

379 U. S.

October 12, 1964.

SCHACKMAN ET AL. v. CALIFORNIA.

APPEAL FROM THE APPELLATE DEPARTMENT, SUPERIOR
COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES.

No. 105. Decided October 12, 1964.

Appeal dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Burton Marks for appellants.*Roger Arnebergh, Philip E. Grey and Wm. E. Doran*
for appellee.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is
dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.BATTISTA ET AL., TRADING AS NOR-VIEW FARM v.
MILK CONTROL COMMISSION OF
PENNSYLVANIA.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA.

No. 295. Decided October 12, 1964.

Appeal dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Reported below: 413 Pa. 652, 198 A. 2d 840.

Frederick A. Ballard for appellants.*Walter E. Alessandrini*, Attorney General of Pennsyl-
vania, and *Anthony W. Novasitis, Jr.*, Assistant Attorney
General, for appellee.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is
dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.