

392 U. S.

June 17, 1968.

SINGER *v.* MYERS, CORRECTIONAL  
SUPERINTENDENT.ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED  
STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT.

No. 1485, Misc. Decided June 17, 1968.

Certiorari granted; 384 F. 2d 279, reversed.

*Peter Hearn* for petitioner.

PER CURIAM.

The motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and the petition for a writ of certiorari are granted. The judgment is reversed. *Jackson v. Denno*, 378 U. S. 368, and *Roberts v. LaVallee*, 389 U. S. 40.

LOPINSON *v.* PENNSYLVANIA.ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME  
COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA.

No. 1133. Decided June 17, 1968.\*

Certiorari granted; No. 1133, 427 Pa. 284, 234 A. 2d 552; No. 1095, Misc., 427 Pa. 72, 233 A. 2d 542; and No. 1700, Misc., vacated and remanded.

*Lester J. Schaffer* for petitioner in No. 1133, and *Howard M. Nazor* and *Gordon L. Nazor* for petitioner in No. 1700, Misc.

*Michael J. Rotko* and *Arlen Specter* for respondent in No. 1133; *Mr. Rotko*, *William H. Wolf, Jr.*, and *Mr.*

---

\*Together with No. 1095, Misc., *Coyle v. Pennsylvania*; and No. 1700, Misc., *Pruett v. Ohio*, both on petitions for writs of certiorari. No. 1095, Misc., is to the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, and No. 1700, Misc., to the Supreme Court of Ohio.

Per Curiam.

392 U. S.

*Specter* for respondent in No. 1095, Misc.; and *Joseph E. Mahoney* for respondent in No. 1700, Misc.

## PER CURIAM.

The motions for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* in No. 1095, Misc., and No. 1700, Misc., are granted and the petitions for writs of certiorari in all three cases are granted. Without reaching the petitioners' other claims, the judgments are vacated and the cases remanded for reconsideration in the light of *Witherspoon v. Illinois*, 391 U. S. 510.

MR. JUSTICE HARLAN dissents for the reasons stated in MR. JUSTICE BLACK's dissenting opinion in *Witherspoon v. Illinois*, 391 U. S. 510, 532.

MR. JUSTICE WHITE dissents for the reasons stated in his dissenting opinion in *Witherspoon v. Illinois*, 391 U. S. 510, 540.

MR. JUSTICE BLACK, dissenting.

In all three of these cases the Court remands to the state courts on one single constitutional claim of petitioners *without reaching other constitutional claims raised by them*. The result is that after the state courts rule on the single remand issue this Court will undoubtedly be called on to pass on the other issues which the Court refuses to decide. At the very least this means postponement of a final decision in these cases a year or two years or three years, unless, that is, this Court should, on the second review, choose once more to decide the cases piecemeal. Piecemeal dispositions of criminal cases inevitably cause delays and hamper enforcement of the criminal laws and there is a lot of truth in the old adage that delay is a defendant's best lawyer. See *Witherspoon v. Illinois*, 391 U. S. 510, where a murder sentence was reversed nine years after the murder. It

392 U. S.

June 17, 1968.

is true that under *Fay v. Noia*, 372 U. S. 391, a certain amount of delay is inevitable in criminal cases, but that is not true in these cases where the issues are squarely presented to us here and now.

---

SPENCE ET AL. v. NORTH CAROLINA.

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME COURT OF NORTH CAROLINA.

No. 759, Misc. Decided June 17, 1968.\*

Certiorari granted; No. 759, Misc., 271 N. C. 23, 155 S. E. 2d 802; No. 1311, Misc., 419 S. W. 2d 849; and No. 1823, Misc., 388 F. 2d 409, vacated and remanded.

*Sam Houston Clinton, Jr.*, for petitioner in No. 1311, Misc.

*T. W. Bruton*, Attorney General of North Carolina, and *Harry W. McGalliard*, Deputy Attorney General, for respondent in No. 759, Misc. *Crawford C. Martin*, Attorney General of Texas, *Nola White*, First Assistant Attorney General, *Hawthorne Phillips* and *Lonny F. Zwiener*, Assistant Attorneys General, and *A. J. Carubbi, Jr.*, for respondent in No. 1311, Misc. *Mr. Martin*, *Miss White*, and *Robert C. Flowers*, *Douglas H. Chilton*, and *Mr. Zwiener*, Assistant Attorneys General, for respondent in No. 1823, Misc.

PER CURIAM.

The motions for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and the petitions for writs of certiorari are granted. The

---

\*Together with No. 1311, Misc., *Ellison v. Texas*, and No. 1823, Misc., *Jackson v. Beto*, *Corrections Director*, both on petitions for writs of certiorari. No. 1311, Misc., is to the Court of Criminal Appeals of Texas, and No. 1823, Misc., to the Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit.