

INDEX

ABATEMENT. See **Antitrust Acts**, 2.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE. See **Fur Products Labeling Act**; **Government Employees**; **Labor**, 1-2, 4-5; **Securities and Exchange Commission**; **Transportation**, 1-2.

ADMIRALTY. See also **Constitutional Law**, II.

1. *Carriage of Goods by Sea Act—Bills of lading—Limitation of liability—Applicability to stevedores.*—Neither § 4 (5) of the Carriage of Goods by Sea Act nor a similar provision of an ocean bill of lading, limiting liability of an ocean "carrier" to \$500 per package of cargo, applies to or limits liability of stevedore employed by carrier to load cargo on vessel. *Herd & Co. v. Krawill Machinery Corp.*, p. 297.

2. *Jones Act—"Employer" bi-state agency.*—A bi-state agency created by compact between two States with approval of Congress is not excepted from term "employer" as used in Jones Act and is suable in a federal court. *Petty v. Tennessee-Missouri Bridge Comm'n*, p. 275.

3. *Libel against foreign ship for damages to goods—Effect of clause in bill of lading restricting such claims to foreign court.*—Libel in rem maintainable against foreign ship in American port for damages to goods shipped on prior voyage to foreign port, notwithstanding clause in bill of lading restricting such claims to foreign court. *The Monrosa v. Carbon Black Export*, p. 180.

4. *Suits in Admiralty Act—Right of action—Federal Employees' Compensation Act—Seamen.*—Civilian employees of United States who are entitled to benefits of Federal Employees' Compensation Act and who are injured in performance of duties as seamen on government vessels engaged in merchant service have no right of action under Suits in Admiralty Act. *Patterson v. United States*, p. 495.

5. *Suits in Admiralty Act—Defenses to libel—Setoff arising out of unrelated transaction—Judgment for compound interest.*—When libeled under Suits in Admiralty Act for money due for transporting cargo, United States may not plead as defense setoff arising out of unrelated transaction; but judgment against United States for compound interest was improper. *United States v. Isthmian S. S. Co.*, p. 314.

ALIENS.

Naturalization—Service in Korean War—Lawful entry.—An alien who served in Armed Forces during Korean War was not entitled to naturalization under Act of June 30, 1953, when his presence in United States preceding induction resulted from unlawful entry. *Tak Shan Fong v. United States*, p. 102.

ALL WRITS ACT. See **Mandamus.**

ANNUITIES. See **Securities and Exchange Commission.**

ANTITRUST ACTS. See also **Procedure**, 3.

1. *Sherman Act—Violations—Group boycott against single retailer.*—A group boycott against a single retail merchant by a chain of department stores, national manufacturers and distributors of household appliances violated Sherman Act, and victim was entitled to sue for treble damages under § 4 of Clayton Act. *Klor's, Inc., v. Broadway-Hale Stores*, p. 207.

2. *Sherman Act—Dissolution of state corporations indicted for violations—Abatement—State statutes.*—Corporations dissolved under laws of Maryland and Delaware after being indicted for violating Sherman Act were still "existing" corporations, so that action did not abate. *Melrose Distillers v. United States*, p. 271.

ARMED FORCES. See **Aliens.**

ASSIGNMENTS. See **Labor**, 5.

ATTORNEYS. See **Procedure**, 2.

BANKING. See **Labor**, 4.

BANK ROBBERY ACT. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.

BANKRUPTCY.

Priorities—Wages due to workmen—Contributions due to union welfare fund.—Contributions due from bankrupt employer to trustees of union welfare fund under collective bargaining agreement not entitled to priority in payment under § 64 (a) (2) of Bankruptcy Act as "wages . . . due to workmen." *United States v. Embassy Restaurant*, p. 29.

BILLS OF LADING. See **Admiralty**, 1, 3.

BI-STATE AGENCIES. See **Admiralty**, 2; **Constitutional Law**, II.

