

INDEX

ABANDONED PROPERTY. See **Escheat.**

ABATEMENT. See **Civil Rights Act of 1964; Constitutional Law, VII.**

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE. See **Federal Power Commission; Interstate Commerce, 2, 3; Interstate Commerce Commission.**

ADMIRALTY. See also **Procedure, 6.**

1. *Jones Act—Death of seaman—Exclusive remedy.*—Jones Act, which bases recovery on negligence and not unseaworthiness, provides the exclusive right of action for wrongful death of seaman killed in state territorial waters in the course of employment and supersedes all otherwise applicable state death statutes. *Gillespie v. U. S. Steel Corp.*, p. 148.

2. *Jones Act—Pain and suffering of decedent—State statutes.*—Cause of action for decedent's pain and suffering survived under the Jones Act, through § 9 of the Federal Employers' Liability Act, and presumably under the Ohio survival statute based on theory of unseaworthiness. *Gillespie v. U. S. Steel Corp.*, p. 148.

3. *Jones Act recovery—Class of beneficiaries.*—Right of recovery under the Jones Act depends on § 1 of the Federal Employers' Liability Act which excludes beneficiaries of a remote class if there are beneficiaries in a nearer class. *Gillespie v. U. S. Steel Corp.*, p. 148.

AIRPORT ZONING. See **Federal Airport Act; Jurisdiction, 1.**

ALL-COMMODITY RATES. See **Interstate Commerce Act.**

APPEALS. See **Procedure, 1-2, 6.**

APPORTIONMENT. See **Constitutional Law, IV, 2-3; Procedure, 4, 7.**

ARREST. See **Constitutional Law, VI, 2.**

ASSEMBLY. See **Constitutional Law, V, 2-4.**

BANK HOLDING COMPANY ACT OF 1956.

New national bank—Federal Reserve Board approval—Comptroller of the Currency.—Where the issues concern the organization and relationship of holding company and new national bank, matters within the cognizance of the Board rather than the Comptroller, the

BANK HOLDING COMPANY ACT OF 1956—Continued.

statutory scheme provided by the Act, Board determination, subject to review by a court of appeals, should be followed. *Whitney Bank v. New Orleans Bank*, p. 411.

BANKRUPTCY ACT.

1. *Corporate rehabilitation—Chapters X and XI—Public investors.*—Chapters X and XI of the Act are two distinct and mutually exclusive methods of corporate rehabilitation; Chapter XI is a summary procedure with only a minimum of control, while Chapter X, being primarily for the protection of public investors, affords greater protection to stockholders and creditors, with judicial control over the entire proceedings. *SEC v. American Trailer Rentals*, p. 594.

2. *Liability of distributing agent—Priority of federal claim—Reorganization.*—Where a distributing agent possessed sufficient control over the assets in his possession of an insolvent debtor he had a responsibility under 31 U. S. C. § 192 to see that a federal priority claim was paid, and the fact that he was acting primarily for the court rather than for the debtor does not categorically exclude him from the coverage of § 192. *King v. United States*, p. 329.

3. *Protection for public investors—Chapter X.*—As a general rule a proceeding under Chapter X of the Act is appropriate for adjusting publicly held debt, or where there are widespread public stockholders needing its protection. *SEC v. American Trailer Rentals*, p. 594.

BANKS. See **Bank Holding Company Act of 1956**; **Jurisdiction**, 2; **Service of Process**.

BOOKS. See **Constitutional Law**, VI, 1.

BRANCH BANKS. See **Bank Holding Company Act of 1956**; **Jurisdiction**, 2; **Service of Process**.

CARRIERS. See **Interstate Commerce Act**.

CERTIORARI. See **Procedure**, 2.

CIVIL RIGHTS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2; III, 1-2; IV, 1; V-VII.

CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2; III, 2; VII; **Interstate Commerce**, 1; **Procedure**, 3.

Place of public accommodation—Abatement of state conviction for entry on another's land.—Since restaurant serves interstate travelers it is a "place of public accommodation" within § 201 of the Act and state convictions for entry on restaurant property prior to enactment thereof are abated by passage of the Act. *Blow v. North Carolina*, p. 684.

CLASS RATES. See *Interstate Commerce Act*.

COERCION. See *Procedure*, 5.

COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL. See also *Interstate Commerce*, 3.

