

INDEX

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE. See **Constitutional Law**, I; V, 7-10; **Jurisdiction**, 1; **Labor**, 3-4; **Procedure**, 4, 6.

ADMIRALTY.

Unseaworthiness—Jones Act—Limitations—Instructions to jury.—When action for unseaworthiness is combined with action under Jones Act, a court may not apply to former shorter period of limitation than Congress has prescribed for latter; instructions to jury erroneous when they carried implication that petitioner could not recover for unseaworthiness unless defect rendered whole vessel unfit. *McAllister v. Magnolia Petroleum Co.*, p. 221.

ADVERTISING. See **Federal Trade Commission**.

AFFIDAVITS. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 9.

ALABAMA. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 6.

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII, 3.

ALIEN PROPERTY CUSTODIAN. See **Procedure**, 7.

ALIENS. See also **Procedure**, 7.

Exclusion—Parole—Stay of deportation.—Alien, never admitted to United States, but released on parole pending exclusion, not entitled to stay of deportation under § 243 of Immigration and Nationality Act on ground that deportation to Communist China would subject him to physical persecution. *Leng May Ma v. Barber*, p. 185; *Rogers v. Quan*, p. 193.

APPEAL. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 2; **Jurisdiction**, 1-8.

ARMED FORCES. See **Veterans**.

ARREST. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII, 1.

ASSESSMENTS. See **Taxation**, 4.

ASSIGNMENT OF CLAIMS ACT. See also **Eminent Domain**.

Conveyance of land and right to compensation for right of way taken by Government across it.—Where owner conveyed land and right to compensation for right of way across it previously taken by Government, conveyance of the right to compensation was invalid under Assignment of Claims Act. *United States v. Dow*, p. 17.

ASSOCIATION. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 6.

BOYCOTTS. See **Labor**, 1.

BURDEN OF PROOF. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

CALIFORNIA. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1; IV, 2; V, 9; VI, 3; IX; **Reclamation**.

CERTIORARI. See **Jurisdiction**, 2, 4, 8; **Procedure**, 1.

CHINESE. See **Aliens**.

CHURCHES. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

CITIZENSHIP. See **State Department**.

COMMUNISM. See **Aliens**; **Constitutional Law**, III, 1; V, 6-9; **State Department**.

COMPENSATION. See **Assignment of Claims Act**; **Constitutional Law**, IV, 1-2; **Court of Claims**; **Eminent Domain**.

CONFessions. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 3-5; VI, 3-4.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW. See also **Federal Power Act**; **Jurisdiction**.

- I. Powers of President, p. 948.
- II. Interstate Commerce, p. 948.
- III. Freedom of Speech, p. 949.
- IV. Eminent Domain, p. 949.
- V. Due Process, p. 949.
- VI. Self-Incrimination, p. 951.
- VII. Double Jeopardy, p. 951.
- VIII. Search and Seizure, p. 952.
- IX. Equal Protection of Laws, p. 952.
- X. Full Faith and Credit, p. 952.
- XI. Property of United States, p. 952.

I. Powers of President.

Removal of officers—War Claims Commission.—President had no power under Constitution or Act to remove member of War Claims Commission, and Court of Claims erred in dismissing his suit for salary. *Wiener v. United States*, p. 349.

II. Interstate Commerce.

State regulation—Inter-terminal transfer service.—City of Chicago had no power to decide whether motor carrier could operate transfer service between terminals for interstate railroads, which is integral part of interstate transportation authorized and subject to regulation under Interstate Commerce Act. *City of Chicago v. Atchison, T. & S. F. R. Co.*, p. 77.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**III. Freedom of Speech.**

1. *State action—Denial of tax exemption for refusal to file non-Communist affidavit.*—State denial of tax exemptions to veterans and churches because of refusal to file non-Communist affidavits placed burden of proof on them and denied them freedom of speech without procedural safeguards required by Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment. *Speiser v. Randall*, p. 513; *First Unitarian Church v. Los Angeles County*, p. 545.

2. *State courts—Freedom of association—Disclosure of association membership list.*—State contempt conviction of National Association for Advancement of Colored People for refusal to disclose list of members violated rights under Fourteenth Amendment. *National Association for Advancement of Colored People v. Alabama*, p. 449.

