

INDEX

ACCRUAL METHOD OF ACCOUNTING. See **Taxation**, 3.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE. See **Constitutional Law**, VI;
Labor, 1, 3; **Natural Gas Act**; **Reclamation**; **Transportation**,
1-3.

ADMIRALTY. See also **Jurisdiction**, 1; **Labor**, 1.

1. *Mutual fault collision—Computation of damages—Amount paid to government employee for personal injuries.*—In computing divided damages resulting from mutual fault collision between government dredge and privately owned ship, private shipowner was entitled to include amount paid by it to government employee for personal injuries sustained in collision, notwithstanding § 7 (b) of Federal Employees' Compensation Act. *Weyerhaeuser S. S. Co. v. United States*, p. 597.

2. *Towage—Negligence—Liability—Exemption.*—A towboat owner may not validly contract against liability for its own negligence. *Dixilyn Drilling Corp. v. Crescent Towing Co.*, p. 697.

AIRLINES. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1; **Jurisdiction**, 3.

ALIENS. See **Jurisdiction**, 1, 4; **Labor**, 1.

ANTITRUST ACTS.

1. *Robinson-Patman Act—Constitutionality—Sales below cost to destroy competition.*—Section 3 of the Robinson-Patman Act, which makes it a crime to sell goods at "unreasonably low prices for the purpose of destroying competition or eliminating a competitor," is not unconstitutionally vague as applied to sales made *below cost* without any legitimate commercial objective and with specific intent to destroy competition. *United States v. National Dairy Products Corp.*, p. 29.

2. *Sherman Act—Motor manufacturers—Territorial restrictions on resales by dealers—Summary judgment.*—In civil suit charging *per se* violations of §§ 1 and 3 of Sherman Act by franchise contracts of motor manufacturers placing territorial restrictions on resales by dealers, summary judgment was improperly granted and legality should be determined only after a trial. *White Motor Co. v. United States*, p. 253.

APPEALS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2-4; **Habeas Corpus**, 2.

ARBITRATION AWARDS. See **Jurisdiction**, 3; **Labor**, 2.

ARMED FORCES. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 5; **Estoppel**.

ASSAULT. See **Employers' Liability Act**, 2.

ASSEMBLY. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

ASSOCIATIONAL FREEDOM. See **Constitutional Law**, V, VII.

BANKRUPTCY.

Reorganization under Chap. X—Debtor remaining in possession—Officers as “fiduciaries”—Penalties for trading in stock.—In proceeding for reorganization of debtor corporation under Chap. X, wherein debtor was permitted to remain in possession and officers to continue in office, officers were “fiduciaries” within meaning of § 249 and subject to forfeiture of past and future compensation for trading in stock. *Wolf v. Weinstein*, p. 633.

BANKS. See **Jurisdiction**, 5.

BARGE LINES. See **Transportation**, 1-2.

BREACH OF PEACE. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

BUSINESS EXPENSES. See **Taxation**, 1-2.

CALIFORNIA. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2; **Jurisdiction**, 2; **Reclamation**.

CENSORSHIP. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

CENTRAL VALLEY RECLAMATION PROJECTS. See **Jurisdiction**, 2; **Reclamation**.

CITIZENSHIP. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 5; **Estoppel**.

CIVIL AERONAUTICS ACT. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

COLORADO. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

COMMERCE. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1-2; **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2; **Jurisdiction**, 1, 3-4; **Labor**, 1-3; **Taxation**, 4; **Transportation**, 1-3.

COMMUNISM. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

CONFESSIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1-2; **Habeas Corpus**, 2.

CONFLICT OF LAWS. See **Admiralty**, 1; **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW. See also **Antitrust Acts**, 1; **Habeas Corpus**, 1-2; **Natural Gas Act**; **Procedure**, 1-3, 5.

I. Commerce Clause.

1. *Interstate airlines—State law forbidding racial discrimination in hiring pilots.*—A state law forbidding an interstate airline to engage in racial discrimination in hiring pilots within the State does not unduly burden interstate commerce; nor is field preempted by Federal Aviation Act of 1958, Railway Labor Act or Executive Orders. *Colorado Anti-Discrimination Comm'n v. Continental Air Lines*, p. 714.

2. *State sales tax—Goods shipped out of State.*—Commerce Clause of Federal Constitution did not prevent Utah from levying and collecting sales tax on goods shipped out of State where passage of title and delivery to purchaser took place within Utah. *Utah Tax Comm'n v. Pacific States Pipe Co.*, p. 605.

