

## INDEX

---

**ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 1; **Federal Housing Administration**; **Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act**; **Interstate Commerce Commission**; **Jurisdiction**, 1; **Labor**, 1, 3-4; **Natural Gas Act**.

**ADMIRALTY.** See also **Longshoremen's and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act**.

1. *Foreign seaman—Foreign ship—Injury in American port—Jones Act—General maritime law—Negligence—Jurisdiction.*—Jones Act and general maritime law of United States inapplicable to claim against owner of foreign ship by foreign seaman injured while ship was temporarily in American port; federal district court had no jurisdiction on law side of claim based on general maritime law; court had diversity jurisdiction of claims against American corporations engaged in operations relating to loading ship; claims against them for unseaworthiness and maintenance and cure properly dismissed; claims against them for negligence should be considered. *Romero v. International Terminal Operating Co.*, p. 354.

2. *Stevedores—Personal injuries—Liability of ship for unseaworthiness—Right to indemnity from stevedoring contractor.*—Ship liable to stevedore for personal injury resulting from unseaworthiness; but entitled to indemnity from stevedoring contractor whose negligence brought unseaworthiness into play. *Crumady v. The Joachim Hendrik Fisser*, p. 423.

3. *Wrongful death—Employee of independent contractor—Application of state law.*—Where person who fell to death on ship in port was not seaman, there was no applicable federal statute and right of recovery depended on state law and was subject to all conditions and limitations thereof; claims for unseaworthiness and death caused by negligence encompassed by New Jersey Wrongful Death Act. *The Tungus v. Skovgaard*, p. 588.

4. *Wrongful death—Employee of independent subcontractor—Application of state law.*—When employee of independent subcontractor died as result of inhaling poisonous fumes while cleaning generators of ship being overhauled at shipyard, right of recovery depended on state law; but circumstances were not such as to justify application of doctrine of unseaworthiness; claim based on negligence was for jury. *United Pilots Assn. v. Halecki*, p. 613.

**ADMIRALTY**—Continued.

5. *Injury to visitor aboard ship berthed at pier—Liability of shipowner—Unseaworthiness—Negligence.*—Visitor injured by fall aboard ship berthed at pier could recover from shipowner under maritime law for negligence of crew; contributory negligence to be considered only in mitigation of damages; doctrine of unseaworthiness inapplicable. *Kermarec v. Compagnie Generale Transatlantique*, p. 625.

**ALASKA.**

*General property tax—Repeal—Survival of liability.*—Liability for general property taxes accrued and unpaid survived repeal of territorial tax statute. *Alaska v. American Can Co.*, p. 224.

**ALIENS.** See **Trading with the Enemy Act.**

**ANTITRUST ACTS.** See also **Labor, 2.**

1. *Sherman Act—Civil action charging violation—Transaction approved by Federal Communications Commission.*—Approval by Federal Communications Commission of agreement to exchange television station in Cleveland for one in Philadelphia did not bar subsequent civil action by Government attacking the exchange as being in furtherance of conspiracy to violate Sherman Act. *United States v. Radio Corp. of America*, p. 334.

2. *Sherman Act—Violation—Affirmative defense to suit for money due.*—In a suit in a federal district court to recover from a buyer the unpaid balance due in respect to a lawful sale for a fair consideration, the court properly struck an affirmative defense to the effect that the sale was pursuant to an agreement violative of § 1 of the Sherman Act. *Kelly v. Kosuga*, p. 516.

3. *Sherman Act—Violations—Professional boxing contests—Remedies.*—Decree adjudging defendants guilty of violating §§ 1 and 2 of Sherman Act by monopolizing and restraining trade in professional boxing contests, sustained; relief granted not beyond allowable discretion of District Court. *International Boxing Club v. United States*, p. 242.