Federal Power Commission regulation—Scope of future regulation.—Doctrine of collateral estoppel is not applicable since only the scope of future Federal Power Commission regulation concerning transactions not governed by past decisions is involved. *Federal Power Comm'n v. Amerada Petroleum Corp.*, p. 687.

COLLECTIVE BARGAINING. See *Labor; National Labor Relations Act*, 1, 3.

COLLECTIVE - BARGAINING AGREEMENT. See *Interstate Commerce Commission*, 1; *National Labor Relations Act*.

COMMERCE CLAUSE. See *Constitutional Law*, I; *Interstate Commerce*.

COMMUNIST PARTY. See *Constitutional Law*, VI, 1.

COMPROLLER OF THE CURRENCY. See *Bank Holding Company Act of 1956*.

CONFESSIONS. See *Procedure*, 5.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW. See also *Civil Rights Act of 1964*; *Interstate Commerce*, 1; *Procedure*, 3; *Public Officers*.

I. Commerce Clause.

1. *Interstate travelers—Civil Rights Act of 1964.*—Title II of the Act is a valid exercise of Congress' power under the Commerce Clause as applied to a place of public accommodation serving interstate travelers. *Atlanta Motel v. United States*, p. 241.

2. *Racial discrimination by restaurants—Civil Rights Act of 1964.*—Congress acted within its power to protect commerce in extending coverage of Title II of the Act to restaurants serving food a substantial portion of which has moved in interstate commerce, as it had ample basis to conclude that racial discrimination by such restaurants burdened interstate trade. *Katzenbach v. McClung*, p. 294.

II. Contract Clause.

Sale of state lands—Change in forfeiture provisions.—Not every modification of a contractual promise impairs the obligation of a contract and the State has reserved power to safeguard the vital interests of its people which may modify or affect, but not destroy, the constitutional limitation of impairment of contractual obligations. *El Paso v. Simmons*, p. 497.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**III. Due Process.**

1. *Impartial jury—In custody of deputy sheriffs who were also witnesses.*—Close and continuous association between deputy sheriffs who were key prosecution witnesses and the jury which was in their custody deprived petitioner of the right to an impartial jury required by the Due Process Clause. *Turner v. Louisiana*, p. 466.

2. *Involuntary servitude—Civil Rights Act of 1964.*—The prohibition in Title II of the Act of racial discrimination in public accommodations affecting commerce does not violate the Fifth Amendment as being a deprivation of property or liberty without due process of law, or the Thirteenth Amendment as being involuntary servitude. *Atlanta Motel v. United States*, p. 241.

3. *Reliance on police officials—Entrapment.*—Since appellant was in effect advised by police officials that a demonstration where held was not prohibited, his conviction for exercising the privilege they told him was available would allow a type of entrapment violative of due process. *Cox v. Louisiana*, p. 559.

IV. Equal Protection of the Laws.

1. *Act of interracial couple a crime under state law.*—State criminal statute prohibiting an unmarried interracial couple from habitually occupying the same room at night is invalid as violating the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. *McLaughlin v. Florida*, p. 184.

2. *Reapportionment of state legislature—Supervening decisions.*—The District Court's judgment holding invalid certain Pennsylvania apportionment statutes and constitutional provisions is vacated and the cause is remanded for further consideration in the light of supervening decisions. *Seranton v. Drew*, p. 40.

3. *State legislative apportionment—Single-member districts.*—The Equal Protection Clause does not necessarily require the formation of all single-member districts in a State's legislative apportionment plan. *Fortson v. Dorsey*, p. 433.

V. Freedom of Speech and Assembly.

1. *Criminal libel—Public officers.*—The Constitution limits state power to impose sanctions for criticism of public officers in criminal as well as civil libel cases to false statements made with actual malice. *Garrison v. Louisiana*, p. 64.

2. *State breach of peace statute unconstitutional.*—Louisiana has defined breach of peace as "to agitate, to arouse from a state of repose, to molest, to interrupt, to hinder, to disquiet," which makes its breach of peace statute unconstitutionally vague in its overly

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

broad scope, as one of the functions of free speech is to invite dispute. *Cox v. Louisiana*, p. 536.

3. *State obstructing public passages statute—Discretion of local officials.*—Allowing local officials unfettered discretion in permitting use of streets for parades and meetings despite prohibition contained in Louisiana's obstructing public passages statute abridged appellant's freedom of speech and assembly. *Cox v. Louisiana*, p. 536.

