## INDEX

ACCRUAL METHOD OF ACCOUNTING. See Taxation, 1.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE. See also Atomic Energy Act; Civil Aeronautics Board; Communism, 1; Constitutional Law, IV, 3.

Subversive Activities Control Board—Hearings—Cross-examination of witness—Production of statements.—When witness had been cross-examined at length following his direct testimony at initial hearing and Board struck his testimony on two subjects about which recordings of interviews with him were discovered and produced only after court remand of the case for further proceedings, Board did not abuse its discretion in refusing to strike all of his testimony because illness prevented him from submitting to further cross-examination. Communist Party v. Control Board, p. 1.

AIR LINES. See Civil Aeronautics Board.

ARMED FORCES. See Constitutional Law, IV, 3; Veterans. ATOMIC ENERGY ACT.

Permit to construct atomic power plant—Safety requirements—Commission procedure.—Commission acted in accordance with statute in granting provisional permit for private construction of fast-neutron breeder reactor for generation of electric power on shores of Lake Erie between Detroit and Toledo and postponing determination whether to issue permit to operate same until after construction was completed. Power Reactor Development Co. v. Electrical Workers, p. 396.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW. See Constitutional Law, IV, 4.

AUTOMOBILE ASSOCIATION. See Taxation, 1.

BAR. See Constitutional Law, IV, 4.

BILL OF ATTAINDER. See Constitutional Law, I.

BIRTH CONTROL. See Jurisdiction, 2.

CAMERAS. See Taxation, 2.

CENSORSHIP. See Constitutional Law, IV, 5.

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD.

Certificate of public convenience and necessity—Alteration—Notice and hearing.—Once a certificate of public convenience and necessity granted by Civil Aeronautics Board has become effective, it may not

## CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD—Continued.

be altered without formal notice and hearing, even though Board purported to reserve jurisdiction to do so and petitions for reconsideration were filed before effective date. Civil Aeronautics Board v. Delta Air Lines, p. 316.

# COMMUNISM. See also Administrative Procedure; Constitutional Law, I; III, 1-2; IV, 1-2; Criminal Law, 1; Procedure, 1-2.

- 1. Subversive Activities Control Act—Registration requirement—Communist Party of United States.—Board's finding that Communist Party of United States is "Communist-action organization" and order requiring it to register under § 7 sustained against claims of unconstitutionality, erroneous construction and application of Act, procedural errors, etc. Communist Party v. Control Board, p. 1.
- 2. Smith Act—Membership clause—Internal Security Act,  $\S 4(f)$ .— Conviction of violation of membership clause of Smith Act supported by evidence and sustained against claims of unconstitutionality of Act, repeal by  $\S 4$  (f) of Internal Security Act, and procedural errors. Scales v. United States, p. 203.
- 3. Smith Act—Membership clause—Sufficiency of evidence.—Conviction of violation of membership clause of Smith Act reversed, because evidence was insufficient to prove that Communist Party presently advocated forcible overthrow of Government, not as abstract doctrine, but by use of language calculated to incite persons to action. Noto v. United States, p. 290.
- 4. Communist Control Act—Effect on state unemployment compensation system.—Communist Control Act of 1954 does not require exclusion of Communist Party from New York's unemployment compensation system. Communist Party v. Catherwood, p. 389.

CONFESSIONS. See Constitutional Law, IV, 6-7.

CONNECTICUT. See Constitutional Law, IV, 6; Jurisdiction, 2. CONSTITUTIONAL LAW. See also Jurisdiction, 1-2; Veterans. I. Bill of Attainder.

Subversive Activities Control Act—Registration requirement.—Registration requirement of Subversive Activities Control Act of 1950 does not constitute bill of attainder within meaning of Article I, § 9, cl. 3. Communist Party v. Control Board, p. 1.

## II. Freedom of Religion.

State law—Test oath for public office.—Provision of Maryland Constitution requiring public officers to declare belief in God unenforceable, because it invades their freedom of belief and religion guaranteed by First Amendment and protected by Fourteenth Amendment from infringement by States. Torcaso v. Watkins, p. 488.

## CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

## III. Freedom of Expression and Association.

- 1. Subversive Activities Control Act—Registration requirement.— Registration requirement of Subversive Activities Control Act of 1950 does not restrain freedom of expression and association in violation of First Amendment. Communist Party v. Control Board, p. 1.
- 2. Smith Act—Membership clause.—Membership clause of Smith Act does not infringe freedom of expression and association in violation of First Amendment. Scales v. United States, p. 203.