**APPEAL.** See **Jurisdiction, 1.**

**ARCHITECTS.** See **Labor, 1.**

**ARKANSAS.** See **Constitutional Law, IV, 2.**

**ARMED FORCES.** See **Courts-Martial.**

**ARREST.** See **Constitutional Law, V.**

**ASSAULT.** See **Criminal Law, 4.**

**ATTORNEY GENERAL.** See **Trading with the Enemy Act.**

**BANK ROBBERY ACT.** See **Criminal Law, 3.**

**BOXING.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 3.

**BURGLARY.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

**BUSES.** See **Jurisdiction**, 2.

**BUSINESS EXPENSES.** See **Taxation**.

**CARRIERS.** See **Criminal Law**, 1; **Interstate Commerce Commission**; **Jurisdiction**, 2; **Labor**, 2.

**CITRUS FRUITS.** See **Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act**.

**COAL TAR COLORS.** See **Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act**.

**COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.

**COMMERCE.** See **Constitutional Law**, I; **Criminal Law**, 1; **Interstate Commerce Commission**; **Labor**, 1.

**COMMUNISM.** See **Criminal Law**, 2.

**CONFESSIONS.** See **Habeas Corpus**.

**CONGRESS.** See **Criminal Law**, 2.

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.** See also **Procedure**, 3.

#### **I. Commerce.**

1. *State taxation—Express companies.*—Virginia "franchise tax" on express companies, measured by gross receipts from their operations within State, did not violate Commerce Clause, as applied to foreign corporation doing exclusively interstate business in Virginia. *Railway Express Agency v. Virginia*, p. 434.

2. *State taxation—Foreign corporations—Net income from interstate operations.*—Net income from the exclusively interstate operations of a foreign corporation may be subjected to state taxation, provided the levy is not discriminatory and is properly apportioned to local activities within the taxing State forming sufficient nexus to support the same. *Northwestern States Cement Co. v. Minnesota*, p. 450.

#### **II. Imports.**

*State taxation—Materials imported by manufacturers for their own use.*—Manufacturing corporations which imported materials for use in their current manufacturing operations had so acted upon them as to cause them to lose their distinctive character as "imports" and their exemption as such from state taxation. *Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. v. Bowers*, p. 534.

#### **III. Due Process.**

1. *State courts—Conviction of both murder and kidnaping—Consideration of murder in fixing death sentence for kidnaping.*—Person

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**

convicted in state court of murder and sentenced to life imprisonment and later convicted in another state court of kidnaping and sentenced to death was not denied due process by recital of murder and other crimes committed in same series of events prior to sentencing for kidnaping. *Williams v. Oklahoma*, p. 576.

2. *State courts—Right to counsel.*—Ignorant youth convicted in state court of burglary and sentenced to 15 years' imprisonment, after trial on short notice without counsel and denial of time to obtain counsel, was denied due process, if allegations of petition for habeas corpus are true. *Cash v. Culver*, p. 633.

3. *National Housing Act—Amendment prohibiting rental to transients—Application to mortgagor who had previously obtained insurance.*—The 1954 amendment to National Housing Act which prohibits rental to transients by any insured mortgagor of multifamily housing is not unconstitutional as applied to mortgagor who obtained insurance before its enactment. *F. H. A. v. The Darlington*, p. 84.

4. *State taxation—Foreign corporations—Net income from interstate operations.*—Net income from the exclusively interstate operations of a foreign corporation may be subjected to state taxation, provided the levy is not discriminatory and is properly apportioned to local activities within the taxing State forming sufficient nexus to support the same. *Northwestern States Cement Co. v. Minnesota*, p. 450.

**IV. Equal Protection of Laws.**

1. *State taxation—Ad valorem tax—Exemption of nonresidents.*—Resident of Ohio not denied equal protection of laws by ad valorem tax on its goods in warehouse when goods of nonresidents were exempted "if held in a storage warehouse for storage only." *Allied Stores v. Bowers*, p. 522; *Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. v. Bowers*, p. 534.

2. *Racial discrimination—Public schools—Suspension or postponement of desegregation—Violence and disorder.*—Violence and disorder in desegregated public school, following upon actions of Governor and Legislature opposing desegregation, not ground for suspending or postponing racial desegregation; law and order not to be preserved by depriving Negro children of constitutional rights. *Cooper v. Aaron*, p. 1.

