

## INDEX

---

**ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE.** See **Civil Rights Act**; **Constitutional Law**, II, 8; **Government Contracts**; **Natural Gas Act**; **Public Lands**; **Waters**.

### **ADMIRALTY.**

1. *Longshoremen—Personal injuries—Defective packaging of cargo—Negligence—Unseaworthiness.*—Libel by longshoreman for damages for injuries suffered while unloading ship at dock was within maritime jurisdiction; and finding that shipowner was negligent in allowing beans on which he slipped to be unloaded in defective bagging and that ship was unseaworthy because of the faulty bags, sustained. *Gutierrez v. Waterman S. S. Corp.*, p. 206.

2. *Longshoremen—Personal injuries—Unseaworthiness—Liability of employer.*—Exclusive compensation provision of Longshoremen's Act did not prevent longshoreman from relying on his employer's liability as shipowner *pro hac vice* for ship's unseaworthiness to support his libel *in rem* against ship for injuries sustained while loading it. *Reed v. The Yaka*, p. 410.

**AGENCY SHOPS.** See **Labor**, 2-3.

**AGRICULTURAL MARKETING AGREEMENT ACT.** See **Constitutional Law**, I; V, 1.

**AIDING AND ABETTING.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

**ALABAMA.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1-2.

### **AMENDMENTS.**

Rules of Supreme Court, p. 955.

### **ANTITRUST ACTS.**

*Sherman Act—Denial of direct-wire connections to over-the-counter broker-dealers in securities—Liability of Stock Exchange.*—Duty of self-regulation imposed on Stock Exchange by Securities Exchange Act of 1934 did not exempt it from antitrust laws; and denial of direct-wire connections to out-of-town, over-the-counter broker-dealers violated § 1 of Sherman Act and rendered Exchange liable under §§ 4 and 16 of Clayton Act. *Silver v. New York Stock Exchange*, p. 341.

**APPORTIONMENT.** See **Waters**.

**ARIZONA.** See **Waters**.

- ARRAIGNMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1.
- AVOCADOS.** See **Constitutional Law**, I; III, 9; V, 1.
- BAD DEBTS.** See **Taxation**, 1.
- BOULDER CANYON PROJECT ACT.** See **Waters**.
- BOYCOTTS.** See **Antitrust Acts**.
- BREACH OF PEACE.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 6; III, 3.
- BRIBERY.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV.
- BRITISH SUBJECTS.** See **Taxation**, 2.
- BROADCASTING.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 4.
- BROKERS.** See **Antitrust Acts**.
- BUDGET DIRECTOR.** See **Jurisdiction**, 1.
- BUSINESS DEBTS.** See **Taxation**, 1.
- CALIFORNIA.** See **Constitutional Law**, I; III, 9; V, 1; **Waters**.
- CAPITAL GAINS.** See **Taxation**, 2.
- CAUSE OF ACTION.** See **Jurisdiction**, 3.
- CHANGE OF VENUE.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 4.
- CIVIL RIGHTS ACT.** See also **Jurisdiction**, 3.  
*Public schools—Racial segregation—State administrative remedy.*—When Negro students sued under Civil Rights Act to compel their registration in racially integrated public schools, District Court erred in dismissing complaint for failure to exhaust administrative remedies provided under Illinois statute which forbids racial segregation in public schools. *McNeese v. Board of Education*, p. 668.
- CLAYTON ACT.** See **Antitrust Acts**.
- COLORADO RIVER.** See **Waters**.
- COMMERCE.** See **Antitrust Acts**; **Constitutional Law**, I; **Labor**, 1-7; **Taxation**, 3; **Transportation**; **Waters**.
- CONFESSIONS.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 2, 4.
- CONFLICTS OF LAWS.** See **Constitutional Law**, I; V, 1-2; **Labor**, 4-5; **Taxation**, 3.
- CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.** See also **Civil Rights Act**; **Jurisdiction**, 1; **Procedure**, 1; **Taxation**, 3.
- I. Commerce Clause.**

*State regulation of transportation and sale of avocados.*—Whether California statute regulating transportation and sale of avocados violated Commerce Clause could not be determined on record, and case was remanded for further proceedings on that question. *Florida Avocado Growers v. Paul*, p. 132.

