

Per Curiam.

375 U. S.

## RATIGAN ET AL. v. DAVIS ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF NEBRASKA.

No. 547. Decided January 6, 1964.

Appeal dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Reported below: 175 Neb. 416, 122 N. W. 2d 12.

*Benjamin M. Wall* for appellants.*William R. King* and *Seymour L. Smith* for appellees.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

---

## SPATT v. CITY OF NEW YORK ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF APPEALS OF NEW YORK.

No. 562. Decided January 6, 1964.

Appeal dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Reported below: 13 N. Y. 2d 618, 191 N. E. 2d 91.

*Charles E. Bernstein* for appellant.*Leo A. Larkin*, *Stanley Buchsbaum* and *Solomon Portnow* for appellees.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.