IV. Eminent Domain.

1. *Taking—War Production Board order to suspend operation of gold mines.*—War Production Board order to suspend operation of gold mines during war did not constitute taking of private property for public use within meaning of Fifth Amendment, and gold mine owners not entitled to compensation. *United States v. Central Eureka Mining Co.*, p. 155.

2. *Taking—Excess lands provisions of reclamation laws.*—Excess lands provisions of Reclamation Act of 1902 and Omnibus Adjustment Act of 1926 do not take private property without just compensation or deny equal protection of laws to large landowners. *Ivanhoe Irrigation Dist. v. McCracken*, p. 275.

V. Due Process.

1. *State courts—Nonresidents—Judgment without jurisdiction.*—Judgment of state court against nonresident over which it had no jurisdiction is void under Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment. *Hanson v. Denckla*, p. 235.

2. *State courts—Criminal appeals—Indigents—Denial of transcript.*—Where a trial court denied convicted person transcript of trial proceedings and appeal from conviction for murder was dismissed for want of same, he was denied rights under Fourteenth Amendment. *Eskridge v. Washington Prison Board*, p. 214.

3. *State courts—Denial of counsel between arrest and voluntary confession—Refusal of permission to inspect confession before plea of non vult.*—Conviction of murder in state court did not violate Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment by use of confession found voluntary, by denial of counsel between arrest and confession, or by refusal to permit inspection of confession before pleading *non vult*. *Cicenia v. Lagay*, p. 504.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

4. *State courts—Denial of counsel between arrest and voluntary confession.*—In circumstances of case, person convicted in state court of murder not denied due process under Fourteenth Amendment when confession was not coerced, though his repeated demands for counsel between arrest and confession were denied. *Crooker v. California*, p. 433.
5. *State courts—Self-incrimination—Confession not coerced.*—Person convicted in state court of murder not denied due process under Fourteenth Amendment when record amply supported finding that confession was not coerced. *Ashdown v. Utah*, p. 426.
6. *State courts—Right to associate—Disclosure of membership list.*—State contempt conviction of National Association for Advancement of Colored People for refusal to disclose list of members violated rights under Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment. *National Association for Advancement of Colored People v. Alabama*, p. 449.
7. *State proceedings—Self-incrimination—Discharge of municipal employee for refusal to say whether he was member of Communist Party.*—Discharge of municipal employee for refusal, on grounds of self-incrimination, to say whether he was member of Communist Party did not violate his rights under Federal Constitution. *Lerner v. Casey*, p. 468.
8. *State proceedings—Discharge of schoolteacher for refusal to answer questions re Communistic affiliations and activities.*—Discharge of state schoolteacher on grounds of “incompetency” for refusal to answer Superintendent’s questions regarding Communistic affiliations and activities did not violate Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment. *Beilan v. Board of Education*, p. 399.
9. *State action—Denial of tax exemption for refusal to file non-Communist affidavit.*—State denial of tax exemptions to veterans and churches because of refusal to file non-Communist affidavits placed burden of proof on them and denied them freedom of speech without procedural safeguards required by Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment. *Speiser v. Randall*, p. 513; *First Unitarian Church v. Los Angeles County*, p. 545.
10. *State proceedings—Determination of sanity before executing condemned criminal.*—Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment not violated by state procedure which leaves to prison warden initiation of proceedings to determine sanity of condemned criminal before executing death sentence. *Caritativo v. California*, p. 549.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**VI. Self-incrimination.**

1. *State proceedings—Federal offenses.*—State-court conviction for contempt for refusal to testify before state grand jury after being granted immunity from state prosecution did not violate Fifth Amendment when witness claimed testimony might expose him to federal prosecution for federal offense. *Knapp v. Schweitzer*, p. 371.

2. *State courts—Denial of counsel between arrest and confession—Voluntariness of confession—Refusal of permission to inspect confession before plea of non vult.*—Conviction of murder in state court did not violate Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment by use of confession found voluntary, by denial of counsel between arrest and confession, or by refusal to permit inspection of confession before pleading *non vult*. *Cicenia v. Lagay*, p. 504.

3. *State courts—Confession—Denial of counsel between arrest and confession.*—In circumstances of case, person convicted in state court of murder not denied due process under Fourteenth Amendment when confession was not coerced, though his repeated demands for counsel between arrest and confession were denied. *Crooker v. California*, p. 433.