**V. Search and Seizure.**

*Arrest without warrant—Reasonable grounds—Probable cause.*—Information given by reliable informer and confirmed by appearance and actions of suspect immediately preceding arrest furnished federal officer "reasonable grounds" and "probable cause" for arrest without



**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW**—Continued.

warrant for violations of narcotics laws; arrest being lawful, search and seizure incident thereto were also lawful and seized articles admissible in evidence. *Draper v. United States*, p. 307.

**VI. Supremacy Clause.**

*Fourteenth Amendment—Interpretation by this Court—Duty of state officials to comply.*—Interpretation of Fourteenth Amendment by this Court in *Brown v. Board of Education*, 347 U. S. 483, is supreme law of the land, notwithstanding anything to contrary in constitution or laws of any State; and it is duty of state legislators and executive and judicial officers to comply. *Cooper v. Aaron*, p. 1.

**CONTEMPT OF CONGRESS.** See **Criminal Law**, 2.

**COUNSEL.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

**COURTS-MARTIAL.**

*Jurisdiction—Murder—"Time of peace."*—Murder committed after termination of hostilities but before termination of war with Germany and Japan had been proclaimed by President or Congress, was committed "in time of peace," within meaning of Art. 92 of Articles of War, and court-martial had no jurisdiction. *Lee v. Madigan*, p. 228.

**CRIMINAL LAW.** See also **Constitutional Law**, III, 1-2; V; **Evidence**; **Habeas Corpus**; **Procedure**, 2.

1. *Partnerships—"Knowingly and willfully" violating interstate commerce regulations—Prosecution as entities.*—A partnership may be prosecuted as an entity under § 222 (a) of Motor Carrier Act for "knowingly and willfully" violating motor carrier regulations of Interstate Commerce Commission and under 18 U. S. C. § 835 for "knowingly" violating regulations for safe transportation of explosives and other dangerous articles in interstate commerce. *United States v. A & P Trucking Co.*, p. 121.

2. *Contempt of Congress—Failure to produce membership lists—Uncertainty as to time.*—When witness before Senate Subcommittee was not clearly apprised that he was required to produce membership lists on certain date, he could not be convicted under 2 U. S. C. § 192 for failure to produce them on that date. *Flaxer v. United States*, p. 147.

3. *Bank Robbery Act—Conviction on multiple counts—Sentence—Relief.*—Upon conviction for robbery and receiving the stolen property, sentence should be for robbery only; relief for illegal sentence under 28 U. S. C. § 2255 is available only to attack sentence under which prisoner is in custody; but relief under Rule 35, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, is available "at any time." *Heflin v. United States*, p. 415.

**CRIMINAL LAW**—Continued.

4. *Assaulting federal officers—Wounding two officers with single discharge of shotgun—Single offense.*—If two federal officers were wounded by single discharge of shotgun, it would constitute only single violation of 18 U. S. C. (1940 ed.) § 254, now 18 U. S. C. § 111. *Ladner v. United States*, p. 169.

**DAMAGES.** See **Admiralty**, 1-5; **Employers' Liability Act**; **Longshoremen's and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act**.

**DECLARATORY JUDGMENTS.** See **Jurisdiction**, 2; **Procedure**, 3.

**DISCRETION.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 3; **Labor**, 4; **Trading with the Enemy Act**.

**DOUBLE JEOPARDY.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1; **Criminal Law**, 3.

**DUE PROCESS OF LAW.** See **Constitutional Law**, III.

**EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT.**

*Negligence of employer—Question for jury—Sufficiency of evidence.*—*Moore v. Terminal Railroad Assn.*, p. 31.

**ENGINEERS.** See **Labor**, 1.

**EQUAL PROTECTION OF LAWS.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

**ESTOPPEL.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.

**EVIDENCE.** See also **Constitutional Law**, V; **Employers' Liability Act**.

*Admissibility—Criminal cases—Wife's testimony against husband.*—Admission of wife's testimony against husband over his objection in criminal trial was reversible error. *Hawkins v. United States*, p. 74.

