accused's objection, not to draw any adverse inference from accused's decision not to testify, does not violate privilege against self-incrimination guaranteed by Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. *Lakeside v. Oregon*, p. 333.

XI. Right to Counsel.

1. *Failure to appoint separate counsel for codefendants.*—State criminal trial judge's failure either to appoint separate counsel for codefendants or to take adequate steps to ascertain whether risk of conflict of interest was too remote to warrant separate counsel, in face of pretrial representations by joint counsel, deprived codefendants of guarantee of "assistance of counsel" under Sixth Amendment. *Holloway v. Arkansas*, p. 475.

2. *Improper requirement of joint counsel for codefendants—Presumed prejudice.*—Whenever a criminal trial court improperly requires joint counsel for codefendants over timely objection, reversal is automatic, and prejudice is presumed regardless of whether it was independently shown. *Holloway v. Arkansas*, p. 475.

3. *Jury instruction on accused's refusal to testify—Accused's objection.*—State trial judge's instruction to jury, given over accused's objection, not

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

to draw any adverse inference from accused's decision not to testify, does not deprive accused of right to counsel. *Lakeside v. Oregon*, p. 333.

XII. Right to Public Trial.

Broadcasters' right of access to judicial records—Presidential tape recordings.—Sixth Amendment guarantee of a public trial does not require release to broadcasters of tape recordings in District Court's custody made in ex-President's offices and admitted into evidence at his former advisers' criminal trial. *Nixon v. Warner Communications, Inc.*, p. 589.

XIII. Right to Travel.

Social security benefits—Exclusion of Puerto Rico residents.—Social Security Act provisions limiting benefits under Supplemental Security Income program to residents of 50 States and District of Columbia are not unconstitutional in violation of right to travel as applied to persons who lost benefits upon moving to Puerto Rico. *Califano v. Torres*, p. 1.

XIV. Right to Trial by Jury.

Five-person jury.—Georgia Court of Appeals judgment rejecting petitioner's contention on his appeal from misdemeanor conviction that his trial before five-person jury deprived him of his Sixth and Fourteenth Amendment right to trial by jury, is reversed, and case is remanded. *Ballew v. Georgia*, p. 223.

XV. Searches and Seizures.

Illegal search—Dissipation of connection with testimony—Exclusionary rule.—Court of Appeals erred in concluding that degree of attenuation between police officer's illegal search and witness' adverse testimony at respondent's perjury trial was not sufficient to dissipate connection between search's illegality and challenged testimony. *United States v. Ceccolini*, p. 268.

XVI. Supremacy Clause.

1. *State regulation of oil tankers—Design requirements.*—Washington Tanker Law design requirements for oil tankers in Puget Sound different from and higher than those provided by Ports and Waterways Safety Act of 1972, standing alone, are invalid under Supremacy Clause. *Ray v. Atlantic Richfield Co.*, p. 151.

2. *State regulation of oil tankers—Exclusion of tankers exceeding certain weight.*—Washington Tanker Law provision excluding from Puget Sound any oil tanker exceeding 125,000 deadweight tons is invalid under Supremacy Clause in light of Title I of Ports and Waterways Safety Act of 1972 and Secretary of Transportation's actions thereunder in promul-

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

gating Puget Sound Vessel Traffic System. *Ray v. Atlantic Richfield Co.*, p. 151.

3. *State regulation of oil tankers—Pilotage requirements.*—To extent that Washington Tanker Law requires enrolled oil tankers to carry state-licensed pilots, State is precluded by 46 U. S. C. §§ 215, 364 from imposing its own pilotage requirements and to that extent state law is invalid, but District Court's judgment was overly broad in invalidating pilot provision in its entirety. *Ray v. Atlantic Richfield Co.*, p. 151.

4. *State regulation of oil tankers—Tug-escort requirement.*—District Court erred in invalidating Washington Tanker Law tug-escort requirement for oil tankers in Puget Sound as conflicting with Ports and Waterways Safety Act of 1972, where no federal requirement has yet been promulgated, and tug-escort requirement does not violate Supremacy Clause. *Ray v. Atlantic Richfield Co.*, p. 151.