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW**—Continued.**II. Due Process.**

1. *State criminal trials—Absence of counsel.*—Absence of counsel for Maryland prisoner when he pleaded guilty before magistrate at preliminary hearing, and admission of such plea in evidence at trial, violated Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment. *White v. Maryland*, p. 59.

2. *State criminal trials—Coerced confessions.*—Written confession obtained after defendant had been held incommunicado for 16 hours and told that he could not call his wife until he had signed it was coerced, and its admission in evidence violated Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment, notwithstanding jury's verdict of guilty after question whether confession was voluntary had been left to its determination. *Haynes v. Washington*, p. 503.

3. *State criminal trials—Suppression of evidence favorable to accused.*—Suppression by prosecution of evidence favorable to an accused who has requested it violates due process where evidence is material either to guilt or to punishment, irrespective of good faith of prosecution. *Brady v. Maryland*, p. 83.

4. *State criminal trials—Change of venue.*—It was denial of due process for state court to deny change of venue for criminal trial when local people had been exposed repeatedly and in depth to television broadcasting of defendant confessing to sheriff that he had committed the crime. *Rideau v. Louisiana*, p. 723.

5. *State criminal proceedings—Grant of new trial as to punishment but not guilt.*—In state-court post-conviction proceedings, grant of new trial as to punishment but not guilt did not deny due process where highest state court found that evidence suppressed by prosecution at trial could not have affected question of guilt but may have influenced jury's verdict as to punishment. *Brady v. Maryland*, p. 83.

6. *State criminal trials—Breach of peace—Conviction of Negroes for refusal to leave public park.*—Conviction of Negroes for breach of peace solely because they refused to leave public park customarily used only by white people violated their rights under Fourteenth Amendment. *Wright v. Georgia*, p. 284.

7. *State criminal cases—Indigents—No transcript available.*—When no transcript of trial is available due to death of court reporter, State may, without violating Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment, deny relief to indigent prisoner who had lawyer at his trial and presumably had the lawyer's continuing services for purposes of appeal but failed to pursue an appeal. *Norvell v. Illinois*, p. 420.



**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW**—Continued.

8. *State denial of admission to Bar—Want of hearing.*—Applicant for admission to State Bar was denied due process when he was denied admission without hearing on charges filed against him, either before court or before committee of lawyers appointed to investigate character and fitness. *Willner v. Committee on Character and Fitness*, p. 96.

**III. Equal Protection of Laws.**

1. *State criminal proceedings—Trespass—Racially segregated lunch counters—Convictions for refusal to leave.*—Where local laws, customs or administrative edicts require racial segregation at lunch counters, conviction of Negroes for trespass or criminal mischief for refusing to leave lunch counters reserved for white people violates Equal Protection Clause of Fourteenth Amendment. *Peterson v. Greenville*, p. 244; *Lombard v. Louisiana*, p. 267; *Gober v. Birmingham*, p. 374; *Avent v. North Carolina*, p. 375.

2. *Racially segregated lunch counters—Aiding and abetting "sit-down demonstrations."*—Since criminal trespass convictions of Negroes for refusing to leave white lunch counters where racial segregation was required by city ordinance were invalid under Fourteenth Amendment, conviction of Negro ministers for aiding and abetting such conduct must be set aside. *Shuttlesworth v. Birmingham*, p. 262.

3. *Negroes—Breach of peace—Convictions for refusal to leave public park.* Conviction of Negroes for breach of peace solely because they refused to leave public park customarily used only by white people violated Fourteenth Amendment. *Wright v. Georgia*, p. 284.

4. *Racial desegregation of public schools—Transfer plans.*—Plans for racial desegregation of public schools under which any student, solely on basis of his race and racial composition of school, would be permitted to transfer from school where he would be in racial minority to school where his race would be in majority, fail to satisfy requirements of Fourteenth Amendment. *Goss v. Board of Education*, p. 683.

5. *Negroes—Racial segregation in state courtroom.*—State may not require racial segregation in a courtroom, and contempt conviction of Negro for refusal to sit in Negro section was reversed. *Johnson v. Virginia*, p. 61.