**EXPLOSIVES.** See **Criminal Law**, 1.

**EXPRESS COMPANIES.** See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

**FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT.** See **Labor**, 1.

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.

**FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT.** See **Employers' Liability Act**.

**FEDERAL FOOD, DRUG AND COSMETIC ACT.** See **Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act**.

**FEDERAL HOUSING ADMINISTRATION.**

*Insured loans—Apartment house—Right of owner to rent to transients.*—Owner of apartment house financed by loan insured by F. H. A. in 1949, when Act and regulations contained no specific provision on subject, had no right to rent apartment to transients; 1954 Act, prohibiting use of such housing for transient or hotel purposes not unconstitutional as applied to such owner. *Federal Housing Administration v. The Darlington, Inc.*, p. 84.

**FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION.** See **Natural Gas Act.**

**FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE.** See **Criminal Law**, 3.

**FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS.** See **Constitutional Law**, I; II; III, 4; IV, 1-2; VI; **Jurisdiction**, 5-7; **Labor**, 2-4; **Longshoremen's and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act.**

**FLORIDA.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2; **Labor**, 5.

**FOOD, DRUG AND COSMETIC ACT.**

*Coal-tar colors—Effect of finding that they are not "harmless and suitable for use in food."*—When coal-tar colors used in coloring oranges had been certified under § 406 (b) of Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act in 1939 as "harmless and suitable for use in food" but, after new tests and public notice and hearings, Secretary found them not "harmless and suitable for use in food," he properly revoked certification, and he was not authorized under § 406 (a) to permit their use under a system of tolerances. *Flemming v. Florida Citrus Exchange*, p. 153.

**FOREIGN CORPORATIONS.** See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2; III, 4.

**FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, III; IV; VI.

**FOURTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.

**FRANCHISE TAXES.** See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

**GAS.** See **Natural Gas Act.**

**HABEAS CORPUS.** See also **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

*Procedure—Conviction by state court—Hearing—Review of record.*—Error for federal district court to dismiss application for habeas corpus without hearing and without examining record of proceedings in state courts, when it was claimed that conviction was obtained by use of coerced confession. *Jennings v. Ragen*, p. 276.

**HEALTH.** See **Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act.**

**HOTELS.** See **Federal Housing Administration; Labor, 4-5.**

**HOUSING.** See **Federal Housing Administration.**

**HUSBAND AND WIFE.** See **Evidence.**

**IMPORTS.** See **Constitutional Law, II.**

**INCOME TAXES.** See **Constitutional Law, I, 2; Taxation.**

**INDEMNITY.** See **Admiralty, 2.**

**INDIANS.**

*Suits against reservation Indians—State court—Jurisdiction.—* State court without jurisdiction of suit by non-Indian trader against reservation Indians for goods sold to them on Reservation. *Williams v. Lee*, p. 217.

**INITIATIVE LEGISLATION.** See **Taxation.**

**INJUNCTION.** See **Labor, 5.**

**INSURANCE.** See **Federal Housing Administration.**

**INTERSTATE COMMERCE.** See **Constitutional Law, I; Criminal Law, 1; Interstate Commerce Commission; Labor, 1.**

**INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION.** See also **Criminal Law, 1; Jurisdiction, 1.**

*Motor carriers—Certificates of convenience and necessity—Power to correct inadvertent ministerial error.—* When Commission authorized railroad subsidiary to acquire operating rights of independent motor carriers and reserved right to impose restrictions to insure that the service would be auxiliary or supplementary to train service but Commission's staff inadvertently omitted reservation of such right from certificates of convenience and necessity, Commission had power to correct such inadvertent ministerial error. *American Trucking Assns. v. Frisco Transportation Co.*, p. 133.