BOYCOTTS. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.

BRIBERY. See **Criminal Law**, 2; **Labor**, 3.

BURDEN OF PROOF. See **Procedure**, 4.

CALIFORNIA. See **Labor**, 1.

- CARRIAGE OF GOODS BY SEA ACT.** See Admiralty, 1.
- CARRIERS.** See Constitutional Law, I; Federal Employers' Liability Act; Labor, 5; Transportation, 1-2.
- "CHECK-OFF" AGREEMENTS.** See Labor, 5.
- CLAYTON ACT.** See Antitrust Acts, 1; Procedure, 3.
- COAL.** See Taxation, 2.
- COMMERCE.** See Constitutional Law, I; Contempt; Labor, 2; Transportation, 1-2.
- COMMUNISM.** See Government Employees.
- COMPACTS BETWEEN STATES.** See Admiralty, 2; Constitutional Law, II.
- COMPOUND INTEREST.** See Admiralty, 5.
- CONSPIRACY.** See Constitutional Law, IV, 1.
- CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.** See also Habeas Corpus; Labor, 2; Procedure, 1.

I. Commerce.

State safety regulations—Mudguards on trucks.—As applied to interstate motor carriers certificated by I. C. C., Illinois statute requiring unusual type of mudguards on trucks unduly and unreasonably burdened interstate commerce. *Bibb v. Navajo Freight Lines*, p. 520.

II. Federal-State Relations.

Immunity of States from suits in federal court by citizens of another State—Waiver—Bi-state agency created by compact.—By entering into compact creating a bi-state agency and acting under it after congressional approval, States waived any immunity from suit which their agency might have enjoyed under Eleventh Amendment. *Petty v. Tennessee-Missouri Bridge Comm'n*, p. 275.

III. Due Process.

1. *State proceedings—Legislative investigations—Fairness—Contempt.*—Conviction in state court of contempt for refusal to answer questions of state legislative investigating committee violated Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment when defendant was not given fair opportunity to determine whether he was within rights in refusing to answer. *Scull v. Virginia*, p. 344.

2. *State proceedings—Search without warrant—City health inspector.*—Fine of person under city ordinance for refusal to permit health inspector to enter home without search warrant did not violate Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment. *Frank v. Maryland*, p. 360.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

3. *Uniform state law to secure attendance of witnesses.*—As enacted in Florida, Uniform Law to Secure the Attendance of Witnesses from Within or Without a State in Criminal Proceedings does not violate Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment. *New York v. O'Neill*, p. 1.

4. *State courts—Conviction in state court after acquittal in federal court.*—Conviction in state court of violation of state robbery statute after acquittal in federal court of violation of Federal Bank Robbery Act did not violate Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment. *Bartkus v. Illinois*, p. 121.

IV. Double Jeopardy.

1. *Federal courts—Conviction of violating federal law after conviction in state court based on same conspiracy.*—Conviction in federal court for conspiring to violate federal law, after conviction in state court for conspiring to violate state law, did not violate Double Jeopardy Clause of Fifth Amendment. *Abbate v. United States*, p. 187.

2. *State courts—Conviction in state court after acquittal in federal court.*—Conviction in state court of violation of state robbery statute after acquittal in federal court of violation of Federal Bank Robbery Act did not violate Double Jeopardy Clause of Fifth Amendment. *Bartkus v. Illinois*, p. 121.

V. Privileges and Immunities.

Uniform state law to secure attendance of witnesses.—As enacted in Florida, Uniform Law to Secure the Attendance of Witnesses from Within or Without a State in Criminal Proceedings does not violate Privileges and Immunities Clause of Art. IV, § 2 or 14th Amendment. *New York v. O'Neill*, p. 1.

VI. Search and Seizure.

Health inspector—Search without warrant.—Fine of person under city ordinance for refusal to permit health inspector to enter home without search warrant did not violate Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment. *Frank v. Maryland*, p. 360.

