

363 U. S.

June 20, 1960.

GREENWALD *v.* MARYLAND.

APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF APPEALS OF MARYLAND.

No. 859. Decided June 20, 1960.

Appeal dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Reported below: 221 Md. 235, 155 A. 2d 894.

*Harry Silbert, A. Jerome Diener and Sidney Schlachman* for appellant.

*C. Ferdinand Sybert*, Attorney General of Maryland, *Stedman Prescott, Jr.*, Deputy Attorney General, and *James H. Norris, Jr.*, Special Assistant Attorney General, for appellee.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

---

ANDERSON *v.* THORINGTON CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF VIRGINIA.

No. 878. Decided June 20, 1960.

Appeal dismissed for want of a properly presented substantial federal question.

Reported below: 201 Va. 266, 110 S. E. 2d 396.

*George E. Allen and Seymour I. Toll* for appellant.

PER CURIAM.

The appeal is dismissed for want of a properly presented substantial federal question.