**JONES ACT.** See **Admiralty, 1.**

**JUDGMENTS.** See **Procedure, 2.**

**JURISDICTION.** See also **Admiralty; Courts-Martial; Indians; Longshoremen's and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act; Procedure; Trading with the Enemy Act.**

1. *Supreme Court—Appeal—Question presented prematurely.—* Appeal dismissed because question whether Interstate Commerce Commission had jurisdiction under § 5 (d) of Administrative Procedure Act to declare *per diem* car-rental rates of railroads reasonable



**JURISDICTION**—Continued.

was prematurely presented by appeal from decision sustaining such jurisdiction but remanding matter to Commission for further proceedings which might make that question moot. *Boston & Maine Railroad v. United States*, p. 68.

2. *District courts—Declaratory Judgment Act*—"Actual controversy."—When Negro resident of city brought class suit under Declaratory Judgment Act to establish right of Negroes to ride on buses without being segregated on account of race, District Court erred in dismissing suit for want of "actual controversy." *Evers v. Dwyer*, p. 202.

3. *District courts—Law side—Claims based on general maritime law*.—Jurisdiction of claims based on general maritime law is not granted on the law side of federal district courts by 28 U. S. C. § 1331. *Romero v. International Terminal Operating Co.*, p. 354.

4. *District courts—N. L. R. B.—Orders beyond Board's power*.—Federal District Court had jurisdiction of original suit to set aside determination of Board clearly violative of Act. *Leedom v. Kyne*, p. 184.

5. *State courts—Injunction—Labor agreements violative of state antitrust law*.—State court without jurisdiction to enjoin performance of collective-bargaining agreement between motor carriers and drivers' unions which violated state antitrust law. *Teamsters Union v. Oliver*, p. 283.

6. *State courts—Injunction—Peaceful picketing—Florida resort hotels*.—State courts without jurisdiction to enjoin peaceful organizational picketing of Florida resort hotels, though N. L. R. B. had declined jurisdiction. *Hotel Employees v. Sax Enterprises*, p. 270.

7. *State courts—Review of discretion exercised under Trading with the Enemy Act*.—State court without power to review discretion exercised by Attorney General in issuing vesting order under Trading with the Enemy Act. *Rogers v. Calumet National Bank*, p. 331.

**JURY.** See **Employers' Liability Act**.

**KIDNAPING.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

**LABOR.** See also **Admiralty; Employers' Liability Act; Long-shoremen's and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act**.

1. *Fair Labor Standards Act—Coverage—Non-professional employees of firm of architects and engineers*.—Included in coverage of Act are non-professional employees of firm of architects and engineers doing work in several States and on interstate roads, air bases, bus terminals and radio and television installations. *Mitchell v. Lublin, McGaughy & Associates*, p. 207.

**LABOR**—Continued.

2. *National Labor Relations Act—Collective-bargaining agreements—Conflict with state antitrust law—Jurisdiction of state court to enjoin.*—When provision for minimum rental payments to driver-owners of trucking vehicles was part of collective-bargaining wage agreement between motor carriers and drivers' unions, state court had no jurisdiction to enjoin performance as violative of state antitrust law. *Teamsters Union v. Oliver*, p. 283.

3. *National Labor Relations Act—Determination by Board in excess of powers—Jurisdiction of District court.*—Federal District Court had jurisdiction of original suit to set aside determination of Board clearly violative of § 9 (b) (1) of Act. *Leedom v. Kyne*, p. 184.

4. *National Labor Relations Act—Board's refusal to assert jurisdiction—Hotel industry.*—Board without discretion to refuse to assert jurisdiction over hotel industry as a class. *Hotel Employees v. Leedom*, p. 99.

5. *Peaceful picketing—Resort hotels—Injunction—Jurisdiction of state courts.*—State courts without jurisdiction to enjoin peaceful organizational picketing of Florida resort hotels, though National Labor Relations Board had refused to take jurisdiction. *Hotel Employees v. Sax Enterprises*, p. 270.

**LOBBYING.** See **Taxation.**

**LONGSHOREMEN'S AND HARBOR WORKERS' COMPENSATION ACT.**

*Remedy not exclusive—Suit in state court for damages permissible.*—Though employer had accepted its coverage, nothing in Longshoremen's and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act prevented employee from suing in state court for damages, when permitted by state law. *Hahn v. Ross Island Sand & Gravel Co.*, p. 272.