CONSUMER CREDIT. See **Labor**, 4.

CONTEMPT. See also **Constitutional Law**, III, 1; **Procedure**, 1.

Criminal contempt—Refusal to answer questions before grand jury—Self-incrimination—Scope of immunity under Motor Carrier Act—Summary conviction—Sentence.—Refusal, on grounds of self-incrimination, to answer questions of grand jury investigating violations of the Motor Carrier Act was contempt; scope of immunity

CONTEMPT—Continued.

under § 205 (e); summary conviction under Rule 42 (a) of Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure; sentence to 15 months' imprisonment not abuse of discretion. *Brown v. United States*, p. 41.

CORPORATIONS. See **Antitrust Acts**, 2.

CORRUPTION. See **Criminal Law**, 2; **Labor**, 3.

COUNTERCLAIM. See **Procedure**, 3.

COUNTIES. See **Labor**, 2.

CRIMINAL LAW. See also **Constitutional Law**, III, 3; IV, 1-2; V; **Contempt**; **Habeas Corpus**; **Labor**, 3.

1. *Narcotics laws—Same occurrence violating two different sections—Statutory presumptions—Consecutive sentences.*—In view of separate statutory presumptions, proof of possession of unstamped heroin, absent explanation, sufficient to support conviction on two counts covering entirely separate offenses; consecutive sentences valid when based on violations of two different sections of narcotics laws, though both grew out of same occurrence. *Harris v. United States*, p. 19.

2. *Payment for influence to procure federal office—"To any person"—Republican Party.*—Information alleging that accused offered to a Congressman to donate money to Republican Party if Congressman would use his influence to procure postmastership for accused was sufficient to charge violation of 18 U. S. C. § 214. *United States v. Shirey*, p. 255.

DAMAGES. See **Admiralty**, 1, 3; **Antitrust Acts**, 1; **Labor**, 1; **Limitations**; **Procedure**, 3.

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ACT. See **Procedure**, 3.

DEFICIENCIES. See **Taxation**, 1.

DEPLETION DEDUCTIONS. See **Taxation**, 2.

DISBARMENT. See **Procedure**, 2.

DISCHARGE. See **Government Employees**.

DISCRETION. See **Procedure**, 3.

DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP. See **Procedure**, 4.

DOUBLE JEOPARDY. See **Constitutional Law**, IV; **Criminal Law**, 1.

DUE PROCESS. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

ELEVENTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

EMBEZZLEMENT. See **Labor**, 3.

EQUITABLE ESTOPPEL. See **Federal Employers' Liability Act**, 2.

EQUITY. See **Procedure**, 3.

ESTOPPEL. See **Federal Employers' Liability Act**, 2.

EVIDENCE. See **Procedure**, 4.

EXHAUSTION OF STATE REMEDIES. See **Habeas Corpus**.

FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT. See **Labor**, 4.

FEDERAL EMPLOYEES' COMPENSATION ACT. See **Admiralty**, 4.

FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT.

1. *Coverage*—"Employed" by railroad—*Question for jury*.—The question whether decedent was killed while "employed" by railroad was question of fact for jury. *Baker v. Texas & P. R. Co.*, p. 227.

2. *Limitations*—*Estoppel to plead*—*Misrepresentation as to period*.—If responsible agents of railroad misled employee to believe that he had seven years in which to sue under Federal Employers' Liability Act, railroad was estopped to plead three-year statute of limitations. *Glus v. Brooklyn Eastern District Terminal*, p. 231.

FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE. See **Procedure**, 3.

FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE. See **Contempt**.

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS. See **Admiralty**, 2; **Constitutional Law**, I; II; III; IV; **Habeas Corpus**; **Labor**, 1-2; **Procedure**, 4; **Taxation**, 1; **Transportation**, 1.

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION. See **Fur Products Labeling Act**.

FIFTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

FINAL JUDGMENT. See **Procedure**, 1.

