

366 U. S.

Per Curiam.

BALDONADO *v.* CALIFORNIA.

CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA.

No. 185. Argued May 8, 1961.—Decided May 22, 1961.*

The totality of circumstances disclosed by the records fails to support the substantial due process issues tendered in the petitions for certiorari, and the writs are dismissed.

Reported below: 53 Cal. 2d 803, 819, 824, 350 P. 2d 103, 112, 115.

A. L. Wirin argued the cause and filed briefs for petitioners in all three cases. *Burt M. Henson* argued the cause and appeared on the brief for petitioner in No. 186. *Arthur Warner* argued the cause and appeared on the brief for petitioner in No. 187.

William E. James, Assistant Attorney General of California, argued the cause for respondent in all three cases. With him on the briefs was *Stanley Mosk*, Attorney General. *Roy A. Gustafson* also appeared on the brief for respondent in No. 187.

Ben Margolis and *Charles B. Stewart, Jr.* filed a brief in No. 187 for certain California Chapters of the National Lawyers Guild, as *amici curiae*, urging reversal.

PER CURIAM.

After hearing oral argument and on due examination of the records, we conclude that the totality of circumstances disclosed fails to support the substantial due process issues tendered in the petitions for certiorari, and so we dismiss the writs.

*Together with No. 186, *Moya v. California*, and No. 187, *Duncan v. California*, also on certiorari to the same Court.