4. *State statute prohibiting picketing near a courthouse—Obstruction of justice.*—Louisiana's narrowly drawn statute prohibiting picketing near a courthouse with intent to obstruct justice furthers the State's legitimate interest of protecting its judicial system, is a valid regulation of conduct as distinguished from speech, and does not infringe rights of free speech and assembly. *Cox v. Louisiana*, p. 559.

VI. Search and Seizure.

1. *General warrants—Seizure of books.*—Fourth Amendment's protections are by the Fourteenth Amendment guaranteed against invasion by the States, which may not constitutionally issue general warrants not describing with particularity the things to be seized, especially where First Amendment freedoms may also be impinged. *Stanford v. Texas*, p. 476.

2. *Unlawful arrest.*—Petitioner's arrest without probable cause and the search and seizure incident thereto were invalid under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments. *Beck v. Ohio*, p. 89.

VII. Supremacy Clause.

Civil Rights Act of 1964—Abatement of state trespass convictions.—The Civil Rights Act of 1964 creates federal statutory rights which under the Supremacy Clause must prevail over any conflicting state laws, and state trespass convictions on direct review at the time the Act made the conduct no longer unlawful must abate. *Hamm v. Rock Hill*, p. 306.

CONTRACT CLAUSE. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

CONTRACTS. See **Collateral Estoppel**; **Federal Power Commission**; **Interstate Commerce**, 2-3; **Labor**; **National Labor Relations Act**, 1; **Patents**.

CORPORATIONS. See **Bankruptcy Act**, 1-3; **Jurisdiction**, 2; **Service of Process**.

COSTS. See **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure**, 3.

COURTHOUSES. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 4.

COURTS. See Admiralty; Federal Employers' Liability Act; Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 1-4; Jurisdiction, 1-3; Labor-Management Reporting and Disclosure Act of 1959, 1; Mandamus; Procedure, 1-7.

CREDITORS. See Bankruptcy Act, 1-3; Escheat.

CRIMINAL LAW. See Civil Rights Act of 1964; Constitutional Law, III, 1, 3; IV, 1; V, 1-4; VI, 1-2; VII; Procedure, 1, 5; Public Officers.

CRIMINAL LIBEL. See Constitutional Law, V, 1; Public Officers.

DEBTOR AND CREDITOR. See Bankruptcy Act, 1-3; Escheat.

DEFAMATION. See Constitutional Law, V, 1; Public Officers.

DEFENDANTS. See Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 1-2; Mandamus.

DELEGATES. See Labor-Management Reporting and Disclosure Act of 1959, 2.

DISCRETION. See Constitutional Law, V, 3.

DISCRIMINATION. See Civil Rights Act of 1964; Constitutional Law, I, 1-2; III, 2; IV, 1; V, 3; Interstate Commerce, 1; Procedure, 3.

DISTRIBUTING AGENT. See Bankruptcy Act, 2.

DUE PROCESS. See Constitutional Law, III; Federal Airport Act; Interstate Commerce, 1; Jurisdiction, 1; Procedure, 5.

ELECTIONS. See Constitutional Law, IV, 2-3; Jurisdiction, 3; Labor-Management Reporting and Disclosure Act of 1959, 1-2; Procedure, 4, 7.

EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEE. See Federal Employers' Liability Act; Interstate Commerce Commission, 1; Labor; National Labor Relations Act, 1-3.

ENTRAPMENT. See Constitutional Law, III, 3.

EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS. See Constitutional Law, IV; Procedure, 4.

ESCHEAT.

Jurisdiction of State to escheat abandoned intangible property.—Jurisdiction to escheat abandoned intangible personalty lies in the State of the creditor's last known address on the debtor's books or, absent such address or an escheat law, in the State of the corporate debtor's domicile—but subject to later escheat to the former State if it proves such an address to be within its borders and provides for escheat of such property. *Texas v. New Jersey*, p. 674.

EVIDENCE. See **Constitutional Law**, VI, 2; **Federal Employers' Liability Act**.

EXHAUSTION OF REMEDIES. See **Labor**.

FEDERAL AIRPORT ACT. See also **Jurisdiction**, 1.

Airport zoning ordinance—Compensation for taking of airspace.—The Act does not defeat respondent's right under state law to compensation for the taking of airspace. *Jankovich v. Toll Road Comm'n*, p. 487.