FINANCE COMPANIES. See **Labor**, 4.

FINES. See **Limitations**.

FLORIDA. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 3.

FORFEITURES. See **Limitations**.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

FUR PRODUCTS LABELING ACT.

Deceptive invoicing—*Retail sales*—"Misbranding"—*Discretion of Commission*.—Provisions of Act which prohibit false and deceptive invoicing apply to retail sales; in framing cease-and-desist order against "misbranding," Commission did not abuse discretion in mak-

FUR PRODUCTS LABELING ACT—Continued.

ing order apply to all six categories of information required by § 4 (2), though only three had been violated. Federal Trade Comm'n v. Mandel Bros., p. 385.

GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES. See also **Admiralty**, 4.

Discharge—Validity—Regulations—National security.—When department head chose to proceed to discharge employee under security regulations but failed to comply with such regulations, discharge was invalid, though employee had no civil service status and could have been discharged summarily without cause. Vitarelli v. Seaton, p. 535.

GRAND JURY. See **Contempt**.**HABEAS CORPUS.**

Federal courts—State custody—Exhaustion of state remedies.—Doctrine of exhaustion of state remedies under 28 U. S. C. § 2254, does not bar resort to federal habeas corpus if petitioner has obtained decision on federal constitutional claims from highest state court, though that court could have based decision on state ground. Irvin v. Dowd, p. 394.

HEALTH INSPECTORS. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.**HIGHWAYS.** See **Constitutional Law**, I.**ILLINOIS.** See **Constitutional Law**, I; IV, 2.**IMMUNITY.** See **Constitutional Law**, II; **Contempt**.**INCOME TAX.** See **Taxation**, 1-2.**INDIANA.** See **Habeas Corpus**.**INJUNCTIONS.** See **Labor**, 2; **Procedure**, 3.**INSTALLMENT SALES.** See **Labor**, 4.**INSURANCE.** See **Procedure**, 4; **Securities and Exchange Commission**.**INTEREST.** See **Admiralty**, 5.**INTERIOR DEPARTMENT.** See **Government Employees**.**INTERSTATE COMMERCE.** See **Constitutional Law**, I; **Contempt**; **Labor**, 2; **Transportation**, 1-2.**INTERSTATE COMPACTS.** See **Constitutional Law**, II.**INVESTIGATIONS.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.**INVESTMENT COMPANY ACT OF 1940.** See **Securities and Exchange Commission**.**INVOICES.** See **Fur Products Labeling Act**.

JONES ACT. See **Admiralty**, 2.

JUDGMENTS. See **Admiralty**, 5; **Procedure**, 1.

JURISDICTION. See **Admiralty**, 2, 3; **Constitutional Law**, II; **Labor**, 1-2; **Procedure**.

JURY. See **Federal Employers' Liability Act**, 1; **Mandamus**; **Procedure**, 3.

KOREAN WAR. See **Aliens**.

LABOR. See also **Admiralty**, 2, 4; **Bankruptcy**; **Federal Employers' Liability Act**; **Government Employees**.

1. *National Labor Relations Act—Refusal of Board to exercise jurisdiction—Jurisdiction of state court to award damages for picketing.*—When activity may be subject to Act but Board declines to exercise jurisdiction, state court may not award damages for economic injuries resulting from peaceful picketing. *San Diego Unions v. Garmon*, p. 236.

2. *National Labor Relations Act—Labor dispute—Picketing—Injunction—State court.*—Where about half of material being used in constructing new county courthouse came from outside State, picketing of job by plumbers' union because of employment of nonunion plumbers could not be enjoined by state court, because controversy was within exclusive jurisdiction of N. L. R. B. *Plumbers' Union v. Door County*, p. 354.

3. *Labor Management Relations Act—Employee representative accepting money from employer—Misappropriation of money intended for welfare fund.*—When employer issued checks intended and designated for union welfare fund, misappropriation of same by union officer to own use did not violate § 302 (b). *Arroyo v. United States*, p. 419.