II. Double Jeopardy.

Second trial after jury had been impaneled to try same person for same offense.—When jury had been impaneled in federal court to try defendant on six counts and it was discharged over defendant's objection because of absence of prosecution witness on two counts, his plea of former jeopardy should have been sustained in another trial two days later for same offenses. *Downum v. United States*, p. 734.

III. Due Process.

1. *State criminal trials—Confessions—Induced by drugs.*—If confession admitted in evidence over objection of defendant in state criminal trial was induced by drugs administered to him by police physician, it was constitutionally inadmissible in evidence. *Townsend v. Sain*, p. 293.

2. *State criminal trials—Confessions—Coerced by threats.*—Confession of state prisoner induced by threats to take away her children and deprive her of state financial aid for their support was coerced, and its admission in evidence violated Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment; its admission was not harmless error, even if other evidence was sufficient to support conviction. *Lynumn v. Illinois*, p. 528.

3. *State criminal trials—Counsel for indigents—Noncapital cases.*—Trial and conviction in state court of indigent defendant in noncapital felony case without assistance of counsel violates Fourteenth Amendment. *Gideon v. Wainwright*, p. 335.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

4. *State statutes—"Debt adjusting."*—A state statute making it a misdemeanor to engage in the business of "debt adjusting," except as an incident to the practice of law, does not violate the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. *Ferguson v. Skrupa*, p. 726.

5. *Federal statutes—Automatic loss of citizenship for remaining abroad to evade draft.*—Section 401 (j) of Nationality Act of 1940 and § 349 (a) (10) of Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952, which purport to deprive Americans of citizenship, automatically and without prior judicial or administrative proceedings, for remaining outside of United States in time of war or national emergency to evade draft, are unconstitutional, because they would inflict punishment without due process and safeguards attending criminal prosecutions under Fifth and Sixth Amendments. *Kennedy v. Mendoza-Martinez*, p. 144.

IV. Equal Protection of Laws.

1. *State statutes—"Debt adjusting"—Exemption of lawyers.*—A state statute making it a misdemeanor to engage in the business of "debt adjusting," except as an incident to the practice of law, does not deny equal protection of the laws to nonlawyers. *Ferguson v. Skrupa*, p. 726.

2. *State criminal appeals—Right of indigents to counsel.*—Where the merits of the one and only appeal an indigent has as of right are decided without benefit of counsel in a state criminal case, there has been a discrimination between the rich and the poor which violates the Fourteenth Amendment. *Douglas v. California*, p. 353.

3. *State criminal appeals—Indigents—Transcripts.*—Indiana denied prisoner equal protection of laws when it refused him appellate review of denial of writ of *coram nobis* solely because his poverty prevented him from filing transcript. *Lane v. Brown*, p. 477.

4. *State criminal appeals—Indigents—Transcripts.*—Rules of State of Washington governing provision of transcripts to indigent criminal defendants for purposes of appeal were applied in this case so as to deprive petitioners of rights guaranteed by Fourteenth Amendment. *Draper v. Washington*, p. 487.

5. *Statewide primary elections—County-unit system.*—Use in statewide primary elections in Georgia of county-unit system which gives rural votes more weight than urban votes violates Equal Protection Clause of Fourteenth Amendment. *Gray v. Sanders*, p. 368.

V. Freedom of Association.

Legislative investigations—Disclosure of association membership list.—State contempt conviction of president of local branch of Na-

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

tional Association for Advancement of Colored People for refusal to divulge information in membership list of Association to legislative committee investigating infiltration by Communists violated his rights of association under First and Fourteenth Amendments. *Gibson v. Florida Legislative Committee*, p. 539.

VI. Freedom of Press.

State action—Informal censorship of publications.—A system of informal state administrative censorship which, through intimidation and coercion of distributors, suppressed sale of publications found to be "objectionable"—without prior notice and hearing or provision for judicial review—violated Fourteenth Amendment. *Bantam Books v. Sullivan*, p. 58.

VII. Freedom of Speech, Assembly, etc.

Freedom of speech, assembly and to petition for redress of grievances—Peaceful picketing of state capitol to protest racial discrimination—Conviction for breach of peace.—In arresting, convicting and punishing Negro students for refusing to leave after peacefully picketing state capitol in protest against racial discrimination, State infringed their rights of free speech, free assembly and freedom to petition for redress of grievances—guaranteed under First and Fourteenth Amendments. *Edwards v. South Carolina*, p. 229.