FEDERAL CLAIM. See **Bankruptcy Act**, 2.

FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT.

Employer negligence—State appellate court invading province of the jury.—Where employee was awarded damages by a jury under the Act, the state appellate court improperly invaded the province of the jury by holding that the issue of employer negligence should not have been submitted to the jury and that the trial court erred in denying employer's motion for a directed verdict. *Davis v. Baltimore & O. R. Co.*, p. 671.

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION. See also **Collateral Estoppel**; **Interstate Commerce**, 2-3.

Jurisdictional scope—Natural Gas Act—Rule-making or adjudication.—The jurisdictional boundaries of the Commission may be established by adjudication rather than by rule-making. *California v. Lo-Vaca Co.*, p. 366.

FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD. See **Bank Holding Company Act of 1956**.

FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE. See also **Jurisdiction**, 2; **Mandamus**; **Service of Process**.

1. *Rule 35 (a)—Mental and physical examination of defendants.*—Rule 35 (a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure applies to mental and physical examination of defendants as well as plaintiffs and as so applied is constitutional and authorized by the Rules Enabling Act. *Schlagenhauf v. Holder*, p. 104.

2. *Rule 35 (a)—Requirements of "in controversy" and "good cause."*—The requirements of Rule 35 (a) that the condition of the party sought to be examined be "in controversy" and that "good cause" be shown for the examination are not met by mere conclusory allegations of the pleadings, but must be applied with discrimination by the trial judge. *Schlagenhauf v. Holder*, p. 104.

3. *Rule 45 (e)—Expenses of transporting witnesses—Taxation of costs.*—The 100-mile subpoena provision in Rule 45 (e) does not com-

FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE—Continued.

pletely bar a district court from taxing as costs expenses of transporting witnesses more than 100 miles, for Rule 54 (d) leaves the district court discretion to tax such expenses. *Farmer v. Arabian American Oil Co.*, p. 227.

4. *Taxation of costs—Second trial.*—It was not error for a district court judge at the end of the second trial to determine costs for both trials, as the first judgment and taxation of costs were upset by the reversal of the trial judgment. *Farmer v. Arabian American Oil Co.*, p. 227.

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS. See **Civil Rights Act of 1964**; **Constitutional Law**, VII; **Federal Airport Act**; **Jurisdiction**, 1; **Labor**; **Procedure**, 1.

FIFTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2; **Interstate Commerce**, 1.

FINAL JUDGMENT. See **Procedure**, 6.

FIRST AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 1-4; **Public Officers**.

FLORIDA. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1.

FOREIGN BRANCH BANKS. See **Jurisdiction**, 2; **Service of Process**.

FORFEITURE. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1, 3; IV, 1-3; **Federal Airport Act**; **Jurisdiction**, 1; **Procedure**, 4-5, 7.

FOURTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, VI, 1-2; **Procedure**, 1.

FRAUD. See **Internal Revenue Code**, 1-2.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND ASSEMBLY. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 1-4; **Public Officers**.

GEORGIA. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1; III, 2; IV, 3; **Procedure**, 4.

GOVERNMENT CLAIM. See **Bankruptcy Act**, 2.

HABEAS CORPUS. See **Procedure**, 1.

HOP-PICKING MACHINES. See **Patents**.

HOTELS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1; III, 2; **Interstate Commerce**, 1.

IMPAIRMENT OF CONTRACT OBLIGATION. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR. See **National Labor Relations Act**, 1.

INJUNCTIONS. See **Jurisdiction**, 2; **Procedure**, 4; **Service of Process**.

INSOLVENCY. See **Bankruptcy Act**.

INTANGIBLES. See **Escheat**.

INTERNAL REVENUE. See **Jurisdiction**, 2; **Service of Process**.

INTERNAL REVENUE CODE.

1. *Fraud—Statute of limitations—Summons.*—Internal Revenue Service need not show probable cause to suspect fraud in order to enforce summons for tax records, either before or after the expiration of statute of limitations, and unless the taxpayer raises a substantial question of abuse of the court's process, the Service need only show that the investigation is relevant to a legitimate purpose to acquire information properly determined to be necessary. *United States v. Powell*, p. 48.

2. *Summons—Statute of limitations—Fraud.*—Internal Revenue Service need not show probable cause for suspecting fraud in order to examine taxpayer's records for closed years. *Ryan v. United States*, p. 61.