**MANUFACTURERS.** See **Constitutional Law**, II; IV, 1.

**MARITIME LAW.** See **Admiralty**; **Jurisdiction**, 3.

**MEMBERSHIP LISTS.** See **Criminal Law**, 2.

**MINISTERIAL ERRORS.** See **Interstate Commerce Commission.**

**MINNESOTA.** See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

**MISSISSIPPI.** See **Procedure**, 3.

**MONOPOLY.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 1-3.

**MOTOR CARRIERS.** See **Criminal Law**, 1; **Interstate Commerce Commission**; **Labor**, 2.

**MURDER.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1; **Courts-Martial.**

**NARCOTICS.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.

**NATIONAL HOUSING ACT.** See **Federal Housing Administration**.

**NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT.** See **Labor**, 2-5.

**NATURAL GAS ACT.**

*Pipeline companies—Long-term service agreements—Changes of rates.*—Natural gas pipeline company supplying gas to distributors under long-term service agreements obligating purchasers to pay going rates established in accordance with procedures prescribed by Act may change rates by filing new schedules under § 4 (d), subject to review by Commission under § 4 (e). *United Gas Pipe Line Co. v. Memphis Light, Gas and Water Division*, p. 103.

**NEGLIGENCE.** See **Admiralty**, 1-5; **Employers' Liability Act**.

**NEGROES.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2; VI; **Jurisdiction**, 2.

**NEW JERSEY.** See **Admiralty**, 3-4.

**OHIO.** See **Constitutional Law**, II; IV, 1; **Labor**, 2.

**OKLAHOMA.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

**PARTNERSHIPS.** See **Criminal Law**, 1.

**PEACE.** See **Courts-Martial**.

**PERSONAL INJURIES.** See **Admiralty**, 1-2, 5; **Longshoremen's and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act**.

**PICKETING.** See **Labor**, 5.

**PIPELINES.** See **Natural Gas Act**.

**PROBABLE CAUSE.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.

**PROCEDURE.** See also **Criminal Law**, 3; **Habeas Corpus**; **Jurisdiction**.

1. *Supreme Court—Review of Court of Appeals—Factual determination of Tax Court.*—When tax case turns on issue of fact and it appears that, in reviewing the Tax Court's factual determination, the Court of Appeals has made a fair assessment of the record, Supreme Court will not intervene. *Peurifoy v. Commissioner*, p. 59.

2. *Courts of Appeals—Criminal cases—Appeals from multiple convictions and sentences—Form of judgment.*—When written judgment of federal district court treated each of 15 sentences as separate, though 12 of them ran concurrently with each other and with 3 other sentences, they could not be treated as one "gross sentence," and Court of Appeals should have passed on validity of each one. *Greene v. United States*, p. 326.

**PROCEDURE**—Continued.

3. *District courts—Suit for declaratory judgment—State law claimed to violate both State and Federal Constitutions.*—When a suit is brought in a federal district court to have state law declared in conflict with both State and Federal Constitutions, federal court should hold cause pending authoritative declaration of applicable state law by state court. *Meridian v. Southern Bell T. & T. Co.*, p. 639.

