

INDEX

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE. See **Aliens**, 1-2; **Citizenship**, 1-4; **False Claims Act**, 1-2; **Federal Maritime Board**; **Interstate Commerce Commission**, 1-2; **Packers and Stockyards Act**; **Panama Canal**.

ADMIRALTY. See also **Federal Maritime Board**; **Panama Canal**.

1. *Jones Act—Right of action—Member of crew—Defense Bases Act—Sufficiency of evidence.*—Employee injured while transferring at sea from tug to "Texas tower" radar warning station not confined to remedy under Defense Bases Act but may sue under Jones Act if member of crew; evidence sufficient to go to jury on question whether he was member of crew of any vessel. *Grimes v. Raymond Concrete Pile Co.*, p. 252.

2. *Jones Act—Negligence—Navigation—Seaman—Member of crew—Sufficiency of evidence.*—Plaintiff's evidence presented evidentiary basis for jury finding whether or not (1) tug was in navigation, (2) decedent was seaman and member of crew, and (3) employer negligence played a part in producing his death. *Butler v. Whiteman*, p. 271.

AFFIDAVIT. See **Citizenship**, 1-3.

AGENTS. See **Employers' Liability Act**, 1.

ALABAMA. See **Labor**, 3.

ALASKA. See **Workmen's Compensation**.

ALIENS. See also **Citizenship**, 1-4; **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2, V, 1.

1. *Deportation—Suspension—Consideration of application.*—In circumstances of case, alien ordered deported was entitled to have application for suspension of deportation considered under § 244 (a) (1) of Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952. *Dessalernos v. Savoretti*, p. 269.

2. *Deportation—Anarchist Act of 1918—"Time of entering."*—Alien who entered United States in 1923, was Communist in 1932-1936, but then quit Party and never rejoined, abandoned rights of residence and went abroad in 1937, and was readmitted to United States in 1938, not deportable in 1951 under § 4 (a) of Anarchist Act of 1918, as amended, because he was not a Communist when he entered in 1938 or at any time thereafter. *Bonetti v. Rogers*, p. 691.

ALIENS—Continued.

3. *Alien crewman remaining in United States after expiration of permit—Trial—Venue.*—Alien crewman willfully remaining in United States after expiration of landing permit is guilty of continuing offense and may be prosecuted in any district where he is found. *United States v. Cores*, p. 405.

ANARCHIST ACT OF 1918. See **Aliens**, 2.

ANNUITIES. See **Taxation**, 5.

ANTITRUST ACTS. See also **Procedure**, 8.

Sherman Act—Unreasonable restraint of trade—Railroad's "preferential routing" agreements.—"Preferential routing" agreements incorporated by railroad in deeds and leases to several million acres of land were unlawful as unreasonable restraints of trade under § 1 of Sherman Act. *Northern Pacific R. Co. v. United States*, p. 1.

APPEAL. See **Procedure**, 1-7.

ARCHIVES. See **Supreme Court**.

ARIZONA. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 3.

ARKANSAS. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 4.

ARMED FORCES. See **Citizenship**, 4; **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

ASSIGNMENT. See **Taxation**, 1.

BACK PAY. See **Labor**, 2-3.

BAIL JUMPING. See **Contempt**, 2.

BURDEN OF PROOF. See **Citizenship**, 4.

BUSINESS EXPENSES. See **Taxation**, 3-4.

CALIFORNIA. See **Interstate Commerce Commission**, 1; **Labor**, 2.

CAPITAL GAIN. See **Taxation**, 1.

CARRIERS. See **Admiralty**, 1-2; **Antitrust Acts**; **Employers' Liability Act**, 1-2; **Federal Maritime Board**; **Interstate Commerce Commission**, 1-2; **Procedure**, 2; **Taxation**, 4.

CHILDREN. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

CITIZENSHIP. See also **Aliens**, 1-2; **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2.

1. *Denaturalization proceedings—Prerequisite—Affidavit of good cause.*—Affidavit showing good cause is prerequisite to initiation of denaturalization proceeding; must be filed with complaint when proceedings are instituted. *Matles v. United States*, p. 256.