INTERRACIAL COHABITATION. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE. See also **Collateral Estoppel**; **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2; **Federal Power Commission**; **Procedure**, 3.

1. *Interstate travel—Racial discrimination—Moral wrongs.*—The protection of interstate commerce, which includes interstate movement of persons, is within the regulatory power of Congress whether or not the transportation is "commercial" and even though Congress in removing the disruptive effect of racial discrimination was also legislating against moral wrongs. *Atlanta Motel v. United States*, p. 241.

2. *Transportation of natural gas—Commingling in pipeline—"Restricted use" contracts.*—Actuality of interstate transportation and resale of substantial portion of natural gas commingled in pipeline invokes Federal Power Commission jurisdiction, notwithstanding contracts providing for restricted use of the gas. *California v. Lo-Vaca Co.*, p. 366.

3. *Transportation of natural gas—Sale of commingled gas intra-state and interstate—Federal Power Commission jurisdiction.*—Where interstate pipeline company commingles gas from several

INTERSTATE COMMERCE—Continued.

sources and uses some intrastate and sells some out of state, the parties may not avoid Federal Power Commission jurisdiction by stipulating in their contract that, contrary to actuality of transportation, all of one supplier's gas will be used intrastate. *Federal Power Comm'n v. Amerada Petroleum Corp.*, p. 687.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE ACT.

Railroad rates—Class rates—All-commodity rates.—Section 1 (6) of the Act applies to the setting of class rates but not to all-commodity railroad rates, although the latter are subject to Interstate Commerce Commission control under other provisions of the Act. *All States Frgt. v. N. Y., N. H. & H. R. Co.*, p. 343.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION.

1. *Railroad merger—Protection of employees' interests.*—Judgment dismissing employees' complaint to set aside in part Interstate Commerce Commission railroad merger orders for failure to protect employees' interests pursuant to provisions of a collective bargaining agreement vacated insofar as judgment relates to those provisions, with instructions to remand case to the Commission for clarification of orders. *Railway Labor Assn. v. U. S.*, p. 199.

2. *Railroad rate reductions—Order not supported by adequate findings.*—Interstate Commerce Commission should reconsider its order canceling certain railroad rate reductions in view of District Court's determination that the order was not supported by adequate findings. *Arrow Co. v. Cincinnati, N. O. & T. P. R. Co.*, p. 642.

INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2; **Interstate Commerce**, 1.

JONES ACT. See **Admiralty**, 1-3; **Procedure**, 6.

JUDGES. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 1; **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure**, 2-4; **Public Officers**.

JUDGMENTS. See **Procedure**, 6.

JURISDICTION. See also **Bank Holding Company Act of 1956**; **Collateral Estoppel**; **Escheat**; **Federal Airport Act**; **Federal Power Commission**; **Interstate Commerce**, 2-3; **Labor-Management Reporting and Disclosure Act of 1959**, 1; **Procedure**, 3; **Service of Process**.

1. *Supreme Court—State court decision based on state and federal grounds—Not reviewable.*—This Court has no jurisdiction to review state court judgment based on independent and adequate state grounds, even though it also rested on similar federal grounds. *Jankovich v. Toll Road Comm'n*, p. 487.

JURISDICTION—Continued.

2. *District Courts—Injunction “freezing” foreign corporation’s account in foreign branch of domestic bank—Internal revenue laws.*—District Court has jurisdiction to issue injunction “freezing” foreign corporation’s account in foreign branch of domestic bank, pending personal service on the corporation, in connection with the enforcement of the internal revenue laws. *U. S. v. First Nat. City Bank*, p. 378.

3. *District Courts—Union election—Labor-Management Reporting and Disclosure Act of 1959.*—Federal district court has no jurisdiction over suit by union members under § 102 of the Act charging that union’s eligibility requirements deprived them of right to nominate candidates guaranteed by § 101 (a)(1), that provision being directed solely against discrimination in the electoral process itself. *Calhoon v. Harvey*, p. 134.

JURY. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1; **Federal Employers’ Liability Act**.

JUST COMPENSATION. See **Federal Airport Act**; **Jurisdiction**, 1.

LABOR. See also **Interstate Commerce Commission**, 1; **Jurisdiction**, 3; **Labor-Management Reporting and Disclosure Act of 1959**, 1-2; **National Labor Relations Act**, 1-3.

