

Per Curiam.

368 U. S.

TINSLEY *v.* CITY OF RICHMOND.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF VIRGINIA.

No. 315. Decided October 23, 1961.

Appeal dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Reported below: 202 Va. 707, 119 S. E. 2d 488.

*Martin A. Martin, Thurgood Marshall, Jack Greenberg, James M. Nabrit III and Charles L. Black, Jr.* for appellant.

*J. E. Drinard* for appellee.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

MR. JUSTICE DOUGLAS is of the opinion that probable jurisdiction should be noted.

ANDERSON *ET AL.* *v.* BALL, COUNTY TREASURER.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS.

No. 326. Decided October 23, 1961.

Appeal dismissed and certiorari denied.

Reported below: 21 Ill. 2d 396, 172 N. E. 2d 760.

*Charles R. Holton* for appellants.

*Guy R. Williams* for appellee.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed. Treating the papers whereon the appeal was taken as a petition for writ of certiorari, certiorari is denied.