CITIZENSHIP—Continued.

2. *Denaturalization—Fraudulent procurement—Affidavit of good cause—Sufficiency of evidence.*—In 1952 suit under § 338 (a) of Nationality Act of 1940 to set aside 1938 naturalization decree on ground that it had been obtained fraudulently, affidavit of responsible official based on official records satisfied “good cause” requirement of § 338 (a); but evidence insufficient to prove fraudulent procurement of citizenship by Communist. *Nowak v. United States*, p. 660.

3. *Denaturalization—Fraudulent procurement—Affidavit of good cause—Sufficiency of evidence.*—In 1953 suit under § 340 (a) of Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952 to set aside 1938 naturalization decree on ground that it had been obtained by “concealment of a material fact” and “willful misrepresentation,” affidavit of “good cause” was sufficient; but evidence was insufficient to support decree of denaturalization of Communist. *Maisenberg v. United States*, p. 670.

4. *Loss of citizenship by service in foreign army—Burden of proof—Sufficiency of evidence.*—When American birth proven, Government has burden of proving voluntary act resulting in loss of citizenship under § 401 (c) of Nationality Act of 1940; evidence not sufficient to prove that service in Japanese army was voluntary. *Nishikawa v. Dulles*, p. 129.

CLAYTON ACT. See **Contempt**, 2.

CLERK. See **Supreme Court**.

COMMERCE. See **Antitrust Acts**; **Interstate Commerce Commission**; **Packers and Stockyards Act**.

COMMODITY CREDIT CORP. See **False Claims Act**, 1.

COMMUNISM. See **Aliens**, 2; **Citizenship**, 2-3; **Constitutional Law**, V, 1; **Contempt**, 1-2; **Criminal Law**, 1; **Procedure**, 10.

COMPETITION. See **Antitrust Acts**; **Federal Maritime Board**.

CONFESSIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 3-4.

CONGRESS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2; **Criminal Law**, 1.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW. See also **Contempt**, 1-2; **Labor**, 2-3.

I. Power of Congress.

1. *Citizenship—Nationality Act—Voting in foreign election.*—Congress has power to provide that anyone who votes in a foreign political election shall lose his American citizenship; not prevented by Fourteenth Amendment; § 401 (e) of Nationality Act of 1940 sustained. *Perez v. Brownell*, p. 44.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

2. *Citizenship—Nationality Act—Desertion from Army.*—Section 401 (g) of Nationality Act of 1940, providing for loss of citizenship by soldier who is convicted by court-martial of desertion in time of war and is dishonorably discharged, *held* unconstitutional as applied. *Trop v. Dulles*, p. 86.

II. Equal Protection of Laws.

Racial discrimination—Selection of grand jury.—Consistent exclusion of Negroes from grand juries denied defendant equal protection of laws guaranteed by Fourteenth Amendment, and his conviction for murder is reversed. *Eubanks v. Louisiana*, p. 584.

III. Due Process of Law.

1. *State courts—Double jeopardy—Consecutive trials for multiple offenses committed on same occasion.*—State conviction for murder and death sentence after same defendant had been convicted in two earlier trials and sentenced to imprisonment for two other murders committed on same occasion did not violate due process, though details of all such murders were introduced in evidence. *Ciucci v. Illinois*, p. 571.

2. *State courts—Double jeopardy—Consecutive trials for multiple offenses committed on same occasion.*—State conviction for robbery after acquittal of same defendant in three earlier trials for robbing three other people on same occasion did not constitute double jeopardy or otherwise violate Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment where state law made each robbery a separate offense. *Hoag v. New Jersey*, p. 464.

3. *State courts—Confession of murder.*—Where undisputed evidence showed that confession was voluntary, federal court properly denied habeas corpus to review state-court conviction for murder; it is for trial court and jury to weigh conflicting evidence on such issues; District Court did not abuse discretion in denying writ on basis of record without full hearing nor err in considering evidence not in trial record but submitted before District Court. *Thomas v. Arizona*, p. 390.