CONSTRUCTION PERMITS FOR NUCLEAR REACTORS. See **Judicial Review**, 2.

CONTRIBUTIONS FOR PURPOSE OF INFLUENCING REFERENDUM VOTE. See **Constitutional Law**, VI; **Mootness**.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO PENSION FUNDS. See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**.

CORPORATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, VI; **Mootness**.

COURT REVIEW OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS. See **Judicial Review**.

COURTS OF APPEALS. See **Appeals**, 1, 2; **Judicial Review**, 2.

CRIMINAL JURISDICTION. See **Indians**.

CRIMINAL LAW. See also **Appeals**, 3; **Constitutional Law**, II; VI; VII, 2; X; XI; XIV; XV; **Hobbs Act**; **Indians**; **Stays**, 1.

Armed bank robbery—Enhanced punishment.—A defendant convicted under 18 U. S. C. §§ 2113 (a) and (d) of bank robbery committed with firearms may not be sentenced to both an enhanced penalty under § 2113 (d) and an additional consecutive penalty under 18 U. S. C. § 924 (c). *Simpson v. United States*, p. 6.

CUMULATIVE PUNISHMENT. See **Criminal Law**.

DAMAGES LIABILITY OF JUDGES. See **Judges**.

DAMAGES LIABILITY OF SCHOOL OFFICIALS. See **Civil Rights Act of 1871**.

DEDUCTIONS ON INCOME TAX RETURNS. See Internal Revenue Code, 2.

DENIAL OF EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. See Constitutional Law, XI.

DENIAL OF MOTION TO DISMISS INDICTMENT. See Appeals, 3.

DESEGREGATION PLANS. See Stays, 2.

DESIGN REQUIREMENTS FOR OIL TANKERS. See Constitutional Law, XVI.

DISABILITY OF JUDGES. See Constitutional Law, VIII, 2.

DISCRIMINATION. See Civil Rights Act of 1964.

DISMISSAL FROM STATE SCHOOL FOR ACADEMIC CAUSE. See Constitutional Law, III, 1.

DISMISSAL OF CERTIORARI. See Certiorari.

DISMISSAL OF INDICTMENTS. See Stays, 1.

DISQUALIFICATION OF CLERGY FROM PUBLIC OFFICE. See Constitutional Law, V.

DISSIPATION OF CONNECTION BETWEEN ILLEGAL SEARCH AND PROOF. See Constitutional Law, XV.

DISTRICT COURTS. See Judicial Records.

DOMICILE. See Aliens; Federal-State Relations, 2.

DOUBLE JEOPARDY. See Constitutional Law, II.

"DUAL SOVEREIGNTY" CONCEPT. See Constitutional Law, II.

DUE PROCESS. See Civil Rights Act of 1871; Constitutional Law, III.

EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE BENEFITS FOR VETERANS. See Constitutional Law, III, 2.

EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. See Constitutional Law, XI.

ELECTIONS. See Voting Rights Act of 1965.

ELECTRIC UTILITIES. See Antitrust Acts, 2.

EMPLOYEE PENSION PLANS. See Federal-State Relations, 4.

EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEES. See Civil Rights Act of 1964; Federal-State Relations, 4; Internal Revenue Code, 1.

EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION. See Civil Rights Act of 1964.

ENGINEERS. See Antitrust Acts, 1; Constitutional Law, VII.

ENHANCED PUNISHMENT. See Criminal Law.

ENVIRONMENTAL LAW. See Judicial Review, 2.

EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS. See Constitutional Law, III, 2; IV.

EVIDENCE BEFORE GRAND JURY. See Stays, 1.

EXCLUSIONARY RULE. See Constitutional Law, XV.

EXCLUSION OF CLERGY FROM PUBLIC OFFICE. See Constitutional Law, V.

EXCLUSION OF PUERTO RICO RESIDENTS FROM SOCIAL SECURITY BENEFITS. See Constitutional Law, XIII.

EXEMPTION FROM ANTITRUST LAWS. See Antitrust Acts, 2.