4. *State courts—Confession not coerced.*—Person convicted in state court of murder not denied due process under Fourteenth Amendment when record amply supported finding that confession was not coerced. *Ashdown v. Utah*, p. 426.

5. *State proceedings—Discharge of municipal employee for refusal to say whether he was member of Communist Party.*—Discharge of municipal employee for refusal, on grounds of self-incrimination, to say whether he was member of Communist Party did not violate his rights under Federal Constitution. *Lerner v. Casey*, p. 468.

6. *State proceedings—Discharge of schoolteacher for refusal to answer questions re Communistic affiliations and activities.*—Discharge of state schoolteacher on grounds of “incompetency” for refusal to answer Superintendent’s questions regarding Communistic affiliations and activities did not violate Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment. *Beilan v. Board of Education*, p. 399.

VII. Double Jeopardy.

Consecutive sentences for multiple offenses growing out of single transaction.—Sentences for three consecutive terms for three violations of narcotics laws growing out of same sale did not violate prohibition against double jeopardy. *Gore v. United States*, p. 386.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**VIII. Search and Seizure.**

1. *Arrest*—*Breaking door of home without notice of authority and purpose*—*Admissibility of evidence seized*.—In District of Columbia, where arresting officer may break door of home only when denied admittance after notice of authority and purpose, failure to give such notice before breaking door invalidated arrest, and evidence seized was inadmissible in criminal trial. *Miller v. United States*, p. 301.

2. *Arrest*—*Federal arrest warrant issued on inadequate complaint*.—Narcotics conviction vitiated when obtained by use of evidence seized as incident to arrest with no indictment and with warrant issued on arresting officer's complaint, which was insufficient to satisfy Rules 3 and 4 of Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. *Giordenello v. United States*, p. 480.

3. *Search*—*No warrant*—*Probable cause*.—Though federal officers had good reason to believe that house contained illegal distillery, its search without warrant and seizure of distilling equipment violated Fourth Amendment, and admission of such evidence vitiated conviction under liquor laws. *Jones v. United States*, p. 493.

IX. Equal Protection of Laws.

Federal reclamation laws—*Excess lands provisions*.—Excess lands provisions of Reclamation Act of 1902 and Omnibus Adjustment Act of 1926 do not deny equal protection of laws to large landowners. *Ivanhoe Irrigation Dist. v. McCracken*, p. 275.

X. Full Faith and Credit.

Invalid state judgment—*Want of jurisdiction*.—When judgment of state court was invalid for want of jurisdiction, courts of another State not required to give it full faith and credit. *Hanson v. Denckla*, p. 235.

XI. Property of United States.

Immunity from state taxation—*Private parties holding or using same in private business*.—Whether state tax was levied directly on property of United States in hands of private subcontractor or upon subcontractor's privilege of possessing or using such property. *City of Detroit v. Murray Corp.* (FRANKFURTER, J., dissenting from denial of rehearing), p. 913.

CONTEMPT. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 6; VI, 1.

COUNSEL. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 3-4.

COURT OF CLAIMS. See also **Constitutional Law**, I; IV, 1; **Supreme Court**, 1.

Special Jurisdictional Act of July 4, 1952—Claims of gold mine owners—Interpretation.—Special Jurisdictional Act of July 4, 1952, merely waived defenses based on passage of time and was not mandatory to award compensation for suspension of operation of gold mines during war. *United States v. Central Eureka Mining Co.*, p. 155.

CRIMINAL LAW. See also **Constitutional Law**, V, 2-5, 10; VI, 1-4; VIII.

Multiple offenses—Same transaction—Consecutive sentences.—Consecutive sentences for violations of three different sections of narcotics laws growing out of single sale sustained. *Gore v. United States*, p. 386.

DEATH SENTENCES. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 2-5, 10.

DECLARATION OF TAKING ACT. See **Eminent Domain**.

DECLARATORY JUDGMENTS. See **Jurisdiction**, 1.

DEFICIENCIES. See **Taxation**, 1-4.

DELAWARE. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 1; X; **Jurisdiction**, 10.

DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY. See **Labor**, 3-4.

DEPORTATION. See **Aliens**.