4. *State courts—Coerced confession.*—Admission in evidence of confession coerced by fear of lynching at state-court trial of Negro for murder violated Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment, though other evidence may have been sufficient to convict. *Payne v. Arkansas*, p. 560.

IV. Double Jeopardy.

1. *State courts—Consecutive trials for multiple offenses committed on same occasion.*—State conviction for robbery after acquittal of

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

same defendant in three earlier trials for robbing three other people on same occasion did not constitute double jeopardy or otherwise violate Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment where state law made each robbery a separate offense. *Hoag v. New Jersey*, p. 464.

2. *State courts—Consecutive trials for multiple offenses committed on same occasion.*—State conviction for murder and death sentence after same defendant had been convicted in two earlier trials and sentenced to imprisonment for two other murders committed on same occasion did not violate due process, though details of all such murders were introduced in evidence. *Ciucci v. Illinois*, p. 571.

V. Self-Incrimination.

1. *Waiver of privilege—Voluntary testimony in own behalf.*—By testifying in own behalf in denaturalization proceeding, defendant waived privilege against self-incrimination; refusal to answer on cross-examination questions re Communist Party membership was punishable by imprisonment on summary conviction without jury for criminal contempt. *Brown v. United States*, p. 148.

2. *State courts—Coerced confession.*—Admission in evidence of confession coerced by fear of lynching at state-court trial of Negro for murder violated Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment, though other evidence may have been sufficient to convict. *Payne v. Arkansas*, p. 560.

3. *State courts—Confession of murder.*—Where undisputed evidence showed that confession was voluntary, federal court properly denied habeas corpus to review state-court conviction for murder; it is for trial court and jury to weigh conflicting evidence on such issues; District Court did not abuse discretion in denying writ on basis of record without full hearing nor err in considering evidence not in trial record but submitted before District Court. *Thomas v. Arizona*, p. 390.

VI. Full Faith and Credit.

Custody decree—Child in another State—Changed circumstances.—Where New York divorce court awarded custody of child to grandfather in North Carolina but later amended decree and awarded custody to mother, who sued 14 months later in North Carolina to enforce amended decree, North Carolina was not bound by New York decree if circumstances had changed so as to make it in child's best interest to remain in grandfather's custody. *Kovacs v. Brewer*, p. 604.

CONTEMPT. See also **Criminal Law**, 1; **Procedure**, 10.

1. *Criminal contempt—Refusal to answer—Waiver of privilege against self-incrimination.*—By testifying in own behalf in denaturalization proceeding, defendant waived privilege against self-incrimination, and summary conviction without jury of criminal contempt for refusing on cross-examination to answer questions re Communist Party membership sustained. *Brown v. United States*, p. 148.

2. *Criminal contempt—Willful disobedience of court order to surrender for execution of sentence—Conviction by judge without jury—Three-year sentence.*—Willful disobedience of court order to surrender for execution of sentence after affirmance of conviction for violating Smith Act was criminal contempt; conviction by judge without indictment or jury trial, and sentence to three years' additional imprisonment sustained; sufficiency of evidence. *Green v. United States*, p. 165.

CONTRACTS. See **Labor**, 1-2.

COURT MARTIAL. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

COURTS. See **Contempt**, 1-2; **Procedure**.

CREDIT INSURANCE. See **False Claims Act**, 2.

CREWMEN. See **Admiralty**, 1-2; **Aliens**, 3.

CRIMINAL LAW. See also **Aliens**, 3; **Constitutional Law**, II; III, 1-4; V, 2-3; **Contempt**, 1-2; **False Claims Act**, 1-2; **Procedure**, 1, 7, 10.

1. *Contempt of Congress—Refusal to answer—Questions not pertinent.*—Conviction under 2 U. S. C. § 192 for refusal to answer before Senate Subcommittee reversed where refusal related to questions not pertinent to subject on which Subcommittee had been authorized to take testimony. *Sacher v. United States*, p. 576.