EXPENDITURES FOR PURPOSE OF INFLUENCING REFERENDUM VOTE. See Constitutional Law, VI; Mootness.

FAILURE TO APPOINT SEPARATE COUNSEL FOR CODEFENDANTS. See Constitutional Law, XI, 1, 2.

FEDERAL ADMINISTRATIVE RULEMAKING PROCEEDINGS. See Judicial Review.

FEDERAL AVIATION PROGRAMS. See Federal-State Relations, 1.

FEDERAL INCOME TAXES. See Internal Revenue Code, 2.

FEDERAL LABOR POLICY. See Federal-State Relations, 4.

FEDERAL REGISTRATION TAX ON AIRCRAFT. See Federal-State Relations, 1.

FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE. See Appeals, 2.

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS. See also Antitrust Acts, 2; Constitutional Law, I, 1; XVI.

1. *Federal registration tax on state-owned aircraft.*—Annual “flat fee” registration tax on all civil aircraft, including those owned by States, imposed by Airport and Airway Revenue Act of 1970, does not violate implied immunity of state government from federal taxation. *Massachusetts v. United States*, p. 444.
2. *G-4 aliens—State law as determining domicile—In-state status for nonimmigrant alien state college students—Certification.*—Question whether aliens holding G-4 visas (nonimmigrant visas granted to officers or employees of international treaty organizations) can become Maryland domiciliaries is potentially dispositive of whether University of Maryland students who were dependent on G-4 alien parents are entitled to in-state status for admission and tuition purposes, and is purely a matter of state

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS—Continued.

law on which there is no controlling precedent, and hence question is certified to Maryland Court of Appeals for determination. *Elkins v. Moreno*, p. 647.

3. *State regulation of oil tankers—Tug-escort requirement—Interference with foreign affairs.*—Washington Tanker Law tug-escort requirement for oil tankers in Puget Sound does not interfere with Federal Government's authority to conduct foreign affairs. *Ray v. Atlantic Richfield Co.*, p. 151.

4. *State regulation of pension plans—Pre-emption by federal labor policy.*—National Labor Relations Act neither expressly nor by implication forecloses state regulatory power over employee pension plans that may be subject of collective bargaining, and fact that Minnesota statute regulating such plans applies to pre-existing collective-bargaining agreements does not render it pre-empted. *Malone v. White Motor Corp.*, p. 497.

FEDERAL TAXES. See Internal Revenue Code, 1.

FEMALE EMPLOYEES. See Civil Rights Act of 1964.

FIFTH AMENDMENT. See Constitutional Law, II; III, 2; X.

FILING REQUIREMENTS FOR AGREEMENTS UNDER SHIPPING ACT, 1916. See Shipping Act, 1916.

FIRST AMENDMENT. See Constitutional Law, V; VI; VII; VIII.

FIVE-PERSON JURIES. See Constitutional Law, XIV.

FOREIGN AFFAIRS. See Federal-State Relations, 3.

FOREIGN COMMERCE. See Constitutional Law, I, 1.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. See Constitutional Law, III, 1; IV; V; VI; VIII, 2; X; XIV.

FOURTH AMENDMENT. See Constitutional Law, XV.

FREEDOM OF ASSOCIATION. See Constitutional Law, VII.

FREEDOM OF RELIGION. See Constitutional Law, V.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH. See Constitutional Law, VI; VII.

FREEDOM OF THE PRESS. See Constitutional Law, VIII.

“FRUITS OF POISONOUS TREE.” See Constitutional Law, XV.

GEORGIA. See Constitutional Law, XIV.

G-4 ALIENS. See Aliens; Federal-State Relations, 2.

GI BILL. See Constitutional Law, III, 2.

GOVERNMENT'S AUTHORITY TO CONDUCT FOREIGN AFFAIRS.
See Federal-State Relations, 3.

GRAND JURIES. See **Stays**, 1.

GUARANTEE OF PUBLIC TRIAL. See **Constitutional Law**, XII.

HABEAS CORPUS. See **Appeals**, 1.