DISCOVERY. See **Procedure**, 7.

DISCRIMINATION. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1; IX.

DISTILLERIES. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII, 3.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII, 1.

DOUBLE JEOPARDY. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

DUE PROCESS. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

EDUCATION. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 8; **Procedure**, 1.

EMINENT DOMAIN. See also **Assignment of Claims Act**; **Constitutional Law**, IV; **Court of Claims**; **Federal Power Act**.

Immediate possession—Sale of land—Later proceedings under Declaration of Taking Act—Who entitled to compensation.—Where land was sold after Federal Government had taken physical possession of right of way across it and before new proceedings were instituted under Declaration of Taking Act, first owner was entitled to compensation award. *United States v. Dow*, p. 17.

EQUAL PROTECTION OF LAWS. See **Constitutional Law**, IX.

EVIDENCE. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 3-5; VIII, 1-3.

EXCESS LANDS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2; IX; **Reclamation**.

EXCLUSION. See **Aliens**.

EXECUTION. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 10.

FALSE ADVERTISING. See **Federal Trade Commission**.

FEDERAL POWER ACT. See also **Jurisdiction**, 9.

License for municipal power project—Taking state property—Finality of judgment of Court of Appeals.—Where Federal Power Commission licensed municipal power project necessitating taking of state fish hatchery and Court of Appeals sustained its order, judgment was final and binding on State, its officers and citizens, though municipality was not authorized by state law to condemn state land. *City of Tacoma v. Taxpayers*, p. 320.

FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE. See **Procedure**, 7.

FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII, 2.

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, II; III; V, 1-10; VI, 1-6; IX; **Federal Power Act**; **Federal Trade Commission**; **Reclamation**.

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION.

Cease and desist orders—False advertising—Insurance companies—McCarran-Ferguson Act.—Under McCarran-Ferguson Act, Commission had no jurisdiction to order insurance companies to cease and desist from false, misleading and deceptive advertising in States having laws forbidding such practices. *Federal Trade Commission v. National Casualty Co.*, p. 560.

FIFTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**; IV, 1-2; VI; IX.

FISH HATCHERIES. See **Federal Power Act**.

FLORIDA. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 1; X; **Jurisdiction**, 10.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1-2; V; VI.

FOURTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

FREEDOM OF ASSOCIATION. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2; V, 6, 8.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1-2; V, 6, 8-9.

FULL FAITH AND CREDIT. See **Constitutional Law**, X.

- GOLD MINES.** See Constitutional Law, IV, 1; Court of Claims.
- GRAND JURIES.** See Constitutional Law, VI, 1.
- "HOT CARGO" CONTRACTS.** See Labor, 1.
- HYDRO-ELECTRIC POWER.** See Constitutional Law, IV, 2; Federal Power Act; Reclamation.
- ILLINOIS.** See Constitutional Law, II; Jurisdiction, 1; Procedure, 2.
- IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT.** See Aliens; State Department.
- IMMUNITY.** See Constitutional Law, VI, 1; XI.
- INCOME TAX.** See Taxation, 1-4.
- INDIGENTS.** See Constitutional Law, V, 2.
- INSANITY.** See Constitutional Law, V, 10.
- INSTRUCTIONS TO JURY.** See Admiralty.
- INSURANCE.** See Federal Trade Commission; Taxation, 2-3.
- INTANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY.** See Constitutional Law, V, 1; Jurisdiction, 10.
- INTERNAL REVENUE.** See Taxation, 1-4.
- INTERSTATE COMMERCE.** See Constitutional Law, II; Federal Power Act; Federal Trade Commission.
- INTER-TERMINAL TRANSFER SERVICE.** See Constitutional Law, II; Procedure, 2.
- IRRIGATION.** See Constitutional Law, IV, 2; IX; Reclamation.
- JONES ACT.** See Admiralty.
- JUDGMENTS.** See Constitutional Law, V, 1; X; Jurisdiction.
- JURISDICTION.** See also Constitutional Law, V, 1; X; Court of Claims; Federal Power Act; Federal Trade Commission; Procedure.
1. *Supreme Court—Appeal—“Final” judgment holding state law invalid.*—Judgment of federal court of appeals holding state law invalid as repugnant to Federal Constitution and laws appealable to Supreme Court; finality of declaratory judgment. *City of Chicago v. Atchison, T. & S. F. R. Co.*, p. 77.
 2. *Supreme Court—Appeal—Decision of State Supreme Court based on construction of federal statute.*—When decision of State Supreme Court was based on construction of federal statutes, not on

JURISDICTION—Continued.

a holding that they were unconstitutional, this Court was without jurisdiction on appeal; but it granted certiorari. *Ivanhoe Irrigation Dist. v. McCracken*, p. 275.