6. *Desegregation of public parks and recreational facilities—Further delay not permissible.*—Further delay in termination of racial segregation of remaining parks and recreational facilities of city not justified where there had been no violence or meaningful disturbance

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW**—Continued.

when other facilities had been desegregated and city failed to show compelling or convincing reason for further delay. *Watson v. City of Memphis*, p. 526.

7. *State criminal proceedings—Grant of new trial as to punishment but not guilt.*—In state-court post-conviction proceedings, grant of new trial as to punishment but not guilt did not deny equal protection of laws where highest state court found that evidence suppressed by prosecution at trial could not have affected question of guilt but may have influenced jury's verdict as to punishment. *Brady v. Maryland*, p. 83.

8. *State criminal cases—Indigents—No transcript available.*—When no transcript of trial is available due to death of court reporter, State may, without violating Equal Protection Clause of Fourteenth Amendment, deny relief to indigent prisoner who had lawyer at his trial and presumably had the lawyer's continuing services for purposes of appeal but failed to pursue an appeal. *Norvell v. Illinois*, p. 420.

9. *State regulation of transportation and sale of avocados.*—California statute regulating transportation and sale of avocados does not violate Equal Protection Clause of Fourteenth Amendment. *Florida Avocado Growers v. Paul*, p. 132.

**IV. Search and Seizure.**

*Secret wire recording of bribe offer—Admissibility in evidence.*—Secret wire recording of bribe offer to federal agent on premises of defendant at latter's invitation did not violate his rights under Fourth Amendment and was admissible in evidence in trial for attempting to bribe agent in violation of 18 U. S. C. § 201; no entrapment shown. *Lopez v. United States*, p. 427.

**V. Supremacy Clause.**

1. *State regulation of transportation and sale of avocados—Federal Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act.*—California statute regulating transportation and sale of avocados not invalid under Supremacy Clause, because there is not such conflict between state and federal schemes of regulation that both cannot stand in same area, and there is no evidence of congressional design to preempt the field in Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act. *Florida Avocado Growers v. Paul*, p. 132.

2. *State laws regulating practice of law—Applicability to federally licensed patent practitioner.*—Nonlawyers licensed to practice before Patent Office may not be prevented by State from performing within its borders tasks incident to preparation and prosecution of patent applications. *Sperry v. Florida Bar*, p. 379.

- CONTEMPT.** See Constitutional Law, III, 5.
- CONTRACTS.** See Government Contracts.
- COUNSEL.** See Constitutional Law, II, 1, 7-8; III, 8; V, 2.
- COURTS OF APPEALS.** See Jurisdiction, 2.
- CRIMINAL LAW.** See Constitutional Law, II, 1-7; III, 1-3, 7-8; IV; Jurisdiction, 2; Procedure, 1-2; Transportation; Trial, 1-2.
- DEALERS.** See Antitrust Acts.
- DESEGREGATION.** See Civil Rights Act; Constitutional Law, III, 4-6.
- DIRECTOR OF BUDGET.** See Jurisdiction, 1.
- DISPUTES CLAUSE.** See Government Contracts.
- DUE PROCESS.** See Constitutional Law, II.
- ELKINS ACT.** See Transportation.
- ENTRAPMENT.** See Constitutional Law, IV.
- EQUAL PROTECTION OF LAWS.** See Civil Rights Act; Constitutional Law, III.
- EVIDENCE.** See Constitutional Law, II, 2-3; IV; Government Contracts; Trial, 1.
- EXTENSION OF ADMIRALTY JURISDICTION ACT.** See Admiralty, 1.
- FEDERAL AGRICULTURAL MARKETING AGREEMENT ACT.** See Constitutional Law, I; V, 1.
- FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION.** See Trial, 1.
- FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION.** See Natural Gas Act.
- FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE.** See Jurisdiction, 2.
- FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS.** See Civil Rights Act; Constitutional Law, I; II, 1-8; III, 1-9; V, 1-2; Jurisdiction, 1; Labor, 2-5; Procedure, 1; Taxation, 3; Waters.
- FLORIDA.** See Constitutional Law, I; III, 9; V, 1-2; Labor, 3.
- FOREMEN.** See Labor, 5.
- FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT.** See Civil Rights Act; Constitutional Law, II, 1-8; III, 1-9; Procedure, 1.
- FOURTH AMENDMENT.** See Constitutional Law, IV; Jurisdiction, 3.
- FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND PRESS.** See Labor, 7.