HOBBS ACT.

"Racketeering" as necessary element of offense.—Act's plain language and legislative history make clear that Congress did not intend to limit Act's scope by reference to undefined category of conduct termed "racketeering," but intended to reach all conduct within Act's express terms. *United States v. Culbert*, p. 371.

ILLEGAL SEARCHES. See **Constitutional Law**, XV.

IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT. See **Aliens**.

IMMUNITY OF JUDGES FROM DAMAGES LIABILITY. See **Judges**.

IMMUNITY OF STATES FROM FEDERAL TAXATION. See **Federal-State Relations**, 1.

IMPORT-EXPORT CLAUSE. See **Constitutional Law**, IX.

IMPROVIDENT GRANT OF CERTIORARI. See **Certiorari**.

INCOME TAXES. See **Internal Revenue Code**.

INCREASED PUNISHMENT. See **Criminal Law**.

INCRIMINATION. See **Constitutional Law**, X.

INDIANA. See **Judges**.

INDIANS. See also **Constitutional Law**, II.

Tribal courts—Criminal jurisdiction over non-Indians.—Indian tribal courts do not have inherent criminal jurisdiction to try and to punish non-Indians, and hence may not assume such jurisdiction unless specifically authorized to do so by Congress. *Oliphant v. Suquamish Indian Tribe*, p. 191.

INDICTMENTS. See **Stays**, 1.

INEFFECTIVE REVIEW OF APPEAL. See **Appeals**, 1.

INJUNCTIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

IN-STATE STATUS OF STATE COLLEGE STUDENTS. See **Aliens**; **Federal-State Relations**, 2.

INSTRUCTIONS TO JURY ON ACCUSED'S REFUSAL TO TESTIFY. See **Constitutional Law**, X; XI, 3.

INTERFERENCE WITH COLLECTIVE BARGAINING. See **Federal-State Relations**, 4.

INTERFERENCE WITH FOREIGN AFFAIRS. See **Federal-State Relations**, 3.

INTERNAL REVENUE CODE.

1. *Employees' lunch reimbursements*—“Wages” subject to withholding.—Reimbursement for 1963 lunch expenses of employees on nonovernight company travel did not constitute “wages” subject to withholding by their employer within meaning of § 3401 (a) of Code. *Central Illinois Public Serv. Co. v. United States*, p. 21.

2. *Sale-and-leaseback agreements*—*Income tax deductions allowable to lessor*.—Under agreements by which it took title to building under construction by bank and simultaneously leased it back to bank for long-term use, taxpayer company is entitled to deductions on its federal income tax return for depreciation on building, interest on its construction loan and mortgage, and certain other expenses related to sale-and-leaseback transaction. *Frank Lyon Co. v. United States*, p. 561.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

JOINT COUNSEL FOR CODEFENDANTS. See **Constitutional Law**, XI, 1, 2.

JUDGES. See also **Constitutional Law**, VIII, 2.

Judicial immunity from damages liability—*Approval of sterilization petition*.—Indiana law vested in Circuit Judge power to entertain and act upon mother's petition for sterilization of her 15-year-old “somewhat retarded” daughter, and he is, therefore, immune from damages liability even if his approval of petition was in error. *Stump v. Sparkman*, p. 349.

JUDGMENTS. See **Appeals**, 2.

JUDICIAL IMMUNITY. See **Judges**.

JUDICIAL RECORDS.

Common-law right of access—*Evidence in criminal trial*—*Presidential tape recordings*.—Common-law right of access to judicial records does not authorize release to broadcasters of tape recordings in District Court's custody made in ex-President's offices and admitted into evidence at his former advisers' criminal trial. *Nixon v. Warner Communications, Inc.*, p. 589.

JUDICIAL REVIEW.

1. *Administrative rulemaking proceedings*—*Procedural requirements—Scope of judicial review*.—Administrative Procedure Act establishes maximum procedural requirements courts may impose upon federal agencies in conducting rulemaking proceedings, and, even apart from APA, formulation of procedures should be left within agencies' discretion. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, p. 519.