#### IV. Due Process.

- 1. Federal action Congressional findings of fact Subversive Activities Control Act.—Act does not violate Due Process Clause of Fifth Amendment by predetermining legislatively facts upon which application of registration requirements to Communist Party depends. Communist Party v. Control Board, p. 1.
- 2. Smith Act—Membership clause.—Membership clause of Smith Act does not violate Due Process Clause of Fifth Amendment by impermissibly imputing guilt to an individual merely on the basis of his association and sympathies. Scales v. United States, p. 203.
- 3. Federal administrative procedure—Exclusion of civilian from military establishment on security grounds.—Summary exclusion from Naval Gun Factory on security grounds of civilian cook employed there by concessionaire did not violate Due Process Clause of Fifth Amendment. Cafeteria Workers v. McElroy, p. 886.
- 4. State law—Compulsory membership of lawyers in integrated State Bar.—Insofar as rules promulgated by Wisconsin Supreme Court merely require lawyers practicing in State to become members of integrated State Bar and to pay reasonable annual dues, they do not violate Fourteenth Amendment. Lathrop v. Donohue, p. 820.
- 5. State procedures—Seizure of publications as obscene.—Procedure under Missouri statute, whereby officers obtained search warrants in ex parte proceedings and used them to seize publications which they considered obscene, without court review until weeks later, violated Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment, which protects freedom of speech and press from erosion by States. Marcus v. Search Warrant, p. 717.
- 6. State courts—Coerced confession.—Admission into evidence of confession obtained from illiterate mentally defective prisoner after four days of questioning without benefit of counsel violated Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment. Culombe v. Connecticut, p. 568.

## CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

7. State courts—Coerced confessions.—On record, confessions of mentally retarded 19-year-old youth was coerced, and their use in evidence in his trial for murder violated Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment. Reck v. Pate, p. 433.

## V. Search and Seizure.

- 1. Unlawful search and seizure—Admissibility of evidence—State courts.—All evidence obtained by searches and seizures which violate Federal Constitution is inadmissible in a criminal trial in a state court. Mapp v. Ohio, p. 643.
- 2. State seizure of publications as obscene—Warrants issued in ex parte proceedings—Delay in trial.—Procedure under Missouri statute, whereby officers obtained search warrants in ex parte proceedings and used them to seize publications which they considered obscene, without court review until weeks later, violated Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment, which protects freedom of speech and press from erosion by States. Marcus v. Search Warrant, p. 717.

## VI. Double Jeopardy.

Second trial after mistrial declared by judge sua sponte.—On record, petitioner's conviction at second trial after first trial had been terminated by judge's declaration of mistrial sua sponte and without petitioner's "active and express consent" did not violate Fifth Amendment's prohibition of double jeopardy. Gori v. United States, p. 364.

#### VII. Self-Incrimination.

Contempt of court—Refusal to testify before grand jury—Immunity.—Conviction of criminal contempt for refusing to testify before grand jury concerning witness' crime and other transactions in narcotics, after being granted immunity under 18 U. S. C. § 1406, sustained. Piemonte v. United States, p. 556.

CONTEMPT. See Criminal Law, 1-2.

CONTRACEPTIVES. See Jurisdiction, 2.

COUNSEL. See Constitutional Law, IV, 4, 6.

CRIMINAL LAW. See also Communism, 2-3; Constitutional Law, III, 2; IV, 2, 6-7; V, 1; VI; Procedure, 3.

1. Contempt of Congress—Refusal to answer—Pertinence of questions.—Government failed to prove pertinence of questions petitioner refused to answer in hearings of Un-American Activities Committee, and his conviction of violation of 2 U. S. C. § 192 must be set aside. Deutch v. United States, p. 456.

#### CRIMINAL LAW—Continued.

2. Contempt of court—Refusal to testify before grand jury—Immunity.—Conviction of criminal contempt for refusing to testify before grand jury concerning witness' crime and other transactions in narcotics, after being granted immunity under 18 U.S.C. § 1406, sustained. Piemonte v. United States, p. 556.

DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP. See Jurisdiction, 3.

DOUBLE JEOPARDY. See Constitutional Law, VI.

DRUGS. See Taxation, 2.

DUE PROCESS. See Constitutional Law, IV; Labor.

ELECTRIC POWER. See Atomic Energy Act.

EVIDENCE. See Administrative Procedure; Communism, 1-3; Constitutional Law, IV, 6-7; V, 1; Criminal Law, 1; Procedure, 1-2.