CONTEMPT. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

CORAM NOBIS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 3.

CORPORATIONS. See **Bankruptcy**.

COUNSEL. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 3; IV, 2.

COUNTY-UNIT SYSTEM. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 5.

CRIMINAL LAW. See **Constitutional Law**, II; III, 1-3; IV, 1-4; VII; **Habeas Corpus**, 1-2; **Procedure**, 1.

DAMAGES. See **Admiralty**, 1; **Jurisdiction**, 2-3; **Reclamation**.

DANCE STUDIOS. See **Taxation**, 3.

DEALER FRANCHISES. See **Antitrust Acts**, 2.

DEBT ADJUSTING. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 4.

DECLARATORY JUDGMENTS. See **Procedure**, 2, 6.

DEDUCTIONS. See **Taxation**, 1-2.

DISCRIMINATION. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1; IV, 1-5; V; VII; **Procedure**, 5.

DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP. See **Procedure**, 6.

DIVORCE. See **Taxation**, 1-2.

DOUBLE JEOPARDY. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

DRAFT EVASION. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 5; **Estoppel**.

DRUGS. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

DUE PROCESS. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

ELECTIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 5; **Procedure**, 5.

EMINENT DOMAIN. See **Jurisdiction**, 2; **Reclamation**.

EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT.

1. *Liability of railroad—Foreseeability of harm—Insect bite—Sufficiency of evidence.*—In action under Federal Employers' Liability Act, evidence was sufficient to warrant jury's conclusion that it could be foreseen that harm might result from maintenance of stagnant pool of water beside railroad tracks and that employee's injuries resulting from infected insect bite were caused by railroad's negligence; and appellate court improperly invaded jury's function when it reversed for insufficiency of evidence judgment for employee entered by trial court on jury's special verdict. *Gallick v. Baltimore & O. R. Co.*, p. 108.

2. *Liability of railroad—Foreseeability of harm—Assault by employee—Sufficiency of evidence.*—In action under Federal Employers' Liability Act, evidence was sufficient to support jury's finding that assault on section foreman by member of section gang was foreseeable, and trial court erred in setting aside jury's award of damages to foreman. *Harrison v. Missouri P. R. Co.*, p. 248.

3. *Liability of railroad—Sufficiency of evidence.*—In suit under Federal Employers' Liability Act, evidence was sufficient to support jury's verdict for plaintiff, and trial court erred in setting it aside. *Basham v. Pennsylvania R. Co.*, p. 699.

EQUAL PROTECTION OF LAWS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

ESTOPPEL.

Trial and conviction for evading draft by going abroad—Subsequent denial of citizenship.—Trial and conviction of person for violating § 11 of Selective Training and Service Act of 1940, by going abroad to avoid draft, did not involve any determination of his citizenship and therefore did not estop Government from denying his citizenship under § 401 (j) of Nationality Act of 1940. *Kennedy v. Mendoza-Martinez*, p. 144.

EVIDENCE. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1-2; **Employers' Liability Act**, 1-3.

EXHAUSTION OF REMEDIES. See **Habeas Corpus**, 2; **Labor**, 3.

FEDERAL AVIATION ACT. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

FEDERAL EMPLOYEES' COMPENSATION ACT. See **Admiralty**, 1.

FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT. See **Employers' Liability Act**.

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION. See **Natural Gas Act**.

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2; III, 1-4; IV, 1-5; V; VI; VII; **Habeas Corpus**, 1-2; **Jurisdiction**, 4-5; **Labor**, 1; **Natural Gas Act**; **Procedure**, 1; **Reclamation**; **Transportation**, 3.

FIDUCIARIES. See **Bankruptcy**.

FIFTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, II; III, 5.

FIRST AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, V; VI; VII.

FLORIDA. See **Constitutional Law**, 5.

FOREIGN RELATIONS. See **Jurisdiction**, 1, 4; **Labor**, 1.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1-4; IV, 1-5; V; VI; VII; **Habeas Corpus**; **Procedure**, 1.

FRANCHISE CONTRACTS. See **Antitrust Acts**, 2.

FREEDOM OF ASSEMBLY. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

FREEDOM OF ASSOCIATION. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

FREEDOM OF PRESS. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

GAS. See **Natural Gas Act**.