JUDICIAL REVIEW—Continued.

2. *Atomic Energy Commission's licensing, permit, and rulemaking proceedings—Improper Court of Appeals review.*—Court of Appeals, in reviewing AEC's grant of nuclear power plant license, related rulemaking proceedings, and grant of nuclear reactor permit, seriously misread or misapplied statutory and decisional law cautioning reviewing courts against engrafting their own notions of proper procedures upon federal agencies, and moreover as to Court of Appeals' decision with respect to agency action taken after full adjudicatory hearings, it improperly intruded into agency's decisionmaking process. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, p. 519.

JURISDICTION. See *Appeals*, 2; *Indians*; *Judges*.

JURY INSTRUCTIONS ON ACCUSED'S REFUSAL TO TESTIFY.

See *Constitutional Law*, X; XI, 3.

JURY TRIALS. See *Constitutional Law*, XIV.

JUSTICIABILITY. See *Mootness*.

LABOR. See *Federal-State Relations*, 4; *Shipping Act, 1916*.

LAW GOVERNING DOMICILE. See *Federal-State Relations*, 2.

LICENSING OF NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS. See *Judicial Review*, 2.

LIMITING STATE POLICE TO UNITED STATES CITIZENS. See *Constitutional Law*, IV.

LUNCH REIMBURSEMENTS. See *Internal Revenue Code*, 1.

MARYLAND. See *Aliens*; *Federal-State Relations*, 2.

MASSACHUSETTS. See *Constitutional Law*, VI; *Federal-State Relations*, 1; *Mootness*.

MEDICAL SCHOOLS. See *Constitutional Law*, III, 1.

MINNESOTA. See *Federal-State Relations*, 4.

MISCONDUCT OF JUDGES. See *Constitutional Law*, VIII, 2.

MONOPOLIES. See *Antitrust Acts*, 2.

MOOTNESS.

State ban on corporate expenditures to influence referendum—Constitutionality—Effect of holding of referendum.—Action by banks and business corporations challenging constitutionality of Massachusetts criminal statute prohibiting corporate contributions or expenditures for purpose of influencing vote on referendum proposals, is not rendered moot by fact that referendum for which plaintiffs wanted to spend money in opposition has been

MOOTNESS—Continued.

held and referendum proposal was defeated. First National Bank of Boston v. Bellotti, p. 765.

MULTIPLE DEFENDANTS' RIGHT TO SEPARATE COUNSEL. See Constitutional Law, XI, 1, 2.**MULTIPLE PUNISHMENT.** See Criminal Law.**MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS.** See Voting Rights Act of 1965.**MUNICIPAL UTILITY OPERATORS.** See Antitrust Acts, 2.**NATIONAL AIRSYSTEM.** See Federal-State Relations, 1.**NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT.** See Federal-State Relations, 4.**NAVAJO TRIBE.** See Constitutional Law, II.**NEWS MEDIA'S RIGHT OF ACCESS TO JUDICIAL RECORDS.** See Constitutional Law, VIII, 1; XII; Judicial Records.**NEWS MEDIA'S RIGHT TO PUBLISH INFORMATION ABOUT JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT PROCEEDINGS.** See Constitutional Law, VIII, 2.**NEW YORK.** See Constitutional Law, IV.**NOMINAL DAMAGES.** See Civil Rights Act of 1871.**NONIMMIGRANT ALIENS.** See Aliens; Federal-State Relations, 2.**NON-INDIANS AS SUBJECT TO TRIBAL COURT JURISDICTION.** See Indians.**NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS AND REACTORS.** See Judicial Review, 2.**OBJECTION TO JURY INSTRUCTION ON ACCUSED'S REFUSAL TO TESTIFY.** See Constitutional Law, X; XI, 3.**OIL TANKERS.** See Constitutional Law, I, 1; XVI; Federal-State Relations, 3.**OPERATING LICENSES FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS.** See Judicial Review, 2.**PENSION FUNDS OR PLANS.** See Civil Rights Act of 1964; Federal-State Relations, 4.**PERJURY BEFORE GRAND JURY.** See Stays, 1.**PERMITS FOR NUCLEAR REACTORS.** See Judicial Review, 2.

