

Per Curiam.

359 U. S.

LAMAR BATH HOUSE CO. ET AL. v. CITY OF HOT  
SPRINGS ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF ARKANSAS.

No. 791. Decided May 25, 1959.

Appeal dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Reported below: — Ark. —, 315 S. W. 2d 884.

*William M. Clark* and *Richard C. Butler* for appellants.*James W. Chesnutt* for appellees.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

---

CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE, ST. PAUL & PACIFIC  
RAILROAD CO. v. ILLINOIS ET AL.APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS.

No. 793. Decided May 25, 1959.

168 F. Supp. 706, affirmed.

*Edwin R. Eckersall* and *R. K. Merrill* for appellant.

*Latham Castle*, Attorney General of Illinois, and *Harry R. Begley*, Special Assistant Attorney General, for the State of Illinois and the Illinois Commerce Commission, and *S. Ashley Guthrie* and *Francis D. Fisher* for the Milwaukee Road Commuters' Association, appellees.

PER CURIAM.

The motions to affirm are granted and the judgment is affirmed.