GEORGIA. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 5.

GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES. See **Admiralty**, 1.

HABEAS CORPUS. See also **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

1. *Federal District Courts—Review of constitutionality of state criminal conviction—When full evidentiary hearing is required.*—Circumstances in which Federal District Court must give full evidentiary hearing on application by state prisoner for habeas corpus to review constitutionality of his conviction in a state court. *Townsend v. Sain*, p. 293.

2. *Federal District Courts—State prisoners—Failure to exhaust state remedies.*—Habeas corpus proceeding in Federal District Court is appropriate remedy for state imprisonment which violates Fourteenth Amendment; and District Court may grant relief despite applicant's failure to exhaust state remedies which are no longer available to him when he applies to federal court. *Fay v. Noia*, p. 391.

- HARMLESS ERROR.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.
- HUSBAND AND WIFE.** See **Taxation**, 1-2.
- ILLINOIS.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1-2; **Employers' Liability Act**, 2; **Habeas Corpus**, 1.
- IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 5.
- INCOME TAXES.** See **Taxation**, 1-3.
- INDIANA.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 3.
- INDIGENTS.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 3; IV, 2-4.
- INJUNCTIONS.** See **Jurisdiction**, 1-2, 4; **Procedure**, 2-3; **Transportation**, 1.
- INSANITY.** See **Procedure**, 1.
- INSIDERS.** See **Bankruptcy**.
- INTERIOR DEPARTMENT.** See **Jurisdiction**, 2; **Reclamation**.
- INTERNAL REVENUE CODE.** See **Taxation**, 1-3.
- INTERSTATE COMMERCE.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 1-2; **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2; **Jurisdiction**, 1, 3-4; **Labor**, 1-3; **Taxation**, 4; **Transportation**, 1-3.
- JURIES.** See **Constitutional Law**, II; **Employers' Liability Act**, 1-3; **Procedure**, 6.
- JURISDICTION.** See also **Labor**, 1-2; **Natural Gas Act**; **Procedure**; **Reclamation**; **Transportation**, 1, 3.

1. *District Courts—Injunctions—National Labor Relations Board.*—On application of foreign bargaining agent of crew of foreign ship, District Court had jurisdiction of original suit to set aside determination of National Labor Relations Board that Act applied to foreign seamen on such ship and that Board had power to determine their representation. *McCulloch v. Sociedad Nacional*, p. 10.

2. *District Courts—Suits against United States—Injunction against storing and diversion of water—Reclamation project.*—Notwithstanding McCarran Amendment, suit to enjoin United States, local officials of Reclamation Bureau and various irrigation and utility districts from storing and diverting water at dam, which is part of Reclamation Project authorized by Congress and undertaken by Reclamation Bureau, could not be maintained for want of consent to sue United States. *Dugan v. Rank*, p. 609; *City of Fresno v. California*, p. 627.

3. *District Courts—Suit to enforce award of airline system board of adjustment.*—A suit to enforce award of an airline system board of

JURISDICTION—Continued.

adjustment created by contract between airline and labor union under § 204 of Railway Labor Act, arises under federal law and law regulating commerce, and District Court has jurisdiction under 28 U. S. C. §§ 1331, 1337. *Machinists v. Central Airlines*, p. 682.

4. *State courts—Injunctions—Picketing of foreign ship by labor union.*—Since National Labor Relations Act is not applicable to maritime operations of foreign ships employing alien seamen, it does not deprive a state court of jurisdiction to enjoin picketing of such ships by an American union while in an American port. *Ineres Steamship Co. v. International Maritime Workers*, p. 24.

5. *State courts—Suits against national banks—Venue.*—Under 12 U. S. C. § 94, a suit involving usury and related matters may not be maintained against a national bank in the courts of a State other than that in which the bank is located. *Michigan Nat. Bank v. Robertson*, p. 591.

KANSAS. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 4; IV, 1; **Natural Gas Act**.

LABOR. See also **Admiralty**, 1; **Constitutional Law**, I, 1; **Employers' Liability Act**, 1-3; **Jurisdiction**, 1, 3-4.