3. *Supreme Court—Appeal—Judgment of state court—Adequate state ground.*—When state law was invoked only by interpretation given federal statute by state court, its judgment did not rest on an adequate state ground. *Ivanhoe Irrigation Dist. v. McCracken*, p. 275.

4. *Supreme Court—Appeal from state court—Validity of state statute not challenged.*—When appellants had not challenged constitutionality of state statute in state courts but only claimed that exercise of jurisdiction by state courts violated Federal Constitution, this Court was without jurisdiction of appeal; but it granted certiorari. *Hanson v. Denckla*, p. 235.

5. *Supreme Court—Appeal from state court—Constitutional question not seasonably presented.*—When constitutional question was not seasonably presented in state courts, this Court need not consider it on appeal from State Supreme Court. *Hanson v. Denckla*, p. 235.

6. *Supreme Court—Appeal to vindicate right of third party—Interest in outcome.*—When appellants had direct and substantial personal interest in outcome of litigation, they had standing to challenge jurisdiction of state court over nonresident third party who was indispensable party but was not served and did not appear. *Hanson v. Denckla*, p. 235.

7. *Supreme Court—Review of state judgment—Adequate state ground.*—When state judgment does not rest on adequate state ground, Supreme Court has jurisdiction to review state judgment denying rights under Federal Constitution. *National Association for Advancement of Colored People v. Alabama*, p. 449.

8. *Supreme Court—Review of state judgment—Appeal or certiorari.*—Where constitutional questions raised by appeal from state judgment related primarily to findings made in state administrative proceedings, rather than validity of state law, appeal dismissed and certiorari granted. *Lerner v. Casey*, p. 468.

9. *Court of Appeals—Review of orders of Federal Power Commission—“Exclusive” jurisdiction.*—Under § 313 (b) of Federal Power Act, Court of Appeals had “exclusive jurisdiction” to review order of Federal Power Commission, and its judgment was “final” and subject to review only by Supreme Court; could not be challenged collaterally in state court. *City of Tacoma v. Taxpayers*, p. 320.

JURISDICTION—Continued.

10. *State courts—Nonresident trustee for intangible property of deceased domiciliary.*—Though deceased was domiciled in Florida and her will was probated there, Florida courts had no jurisdiction to pass on validity of her deed of trust executed in Delaware, where corpus and trustee were located, when trustee was not served personally, did not appear in Florida and did no business there. *Hanson v. Denckla*, p. 235.

JURY. See **Admiralty**.**JUST COMPENSATION.** See **Constitutional Law, IV; Eminent Domain.****KENTUCKY.** See **Taxation, 3.****LABOR.** See also **Veterans**.

1. *National Labor Relations Act—Secondary boycott—“Hot cargo” provision.*—That labor union’s contract with employer contains “hot cargo” provision is no defense to charge of unfair labor practice under “secondary boycott” provisions of § 8 (b) (4) (A). *Carpenters’ Union v. Labor Board*, p. 93.

2. *National Labor Relations Act—Unfair labor practices—Employer’s enforcement of “no solicitation” rule.*—Not unfair labor practice for employer to enforce rule forbidding employees to engage in pro-union solicitation during working hours or to distribute literature in employer’s plant, even when employer was engaging in anti-union solicitation. *Labor Board v. Steelworkers*, p. 357.

3. *National Labor Relations Board—Subpoenas duces tecum—Motions to revoke—Delegation of authority.*—Though § 11 (1) of Act gives a person served with subpoena *duces tecum* right to petition Board to revoke it, there is no illegality in Board delegating to hearing officer right to rule preliminarily on motion, subject to final decision by Board. *Labor Board v. Duval Jewelry Co.*, p. 1.