Labor Management Relations Act—Contract grievance procedures—Severance pay.—Under federal policy reflected in the Act, contract grievance procedures, which apply to severance and other claims, must, unless specified as nonexclusive, be exhausted before direct legal redress is sought. *Republic Steel Corp. v. Maddox*, p. 650.

LABOR MANAGEMENT RELATIONS ACT. See **Labor**.

LABOR-MANAGEMENT REPORTING AND DISCLOSURE ACT OF 1959. See also **Jurisdiction**, 3.

1. *Union election—Nomination of candidates—Complaints.*—Eligibility requirements for nomination for union office are governed by § 401 (e) of the Act, and the remedy for protecting rights thereunder is post-election suit by the Secretary of Labor following complaint by member who has exhausted his union remedies and an investigation by the Secretary showing probable cause of violation. *Calhoon v. Harvey*, p. 134.

2. *Voting at union conventions—Weighted voting of delegates.*—Section 101 (a)(3)(B) of the Act permits weighted-voting system whereby delegates cast a number of votes equal to the membership of their local union. *Musicians Federation v. Wittstein*, p. 171.

LEGISLATURES. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2-3; **Procedure**, 4, 7.

LIBEL. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 1; **Public Officers**.

LICENSE. See **Patents**.

LIENS. See **Jurisdiction**, 2; **Service of Process**.

LITIGATION. See **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure**, 3-4.

LOUISIANA. See **Bank Holding Company Act of 1956**; **Constitutional Law**, III, 1, 3; V, 1-4; **Public Officers**.

LUNCH COUNTERS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2; VII.

MALAPPORTIONMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2-3; **Procedure**, 4, 7.

MANDAMUS. See also **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure**, 1-2; **Procedure**, 6.

Power of District Court to order mental and physical examinations of defendant in negligence action—Appropriate remedy.—In this case mandamus was an appropriate remedy to review the challenged power of the District Court to order mental and physical examinations of defendant in negligence suit. *Schlagenhauf v. Holder*, p. 104.

MENTAL EXAMINATIONS. See **Federal Rules of Civil Procedure**, 1-2; **Mandamus**.

MERGER. See **Interstate Commerce Commission**, 1.

MISCEGENATION. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1.

MISSISSIPPI. See **Procedure**, 1.

MONOPOLY. See **Patents**.

MOOTNESS. See **Procedure**, 4.

MOTELS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1; III, 2; **Interstate Commerce**, 1.

NATIONAL BANK ACT. See **Bank Holding Company Act of 1956**.

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT.

1. *Collective bargaining—Independent contractor.*—Contracting out to an independent contractor of maintenance work which replaces employees in bargaining unit is a statutory subject of collective bargaining under § 8 (d) of the Act. *Fibreboard Corp. v. Labor Board*, p. 203.

2. *Discharge of employees for alleged misconduct—Unfair labor practice.*—Discharge of employees in good faith for alleged miscon-

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT—Continued.

duct while soliciting for union membership is an unfair labor practice where there was no misconduct and discharge would tend to discourage activity protected by § 7 of the National Labor Relations Act. *Labor Board v. Burnup & Sims*, p. 21.

3. *Failure to bargain—Reinstatement of employees.*—Where employer failed to comply with statutory duty to bargain the National Labor Relations Board did not exceed its remedial powers in ordering employer to reinstate employees with back pay and to bargain. *Fibreboard Corp. v. Labor Board*, p. 203.

NATURAL GAS ACT. See *Collateral Estoppel*; *Federal Power Commission*; *Interstate Commerce*, 2-3.

NEGLIGENCE. See *Admiralty*; *Federal Employers' Liability Act*; *Federal Rules of Civil Procedure*, 1-2; *Mandamus*; *Procedure*, 6.

NEGROES. See *Civil Rights Act of 1964*; *Constitutional Law*, I, 1-2; III, 2-3; IV, 1; V, 2-4; VII; *Interstate Commerce*, 1; *Procedure*, 1, 3.

NOMINATIONS. See *Jurisdiction*, 3; *Labor-Management Reporting and Disclosure Act of 1959*, 1.

OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. See *Constitutional Law*, V, 4.

PAIN AND SUFFERING. See *Admiralty*, 2; *Procedure*, 6.

PARADES. See *Constitutional Law*, III, 3; V, 2-4.

PATENTS.