1. *National Labor Relations Act—Coverage—Alien crews of foreign ships.*—Jurisdictional provisions of National Labor Relations Act do not extend to maritime operations of ships owned by foreign corporations, flying flag of foreign nation and carrying a foreign crew represented by a foreign union, even though ship is beneficially owned by an American corporation and sails regularly between United States and foreign countries. *McCulloch v. Sociedad Nacional*, p. 10; *Ineres Steamship Co. v. International Maritime Workers*, p. 24.

2. *Labor Management Relations Act, 1947—Suit to enforce compliance with arbitration award—Dismissal for want of jurisdiction.*—When complaint alleged that arbitration award was final and binding under collective bargaining agreement, District Court erred in dismissing suit under § 301 to enforce compliance for want of jurisdiction, merely on pleadings and excerpts from collective bargaining agreement. *Truck Drivers v. Riss & Co.*, p. 517.

3. *Railway Labor Act—Exhaustion of procedures—Freedom to resort to self-help.*—Act does not fix and does not authorize anyone to fix generally applicable standards for working conditions, and, when parties to major dispute about changes in such conditions proposed by railroads have exhausted procedures provided by Act for major disputes, they are relegated to self-help in adjusting dispute, subject only to appointment of Emergency Board by President under § 10. *Locomotive Engineers v. B. & O. R. Co.*, p. 284.

LAWYERS. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 3-4; IV, 1; **Procedure**, 6; **Taxation**, 1-2.

LEGISLATIVE INVESTIGATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

MCCARRAN AMENDMENT. See **Jurisdiction**, 2.

MEMBERSHIP LISTS. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

MOTOR CARRIERS. See **Procedure**, 4.

MOTOR MANUFACTURERS. See **Antitrust Acts**, 2.

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

NATIONAL BANKS. See **Jurisdiction**, 5.

NATIONALITY ACT OF 1940. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 5; **Estoppel**.

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT. See **Jurisdiction**, 1, 4; **Labor**, 1.

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION POLICY. See **Transportation**, 1-2.

NATURAL GAS ACT.

Interstate pipeline companies—State orders to purchase gas ratably from all wells in each field.—Orders of a State Commission requiring interstate pipeline company to purchase natural gas ratably from all wells connecting with its pipeline system in each gas field in the State were invalid, because they invaded the exclusive jurisdiction which the Natural Gas Act has conferred on the Federal Power Commission over the sale and transportation of natural gas in interstate commerce for resale. *Northern Natural Gas Co. v. Kansas Corporation Comm'n*, p. 84.

NEBRASKA. See **Jurisdiction**, 5.

NEGLIGENCE. See **Admiralty**, 1-2; **Employers' Liability Act**, 1-3.

NEGROES. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1; V; VII.

NEW JERSEY. See **Transportation**, 3.

NEW TRIAL. See **Procedure**, 1.

NEW YORK. See **Employers' Liability Act**, 3; **Habeas Corpus**, 2.

OBSCENITY. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

OHIO. See **Employers' Liability Act**, 1.

PAUPERS. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 3; IV, 2-4.

PERSONAL INJURIES. See **Admiralty**, 1; **Employers' Liability Act**, 1-3.

PICKETING. See **Jurisdiction**, 4.

PIPELINES. See **Natural Gas Act**.

POLICE POWER. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1; III, 4; VII.

PRICE CUTTING. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.

PRICE FIXING. See **Antitrust Acts**, 2.

PRIMARY ELECTIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 5.

PRIVILEGE. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

PROCEDURE. See also **Antitrust Acts**, 2; **Constitutional Law**, II; **Habeas Corpus**, 1-2; **Jurisdiction**; **Labor**, 1-3.

1. *Supreme Court—Appeal from state criminal conviction—Remand to consider subsequent developments.*—Judgment of state appellate court affirming petitioner's conviction of felony vacated and case remanded for consideration of subsequent developments showing that he had long been insane and counsel for State would recommend new trial. *Bush v. Texas*, p. 586.

2. *District Courts—Suit challenging constitutionality of federal statute—Three-judge court.*—When no injunctive relief actually was contemplated or granted, 28 U. S. C. § 2282 did not require convening of three-judge court to hear and determine declaratory judgment action challenging constitutionality of a federal statute, even though complaint was amended so as to add prayer for injunctive relief. *Kennedy v. Mendoza-Martinez*, p. 144.

3. *District Courts—Suit challenging constitutionality of state statute—Three-judge court.*—In suit challenging constitutionality of state statute governing primary elections, a three-judge court was properly convened, as required by 28 U. S. C. § 2281. *Gray v. Sanders*, p. 368.