4. *Fair Labor Standards Act—Exemptions—"Retail or service establishment"—Small-loan companies.*—Business of making small personal loans and purchasing conditional sales contracts does not constitute "sales of . . . services" by a "retail or service establishment" within meaning of exemption provided in § 13 (a) (2) of Fair Labor Standards Act. *Mitchell v. Kentucky Finance Co.*, p. 290.

5. *Railway Labor Act—"Checkoff" agreements—Revocation of assignment—Rights of individual employee.*—Neither Railroad nor Union may enforce against individual employee provision of "checkoff" agreement which would restrict his right to revoke assignment after one year. *Felter v. Southern Pacific Co.*, p. 326.

LEGISLATIVE INVESTIGATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

LIBEL. See **Admiralty**, 3.

LIMITATION OF LIABILITY. See **Admiralty**, 1.

LIMITATIONS. See also **Federal Employers' Liability Act**, 2.

Suit for damages under Surplus Property Act—Not penal.—Suit for damages under § 26 (b) (1) of Surplus Property Act is not suit to enforce a civil fine, penalty or forfeiture and is not subject to five-year limitation of 28 U. S. C. § 2462. *Koller v. United States*, p. 309.

MANDAMUS. See also **Procedure**, 3.

Courts of appeals—To require jury trial in district court.—Mandamus is available under All Writs Act, 28 U. S. C. § 1651, to require jury trial when it has been improperly denied in district court. *Beacon Theatres v. Westover*, p. 500.

McCARRAN-FERGUSON ACT. See **Securities and Exchange Commission**.

MINING. See **Taxation**, 2.

MISAPPROPRIATION. See **Labor**, 3.

MISBRANDING. See **Fur Products Labeling Act**.

MISREPRESENTATION. See **Federal Employers' Liability Act**, 2.

MISSOURI. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

MONOPOLY. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1-2.

MOTOR CARRIERS. See **Constitutional Law**, I; **Contempt; Transportation**, 1-2.

MUDGUARDS. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

MUNICIPALITIES. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2; **Labor**, 2.

NARCOTICS. See **Criminal Law**, 1.

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT. See **Labor**, 1-2.

NATIONAL SECURITY. See **Government Employees**.

NATURALIZATION. See **Aliens**.

NEGROES. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1; **Procedure**, 1.

NUISANCES. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

PENALTIES. See **Limitations**.

PICKETING. See **Labor**, 1-2.

POLICE POWER. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

POSTMASTERS. See **Criminal Law**, 2.

PRESUMPTIONS. See **Criminal Law**, 1; **Procedure**, 4.

PRIORITIES. See **Bankruptcy**.

PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

PROCEDURE. See also **Contempt**; **Government Employees**; **Habeas Corpus**; **Mandamus**; **Transportation**, 2.

1. *Supreme Court—Petition for certiorari—No final judgment below.*—Petition for certiorari to review contempt judgment denied when State represented that no fine had been finally determined and assessed. *N. A. A. C. P. v. Williams*, p. 550.

2. *Supreme Court—Disbarment of attorneys.*—Procedure to be followed when, in response to rule to show cause, attorney challenges fairness of state proceedings for his disbarment. *In re Disbarment of Crow* (opinion of DOUGLAS, J.), p. 1007.

3. *District courts—Suit for declaratory judgment and injunction—Counterclaim for treble damages under antitrust laws—Trial by judge or jury.*—Suit for declaratory judgment and injunction against suit for treble damages under antitrust laws did not deprive defendant of right to jury trial when he counterclaimed for treble damages under antitrust laws; discretion of district court under Rules 42 (b) and 57, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *Beacon Theatres v. Westover*, p. 500.

