

INDEX

ACCRUAL BASIS OF ACCOUNTING. See **Taxation**, 3.

ALASKA. See **Taxation**, 4.

ALIENS. See **Citizenship**; **Treaties**.

AMENDMENT OF RULES. See **Supreme Court**.

ANTITRUST ACTS.

1. *Clayton Act—Violations—Purchase of stock in other corporations—Tendency to monopoly—Remedy.*—Having violated § 7 of Clayton Act by acquiring 23% interest in General Motors Corp., resulting in preference over competitors in sale of automobile finishes and fabrics, du Pont Company must be required to divest itself of such stock. *United States v. du Pont Co.*, p. 316.

2. *Sherman Act—Consent decree—Modification—Right of private parties to intervene.*—Minority members of association of music publishers not entitled as of right to intervene in government proceeding to modify consent decree against association to improve provisions for democratic elections and equitable distribution of revenues. *Sam Fox Pub. Co. v. United States*, p. 683.

APPEALS. See **Procedure**, 1, 3.

APPRAISALS. See **Tort Claims Act**.

ARMED FORCES. See also **Constitutional Law**, I; **Veterans**.

Enlisted men—Prisoners of war—Pay and allowances—Disloyal acts and refusal of repatriation.—Under 37 U. S. C. § 242 and Missing Persons Act, soldiers who were captured in Korea, acted disloyally toward their comrades and their country and refused repatriation after armistice, were nevertheless entitled to pay and allowances accrued during their detention as prisoners of war. *Bell v. United States*, p. 393.

ASBESTOS. See **Transportation**, 2.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2-3.

CALIFORNIA. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.

CANADA. See **Transportation**, 2.

CHANGE OF VENUE. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 4.

CHILD SUPPORT. See **Taxation**, 2.

CITIZENSHIP.

Inherited citizenship—Foreign-born child—American mother and alien father.—Child born abroad in 1906 to alien father and American mother and brought to the United States in same year by mother, who never was divorced from child's father, did not inherit mother's citizenship. *Montana v. Kennedy*, p. 308.

CLAYTON ACT. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.

COMMERCE. See **Constitutional Law**, II; **Taxation**, 4; **Transportation**, 1-3.

COMMUNISM. See **Armed Forces**; **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2, 5-7.

CONGRESS. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW. See also **Procedure**, 1, 3.

I. Power of Congress.

Escheat of veteran's personalty to United States, notwithstanding state law—Tenth Amendment.—38 U. S. C. (1952 ed.) § 17, under which personal property of veteran who dies in Veterans Administration Hospital, intestate and without heirs, passes to United States, as trustee for General Post Fund, notwithstanding state escheat law, is within power of Congress and does not violate Tenth Amendment. *United States v. Oregon*, p. 643.

II. Commerce.

1. *State regulation—Requiring certificate of authority to do business in State.*—As applied to foreign corporation which maintained office in the State and had salaried employees promoting sale of products in State to retailers who buy them intrastate from wholesalers and sell them intrastate to consumers, state law requiring certificate of authority to do business as condition of suing in state court did not violate Commerce Clause. *Eli Lilly & Co. v. Sav-On-Drugs*, p. 276.

2. *State taxation—Alaska—Salmon—Freezer ships.*—Alaskan tax on operation of freezer ships which receive and freeze salmon caught in Alaskan territorial waters and eventually take them to Washington State for canning does not violate Commerce Clause of Constitution. *Alaska v. Arctic Maid*, p. 199.

III. Freedom of Religion.

Sunday Closing Laws.—Sunday Closing Laws of Maryland, Massachusetts, and Pennsylvania do not violate provisions of First Amendment pertaining to freedom of religion. *McGowan v. Maryland*, p. 420; *Two Guys v. McGinley*, p. 582; *Braunfeld v. Brown*, p. 599; *Gallagher v. Crown Kosher Market*, p. 617.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**IV. Due Process.**

1. *State action—Sunday Closing Law.*—Maryland Sunday Closing Law does not violate Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment. *McGowan v. Maryland*, p. 420.

2. *State action—Denial of admission to Bar.*—State's denial of petitioner's application for admission to Bar because of his refusal to answer questions pertaining to membership in Communist Party did not violate Fourteenth Amendment. *Konigsberg v. State Bar*, p. 36; *In re Anastaplo*, p. 82.