EXCESS PROFITS TAX. See Taxation, 2.

FEDERAL AVIATION ACT. See Civil Aeronautics Board.

FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE. See Procedure, 3.

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS. See Communism, 4; Constitutional Law, II; IV, 4-7; V, 1-2; Jurisdiction, 1-2; Veterans.

FIFTH AMENDMENT. See Constitutional Law, IV, 1-3; VI.

FIRST AMENDMENT. See Constitutional Law, II; III, 1-2.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. See Constitutional Law, II; IV, 4–7; V.

FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION AND ASSOCIATION. See Constitutional Law, III.

FREEDOM OF RELIGION. See Constitutional Law, II.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND PRESS. See Constitutional Law, III; IV, 5; Labor.

GRAND JURY. See Criminal Law, 2.

HOME LOANS. See Veterans.

HUSBAND AND WIFE. See Jurisdiction, 2.

ILLINOIS. See Constitutional Law, IV, 7.

IMMUNITY. See Criminal Law, 2.

INCOME TAX. See Taxation, 1.

INDEMNITY. See Veterans.

INTEGRATED BAR. See Constitutional Law, IV, 4.

INTERNAL REVENUE. See Taxation, 1-2.

INTERNAL SECURITY ACT OF 1950. See Communism, 2.

INVENTION. See Taxation, 2.

## JURISDICTION.

- 1. Supreme Court—Appeal—Challenging constitutionality of rules of State Supreme Court.—Rules of State Supreme Court requiring lawyers to become members of integrated State Bar are state "statutes," within meaning of 28 U. S. C. § 1257 (a), and Supreme Court had jurisdiction of appeal challenging their constitutionality. Lathrop v. Donohue, p. 820.
- 2. Supreme Court—Appeals on constitutional ground—Sufficiency of record.—Appeals from decision sustaining constitutionality of state law prohibiting use of contraceptive devices and giving medical advice on their use dismissed because records did not present controversies justifying adjudication of constitutional issue. Poe v. Ullman, p. 497.
- 3. District Courts Diversity of citizenship Jurisdictional amount.—When injured workman claimed \$14,000 but was awarded only \$1,000 under State Workmen's Compensation Law, and insurance company sued in Federal District Court to have award set aside, basing jurisdiction on diversity of citizenship and alleging that workman was entitled to nothing but would claim \$14,000, "matter in controversy" exceeded \$10,000 within meaning of 28 U. S. C. § 1332. Horton v. Liberty Mutual Ins. Co., p. 348.

## LABOR. See also Communism, 4.

Railway Labor Act—Union-shop agreement—Use of dues for political purposes.—Section 2, Eleventh, of Railway Labor Act construed as not permitting union having union-shop agreement to use a member's dues over his protest for political causes which he opposes. Machinists v. Street, p. 740.

LAWYERS. See Constitutional Law, IV, 4.

LICENSES. See Atomic Energy Act; Civil Aeronautics Board.

MARYLAND. See Constitutional Law, II.

MEDICAL ADVICE. See Jurisdiction, 2.

MISSOURI. See Constitutional Law, IV, 5.

NEW YORK. See Communism, 4.

NOLO CONTENDERE. See Procedure, 3.

OATHS. See Constitutional Law, II.

OBSCENITY. See Constitutional Law, IV, 5.

OHIO. See Constitutional Law, V, 1.

PATENTS. See Taxation, 2.

PROCEDURE. See also Administrative Procedure; Atomic Energy Act; Civil Aeronautics Board; Communism, 1-2; Constitutional Law, IV, 3, 5; V, 2; VI; VII.

- 1. Supreme Court—Certiorari—Failure to raise question—Abandonment.—By failure to raise question in petition for certiorari, petitioner abandoned claim of error in Subversive Activities Control Board's denial of motion to require production of certain memoranda prepared by a government witness, and that claim could not be resurrected by repeating motion before Board after Supreme Court remanded case for further proceedings. Communist Party v. Control Board, p. 1.
- 2. Courts of Appeals—Denial of motions as untimely—Production of documents.—In reviewing for third time decision of Board under Subversive Activities Control Act, Court of Appeals did not abuse discretion in denying as untimely motion made by appellant under § 14 (a) more than 5 years after termination of initial hearings for production of documents in connection with testimony of government witness. Communist Party v. Control Board, p. 1.
- 3. Courts of appeals—Appeals in criminal cases—Timeliness.—When defendants entered pleas of nolo contendere and court accepted them but did not pronounce judgment and sentence until three months later, it was latter action that constituted "determination of guilt," within meaning of Rule 34 of Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and motions in arrest of judgment made within 5 days thereafter were timely, as were notices of appeal filed within 10 days after denial of such motions. Lott v. United States, p. 421.

**PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS.** See Administrative Procedure; Procedure, 1–2.

RAILWAY LABOR ACT. See Labor.

RELIGIOUS FREEDOM. See Constitutional Law, II.

RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE. See Procedure, 3.

SEARCH AND SEIZURE. See Constitutional Law, V.

SECURITY. See Constitutional Law, IV, 3.

SELF-INCRIMINATION. See Criminal Law. 2.

SERVICEMEN'S READJUSTMENT ACT OF 1944. See Veterans.

SMITH ACT. See Communism, 2-3; Constitutional Law, III, 2; IV, 2.

SUBVERSIVE ACTIVITIES CONTROL ACT. See Administrative Procedure; Communism, 1; Constitutional Law, I; III, 1; IV, 1; Procedure, 1-2.

SUPREMACY. See Veterans.

SUPREME COURT. See also Jurisdiction, 1-2; Procedure, 1.

Statistics.—Statement showing the number of cases filed, disposed of, and remaining on dockets, at conclusion of October Terms, 1958, 1959 and 1960. P. 912.

#### TAXATION.

- 1. Income tax—Accrual basis—Annual dues.—In exercise of discretion, Commissioner properly refused to accept accounting system on calendar-year accrual basis which deferred to following year reporting as income a ratable portion of annual dues received during year. American Automobile Assn. v. United States, p. 687.
- 2. Excess profits tax—"Abnormal income"—Development of new products.—Development of new products is not "discovery" within meaning of § 456 (a) (2) (B), Internal Revenue Code of 1939; and income resulting from inventions and manufacture and sale of patented products is not "abnormal income" qualifying for Korean War excess profits tax relief under Excess Profits Tax Act of 1950. Jarecki v. G. D. Searle & Co., p. 303.

TEST OATHS. See Constitutional Law, II.

TIMELINESS. See Procedure, 2-3.

TRANSPORTATION. See Civil Aeronautics Board; Labor.

UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION. See Communism, 4.

UNIONS. See Labor.

#### VETERANS.

Guaranty of Veteran's home loan—Default and foreclosure—Suit against veteran for indemnity—Applicable law.—When Veterans' Administration sued veteran in federal district court for indemnity for defaulted home loan it had guaranteed and which had been foreclosed by lender, it was error to apply state law in conflict with valid Regulations of Veterans' Administration. United States v. Shimer, p. 374.

WISCONSIN. See Constitutional Law, IV, 4.

WITNESSES. See Administrative Procedure; Criminal Law, 1-2, Procedure, 1-2.

## WORDS.

- 1. "Abnormal income."—Excess Profits Tax Act of 1950. Jarecki v. G. D. Searle & Co., p. 303.
- 2. "Adequate protection to the health and safety of the public."—Atomic Energy Act, § 182a. Power Reactor Development Co. v. Electrical Workers, p. 396.
- 3. "Communist-action organization."—Subversive Activities Control Act. Communist Party v. Control Board, p. 1.
- 4. "Determination of guilt."—Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. Lott v. United States, p. 421.
- 5. "Discovery."—Internal Revenue Code of 1939, § 456 (a) (2) (B). Jarecki v. G. D. Searle & Co., p. 303.
- 6. "Matter in controversy."—28 U. S. C. § 1332. Horton v. Liberty Mutual Ins. Co., p. 349.
- 7. "Operates primarily to aavance the objectives of [the] world Communist movement."—Subversive Activities Control Act, § 3 (3). Communist Party v. Control Board, p. 1.
  - 8. "Statute."—28 U. S. C. § 1257 (2). Lathrop v. Donohue, p. 820.
- 9. "Substantially directed, dominated or controlled."—Subversive Activities Control Act, § 3 (3). Communist Party v. Control Board, p. 1.
- 10. "Take into consideration [the] extent to which" an organization engages in certain classes of conduct.—Subversive Activities Control Act, § 13 (e). Communist Party v. Control Board, p. 1.
- 11. "World Communist movement."—Subversive Activities Control Act, § 2. Communist Party v. Control Board, p. 1.

WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION. See Jurisdiction, 3.





