4. *National Labor Relations Board—Issuance of revocation of subpoenas—Delegation of authority.*—Issuance of subpoenas by Board on member thereof, being mandatory under § 11 (1) of Act, is ministerial act which may be delegated; Board may delegate authority to pass preliminarily on motion to revoke; General Counsel as “party” entitled to issuance of subpoenas. *Lewis v. Labor Board*, p. 10.

LICENSES. See **Federal Power Act**.**LIENS.** See **Taxation, 2.****LIFE INSURANCE.** See **Taxation, 2-3.****LIMITATIONS.** See **Admiralty; Court of Claims; Taxation, 4.**

- LIQUOR LAWS.** See Constitutional Law, VIII, 3.
- McCARRAN-FERGUSON ACT.** See Federal Trade Commission.
- MEMBERSHIP LISTS.** See Constitutional Law, V, 6.
- MILITARY TRAINING AND SERVICE ACT.** See Veterans.
- MINES.** See Constitutional Law, IV, 1; Court of Claims.
- MOONSHINING.** See Constitutional Law, VIII, 3.
- MOTOR CARRIERS.** See Constitutional Law, II.
- MULTIPLE OFFENSES.** See Constitutional Law, VII.
- MUNICIPALITIES.** See Constitutional Law, V, 7-8; Federal Power Act.
- MURDER.** See Constitutional Law, V, 2-5.
- NARCOTICS.** See Constitutional Law, VII; VIII, 1-2; Criminal Law.
- NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE.** See Constitutional Law, III, 2; V, 6; Procedure, 1, 5.
- NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT.** See Labor.
- NATIONAL RAILWAY ADJUSTMENT BOARD.** See Veterans.
- NAVIGABLE WATERS.** See Constitutional Law, IV, 2; Federal Power Act; Reclamation.
- NEGROES.** See Constitutional Law, III, 2; V, 6; Procedure, 1, 5.
- NEW JERSEY.** See Constitutional Law, V, 3; Taxation, 2.
- NEW YORK.** See Constitutional Law, V, 7; VI, 1.
- NONRESIDENTS.** See Constitutional Law, V, 1; Jurisdiction, 10.
- NO-SOLICITATION RULE.** See Labor, 2.
- OATHS.** See Constitutional Law, III, 1.
- OMNIBUS ADJUSTMENT ACT OF 1926.** See Constitutional Law, IV, 2; IX; Reclamation.
- PARTIES.** See Jurisdiction, 6; Labor, 4; Procedure, 2.
- PASSPORTS.** See State Department.
- PENNSYLVANIA.** See Constitutional Law, V, 8.
- POLICE.** See Constitutional Law, V, 3-5; VIII, 1-3.
- POWER.** See Constitutional Law, IV, 2; Federal Power Act; Reclamation.
- PRESIDENTIAL POWER.** See Constitutional Law, I.

PROCEDURE. See also **Constitutional Law**, III, 1; V; VIII, 2; **Jurisdiction**, 1-8; **Labor**, 3-4; **Taxation**, 1.

1. *Supreme Court—Certiorari to review District Court order before consideration by Court of Appeals—School segregation.*—Supreme Court denied certiorari to review order of District Court extending time for racial integration of public schools before Court of Appeals had opportunity to consider petition for stay or to hear appeal. *Aaron v. Cooper*, p. 566.

2. *Supreme Court—Standing to appeal—Third party.*—Third party having direct and substantial personal interest had standing to secure review of judgment of Court of Appeals holding municipal code violative of Federal Constitution. *City of Chicago v. Atchison, T. & S. F. R. Co.*, p. 77.

3. *Supreme Court—Appeal from state court—Question not seasonably presented to state court.*—On appeal from State Supreme Court, this Court will not determine question not seasonably presented to State Supreme Court. *Hanson v. Denckla*, p. 235.

4. *Supreme Court—Due process—State administrative proceedings blocked by appellant.*—Appellant who blocked state administrative proceedings by his own action cannot claim in this Court that he was denied procedural due process in such proceedings. *Lerner v. Casey*, p. 468.

5. *District Courts—Standing to sue—Association—Vindication of rights of members.*—National Association for Advancement of Colored People had standing to assert rights of members not to have their names disclosed. *National Association for Advancement of Colored People v. Alabama*, p. 449.