3. *State action—Self-incrimination—Disbarment of lawyer for refusal to answer questions.*—State disbarment of lawyer for refusal to answer questions relating to his alleged professional misconduct did not violate his rights under Fourteenth Amendment, even though he relied on his state privilege against self-incrimination. *Cohen v. Hurley*, p. 117.

4. *State criminal trial—Impartial jury.*—Failure to grant second change of venue so as to provide impartial jury in state trial for murder violated Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment, and application to Federal District Court for writ of habeas corpus should have been granted. *Irvin v. Dowd*, p. 717.

5. *State law—Requirement of non-Communist affidavits—Facts affiants could not be expected to know.*—State law requiring local association to file affidavits that no officer of out-of-state association, with which it is affiliated, is a Communist violates Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment. *Louisiana v. N. A. A. C. P.*, p. 293.

6. *State law—Right to associate—Disclosure of membership lists.*—If it is shown that disclosure of membership lists would subject members to hostility and reprisals, state law requiring such disclosure by Association would violate Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment. *Louisiana v. N. A. A. C. P.*, p. 293.

7. *State contempt proceedings—Conviction for refusal to answer questions defendant was not directed to answer.*—To hold that witnesses before state legislative committees were guilty of contempt for willfully and contumaciously refusing to answer questions to which they objected and which they were not directed to answer would deprive them of due process in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment. *Slagle v. Ohio*, p. 259.

V. Equal Protection of Laws.

Sunday Closing Laws.—Sunday Closing Laws of Maryland, Massachusetts and Pennsylvania do not violate Equal Protection Clause

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

of Fourteenth Amendment. *McGowan v. Maryland*, p. 420; *Two Guys v. McGinley*, p. 582; *Braunfeld v. Brown*, p. 599; *Gallagher v. Crown Kasher Market*, p. 617.

VI. Self-Incrimination.

Disbarment of lawyer for refusal to answer questions.—State disbarment of lawyer for refusal to answer questions relating to his professional misconduct did not violate his rights under Fourteenth Amendment, even though he relied on his state privilege against self-incrimination. *Cohen v. Hurley*, p. 117.

CONTEMPT. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 7.

COOPERATIVES. See **Labor**, 1.

COUNSEL. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2-3.

COURTS. See **Procedure**, 3.

CRIMINAL LAW. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 4; **Procedure**, 3; **Trial**.

CROSS-EXAMINATION. See **Trial**.

DECREES. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1-2.

DEDUCTIONS. See **Taxation**, 2-3.

DISBARMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 3.

DISLOYALTY. See **Armed Forces**.

DIVESTITURE. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.

DIVORCE. See **Taxation**, 2.

DUE PROCESS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

EMBEZZLEMENT. See **Taxation**, 1.

EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT. See **Procedure**, 2.

EQUAL PROTECTION OF LAWS. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

ESCHEAT. See **Constitutional Law**, I; **Treaties**; **Veterans**.

FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT. See **Labor**, 1.

FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT. See **Procedure**, 2.

FEDERAL HOUSING ADMINISTRATION. See **Tort Claims Act**.

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION. See **Jurisdiction**.

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, I; II; III; IV, 1-7; V; VI; **Injunctions**; **Jurisdiction**; **Procedure**, 2; **Treaties**.

- FEDERAL TORT CLAIMS ACT.** See *Tort Claims Act.*
- FIRST AMENDMENT.** See *Constitutional Law.*
- FISHING.** See *Taxation, 4.*
- FLORIDA.** See *Procedure, 2.*
- FOREIGN AFFAIRS.** See *Treaties.*
- FOREIGN COMMERCE.** See *Transportation, 2.*
- FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT.** See *Constitutional Law, III; IV; V.*
- FREEDOM OF ASSOCIATION.** See *Constitutional Law, IV, 2, 6.*
- FREEDOM OF RELIGION.** See *Constitutional Law, III.*
- FREEDOM OF SPEECH.** See *Constitutional Law, IV, 2.*
- FREEZER SHIPS.** See *Taxation, 4.*
- GAS.** See *Jurisdiction.*
- GENERAL POST FUND.** See *Veterans.*
- GROSS INCOME.** See *Taxation, 1-3.*
- HABEAS CORPUS.** See *Constitutional Law, IV, 4.*
- HOMEWORK.** See *Labor, 1.*
- HUSBAND AND WIFE.** See *Taxation, 2.*
- INCOME TAX.** See *Taxation, 1-3.*
- INDIANA.** See *Constitutional Law, IV, 4.*
- IN FORMA PAUPERIS.** See *Procedure, 3.*
- INHERITANCE.** See *Constitutional Law, I; Treaties.*
- INJUNCTIONS.** See also *Antitrust Acts, 1.*
Federal District Court—Suit to enjoin enforcement of state law—Discretion.—In suit to enjoin alleged threat of discriminatory enforcement of State Sunday Closing Law by district attorney whose term was about to expire, district court did not abuse discretion in refusing to pass on constitutionality of law or to exercise injunctive powers. *Two Guys v. McGinley, p. 582.*
- INTERSTATE COMMERCE.** See *Constitutional Law, II; Taxation, 4; Transportation, 1-3.*
- INTERVENTION.** See *Antitrust Acts, 2.*
- JOINT THROUGH RATES.** See *Transportation, 2.*
- JUGOSLAVIA.** See *Treaties.*