2. *Narcotics violations—Defenses—Entrapment.*—Where undisputed testimony of government witnesses established entrapment as matter of law, conviction for selling narcotics reversed. *Sherman v. United States*, p. 369.

3. *Narcotics violations—Defenses—Entrapment.*—Where person charged with illegal sales of narcotics claimed entrapment, but evidence on that issue was conflicting, judge properly submitted issue to jury. *Masciale v. United States*, p. 386.

CROSS-EXAMINATION. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 1.

CUSTODY DECREE. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

DAMAGES. See **Admiralty**, 1-2; **Employers' Liability Act**, 1-2; **Labor**, 2-3; **Workmen's Compensation**.

DEDUCTIONS. See **Taxation**, 3-4.

DEFENSE BASES ACT. See **Admiralty**, 1.

DENATURALIZATION. See **Citizenship**, 1-4; **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2; V, 1.

DEPORTATION. See **Aliens**, 1-2; **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2.

DESERTION. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

DISABILITY. See **Workmen's Compensation**.

DISCOVERY. See **Procedure**, 8.

DISCRIMINATION. See **Antitrust Acts**; **Constitutional Law**, II; **Federal Maritime Board**; **Interstate Commerce Commission**, 2; **Packers and Stockyards Act**.

DIVERSITY CITIZENSHIP. See **Procedure**, 9.

DIVORCE. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

DOUBLE JEOPARDY. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

DUE PROCESS. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT. See also **Admiralty**, 1-2; **Workmen's Compensation**.

1. *Liability of employer—Injury caused by fault of others performing, under contract, operations of employer.*—When railroad employee's injury is caused by fault of others performing, under contract, operational activities of his employer, such others are "agents" of the employer within meaning of § 1 of Federal Employers' Liability Act. *Sinkler v. Missouri Pacific R. Co.*, p. 326.

2. *Liability of employer—Question for jury—Sufficiency of evidence.*—*Ferguson v. St. Louis-S. F. R. Co.*, p. 41.

ENTRAPMENT. See **Criminal Law**, 2-3.

EQUAL PROTECTION OF LAWS. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

ESTATE TAXES. See **Taxation**, 5.

EVIDENCE. See also **Admiralty**, 1-2; **Citizenship**, 2-3; **Constitutional Law**, III, 1, 3-4; V; **Contempt**, 1-2; **Criminal Law**, 2-3; **Employers' Liability Act**, 2; **Interstate Commerce Commission**, 2; **Procedure**, 6, 8.

Sufficiency—Burden of proof—Nationality Act—Loss of citizenship by service in foreign army.—When American birth proven, Government has burden of proving voluntary act resulting in loss of citizenship under § 401 (c) of Nationality Act of 1940; evidence not sufficient to prove that service in Japanese Army was voluntary. *Nishikawa v. Dulles*, p. 129.

EXCHANGE. See **Taxation**, 2.

EXPATRIATION. See **Aliens**, 1-2; **Citizenship**, 1-4; **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2.

EXPENSES. See **Taxation**, 3-4.

FALSE CLAIMS ACT.

1. *Claims "against Government"—Commodity Credit Corp.*—A claim against the Commodity Credit Corporation is a claim "against the Government of the United States," within meaning of civil provisions of the False Claims Act. *Rainwater v. United States*, p. 590; *United States v. McNinch*, p. 595.

2. *Claims "against Government"—Federal Housing Administration—Application for credit insurance.*—A claim against the Federal Housing Administration is a claim "against the Government of the United States"; but a lending institution's application for credit insurance is not a "claim," within the meaning of the civil provisions of the False Claims Act. *United States v. McNinch*, p. 595.

FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT. See **Admiralty**, 1-2; **Employers' Liability Act**, 1-2.

FEDERAL HOUSING ADMINISTRATION. See **False Claims Act**, 2.

FEDERAL MARITIME BOARD.