**FREIGHT FORWARDERS.** See **Transportation.**

**GAS.** See **Natural Gas Act.**

**GEORGIA.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 6; III, 3.

**GILA RIVER.** See **Waters.**

**GOVERNMENT CONTRACTS.**

*Suits on contract—Disputes clause—Wunderlich Act.*—In a suit on a government contract, apart from questions of fraud, determination of finality to be attached to departmental decision on a question arising under a "disputes" clause must rest solely on consideration of record before the department, and no new evidence may be received or considered. *United States v. Bianchi & Co.*, p. 709.

**HABEAS CORPUS.** See **Procedure**, 1.

**HARMLESS ERROR.** See **Trial**, 2.

**HAWAII.** See **Jurisdiction**, 1.

**ILLINOIS.** See **Civil Rights Act**; **Constitutional Law**, II, 7; III, 8.

**INCOME TAX.** See **Taxation**, 1-2.

**INDIANA.** See **Labor**, 2.

**INDIANS.** See **Waters.**

**INDICTMENTS.** See **Transportation.**

**INDIGENTS.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 7; III, 8.

**INJUNCTIONS.** See **Labor**, 3, 6-7.

**INSTRUCTIONS TO JURIES.** See **Trial**, 2.

**INTERIOR DEPARTMENT.** See **Public Lands**; **Waters.**

**INTERLOCUTORY ORDERS.** See **Jurisdiction**, 2.

**INTERNAL REVENUE.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV; **Taxation**, 1.

**INTERSTATE COMMERCE.** See **Antitrust Acts**; **Constitutional Law**, I; **Labor**, 1-7; **Taxation**, 3; **Transportation**; **Waters.**

**INTERVIEW REPORTS.** See **Trial**, 1.

**IRRIGATION.** See **Waters.**

**JENCKS ACT.** See **Trial**, 1.

**JURIES.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 2; III, 7; **Trial**, 2.

**JURISDICTION.** See also **Admiralty**, 1; **Labor**, 3-6; **Procedure**, 1-2.

1. *Supreme Court—Original action—Hawaii against Budget Director.*—State of Hawaii could not maintain original action in Supreme

**JURISDICTION**—Continued.

Court against Budget Director to obtain, under § 5 (e) of Hawaii Statehood Act, lands acquired by United States through condemnation, because suit was against United States, which had not consented to it. *Hawaii v. Gordon*, p. 57.

2. *Courts of Appeals—Order of District Court vacating sentences and ordering prisoners returned to it for resentencing.*—Motion of prisoners for vacation of their sentences and that they be resentenced, because of failure to comply with Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32 (a), should be considered as having been made in collateral proceedings under 28 U. S. C. § 2255; District Court's order granting motion was interlocutory, not final; and Court of Appeals did not have jurisdiction of appeal therefrom before resentencing. *Andrews v. United States*, p. 334.

3. *District Courts—Federal question—Cause of action—Wrongful issuance of subpoena.*—On face of complaint, District Court had jurisdiction where right of plaintiff to recover depended on construction of Federal Constitution or laws; but allegation that defendant wrongfully caused plaintiff to be subpoenaed to appear as witness before Un-American Activities Committee and that this caused plaintiff to lose his job failed to state federal cause of action where there was no allegation that plaintiff was arrested, detained pursuant to subpoena or cited for contempt for failure to respond. *Wheeldin v. Wheeler*, p. 647.

**LABOR.** See also **Admiralty**, 1-2.

1. *National Labor Relations Act—Unfair labor practice—Awarding superseniority to strikebreakers.*—Labor Board was justified in finding that it was violation of § 8 (a) for employer to award 20-year seniority credit to replacements for strikers and to strikers who returned to work during strike. *Labor Board v. Erie Resistor Corp.*, p. 221.

2. *National Labor Relations Act—Unfair labor practice—Refusal to bargain about "agency shop."*—In a State which does not prohibit an "agency shop" arrangement, an employer commits an unfair labor practice when it unconditionally refuses to bargain with a certified union of its employees over the union's proposal for adoption of such an arrangement. *Labor Board v. General Motors Corp.*, p. 734.