**PUBLIC SCHOOLS.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2; VI.

**RACIAL DISCRIMINATION.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2; VI; **Jurisdiction**, 2.

**RAILROADS.** See **Employers' Liability Act**; **Interstate Commerce Commission**; **Jurisdiction**, 1.

**REASONABLE GROUNDS.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.

**RECEIVING STOLEN PROPERTY.** See **Criminal Law**, 3.

**ROBBERY.** See **Criminal Law**, 3.

**RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE.** See **Criminal Law**, 3.

**SALES.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 2.

**SAVING CLAUSE.** See **Alaska**.

**SCHOOLS.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2.

**SEAMEN.** See **Admiralty**, 1.

**SEARCH AND SEIZURE.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.

**SEAWORTHINESS.** See **Admiralty**, 1-5.

**SENTENCE.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1; **Criminal Law**, 3-4; **Procedure**, 2.

**SHERMAN ACT.** See **Antitrust Acts**.

**SHIPS.** See **Admiralty**; **Longshoremen's and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act**.

**STEVEDORES.** See **Admiralty**, 2; **Longshoremen's and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act**.

**SUPREMACY CLAUSE.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

**SUPREME COURT.** See also **Jurisdiction**, 1; **Procedure**, 1.

1. Retirement of MR. JUSTICE BURTON, p. VII.

2. Appointment of MR. JUSTICE STEWART, p. XIII.

3. MR. JUSTICE REED (retired) designated to perform judicial duties on United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (p. 860), and Court of Claims, p. 870.



**SUPREME COURT**—Continued.

4. MR. JUSTICE BURTON (retired) designated to perform judicial duties on United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, p. 943.

**SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

**TAXATION.** See also **Alaska**; **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2; II; IV, 1; **Procedure**, 1.

*Income taxes—Ordinary and necessary business expenses—Sums expended to defeat proposed initiative legislation.*—In computing federal income taxes, there may not be deducted as "ordinary and necessary" business expenses, sums paid by a taxpayer to an organization which expended them in publicity against proposed state initiative legislation. *Cammarano v. United States*, p. 498.

**TELEVISION.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.

**TENNESSEE.** See **Jurisdiction**, 2.

**TERRITORIES.** See **Alaska**.

**TIME OF PEACE.** See **Courts-Martial**.

**TRADING WITH THE ENEMY ACT.**

*Vesting orders—Discretion of Attorney General—Right of state court to review.*—State court without power to review discretion exercised by Attorney General in issuing vesting order under Trading with the Enemy Act and Executive Orders issued thereunder. *Rogers v. Calumet National Bank*, p. 331.

**TRANSIENTS.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 3.

**TRANSPORTATION.** See **Criminal Law**, 1; **Employers' Liability Act**; **Interstate Commerce Commission**; **Jurisdiction**, 1-2, 5; **Labor**, 2.

**TRUCKS.** See **Criminal Law**, 1; **Interstate Commerce Commission**; **Labor**, 2.

**UNSEAWORTHINESS.** See **Admiralty**, 1-5.

**VAGUENESS.** See **Criminal Law**, 2.

**VIRGINIA.** See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

**VISITORS.** See **Admiralty**, 5.

**WAR.** See **Courts-Martial**; **Trading with the Enemy Act**.

**WAREHOUSES.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1.

**WARRANTS.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.

**WITNESSES.** See **Criminal Law**, 2.


**WORDS.**


1. "*Actual controversy*."—Declaratory Judgment Act. Evers v. Dwyer, p. 202.
2. "*At any time*."—Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 35. Heflin v. United States, p. 415.
3. "*Engaged in commerce*."—Fair Labor Standards Act, §§ 6 and 7. Mitchell v. Lublin, McGaughy & Associates, p. 207.
4. "*Harmless and suitable for use in food*."—Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, § 406 (b). Flemming v. Florida Citrus Exchange, p. 153.
5. "*Knowingly*."—18 U. S. C. § 835. United States v. A & P Trucking Co., p. 121.
6. "*Knowingly and willfully*."—Motor Carrier Act, § 222 (c). United States v. A & P Trucking Co., p. 121.
7. "*Ordinary and necessary*" *business expenses*.—Internal Revenue Code. Cammarano v. United States, p. 498.
8. "*Probable cause*."—Fourth Amendment. Draper v. United States, p. 307.
9. "*Reasonable grounds*."—26 U. S. C. § 7607. Draper v. United States, p. 307.
10. "*Time of peace*."—Art. 92, Articles of War. Lee v. Madigan, p. 228.

**WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION.** See Admiralty; Employers' Liability Act; Longshoremen's and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act.


**WRONGFUL DEATH ACTS.** See Admiralty, 3-4.



























OAMGENPUB1117