4. *District courts—Diversity of citizenship—State law re presumptions and burden of proof—Factual questions for jury determination.*—When federal jurisdiction was based on diversity of citizenship, issue was whether an insured died as result of suicide or accident, and evidence could support jury finding that death was accidental, the District Court properly followed state law re presumption and burden of proof and submitted issue of fact to jury. *Dick v. New York Life Ins. Co.*, p. 437.

RAILROADS. See **Federal Employers' Liability Act**; **Labor**, 5.

RAILWAY LABOR ACT. See **Labor**, 5.

REMEDIES. See **Habeas Corpus**; **Mandamus**.

REPUBLICAN PARTY. See **Criminal Law**, 2.

RETAIL OR SERVICE ESTABLISHMENT. See **Labor**, 4.

REVOCATION. See **Labor**, 5.

ROBBERY. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.

RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE. See **Procedure**, 3.

RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE. See **Contempt.**

SAFETY REGULATIONS. See **Constitutional Law, I.**

SEAMEN. See **Admiralty, 2, 4; Constitutional Law, II.**

SEARCH AND SEIZURE. See **Constitutional Law, VI.**

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.

"Securities"—Variable annuity contracts—Not exempt as "insurance" or "annuity" contracts.—Within meaning of Securities Act of 1933 and Investment Company Act of 1940, variable annuity contracts are "securities" and are not exempted as "insurance" policies or "annuity" contracts; issuers not exempted under McCarran-Ferguson Act, though they call themselves "insurance companies" and submit to supervision by state insurance officials. *S. E. C. v. Variable Annuity Co.*, p. 65.

SECURITY REGULATIONS. See **Government Employees.**

SELF INCRIMINATION. See **Contempt.**

SENTENCE. See **Contempt; Criminal Law, 1.**

SERVICE ESTABLISHMENT. See **Labor, 4.**

SETOFF. See **Admiralty, 5.**

SHERMAN ACT. See **Antitrust Acts, 1-2; Procedure, 3.**

SHIPPING. See **Admiralty.**

SMALL LOAN COMPANIES. See **Labor, 4.**

STATE CUSTODY. See **Habeas Corpus.**

STEVEDORES. See **Admiralty, 1.**

STRIP MINING. See **Taxation, 2.**

SUITS IN ADMIRALTY ACT. See **Admiralty, 4-5.**

SUPREME COURT. See **Procedure, 1-2.**

SURPLUS PROPERTY ACT. See **Limitations.**

TAXATION.

1. *Income taxes—Deficiencies—Levy on salaries due state employees—Liability of State Auditor.*—State Auditor who disregarded levy on accrued salaries of state employees to collect deficiencies in their federal income taxes was personally liable. *Sims v. United States*, p. 108.

2. *Income taxes—Depletion deductions—Contractors strip mining coal for landowners.*—Independent contractors strip mining coal for landowners for a fixed price per ton of coal not entitled to percentage depletion deductions under §§ 23 (m) and 114 (b) (4) of Internal Revenue Code of 1939. *Parsons v. Smith*, p. 215.

TENNESSEE. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

TRANSPORTATION. See also **Admiralty**; **Constitutional Law**, I; **Contempt**; **Federal Employers' Liability Act**; **Labor**, 5.

1. *Motor carriers—Federal license—Interpretation—State fine for violating state law re intrastate shipments.*—When State claimed that federally licensed motor carrier had violated state law governing intrastate shipments, it should have sought interpretation of federal license by Interstate Commerce Commission before proceeding to fine carrier. *Service Storage & Transfer Co. v. Virginia*, p. 171.

2. *Motor carriers—Past rates—Right of shipper to challenge in courts.*—A shipper of goods by motor carrier cannot challenge in post-shipment litigation reasonableness of past rates charged in accordance with applicable tariff filed under § 217 of Motor Carrier Act. *T. I. M. E., Inc., v. United States*, p. 464.

TRIAL. See **Procedure**, 3.

TRUCKS. See **Constitutional Law**, I; **Transportation**, 1-2.

TRUST FUNDS. See **Labor**, 3.