3. *National Labor Relations Act—"Agency shop" clause—Right of State to prohibit.*—"Agency shop" clause of collective bargaining agreement held to be within scope of § 14 (b) and, therefore, subject to prohibition by state law; legality governed by decision of State Supreme Court; decision as to jurisdiction of state court to enforce such prohibition deferred. *Retail Clerks v. Schermerhorn*, p. 746.



**LABOR**—Continued.

4. *National Labor Relations Act—Possible violation—Suit in state court for damages.*—State court precluded from exercising jurisdiction over suit by union member against union's business agent for damages for wrongful refusal to refer plaintiff to employer who wanted to employ him, since such refusal might arguably violate § 7 or § 8 of Act and be within exclusive jurisdiction of Board. *Plumbers' Union v. Borden*, p. 690.

5. *National Labor Relations Act—Possible violation—Suit in state court for damages.*—State court precluded from exercising jurisdiction over suit by union member against union and its officers for damages for causing plaintiff to lose right to work "as a foreman," since such action might arguably be unfair labor practice within exclusive jurisdiction of Board. *Iron Workers v. Perko*, p. 701.

6. *Railway Labor Act—Minor dispute—Enforcement of money award.*—Under Railway Labor Act, union could not legally strike to enforce Adjustment Board's money award in "minor dispute" but must use judicial enforcement procedure under § 3 First (p); and District Court properly enjoined threatened strike. *Locomotive Engineers v. L. & N. R. Co.*, p. 33.

7. *Railway Labor Act—Union-shop agreement—Use of dues for political purposes.*—Section 2 Eleventh of Railway Labor Act does not permit a union having a union-shop agreement to use a member's dues over his protest for political causes which he opposes, if he notifies union of his opposition; but injunction against collecting any dues from such members is too broad, and other remedies must be devised. *Railway Clerks v. Allen*, p. 113.

**LAWYERS.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1, 7-8; III, 8; V, 2.

**LONGSHOREMEN.** See **Admiralty**, 1-2.

**LOUISIANA.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 4; III, 1; **Taxation**, 3.

**LUNCH COUNTERS.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1-2.

**MARYLAND.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1, 3, 5; III, 7.

**MINERAL LEASING ACT.** See **Public Lands**.

**MOTOR CARRIERS.** See **Transportation**.

**NATIONAL FORESTS.** See **Waters**.

**NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT.** See **Labor**, 1-5.

**NATURAL GAS ACT.**

*Independent producers—Method of fixing rates—Termination of pending proceedings.*—When Federal Power Commission determined that individual company cost-of-service method of fixing rates for

**NATURAL GAS ACT**—Continued.

independent producers was unworkable, that rates should be established on area basis, and that rate increases filed under § 4 (d) would not bring revenues up to cost of service, it did not abuse discretion in terminating investigation under § 5 (a) of lawfulness of current rates and dismissing ten proceedings under § 4 (e) re legality of rate increases. *Wisconsin v. Federal Power Commission*, p. 294.

**NAVIGABLE WATERS.** See **Waters**.

**NEGLIGENCE.** See **Admiralty**, 1-2.

**NEGROES.** See **Civil Rights Act**; **Constitutional Law**, II, 6; III, 1-6.

**NEVADA.** See **Waters**.

**NEW MEXICO.** See **Waters**.

**NEW TRIAL.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 5; III, 7.

**NEW YORK.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 8.

**NORTH CAROLINA.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1; **Labor**, 7.

**OHIO.** See **Labor**, 5.

**PARKS.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 6; III, 3, 6; **Waters**.

**PATENTS.** See **Constitutional Law**, V, 2.

**PAUPERS.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 7; III, 8.

**PERSONAL INJURIES.** See **Admiralty**, 1-2.

**POLITICAL ACTIVITIES.** See **Labor**, 7.

**POST-CONVICTION PROCEEDINGS.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 7; **Jurisdiction**, 2; **Procedure**, 1-2.

**PRACTICE OF LAW.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 8; V, 2.

**PREJUDICIAL ERRORS.** See **Trial**, 2.

**PRISONERS.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1-7; **Jurisdiction**, 2; **Procedure**, 1-2; **Trial**, 1-2.