4. *District Courts—Standing to sue—Association of motor carriers.*—An association of motor carriers authorized under 49 U. S. C. § 5b was an appropriate representative of members aggrieved by order of Interstate Commerce Commission and had standing to challenge validity of Commission's order in Federal District Court. *National Motor-Freight Assn. v. United States*, p. 246.

5. *District Courts—Suit challenging constitutionality of state election system—Standing to sue.*—Qualified voter had standing to sue to redress illegal discrimination between rural and urban voters in statewide primary elections. *Gray v. Sanders*, p. 368.

6. *District Courts—Diversity of citizenship—Need for jury trial.*—In declaratory judgment action, brought in Federal District Court because of diversity of citizenship, to determine amount of fees owing

PROCEDURE—Continued.

to lawyer by client, federal law governs in determining whether plaintiff was entitled to jury trial; action was "legal" not "equitable" in character; and plaintiff was entitled to jury trial. *Simler v. Conner*, p. 221.

RACIAL DISCRIMINATION. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1; V; VII.

RAILROADS. See **Employers' Liability Act**, 1-3; **Labor**, 3; **Transportation**, 1-3.

RAILWAY LABOR ACT. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1; **Jurisdiction**, 3; **Labor**, 3.

RECLAMATION. See also **Jurisdiction**, 2.

Federal reclamation projects—Right to take water by eminent domain—Preferential rights to project water—Authority and discretion to fix rates.—Reclamation Act of 1902, § 8, does not mean that state law may prevent United States from taking water rights by eminent domain; City of Fresno has no preferential rights to water from Central Valley Reclamation Project; § 9 (c) of Reclamation Project Act of 1939 gives Secretary of Interior authority and discretion to fix rates for irrigation as well as water service; and officials of Reclamation Bureau acted within scope of authority. *City of Fresno v. California*, p. 627.

REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

REMEDIES. See **Jurisdiction**, 2; **Reclamation**.

REORGANIZATIONS. See **Bankruptcy**.

RESTRAINT OF TRADE. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1-2.

RHODE ISLAND. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

RIPARIAN RIGHTS. See **Jurisdiction**, 2; **Reclamation**.

ROBINSON-PATMAN ACT. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.

SALES TAXES. See **Taxation**, 4.

SEAMEN. See **Jurisdiction**, 1, 4; **Labor**, 1.

SECRETARY OF INTERIOR. See **Reclamation**.

SELECTIVE TRAINING AND SERVICE ACT. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 5; **Estoppel**.

SHERMAN ACT. See **Antitrust Acts**, 2.

SIXTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 5.

SOUTH CAROLINA. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

STANDING TO SUE. See **Procedure**, 4-5.

SUMMARY JUDGMENTS. See **Antitrust Acts**, 2.

SUPREME COURT. See **Procedure**, 1.

TAXATION.

1. *Income taxes—Deductions—Business expenses.*—Expenses of resisting wife's property claims in divorce litigation are not deductible under § 23 (a) (2) of Internal Revenue Code of 1939, as expenses "incurred . . . for the conservation . . . of property held for the production of income," when such claims stemmed entirely from marital relationship. *United States v. Gilmore*, p. 39.

2. *Income taxes—Deductions—Business expenses.*—Legal fees paid by taxpayer in connection with property settlements with wife incidental to divorce are not deductible under § 212 (2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 as expenses "incurred . . . for the . . . conservation of property held for the production of income," when they arose entirely from marital relationship and not from any profit-seeking activity. *United States v. Patrick*, p. 53.

3. *Income tax—Accrual basis—Advance payments for dancing lessons.*—In exercise of discretion, Commissioner properly refused to accept accounting system on fiscal-year accrual basis which deferred to future years ratable portion of advance payments for dancing lessons to be given in future years. *Schlude v. Commissioner*, p. 128.

4. *State sales tax—Goods shipped out of State—Passage of title and delivery within State.*—Commerce Clause of Federal Constitution did not prevent Utah from levying and collecting sales tax on goods shipped out of State when passage of title and delivery of goods to purchaser took place within Utah. *Utah Tax Comm'n v. Pacific States Pipe Co.*, p. 605.

TEXAS. See **Procedure**, 1.

THREE-JUDGE COURTS. See **Procedure**, 2-3.

TOWBOATS. See **Admiralty**, 2.