Shipping conference—Dual rate system—Stifling competition.—Order approving dual-rate system of shipping conference designed to stifle competition of independent carrier held unlawful under § 14 of Shipping Act of 1916. *Federal Maritime Board v. Isbrandtsen Co.*, p. 481.

FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE. See **Procedure**, 3-4, 8.

FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE. See **Procedure**, 7.

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS. See **Interstate Commerce Commission**, 1-2; **Labor**, 2-3; **Procedure**, 1, 9.

FIFTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 1; **Contempt**, 1.

FINAL JUDGMENT. See **Procedure**, 4.

FINES. See **Taxation**, 4.

FOREIGN RELATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1; II; III, 1-4.

- FRAUD.** See **Citizenship**, 2-3; **False Claims Act**, 1-2.
- FULL FAITH AND CREDIT.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI.
- FULL HEARING.** See **Packers and Stockyards Act**.
- GAMBLING.** See **Taxation**, 3.
- GOOD CAUSE.** See **Citizenship**, 1-3.
- GRAND JURIES.** See **Constitutional Law**, II; **Procedure**, 8.
- HABEAS CORPUS.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 3.
- HEARING.** See **Packers and Stockyards Act**.
- HIGHWAYS.** See **Taxation**, 4.
- ILLINOIS.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.
- IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY.** See **Aliens**, 1-3; **Citizenship**, 1-4; **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2.
- IMPOUNDED FUND.** See **Procedure**, 2.
- INCOME TAX.** See **Taxation**, 1-4.
- INDICTMENT.** See **Contempt**, 2.
- IN FORMA PAUPERIS.** See **Procedure**, 7.
- INFORMERS.** See **Criminal Law**, 2-3.
- INSURANCE.** See **False Claims Act**, 2; **Taxation**, 5.
- INTERNAL REVENUE CODE.** See **Taxation**.
- INTERNAL SECURITY ACT OF 1950.** See **Aliens**, 2.
- INTERSTATE COMMERCE.** See **Antitrust Acts**; **Interstate Commerce Commission**; **Packers and Stockyards Act**.
- INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION.**

1. *Authority under § 5 (2) (a)*—Approval of transfer of local business to subsidiary—Purpose to escape state regulation.—Where interstate motor carrier proposed transfer of local business to wholly owned new subsidiary, in order to escape state regulation, approval was not within exclusive and plenary authority of I. C. C. under § 5 (2) (a) of Act. *County of Marin v. United States*, p. 412.

2. *Increase in intrastate freight rates*—Findings—Sufficiency of evidence.—Order under § 13 (3) and (4) of Interstate Commerce Act, granting general increase in intrastate freight rates, which had been denied by State Commission, invalid because based on findings lacking sufficient support in evidence. *Public Service Comm'n of Utah v. United States*, p. 421.

JAPAN. See **Citizenship**, 4.

JONES ACT. See **Admiralty**, 1-2.

JUDGMENTS. See **Procedure**, 3-4, 6.

JUDICIAL REVIEW. See **Panama Canal**; **Procedure**.

JURISDICTION. See **Aliens**, 3; **Labor**, 2-3; **Procedure**.

JURY. See **Constitutional Law**, II; V, 1, 3; **Contempt**, 1-2; **Criminal Law**; 3; **Procedure**, 8-9.

LABOR. See also **Admiralty**, 1-2; **Employers' Liability Act**; **Workmen's Compensation**.

1. *National Labor Relations Act—Refusal to bargain—Employer insistence on contract clauses unacceptable to employees.*—Employer's refusal to sign collective-bargaining agreement unless it contained certain clauses unacceptable to employees and not relating to "wages, hours, and other terms and conditions of employment" amounted to refusal to bargain. *Labor Board v. Borg-Warner Corp.*, p. 342.

2. *National Labor Relations Act—Jurisdiction of state courts—Suit for unlawful expulsion from union.*—Where union expelled member in violation of his contractual rights under its constitution and by-laws, state court had jurisdiction to order his reinstatement and award damages for lost wages and physical and mental suffering, even though National Labor Relations Board may have had power to award back pay. *International Association of Machinists v. Gonzales*, p. 617.