**PROCEDURE.** See also **Constitutional Law**, II, 1-8; III, 7; **Government Contracts**; **Jurisdiction**, 2; **Labor**, 2-7; **Natural Gas Act**; **Trial**, 1-2.

1. *Supreme Court — Certiorari — Dismissal when record insufficient.*—When it appeared after oral argument that record was insufficient to permit petitioner's claims that, in his trial and conviction in a state court, he was denied rights under Fourteenth Amendment, writ of certiorari was dismissed without prejudice to application for federal habeas corpus after exhaustion of any state remedies still open to him. *Smith v. Mississippi*, p. 238.

**PROCEDURE**—Continued.

2. *District Courts—Relief from sentence—Effect of denial of prior applications.*—No matter how many prior applications for relief a prisoner has made under 28 U. S. C. § 2255, controlling weight may not be given to their denial, in considering new application, if they were not adjudicated on merits or if different ground for relief is presented by new application; court's discretion as to hearing on new application. *Sanders v. United States*, p. 1.

**PUBLIC LANDS.** See also **Jurisdiction**, 1; **Waters**.

*Mineral Leasing Act—Noncompetitive lease—Cancellation in administrative proceedings.*—Secretary of the Interior has authority to cancel in administrative proceedings noncompetitive lease of public lands issued under Mineral Leasing Act of 1920 through administrative error. *Boesche v. Udall*, p. 472.

**PUBLIC SCHOOLS.** See **Civil Rights Act**; **Constitutional Law**, III, 4.

**RACIAL DISCRIMINATION.** See **Civil Rights Act**; **Constitutional Law**, II, 6; III, 1-6.

**RAILWAY LABOR ACT.** See **Labor**, 6-7.

**REBATES.** See **Transportation**.

**RECLAMATION.** See **Waters**.

**RECREATIONAL FACILITIES.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 6; III, 3, 6; **Waters**.

**REMEDIES.** See **Civil Rights Act**; **Jurisdiction**, 1-3; **Labor**, 3-7; **Natural Gas Act**; **Procedure**, 1-2; **Public Lands**; **Waters**.

**RESENTENCING.** See **Jurisdiction**, 2.

**RIGHT-TO-WORK LAWS.** See **Labor**, 3.

**RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE.** See **Jurisdiction**, 2.

**RULES OF SUPREME COURT.**

Amendment of Rules of Supreme Court, p. 955.

**SALES TAXES.** See **Taxation**, 3.

**SCHOOLS.** See **Civil Rights Act**; **Constitutional Law**, III, 4.

**SEARCH AND SEIZURE.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

**SEAWORTHINESS.** See **Admiralty**, 1-2.

**SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR.** See **Public Lands**; **Waters**.

**SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.** See **Antitrust Acts**.

**SEGREGATION.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 6; III, 1-6.



**SELF-INCRIMINATION.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 2, 4; **Trial**, 2.

**SENIORITY.** See **Labor**, 1.

**SENTENCING.** See **Jurisdiction**, 2.

**SHERMAN ACT.** See **Antitrust Acts**.

**SHIPOWNERS.** See **Admiralty**, 1-2.

**SIT-IN DEMONSTRATIONS.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1-2.

**SOUTH CAROLINA.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

**STOCK EXCHANGES.** See **Antitrust Acts**.

**STRIKES.** See **Labor**, 1, 6.

**SUBPOENAS.** See **Jurisdiction**, 3.

**SUPREMACY CLAUSE.** See **Constitutional Law**, V, 1-2.

**SUPREME COURT.** See also **Jurisdiction**, 1; **Procedure**, 1.  
Amendment of Rules, p. 955.

#### **TAXATION.**

1. *Income tax—Bad debts—Business or nonbusiness.*—Whether bad debt owed by corporation to individual taxpayer who owned controlling interest in it, managed it, sold equipment to it, leased bottling plant to it, and lent it money to pay other creditors, was business or nonbusiness debt, under § 23 (k) (4) of Internal Revenue Code of 1939, depended upon whether it was attributable to taxpayer's position as owner and lessor of real estate and bottling plant in which corporation did business. *Whipple v. Commissioner*, p. 193.