TRADE ASSOCIATIONS. See **Procedure**, 4.

TRANSCRIPTS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 3-4.

TRANSPORTATION. See also **Admiralty**, 1-2; **Employers' Liability Act**, 1-3; **Jurisdiction**, 3; **Labor**, 1-3; **Procedure**, 4.

1. *Railroads—Suspension of proposed rates—Expiration of 7-month period before decision by Commission—Injunction.*—By § 15 (7) of Interstate Commerce Act, Congress intended to vest in Commission exclusive power to suspend proposed rate changes, and

TRANSPORTATION—Continued.

it intended to withdraw from courts power to grant injunctive relief—even to barge lines and other parties who would be irreparably injured by proposed rates going into effect upon expiration of 7-month period without determination by Commission. *Arrow Transportation Co. v. Southern R. Co.*, p. 658.

2. *Railroads—Rate reductions to meet rates of water carriers—Sufficiency of record to support cancellation.*—Purpose of § 15 (a) (3), added to Interstate Commerce Act by Transportation Act of 1958, was to permit railroads to respond to competition by asserting whatever inherent advantages of cost and service they possessed; reduction of rates for trailer-on-flatcar service to level of those of competing water carriers not illegal *per se*; record insufficient to sustain Commission in cancelling rates. *Interstate Commerce Commission v. New York, N. H. & H. R. Co.*, p. 744.

3. *Railroads—Discontinuance of trains*—“Operated wholly within . . . a single State.”—When a railroad which operated passenger trains solely within a single State, and had discontinued most of them with permission of State Commission, filed with Interstate Commerce Commission notice of its intention to discontinue all passenger service, the proceeding was governed by § 13a (2) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and it was properly dismissed by Interstate Commerce Commission for want of initial jurisdiction. *New Jersey v. New York, S. & W. R. Co.*, p. 1.

TRIAL. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

TRUCK MANUFACTURERS. See **Antitrust Acts**, 2.

TRUSTEES. See **Bankruptcy**.

TRUTH SERUM. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

UNIONS. See **Jurisdiction**, 1, 3-4; **Labor**, 1-3.

USURY. See **Jurisdiction**, 5.

UTAH. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

VAGUENESS. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.

VENUE. See **Jurisdiction**, 5.

VOTERS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 5; **Procedure**, 5.

WASHINGTON. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 4.

WATER CARRIERS. See **Admiralty**, 1-2; **Transportation**, 1-2.

WATER RIGHTS. See **Jurisdiction**, 2; **Reclamation**.

WORDS.

1. "*Affecting commerce.*"—National Labor Relations Act. *McCulloch v. Sociedad Nacional*, p. 10; *Inces Steamship Co. v. International Maritime Workers*, p. 24.

2. "*Business expenses.*"—Internal Revenue Code of 1939, § 23 (a) (2); Internal Revenue Code of 1954, § 212 (a). *United States v. Gilmore*, p. 39; *United States v. Patrick*, p. 53.

3. "*Commerce.*"—National Labor Relations Act. *McCulloch v. Sociedad Nacional*, p. 10; *Inces Steamship Co. v. International Maritime Workers*, p. 24.

4. "*Exclusive, and in place, of all other liability of the United States.*"—Federal Employees' Compensation Act, § 7 (b). *Weyerhaeuser S. S. Co. v. United States*, p. 597.

5. "*Fiduciary.*"—Bankruptcy Act, § 249. *Wolf v. Weinstein*, p. 633.

6. "*Incurred . . . for the . . . conservation of property held for the production of income.*"—Internal Revenue Code of 1939, § 23 (a) (2); Internal Revenue Code of 1954, § 212 (2). *United States v. Gilmore*, p. 39; *United States v. Patrick*, p. 53.

7. "*Operated wholly within the boundaries of a single State.*"—Interstate Commerce Act, § 13a (2). *New Jersey v. New York, S. & W. R. Co.*, p. 1.

8. "*Production and gathering.*"—Natural Gas Act, § 1 (b). *Northern Natural Gas Co. v. Kansas Corporation Comm'n*, p. 84.

9. "*Unreasonably low prices for the purpose of destroying competition or eliminating a competitor.*"—Robinson-Patman Act, § 3. *United States v. National Dairy Products Corp.*, p. 29.

WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION. See **Admiralty**, 1; **Employers' Liability Act**, 1-3.

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE



