6. *District Courts—Declaratory Judgment Act—Suit to declare state law unconstitutional—Failure to exhaust administrative remedies.*—Interstate railroads need not apply to city for certificate of convenience and necessity and submit to administrative procedures before suing for judgment that city ordinance requiring such certificate for performing services as part of interstate commerce was invalid. *City of Chicago v. Atchison, T. & S. F. R. Co.*, p. 77.

7. *District Courts—Suit for return of property seized under Trading with Enemy Act—Dismissal for failure to produce documents—Rules 34 and 37 (b).*—Where plaintiff in suit to recover property seized under Trading with Enemy Act tried in good faith but failed to produce all documents ordered under Rule 34, dismissal of suit for this reason not justified in circumstances under Rule 37 (b). *Societe Internationale v. Rogers*, p. 197.

PROPERTY OF UNITED STATES. See **Constitutional Law**, XI.

PUBLIC SCHOOLS. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 8; **Procedure**, 1.

RACIAL SEGREGATION. See **Procedure**, 1.

RAILROADS. See **Constitutional Law**, II; **Procedure**, 6; **Veterans**.

RECLAMATION. See also **Constitutional Law**, IV, 2; IX.

Federal reclamation projects—Validity of contracts with state agencies—Applicability of state law.—Contracts between United States and state irrigation districts and water agencies under Reclamation Act of 1902, Omnibus Adjustment Act of 1926 and Reclamation Project Act of 1939 sustained against claims that they violated state laws. *Ivanhoe Irrigation Dist. v. McCracken*, p. 275.

REFUND. See **Taxation**, 1.

REHEARING. See **Constitutional Law**, XI.

REMOVAL OF OFFICERS. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

RIGHT TO ASSOCIATE. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 6; **Procedure**, 5.

RIGHT TO COUNSEL. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 3-4.

RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE. See **Procedure**, 7.

RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII, 2.

SANITY HEARING. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 10.

SCHOOLS. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 8; **Procedure**, 1.

SEAMEN. See **Admiralty**.

SEARCH AND SEIZURE. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

SECONDARY BOYCOTTS. See **Labor**, 1.

SECRETARY OF STATE. See **State Department**.

SECURITY RISKS. See **Constitutional Law**, VI, 5; **State Department**.

SEGREGATION. See **Procedure**, 1.

SELF-INCRIMINATION. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

SENTENCES. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

SOLICITATION. See **Labor**, 2.

STANDING TO SUE. See **Jurisdiction**, 6; **Procedure**, 2, 5.

STATE DEPARTMENT.

Passports—Denial—Communism.—Secretary of State not authorized to deny passports to citizens because of their Communistic beliefs or associations. *Kent v. Dulles*, p. 116; *Dayton v. Dulles*, p. 144.

STATE-FEDERAL RELATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, II; III; V, 1-10; VI, 1-6; IX; **Federal Power Act**; **Federal Trade Commission**; **Reclamation**.

SUBPOENAS. See **Labor**, 3-4.

SUBWAYS. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 7.

SUPREME COURT. See also **Jurisdiction**, 1-8; **Procedure**, 1-4.

1. MR. JUSTICE REED (retired) designated to perform judicial duties in Court of Claims, p. 901.

2. MR. JUSTICE FRANKFURTER temporarily assigned to Sixth Circuit as Circuit Justice, p. 923.

3. Resignation of Clerk and appointment of successor, pp. vii, 915.

4. Statement showing the number of cases filed, disposed of, and remaining on docket, at conclusion of October Terms, 1955, 1956 and 1957, p. 945.

TAFT-HARTLEY ACT. See **Labor**.

TAKING. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

TAXATION. See also **Constitutional Law**, III, 1; V, 9; XI.

1. *Income tax—Deficiency—Suit for refund.*—Taxpayer must pay full amount of income tax deficiency assessed by Commissioner before he may sue in federal district court for refund under 28 U. S. C. § 1346 (a)(1). *Flora v. United States*, p. 63.

2. *Income tax—Deficiency of deceased taxpayer—Liability of beneficiary of life insurance.*—When tax lien under § 3670 of Internal Revenue Code of 1939 had attached to all property of taxpayer before his death, beneficiary of his life insurance was liable for his deficiencies to extent of cash surrender values of his policies at time of his death. *United States v. Bess*, p. 51.