License agreement—Royalties beyond expiration of patents.—Royalty provisions of a patent license agreement covering machines incorporating certain patents may not extend beyond the expiration of the last incorporated patent. *Brulotte v. Thys Co.*, p. 29.

PENNSYLVANIA. See *Constitutional Law*, IV, 2.

PERMISSION. See *Constitutional Law*, III, 3; V, 3-4.

PHYSICAL EXAMINATIONS. See *Federal Rules of Civil Procedure*, 1-2; *Mandamus*.

PICKETING. See *Constitutional Law*, V, 4.

PIPELINES. See *Collateral Estoppel*; *Federal Power Commission*; *Interstate Commerce*, 2-3.

POLICE OFFICIALS. See *Constitutional Law*, III, 1, 3; V, 3.

PRIORITY. See *Bankruptcy Act*, 2.

PROCEDURE. See also **Admiralty; Bank Holding Company Act of 1956; Bankruptcy Act, 1, 3; Constitutional Law, I, 2; IV, 2; Federal Airport Act; Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 1-2; Internal Revenue Code, 1-2; Jurisdiction, 1-2; Labor; Mandamus.**

1. *Supreme Court—Appeal from state criminal conviction—Remand to determine whether federal claims were waived.*—Case remanded to state appellate court to determine whether petitioner knowingly waived his federal claim before deciding whether non-federal procedural ground is adequate to bar review by this Court. *Henry v. Mississippi*, p. 443.

2. *Supreme Court—Appeal improperly brought—Petition for certiorari.*—Although the appeal was improperly brought under 28 U. S. C. § 1254 (2), this Court treats the papers as a petition for certiorari under 28 U. S. C. § 2103. *El Paso v. Simmons*, p. 497.

3. *Supreme Court—Declaratory relief—Constitutional question before the Court in companion case.*—Since interference with governmental action has occurred and the constitutionality of Title II of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 is before the Court in a companion case, the Court reaches the merits of this case by considering it as an application for declaratory judgment, instead of denying relief for want of equity jurisdiction. *Katzenbach v. McClung*, p. 294.

4. *District Court—Legislative apportionment—Present need for injunction.*—District Court which had enjoined Georgia election officials from placing on the ballot the question of adopting a new state constitution until the legislature was properly apportioned should reconsider the present need for the injunction. *Fortson v. Toombs*, p. 621.

5. *State courts—Criminal trial—Confessions.*—Defendant who has not been afforded an adequate hearing on voluntariness of his confession is not necessarily entitled to a new trial, but is entitled to state court hearing under standards designed to insure proper resolution of the issue; and, if not afforded a hearing or a new trial in a reasonable time, is entitled to his release. *Boles v. Stevenson*, p. 43.

6. *Final judgment—Appealability.*—Requirement of finality of a judgment should be given practical rather than technical construction and does not necessarily mean that to be appealable an order must be the last possible one to be made in a case. *Gillespie v. U. S. Steel Corp.*, p. 148.

7. *Stipulation modifying District Court judgment—Legislative apportionment.*—Stipulation modifying remedial portion of District Court judgment holding Vermont constitutional provisions concerning legislative apportionment invalid approved by this Court. *Parsons v. Buckley*, p. 359.

PROCESS. See *Jurisdiction*, 2; *Service of Process*.

PUBLIC ACCOMMODATIONS. See *Civil Rights Act of 1964*; *Constitutional Law*, I, 1; III, 2; VII; *Interstate Commerce*, 1; *Procedure*, 3.

PUBLIC LANDS. See *Constitutional Law*, II.

PUBLIC OFFICERS. See also *Constitutional Law*, V, 1.

Criminal libel—Private defamation—Freedom of speech.—Accusation concerning judges' official conduct did not become private defamation because it might also have reflected on the judges' private character. *Garrison v. Louisiana*, p. 64.

RACIAL DISCRIMINATION. See *Civil Rights Act of 1964*; *Constitutional Law*, I, 1-2; III, 2; IV, 1; V, 2-4; VII; *Interstate Commerce*, 1; *Procedure*, 3.

RAILROAD MERGER. See *Interstate Commerce Commission*, 1.

RAILROAD RATES. See *Interstate Commerce Act*; *Interstate Commerce Commission*, 2.

RAILROADS. See *Interstate Commerce Act*.

RAILWAY EMPLOYEES. See *Interstate Commerce Commission*, 1.

RATES. See *Interstate Commerce Act*; *Interstate Commerce Commission*, 2.

