

378 U. S.

Per Curiam.

MARSHALL ET AL. v. HARE, SECRETARY OF
STATE OF MICHIGAN, ET AL.APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN.

No. 962. Decided June 22, 1964.

Judgment reversed and case remanded.

Reported below: 227 F. Supp. 989.

Theodore Sachs for appellants.

Robert A. Derengoski, Solicitor General of Michigan, *Stanton S. Faville*, Chief Assistant Attorney General, and *James R. Ramsey* and *Russell A. Searl*, Assistant Attorneys General, for Hare et al.; and *Edmund E. Shepherd* and *R. William Rogers* for Beadle et al., appellees.

PER CURIAM.

The judgment below is reversed. *Reynolds v. Sims*, 377 U. S. 533; *Lucas v. Forty-Fourth General Assembly of Colorado*, 377 U. S. 713. The case is remanded for further proceedings consistent with the views stated in our opinions in *Reynolds v. Sims* and in the other cases relating to state legislative apportionment decided along with *Reynolds*.

MR. JUSTICE CLARK and MR. JUSTICE STEWART would affirm the judgment because the Michigan system of legislative apportionment is clearly a rational one and clearly does not frustrate effective majority rule.

MR. JUSTICE HARLAN dissents for the reasons stated in his dissenting opinion in *Reynolds v. Sims*, 377 U. S. 533, 589.