

Per Curiam.

378 U. S.

GLASS ET AL. v. HANCOCK COUNTY ELECTION
COMMISSION ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF MISSISSIPPI.

No. 853. Decided June 22, 1964.

Appeal dismissed and certiorari denied.

Reported below: 156 So. 2d 825.

Upton Sisson for appellants.

PER CURIAM.

The appeal is dismissed for want of jurisdiction. Treating the papers whereon the appeal was taken as a petition for writ of certiorari, certiorari is denied.

WILLIAMS, TREASURER OF OKLAHOMA, ET AL.
v. MOSS ET AL.APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA.

No. 476. Decided June 22, 1964.*

Judgment affirmed on the merits, and cases remanded for further proceedings consistent with views stated in *Reynolds v. Sims*, 377 U. S. 533.

Reported below: 220 F. Supp. 149.

Charles Nesbitt, Attorney General of Oklahoma, for appellants in No. 476.

Frank Carter for appellants in No. 534.*Jim A. Rinehart* for appellants in No. 546.

*Together with No. 534, *Oklahoma Farm Bureau et al. v. Moss et al.*, and No. 546, *Baldwin et al. v. Moss*, both also on appeal from the same court.

558

Per Curiam.

Sid White for appellee Moss in No. 476.

Norman E. Reynolds, Jr., pro se, appellee in Nos. 476 and 534.

Delmer L. Stagner and *LeRoy Powers* for Council of Democratic Neighborhood Clubs in Nos. 476 and 534.

PER CURIAM.

The judgment below is affirmed on the merits. *Reynolds v. Sims*, 377 U. S. 533. The cases are remanded for further proceedings, with respect to relief, consistent with the views stated in our opinions in *Reynolds v. Sims* and in the other cases relating to state legislative apportionment decided along with *Reynolds*, should that become necessary.

MR. JUSTICE CLARK would affirm on the merits on the grounds stated in his opinion in *Reynolds v. Sims*, 377 U. S. 533, 587.

MR. JUSTICE STEWART would affirm the judgment insofar as it holds that Oklahoma's system of legislative apportionment violates the Equal Protection Clause.

MR. JUSTICE HARLAN dissents for the reasons stated in his dissenting opinion in *Reynolds v. Sims*, 377 U. S. 533, 589.