JURISDICTION. See also **Procedure.**

State courts—Suits to recover overpayments for natural gas sold interstate.—Notwithstanding provisions of Natural Gas Act giving federal district courts exclusive jurisdiction of all suits to enforce liabilities created thereunder and lodging in federal courts of appeals jurisdiction to review orders of Federal Power Commission, state court had jurisdiction of suits by interstate pipeline companies to recover overpayments for gas made under invalid state order. *Pan American Corp. v. Superior Court*, p. 656.

JURY. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 4.

KOREA. See **Armed Forces.**

LABOR. See also **Transportation**, 1.

1. *Fair Labor Standards Act—Coverage—Cooperative selling products of homework.*—When members of cooperative made knitted, crocheted and embroidered goods in their homes and delivered them to cooperative which made "advance allowances" to them and controlled what they made and amount of such allowances, they were within coverage of Fair Labor Standards Act. *Goldberg v. Whitaker House Cooperative*, p. 28.

2. *National Labor Relations Act—Violations—Recognition of minority union as exclusive bargaining agent for employees.*—Agreement recognizing minority union as exclusive bargaining agent for employees violated § 8 (a) (2) and § 8 (b) (1) (A), though both parties believed that majority of employees had authorized union to represent them. *Garment Workers v. Labor Board*, p. 731.

3. *National Labor Relations Act—Picketing at gate used solely by independent contractors.*—If one of five gates to employer's plant was used solely by independent contractors, picketing at that gate by striking employees could properly be held by Board to violate § 8 (b) (4) (A). *Electrical Workers v. Labor Board*, p. 667.

LEGISLATIVE INVESTIGATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 7.

LOUISIANA. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 5-6.

MARYLAND. See **Constitutional Law**, III; IV, 1; V.

MASSACHUSETTS. See **Constitutional Law**, III; V.

MERGERS. See **Transportation**, 1.

MISREPRESENTATION. See **Tort Claims Act.**

MISSING PERSONS ACT. See **Armed Forces.**

MONOPOLY. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.

MURDER. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 4; **Trial.**

- MUSIC PUBLISHERS.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 2.
- NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 5-6.
- NATIONAL HOUSING ACT.** See **Tort Claims Act**.
- NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT.** See **Labor**, 2-3.
- NATURAL GAS ACT.** See **Jurisdiction**.
- NEGLIGENCE.** See **Tort Claims Act**.
- NEGROES.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 5-6.
- NEW JERSEY.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1.
- NEW YORK.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 3.
- OHIO.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 7.
- OREGON.** See **Constitutional Law**, I; **Treaties**; **Veterans**.
- PAY AND ALLOWANCES.** See **Armed Forces**.
- PAYMENT UNDER PROTEST.** See **Taxation**, 3.
- PENNSYLVANIA.** See **Constitutional Law**, III; V.
- PERSONAL PROPERTY.** See **Constitutional Law**, I; **Treaties**.
- PICKETING.** See **Labor**, 3.
- PIPELINES.** See **Jurisdiction**.
- POWER OF CONGRESS.** See **Constitutional Law**, I.
- PREJUDICIAL ERROR.** See **Trial**.
- PRISONERS OF WAR.** See **Armed Forces**.
- PROCEDURE.** See also **Antitrust Acts**, 1-2; **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2-4, 7; **Injunctions**; **Trial**.