2. *Income tax—Exemption of capital gains of British subject—American trust.*—American trust, created and administered in United States by American trustee for beneficiaries who are British subjects and residents, which retains capital gains income realized in United States, is not exempt from income tax on such gains under provision of Income Tax Convention with United Kingdom exempting capital gains of "resident of the United Kingdom." *Maximov v. United States*, p. 49.

3. *State use taxes—Validity—Discrimination against interstate commerce.*—Though State taxed sales within State at same rate that it taxed use within State of articles imported from other States, its use tax was invalid when applied in such manner that it resulted in actual discrimination against owners of goods imported from other States. *Halliburton Oil Well Co. v. Reily*, p. 64.

**TELEVISION.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 4.

**TENNESSEE.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 4, 6.

**TEXAS.** See **Labor**, 4.

**TRANSCRIPTS.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 7; III, 8.

**TRANSFER PLANS.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 4.

**TRANSPORTATION.** See also **Admiralty**, 1-2; **Labor**, 6-7.

*Elkins Act—Solicitation of rebates—Beneficiary.*—An indictment under the Elkins Act stated an offense when it charged that a shipper's agent solicited rebates from a freight forwarder in connection with interstate motor carrier shipments, even though it did not allege that the rebate was for the benefit of the shipper. *United States v. Braverman*, p. 405.

**TREATIES.** See **Taxation**, 2.

**TRESPASS.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1-2.

**TRIAL.** See also **Constitutional Law**, II, 1-7; III, 7; IV; **Procedure**, 1-2.

1. *Criminal cases—Jencks Act—Interview report of F. B. I. agent.*—In trial in Federal District Court for bank robbery, an interview report written by an F. B. I. agent and found by the District Court to incorporate the substance, and so far as practicable the language, of notes taken by the agent during the interview, read back to the witness and acknowledged by him to be accurate, but not signed by him, should have been produced under 18 U. S. C. § 3500, when there were discrepancies between such report and the testimony of the witness. *Campbell v. United States*, p. 487.

2. *Criminal cases—Asking witnesses self-incriminating questions—Instructions to jury.*—In light of entire record of federal criminal trial, no prejudicial error was committed when prosecutor asked witnesses questions as to which their plea of privilege against self-incrimination was sustained; nor did judge's instructions to jury constitute prejudicial error, even if erroneous. *Namet v. United States*, p. 179.

**TRUSTS.** See **Taxation**, 2.

**UN-AMERICAN ACTIVITIES COMMITTEE.** See **Jurisdiction**, 3.

**UNIONS.** See **Labor**, 1-7.

**UNITED KINGDOM.** See **Taxation**, 2.

**UNSEAWORTHINESS.** See **Admiralty**, 1-2.

**USE TAXES.** See **Taxation**, 3.

**VENUE.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 4.

**VIRGINIA.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 5.

**WASHINGTON.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 2.

**WATERS.**

*Colorado River—Apportionment among States—Boulder Canyon Project Act.*—Boulder Canyon Project Act created comprehensive scheme for apportionment of Lower Basin's share of Colorado River water among Arizona, California and Nevada and gave Secretary of the Interior adequate authority to carry out scheme; Colorado River Compact and doctrines of equitable apportionment and prior appropriation not controlling; most provisions of Secretary's contracts sustained; certain claims of United States to waters for Indian reservations, national forests, recreational and wildlife areas, etc., sustained. *Arizona v. California*, p. 546.

**WILDLIFE.** See **Waters**.

**WIRE RECORDINGS.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

**WISCONSIN.** See **Natural Gas Act**.

**WITNESSES.** See **Jurisdiction**, 3; **Trial**, 1-2.

**WORDS.**

1. "*Affecting substantial rights.*"—Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 52 (b). *Namet v. United States*, p. 179.

2. "*Resident of the United Kingdom.*"—Income Tax Convention between United States and United Kingdom. *Maximov v. United States*, p. 49.


3. "*Trade or business.*"—Internal Revenue Code of 1939, § 23 (k) (4). *Whipple v. Commissioner*, p. 193.


4. "*Written statement made by said witness and . . . adopted . . . by him.*"—18 U. S. C. § 3500 (e) (1). *Campbell v. United States*, p. 487.


**WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION.** See **Admiralty**, 1-2.

**WUNDERLICH ACT.** See **Government Contracts**.































OAMSS37420

