

379 U. S.

October 12, 1964.

AMPCO PRINTING-ADVERTISERS' OFFSET
CORP. ET AL. *v.* CITY OF
NEW YORK ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF APPEALS OF NEW YORK.

No. 152. Decided October 12, 1964.

Appeal dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Reported below: 14 N. Y. 2d 11, 197 N. E. 2d 285.

Harold Riegelman and *H. H. Nordlinger* for appellants.

Leo A. Larkin, *Stanley Buchsbaum* and *Samuel J. Warms* for the City of New York et al., and *Louis J. Lefkowitz*, Attorney General of New York, *Samuel A. Hirshowitz*, First Assistant Attorney General, and *Gustave Soderberg*, Assistant Attorney General, for Lefkowitz, appellees.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

EDELL *v.* MACK ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF APPEALS OF NEW YORK.

No. 145, Misc. Decided October 12, 1964.

Appeal dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Reported below: 13 N. Y. 2d 1001, 195 N. E. 2d 58.

PER CURIAM.

The appeal is dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.