3. *National Labor Relations Act—Jurisdiction of state courts—Tort action for interference with right to work.*—Where union, by mass picketing and threats of violence during strike, prevented nonmember from entering plant and working, state court had jurisdiction to award him compensatory and punitive damages, even if union's conduct was unfair labor practice and National Labor Relations Board had power to award him back pay. *Automobile Workers v. Russell*, p. 634.

LIVESTOCK. See **Packers and Stockyards Act**.

LOUISIANA. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

LYNCHING. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 3-4.

MARKET AGENCY. See **Packers and Stockyards Act**.

MAXIMUM WEIGHT LAWS. See **Taxation**, 4.

MEMBER OF CREW. See **Admiralty**, 1-2; **Aliens**, 3.

MEXICO. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

MILITARY. See **Citizenship**, 4; **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

- MONOPOLY.** See **Antitrust Acts**; **Federal Maritime Board**.
- MOTOR CARRIERS.** See **Interstate Commerce Commission**, 1; **Taxation**, 4.
- MURDER.** See **Constitutional Law**, II; III, 1, 3-4.
- NARCOTICS.** See **Criminal Law**, 2-3.
- NATIONAL ARCHIVES.** See **Supreme Court**.
- NATIONALITY ACTS.** See **Aliens**; **Citizenship**; **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2.
- NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT.** See **Labor**.
- NATURALIZATION.** See **Citizenship**, 1-3.
- NAVIGATION.** See **Admiralty**.
- NEGLIGENCE.** See **Admiralty**; **Employers' Liability Act**; **Procedure**, 6, 9; **Workmen's Compensation**.
- NEGROES.** See **Constitutional Law**, II; III, 3-4; V, 2-3.
- NEW JERSEY.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.
- NEW YORK.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI.
- NORTH CAROLINA.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI.
- OIL.** See **Taxation**, 1-2.
- PACKERS AND STOCKYARDS ACT.**
Stockyard owner's regulations—Restrictions on market agency—Validity.—Stockyard owner's regulations providing that market agency doing business at its stockyard shall not, in "normal marketing area" thereof, solicit business for, or divert business to, any other market held invalid as applied to market agency also registered at other stockyards; taking of evidence not essential to "full hearing" in circumstances of case. *Denver Union Stock Yard Co. v. Producers Livestock Marketing Assn.*, p. 282.
- PANAMA CANAL.**
Suit to compel reduction of tolls—Appropriateness of judicial action—Administrative Procedure Act.—Controversy as to whether Panama Canal Co., as creature of Congress and agent of President, should fix lower tolls for use of Canal not presently appropriate for judicial action; question not subject to judicial review under § 10, *Administrative Procedure Act*. *Panama Canal Co. v. Grace Line*, p. 309.
- PAUPERS.** See **Procedure**, 7.
- PENALTIES.** See **Taxation**, 4.

PERSONAL INJURIES. See **Admiralty; Employers' Liability Act; Procedure, 6, 9; Workmen's Compensation.**

PICKETING. See **Labor, 3.**

PROCEDURE. See also **Constitutional Law, III; V; VI; Contempt, 1-2; Criminal Law, 3; Panama Canal.**

1. *Supreme Court—Review of state-court judgment—Material not in record.*—Material not in record of state courts and not considered by them will not be considered by Supreme Court in reviewing judgment of State Supreme Court affirming murder conviction and death sentence. *Ciucci v. Illinois*, p. 571.

2. *Supreme Court—District Court order to distribute fund impounded pending appeal—Supersedeas.*—After Supreme Court's modification and affirmance of judgment of District Court and remand to it for remand to Interstate Commerce Commission for further proceedings on order raising suburban commuter fares of railroad, District Court ordered distribution of fund impounded pending that appeal. On notice of appeal from its order for distribution of funds, District Court denied stay and Supreme Court denies supersedeas. *Chicago, M., St. P. & P. R. Co. v. Illinois* (opinion of FRANKFURTER, J., dissenting), p. 906.