PILOTAGE REQUIREMENTS FOR OIL TANKERS. See Constitutional Law, XVI.

POLICE OFFICERS. See Constitutional Law, IV.

POLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS OR EXPENDITURES. See Constitutional Law, VI; Mootness.

PORTS AND WATERWAYS SAFETY ACT OF 1972. See Constitutional Law, XVI.

PRE-EMPTION OF STATE LAW BY FEDERAL LAW. See Constitutional Law, XVI; Federal-State Relations, 4.

PREJUDICIAL ERROR. See Constitutional Law, XI, 2.

PRESIDENTIAL RECORDINGS AND MATERIALS PRESERVATION ACT. See Judicial Records.

PRESIDENTIAL TAPE RECORDINGS. See Constitutional Law, VIII, 1; XII; Judicial Records.

PRETRIAL APPEALS. See Appeals, 3.

PRIVILEGE AGAINST SELF-INCrimINATION. See Constitutional Law, X.

PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS. See Civil Rights Act of 1871; Constitutional Law, III, 1.

PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS. See Judicial Review.

PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS. See Antitrust Acts, 1; Constitutional Law, VII.

PROHIBITION AGAINST COMPETITIVE BIDS BY ENGINEERS. See Antitrust Acts, 1; Constitutional Law, VII.

PROHIBITION AGAINST CORPORATE POLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS OR EXPENDITURES. See Constitutional Law, VI; Mootness.

PUBLIC SCHOOL STUDENTS. See Civil Rights Act of 1871.

PUBLIC TRIAL GUARANTEE. See Constitutional Law, XII.

PUBLIC UTILITIES. See Antitrust Acts, 2.

PUERTO RICO. See Constitutional Law, XIII.

PUGET SOUND. See Constitutional Law, I, 1; XVI; Federal-State Relations, 3.

RACKETEERING. See Hobbs Act.

REFERENDUMS. See Constitutional Law, VI; Mootness; Voting Rights Act of 1965.

REGISTRATION TAX ON AIRCRAFT. See Federal-State Relations, 1.

REIMBURSEMENT FOR LUNCH EXPENSES. See Internal Revenue Code, 1.

RESTRAINTS OF TRADE. See Antitrust Acts; Constitutional Law, VII; Shipping Act, 1916.

RETROACTIVE RELIEF UNDER CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964. See Civil Rights Act of 1964.

RIGHT OF ACCESS TO JUDICIAL RECORDS. See Constitutional Law, VIII, 1; XII; Judicial Records.

RIGHT TO COUNSEL. See Constitutional Law, XI.

RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL. See Constitutional Law, XIV.

RIGHT TO PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS. See Civil Rights Act of 1871.

RIGHT TO PUBLIC TRIAL. See Constitutional Law, XII.

RIGHT TO SPEEDY TRIAL. See Appeals, 3.

RIGHT TO TRAVEL. See Constitutional Law, XIII.

RULEMAKING PROCEEDINGS. See Judicial Review.

RULE OF REASON. See Antitrust Acts, 1.

RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE. See Appeals, 2.

SALE-AND-LEASEBACK AGREEMENTS. See Internal Revenue Code, 2.

SCHOOLS. See Civil Rights Act of 1871; Constitutional Law, III, 1; Stays, 2.

SCOPE OF HOBBS ACT. See Hobbs Act.

SCOPE OF JUDICIAL REVIEW OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS. See Judicial Review, 2.

SEARCHES AND SEIZURES. See Constitutional Law, XV.

SELF-INCRIMINATION. See Constitutional Law, X.

SENTENCES. See Criminal Law.

SEPARATE COUNSEL FOR CODEFENDANTS. See Constitutional Law, XI, 1, 2.

SEPARATE-JUDGMENT REQUIREMENT. See Appeals, 2.

SEX-DIFFERENTIATED CONTRIBUTIONS TO EMPLOYEES' PENSION FUND. See Civil Rights Act of 1964.