3. *Income tax—Deficiencies of deceased taxpayer—Liability of beneficiary of life insurance.*—Where there was no lien, no fraud and no insolvency before death of taxpayer, liability of beneficiary of his life insurance policies in proceeding to collect deficiencies in his income taxes under § 311 of Internal Revenue Code of 1939 depended on state law. *Commissioner v. Stern*, p. 39.

4. *Income tax—Deficiencies—Assessment—Limitations.*—Assessment of deficiency in income tax because of disallowance of items of cost of property sold governed by 3-year limitation of § 275 (a) of Internal Revenue Code of 1939; not § 275 (c), relating to omissions from gross income. *Colony, Inc., v. Commissioner*, p. 28.

TRADING WITH THE ENEMY ACT. See **Procedure**, 7.

TRANSCRIPT. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 2.

TRANSPORTATION. See **Constitutional Law, II; Procedure, 6; Veterans.**

TRUSTS. See **Constitutional Law, V, 1; X; Jurisdiction, 10.**

UNIONS. See **Labor.**

UNIVERSAL MILITARY TRAINING AND SERVICE ACT.
See **Veterans.**

UTAH. See **Constitutional Law, V, 5.**

VETERANS. See also **Constitutional Law, III, 1.**

Re-employment rights — Promotion not automatic — Seniority.—Veteran who had received on return from military service promotion which was not automatic under contract with railway union not entitled under Universal Military Training and Service Act to seniority in new position from date he might have qualified for it had he not entered military service. *McKinney v. Missouri-K.T. R. Co.*, p. 265.

WAR CLAIMS COMMISSION. See **Constitutional Law, I.**

WAR PRODUCTION BOARD. See **Constitutional Law, IV, 1; Court of Claims.**

WARRANTS. See **Constitutional Law, VIII, 2.**

WASHINGTON. See **Federal Power Act.**

WATERS. See **Constitutional Law, IV, 2; Federal Power Act; Reclamation.**

WORDS.

1. "*Board or any member thereof.*"—§ 11 (1) of National Labor Relations Act. *Lewis v. Labor Board*, p. 10.

2. "*Exclusive jurisdiction.*"—§ 313 of Federal Power Act. *City of Tacoma v. Taxpayers*, p. 320.

3. "*Final judgment.*"—§ 313 (b) of Federal Power Act. *City of Tacoma v. Taxpayers*, p. 320.

4. "*Final judgment.*"—28 U. S. C. § 1254 (2). *City of Chicago v. Atchison, T. & S. F. R. Co.*, p. 77.

5. "*Forcing or requiring . . . any employer or other person to cease . . . doing business with any other person.*"—§ 8 (b) (4) (A) of the National Labor Relations Act. *Carpenters' Union v. Labor Board*, p. 93.

6. "*Incompetency.*"—Pennsylvania Public School Code, § 1122. *Beilan v. Board of Education*, p. 399.

7. "*Liberty.*"—Fourteenth Amendment. *National Association for Advancement of Colored People v. Alabama*, p. 449.

WORDS—Continued.

8. "*Notwithstanding any statute of limitations, laches or lapse of time.*"—Special Jurisdictional Act of July 14, 1952. United States v. Central Eureka Mining Co., p. 155.
9. "*Omits from gross income an amount properly includible therein.*"—§ 275 (c) of Internal Revenue Code of 1939. Colony, Inc., v. Commissioner, p. 28.
10. "*Other person.*"—§ 8 (b) (4) (A) of National Labor Relations Act. Carpenters' Union v. Labor Board, p. 93.
11. "*Property.*"—§ 3670 of Internal Revenue Code of 1939. United States v. Bess, p. 51.
12. "*Rights to property.*"—§ 3670 of Internal Revenue Code of 1939. United States v. Bess, p. 51.
13. "*Rules and regulations . . . necessary to carry out the provisions of this Act.*"—§ 6, National Labor Relations Act. Lewis v. Labor Board, p. 10.
14. "*Security risk.*"—New York Security Risk Law. Lerner v. Casey, p. 468.
15. "*Take.*"—Fifth Amendment. United States v. Central Eureka Mining Co., p. 155; Ivanhoe Irrigation Dist. v. McCracken, p. 275.



