REAL PROPERTY. See *Constitutional Law*, II.

REAPPORTIONMENT. See *Constitutional Law*, IV, 2-3; *Procedure*, 4, 7.

REFEREE. See *Bankruptcy Act*, 2.

REINSTATEMENT. See *Constitutional Law*, II; *National Labor Relations Act*, 1, 3.

REMEDIES. See *Jurisdiction*, 3; *Labor-Management Reporting and Disclosure Act of 1959*, 1; *National Labor Relations Act*, 1, 3; *Procedure*, 7.

REORGANIZATION. See *Bankruptcy Act*, 1, 3.

RESTAURANTS. See *Civil Rights Act of 1964*; *Constitutional Law*, I, 2; VII; *Procedure*, 3.


ROYALTIES. See *Patents*.


RULES. See *Federal Power Commission*; *Federal Rules of Civil Procedure*, 1-4; *Interstate Commerce*; *Jurisdiction*, 2; *Service of Process*.


- SEAMEN.** See Admiralty, 1-3; Procedure, 6.
- SEARCH AND SEIZURE.** See Constitutional Law, VI, 1-2; Procedure, 1.
- SEARCH WARRANTS.** See Constitutional Law, VI, 1-2.
- SEAWORTHINESS.** See Admiralty; Procedure, 6.
- SECRETARY OF LABOR.** See Jurisdiction, 3; Labor-Management Reporting and Disclosure Act of 1959, 1.
- SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.** See Bankruptcy Act, 1, 3.
- SERVICE OF PROCESS.** See also Jurisdiction, 2.
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure—Service on nonresident—State law.—Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 4 (e) and (f) provide for service of process on nonresidents in accordance with state statute. U. S. v. First Nat. City Bank, p. 378.
- SEVERANCE PAY.** See Labor.
- “SIT-IN” DEMONSTRATIONS.** See Constitutional Law, VII.
- STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS.** See Internal Revenue Code, 1-2.
- STOCKHOLDERS.** See Bankruptcy Act, 1, 3.
- STREETS.** See Constitutional Law, III, 3; V, 3-4.
- SUMMONS.** See Internal Revenue Code, 1-2.
- SUPREMACY CLAUSE.** See Constitutional Law, VII.
- TAXATION.** See Internal Revenue Code, 1-2; Jurisdiction, 2; Service of Process.
- TAXATION OF COSTS.** See Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 3-4.
- TEXAS.** See Constitutional Law, II; VI, 1.
- THIRTEENTH AMENDMENT.** See Constitutional Law, III, 2; Interstate Commerce, 1.
- TRANSPORTATION.** See Interstate Commerce Act; Interstate Commerce Commission.
- TRESPASS.** See Civil Rights Act of 1964; Constitutional Law, VII.
- TRIAL.** See Constitutional Law, III, 1; Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 3-4; Procedure, 5.
- UNCLAIMED PROPERTY.** See Escheat.
- UNIONS.** See Jurisdiction, 3; Labor-Management Reporting and Disclosure Act of 1959, 1-2; National Labor Relations Act, 1-2.


- UNSEAWORTHINESS.** See Admiralty; Procedure, 6.
- VERMONT.** See Procedure, 7.
- VOTING.** See Labor-Management Reporting and Disclosure Act of 1959, 2.
- WAIVER.** See Procedure, 1.
- WARRANTS.** See Constitutional Law, VI, 1-2.
- WEIGHTED VOTING.** See Labor-Management Reporting and Disclosure Act of 1959, 2.
- WITNESSES.** See Constitutional Law, III, 1; Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 3-4.
- WORDS.**
1. "*A party.*"—Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 35 (a). Schlagenhauf v. Holder, p. 104.
 2. "*Good cause.*"—Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 35 (a). Schlagenhauf v. Holder, p. 104.
 3. "*In controversy.*"—Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 35 (a). Schlagenhauf v. Holder, p. 104.
 4. "*Near.*"—La. Rev. Stat. § 14.401 (Cum. Supp. 1962). Cox v. Louisiana, p. 559.
 5. "*Place of public accommodation.*"—Civil Rights Act of 1964, § 201. Blow v. North Carolina, p. 684.
- WRONGFUL DEATH.** See Admiralty; Procedure, 6.
- ZONING.** See Federal Airport Act; Jurisdiction, 1.




























OAMSS37417