SHEFFIELD, ALA. See Voting Rights Act of 1965.

SHERMAN ACT. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1; **Constitutional Law**, VII.

SHIPPING ACT, 1916.

Collective-bargaining agreements—Filing requirements.—Collective-bargaining agreements are not categorically exempt from § 15 of Act requiring filing with Federal Maritime Commission of agreements between common carrier by water or other person subject to Act and another such carrier or person, including agreements “controlling, regulating, preventing, or destroying competition,” and here FMC made requisite findings to sustain its decision that collective-bargaining agreement between agent for dock-worker employers and union was subject to filing under § 15. *FMC v. Pacific Maritime Assn.*, p. 40.

SIXTH AMENDMENT. See **Appeals**, 3; **Constitutional Law**, XI; XII; XIV.

SOCIAL SECURITY ACT. See **Constitutional Law**, XIII.

SOVEREIGNTY OF INDIAN TRIBES. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

“STATE ACTION” DOCTRINE. See **Antitrust Acts**, 2.

STATE BUSINESS AND OCCUPATION TAXES. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2; IX.

STATE IMMUNITY FROM FEDERAL TAXATION. See **Federal-State Relations**, 1.

STATE INTERFERENCE WITH FOREIGN AFFAIRS. See **Federal-State Relations**, 3.

STATE MEDICAL SCHOOLS. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

STATE-OWNED AIRCRAFT AS SUBJECT TO FEDERAL TAXATION. See **Federal-State Relations**, 1.

STATE POLICE. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

STATE REGULATION OF OIL TANKERS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1; XVI; **Federal-State Relations**, 3.

STATE REGULATION OF PENSION PLANS. See **Federal-State Relations**, 4.

STAYS.

1. *Affirmance of conviction.*—Stay of Court of Appeals’ judgment affirming convictions, pending certiorari petition claiming that indictment should be dismissed because witness committed perjury before grand jury, is denied. *Bracy v. United States* (REHNQUIST, J., in chambers), p. 1301.

2. *School desegregation plan requirement.*—Public school officials’ application to stay District Court’s order allegedly reimposing unauthorized

STAYS—Continued.

desegregation plan requirement, is denied. *Vetterli v. United States* District Court (REHNQUIST, J., in chambers), p. 1304.

STERILIZATION. See *Judges*.**STEVEDORING.** See *Constitutional Law*, I, 2; IX.**SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS.** See *Constitutional Law*, III, 1.**SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME PROGRAM.** See *Constitutional Law*, XIII.**SUPPRESSION OF COMPETITION.** See *Antitrust Acts*, 1; *Constitutional Law*, VII.**SUPREMACY CLAUSE.** See *Constitutional Law*, XVI; *Federal-State Relations*, 4.**SUPREME COURT.**

Notation of the death of Walter Wyatt, the 12th Reporter of Decisions, p. III.

SUSPENSION FROM SCHOOL. See *Civil Rights Act of 1871*.**TANKERS.** See *Constitutional Law*, I, 1; XVI; *Federal-State Relations*, 3.**TAPE RECORDINGS.** See *Constitutional Law*, VIII, 1; XII; *Judicial Records*.**TAXES.** See *Constitutional Law*, I, 2; IX; *Federal-State Relations*, 1; *Internal Revenue Code*.**TENNESSEE.** See *Constitutional Law*, V.**TRAVEL RIGHTS.** See *Constitutional Law*, XIII.**TRIALS BY JURY.** See *Constitutional Law*, XIV.**TRIBAL COURTS.** See *Constitutional Law*, II; *Indians*.**TUG-ESCORT REQUIREMENTS FOR OIL TANKERS.** See *Constitutional Law*, I, 1; XVI; *Federal-State Relations*, 3.**UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND.** See *Aliens*; *Federal-State Relations*, 2.**UNLAWFUL EMPLOYMENT PRACTICES.** See *Civil Rights Act of 1964*.**USE OF FIREARMS TO COMMIT BANK ROBBERY.** See *Criminal Law*.**UTILITIES.** See *Antitrust Acts*, 2.