UNIFORM STATE LAWS. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 3.

UNIONS. See **Bankruptcy**; **Labor**.

VARIABLE ANNUITIES. See **Securities and Exchange Commission**.

VIRGINIA. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

WAGES. See **Bankruptcy**.

WAIVER. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

WELFARE FUNDS. See **Bankruptcy**; **Labor**, 3.

WISCONSIN. See **Labor**, 2.

WITNESSES. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1, 3; **Contempt**.

WORDS.

1. *"Annuity" contracts.*—**Securities Act of 1933**; **Investment Company Act of 1940**; **McCarran-Ferguson Act**. *S. E. C. v. Variable Annuity Co.*, p. 65.

2. *"Assignment to the labor organization."*—**Railway Labor Act**. *Felter v. Southern Pacific Co.*, p. 326.

3. *"Carrier."*—**Carriage of Goods by Sea Act**, § 4 (5). *Herd & Co. v. Krawill Machinery Corp.*, p. 297.

4. *"Civil fine, penalty, or forfeiture."*—28 U. S. C. § 2462. *Koller v. United States*, p. 309.

5. *"Employed."*—**Federal Employers' Liability Act**. *Baker v. Texas & P. R. Co.*, p. 227.

WORDS—Continued.

6. "*Employer*."—Jones Act. *Petty v. Tennessee-Missouri Bridge Comm'n*, p. 275.
7. "*Employer*."—National Labor Relations Act. *Plumbers' Union v. Door County*, p. 354.
8. "*Existing*" corporations.—Sherman Act, § 8. *Melrose Distillers v. United States*, p. 271.
9. "*Forfeiture*."—28 U. S. C. § 2462. *Koller v. United States*, p. 309.
10. "*Insurance*" policies.—Securities Act of 1933; Investment Company Act of 1940; McCarran-Ferguson Act. *S. E. C. v. Variable Annuity Co.*, p. 65.
11. "*Interest*."—Suits in Admiralty Act, § 3. *United States v. Isthmian S. S. Co.*, p. 314.
12. "*Investment company*."—Investment Company Act of 1940. *S. E. C. v. Variable Annuity Co.*, p. 65.
13. "*Invoice*."—Fur Products Labeling Act, § 2 (f). *Federal Trade Commission v. Mandel Bros.*, p. 385.
14. "*Lawfully admitted*."—Act of June 30, 1953, § 1. *Tak Shan Fong v. United States*, p. 102.
15. "*Misbranding*."—Fur Products Labeling Act, § 4. *Federal Trade Commission v. Mandel Bros.*, p. 385.
16. "*Paid to trust fund*."—Labor Management Relations Act, § 302 (b). *Arroyo v. United States*, p. 419.
17. "*Penalty*."—28 U. S. C. § 2462. *Koller v. United States*, p. 309.
18. "*Person*."—18 U. S. C. § 214. *United States v. Shirey*, p. 255.
19. "*Person*."—Sherman Act, § 8. *Melrose Distillers v. United States*, p. 271.
20. "*Person . . . obligated with respect to*."—Internal Revenue Code of 1954, § 6332. *Sims v. United States*, p. 108.
21. "*Retail or service establishment*."—Fair Labor Standards Act, § 13 (a) (2). *Mitchell v. Kentucky Finance Co.*, p. 290.
22. "*Sales of . . . services*."—Fair Labor Standards Act, § 13 (a) (2). *Mitchell v. Kentucky Finance Co.*, p. 290.
23. "*Securities*."—Securities Act of 1933. *S. E. C. v. Variable Annuity Co.*, p. 65.
24. "*Service establishment*."—Fair Labor Standards Act, § 13 (a) (2). *Mitchell v. Kentucky Finance Co.*, p. 290.
25. "*Wages . . . due to workmen*."—Bankruptcy Act, § 64 (a) (2). *United States v. Embassy Restaurant*, p. 29.





















