

## ERRATUM

107 U. S. 230-231, the first three sentences of the quotation from *Hartung v. People*, 22 N. Y. 95, and the last sentence (following the ellipsis) should read as follows:

"It is highly probable that it was the intention of the legislature to extend favor, rather than increased severity, towards this convict and others in her situation; and it is quite likely that, had they been consulted, they would have preferred the application of this law to their cases, rather than that which existed when they committed the offences of which they were convicted. But the case cannot be determined upon such considerations. No one can be criminally punished in this country, *except according to a law prescribed for his government by the sovereign authority, before the imputed offence was committed, and which existed as a law at that time.* . . . No State shall pass any *ex post facto* law, is the mandate of the Constitution of the United States." (Emphasis added.)