1. *Supreme Court—Appeal from State Supreme Court—Failure to show probable jurisdiction.*—When an important constitutional question was presented on appeal, but appellants failed to show that any timely insistence was made in state courts that state statute was repugnant to Federal Constitution, appeal was dismissed but certiorari was granted. *Slagle v. Ohio*, p. 259.

2. *Supreme Court—Certiorari—Dismissal.*—Writ of certiorari dismissed when it appeared after argument and due consideration that decision in Florida court in case arising under Federal Employers' Liability Act did not turn on issue on basis of which certiorari was granted. *Smith v. Butler*, p. 161.

3. *Courts of appeals—Leave to appeal in forma pauperis—Constitutionality of criminal conviction.*—Court of Appeals improperly denied leave to appeal *in forma pauperis* from conviction for robbery,

PROCEDURE—Continued.

on ground, *inter alia*, that applicant's trial in Federal District Court was unconstitutional because it was presided over by retired judge of Court of Customs and Patent Appeals; judgment of Court of Appeals reversed and case remanded to it. *Lurk v. United States*, p. 712.

PUBLIC OFFICERS. See **Supreme Court.**

RAILROADS. See **Transportation**, 1-3.

RELIGIOUS FREEDOM. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

REMEDIES. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1-2.

RETIRED JUDGES. See **Procedure**, 3.

RULES. See **Supreme Court.**

SALMON. See **Taxation**, 4.

SELF-INCRIMINATION. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

SHERMAN ACT. See **Antitrust Acts**, 2.

SHORT-HAUL PROTECTION. See **Transportation**, 3.

SOLDIERS. See **Armed Forces**; **Constitutional Law**, I; **Veterans.**

STRIKES. See **Labor**, 3.

SUBSTITUTION OF PARTIES. See **Supreme Court.**

SUBVERSION. See **Armed Forces**; **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2, 5-7.

SUCCESSION. See **Treaties.**

SUNDAY CLOSING LAWS. See **Constitutional Law**, III; IV, 1; V.

SUPREME COURT.

Amendment of Rules—Public officers—Official titles—Substitution of successors.—Rule 48 amended so as to authorize public officer to proceed in official capacity by official title and to provide for automatic substitution of successor when public officer dies, resigns or otherwise ceases to hold office. P. 979.

TAXATION.

1. *Income tax—Gross income—Embezzled money.*—Embezzled money is taxable income of the embezzler under § 22 (a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939 and § 61 (a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954. *James v. United States*, p. 213.

2. *Income tax—Deductions—Payments to divorced wife for support of child.*—In order to come within provision of § 22 (k) of Internal Revenue Code which excludes from income of divorced wife payments by husband for support of children, thereby making such payments

TAXATION—Continued.

nondeductible by husband under § 23 (u), the written agreement providing for periodic payments to wife must specifically designate amounts allocable to support of children. *Commissioner v. Lester*, p. 299.

3. *Income tax—Deductions—State taxes paid under protest—Accrual.*—Real estate taxes paid under protest by corporation operating on calendar-year accrual basis and not finally determined until later year deductible for income tax purposes in year in which final determination was made; amounts refunded not income. *United States v. Consolidated Edison Co.*, p. 380.

4. *State taxes—Interstate commerce—Freezer ships.*—Alaskan tax on operation of freezer ships which receive and freeze salmon caught in Alaskan territorial waters and eventually take them to Washington State for canning does not violate Commerce Clause of Constitution. *Alaska v. Arctic Maid*, p. 199.

TENTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

THROUGH ROUTES. See **Transportation**, 3.

TORT CLAIMS ACT.

Liability—Claim arising out of misrepresentation—Inaccurate appraisal of home by Federal Housing Administration.—Government not liable to purchaser of home who relied on negligently inaccurate inspection and appraisal made by Federal Housing Administration under National Housing Act of 1934. *United States v. Neustadt*, p. 696.

TRANSPORTATION.

1. *Railroads—Merger—Protection of employees.*—As condition of approval of railroad merger by I. C. C., § 5 (2) (f) of Interstate Commerce Act does not require that all employees remain in employ of surviving railroad; it is satisfied by requirement that discharged employees receive adequate compensation benefits. *Maintenance of Way Employes v. United States*, p. 169.