VETERANS' ADMINISTRATION. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

VETERANS' EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE BENEFITS. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

VIRGINIA. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII, 2.

VOTING RIGHTS ACT OF 1965.

1. *City as subject to Act.*—Section 5 of Act applies to all entities having power over any aspect of electoral process within designated jurisdictions, not only to counties or other units of state government that perform function of registering voters, and hence District Court erred in holding that city of Sheffield, Ala., is not subject to § 5. *United States v. Sheffield Board of Comm'rs*, p. 110.

2. *Referendum on form of city government—Effect of Attorney General's failure to object.*—Attorney General's failure to object to holding referendum on whether city should adopt a mayor-council form of government, did not constitute clearance under § 5 of Act of method of electing councilmen under new government. *United States v. Sheffield Board of Comm'rs*, p. 110.

WAGES SUBJECT TO TAX WITHHOLDING. See **Internal Revenue Code**, 1.

WASHINGTON. See **Constitutional Law I**; **IX**; **XVI**; **Federal-State Relations**, 3.

WASHINGTON TANKER LAW. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1; **XVI**; **Federal-State Relations**, 3.

WELFARE AND PENSION PLANS DISCLOSURE ACT. See **Federal-State Relations**, 4.

WITHHOLDING TAXES. See **Internal Revenue Code**, 1.


WITNESSES BEFORE GRAND JURY. See **Stays**, 1.

WORDS AND PHRASES.

1. *"Imposts or Duties."* U. S. Const., Art. I, § 10, cl. 2 (Import-Export Clause). *Washington Revenue Dept. v. Association of Washington Stevedoring Cos.*, p. 734.

2. *"State . . . with respect to which."* § 5, Voting Rights Act of 1965, 42 U. S. C. § 1973c (1970 ed., Supp. V). *United States v. Sheffield Board of Comm'rs*, p. 110.

3. *"Wages."* § 3401 (a), Internal Revenue Code of 1954, 26 U. S. C. § 3401 (a). *Central Illinois Public Serv. Co. v. United States*, p. 21.



VETERANS' ADMINISTRATION. See *Continental-Sex, 101*; *VETERANS' EDUCATIONAL ALLOWANCE BENEFITS*; *See *Continental-Sex, 101**

VIOLATION. See *Constitutional Law, VI*, *VI*

VOTING RIGHTS ACT OF 1965

1. *General*—See *Constitutional Law, 101*. *See also* *State power over elections*; *Political power to discriminate*; *See also* *Separation of powers*; *See also* *Political power to discriminate* in *Right to vote*; *See also* *Electoral College* in *Right to vote*; *See also* *Right to vote* in *United States v. Southern Board of Education*, p. 125.

2. *Separation of powers of city government*—Effect of different *General's* rules in cities—*Attorney General's* failure to advise in *Right to vote* in *separation of powers* should adopt *separation of powers*, and not *separate election under 14th* *Act of Congress* in *Right to vote*—*See also* *Political power to discriminate* in *Right to vote*; *See also* *Electoral College* in *Right to vote*.

WAGER SUBJECT TO TAX WITHHOLDING. See *Taxation* Code, 1.

WASHINGTON. See *Continental Law, 101*; *VI*; *Federal State*; *Political power*.

WASHINGTON VICTORY LAW. See *Continental Law, 101*; *VI*; *Political power*, 2.

WELFARE AND TAXATION PLANS DETERMINED ACT. See *Federal power*, 6.

WITHHELDING TAXES. See *Taxation* Code, 1.

WITHHELDING TAXES INLAND STATE. See *State*, 2.

WORDS AND PHRASES

1. *“Federal power”*. P. W. Clegg, Jr., 1, 10, and *Continental Congress*; *Washington*; *Political power*; *Separation of powers*; *See also* *Power*.

2. *“Right to vote”*—*Political power*—*See* *United States v. Southern Board of Education*, p. 125.

3. *“State”*—*Political power*—*See* *Continental Law, 101*; *VI*; *Political power*; *See also* *Continental-Sex, 101*.







2





E00002219