2. *Railroad rates—Regulation by I. C. C.—Shipments originating in Canada.*—When Canadian and American railroads had joint through rates on shipments of asbestos from Quebec to consignees in North-eastern States which were substantially lower than the combination of separate or local rates that were available to consignees in Southern States, the I. C. C. did not exceed jurisdiction in issuing cease and desist order pertaining to the "transportation within the United States." *Porter v. Central Vermont R. Co.*, p. 272.

3. *Railroads—Through routes and joint rates—"Short-haul protection."*—Section 15 (4) of Interstate Commerce Act, which prohibits

TRANSPORTATION—Continued.

Commission from establishing any through route which would require a railroad to include in such route substantially less than its entire length and that of any intermediate railroad "operated in conjunction and under a common management or control therewith," applies to a railroad which is operated in conjunction with, and under the joint common management and control of, *two* railroads. *Chicago, M., St. P. & P. R. Co. v. United States*, p. 745.

TREATIES.

Treaty with Yugoslavia—Right of citizens to inherit—State law.—Under 1881 Treaty, citizens of Yugoslavia entitled to inherit personal property in Oregon on same basis as American next of kin, and such rights have not been taken away or impaired by monetary policies of Yugoslavia exercised in accordance with later agreements with United States. *Kolovrat v. Oregon*, p. 187.

TRIAL. See also **Constitutional Law**, IV, 4.

Criminal cases—Cross-examination—Prejudicial error.—Defendant having taken stand in his own defense at his third trial for murder, it was prejudicial error for prosecutor on cross-examination to allude to fact that he had failed to do so in his first two trials. *Stewart v. United States*, p. 1.

UNIONS. See **Labor**, 2-3.

VENUE. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 4.

VETERANS.

Death in veterans' hospital—No will or heirs—Disposition of personal property.—Notwithstanding state escheat law, personal property of veteran who died in Veterans Administration Hospital, intestate and without heirs, automatically passed, under 38 U. S. C. (1952 ed.) § 17, to United States, as trustee for General Post Fund. *United States v. Oregon*, p. 643.

WITNESSES. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 7.

WORDS.

1. "*Arising out of . . . misrepresentation.*"—28 U. S. C. § 2680 (h). *United States v. Neustadt*, p. 696.

2. "*Being in a worse position with respect to*" his employment.—Interstate Commerce Act, § 5 (2) (f). *Maintenance of Way Employes v. United States*, p. 169.

3. "*Employees.*"—Fair Labor Standards Act, § 3. *Goldberg v. Whitaker House Cooperative*, p. 28.

WORDS—Continued.

4. "*Employer.*"—Fair Labor Standards Act, § 3. *Goldberg v. Whitaker House Cooperative*, p. 28.

5. "*Fair and equitable arrangement to protect the interests of the railroad employees.*"—Interstate Commerce Act, § 5 (2) (f). *Maintenance of Way Employes v. United States*, p. 169.

6. "*Gross income.*"—Internal Revenue Codes of 1939 and 1954. *James v. United States*, p. 213.

7. "*Law . . . prohibiting the free exercise*" of religion.—First Amendment. *Braunfeld v. Brown*, p. 599; *Gallagher v. Crown K kosher Market*, p. 617.

8. "*Law respecting an establishment of religion.*"—First Amendment. *McGowan v. Maryland*, p. 420; *Two Guys v. McGinley*, p. 583; *Braunfeld v. Brown*, p. 599; *Gallagher v. Crown K kosher Market*, p. 617.

9. "*Needed in order to provide adequate and more efficient or more economic transportation.*"—Interstate Commerce Act, § 15 (d). *Chicago, M., St. P. & P. R. Co. v. United States*, p. 745.

10. "*Operated in conjunction and under a common management or control*" with another railroad.—Interstate Commerce Act, § 15 (4). *Chicago, M., St. P. & P. R. Co. v. United States*, p. 745.

11. "*Shall immediately vest in and become the property of the United States.*"—38 U. S. C. (1952 ed.) § 17. *United States v. Oregon*, p. 643.

12. "*Unduly preferential.*"—Interstate Commerce Act, § 3 (1). *Porter Co. v. Central Vermont R. Co.*, p. 272.

13. "*Unduly prejudicial.*"—Interstate Commerce Act, § 3 (1). *Porter Co. v. Central Vermont R. Co.*, p. 272.

14. "*Unjust and unreasonable.*"—Interstate Commerce Act, § 1 (5). *Porter Co. v. Central Vermont R. Co.*, p. 272.

YUGOSLAVIA. See **Treaties.**

