3. *Courts of Appeals—Appeal—Timeliness—"Entry of Judgment."*—In suit against Government for money only, time for appeal ran from filing of formal judgment specifying amount—not from filing of opinion granting summary judgment for plaintiff but not specifying amount. *United States v. Schaefer Brewing Co.*, p. 227.

4. *Courts of Appeals—Appeal—Timeliness—Final judgment.*—District Court's order dismissing cause of action was final judgment and appeal filed within 30 days thereafter was timely under Rule 73 (a), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *Jung v. K. & D. Mining Co.*, p. 335.

5. *Courts of Appeals—Appeal from order dismissing complaint.*—Rule that plaintiff who has voluntarily dismissed his complaint may not appeal from order of dismissal not applicable when order resulted from plaintiff's motion to amend earlier order so as to expedite review thereof. *United States v. Procter & Gamble Co.*, p. 677.

6. *Courts of Appeals—Reversing District Court and directing judgment for appellant—Remand for taking of evidence.*—When District Court had stricken affirmative defense under State Workmen's Compensation Act and awarded judgment to plaintiff without hearing his evidence on issue, Court of Appeals erred in directing judgment for defendant without remand to permit plaintiff to introduce evidence. *Byrd v. Blue Ridge Electric Cooperative*, p. 525.

PROCEDURE—Continued.

7. *Courts of Appeals*—*Denial of leave to appeal in forma pauperis from conviction of crime*—*Issue not frivolous*.—Court of Appeals should not have denied leave to appeal *in forma pauperis* from conviction for crime when issue raised was not frivolous. *Ellis v. United States*, p. 674.

8. *District Courts*—*Discovery and production*—*Grand jury records*.—Defendants in civil suit to enjoin violations of Sherman Act failed to show "good cause" under Rule 34 for discovery and production of grand jury records when they did not show that grand jury investigation had been subverted by Government to elicit evidence in civil case. *United States v. Procter & Gamble Co.*, p. 677.

9. *District Courts*—*Diversity of citizenship cases*—*State law*—*Jury to decide factual issues*.—Though factual issue on affirmative defense under State Workmen's Compensation Act is triable by judge in state courts, it should be tried by jury in federal court having jurisdiction because of diversity of citizenship. *Byrd v. Blue Ridge Electric Cooperative*, p. 525.

10. *District Courts*—*Criminal contempt*—*Remand for resentencing*.—After reversing in part judgment of conviction for contempt this Court vacated judgment and remanded case to District Court for resentencing in light of opinion; but District Court resentedenced for same time. This Court vacates that judgment and remands with directions that sentence be reduced. *Yates v. United States*, p. 363.

RACIAL DISCRIMINATION. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

RADAR STATION. See **Admiralty**, 1.

RAILROADS. See **Antitrust Acts**; **Employers' Liability Act**; **Interstate Commerce Commission**, 2; **Procedure**, 2.

RATES. See **Federal Maritime Board**; **Interstate Commerce Commission**; **Panama Canal**; **Procedure**, 2.

RECORDS. See **Supreme Court**.

REMAND. See **Procedure**, 2, 6, 10.

RESTRAINT OF TRADE. See **Antitrust Acts**; **Federal Maritime Board**; **Packers and Stockyards Act**.

RIGHT TO WORK. See **Labor**, 2-3.

ROADS. See **Taxation**, 4.

ROBBERY. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE. See **Procedure**, 3-4, 8.

RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE. See **Procedure**, 7.

SEAMEN. See Admiralty, 1-2; Aliens, 3.

SELF-INCRIMINATION. See Constitutional Law, V.

SENTENCE. See Constitutional Law, IV; Contempt, 2; Procedure, 10.

SHERMAN ACT. See Antitrust Acts; Procedure, 8.

SHIPPING. See Admiralty; Federal Maritime Board; Panama Canal.

STAY. See Procedure, 2.

STOCKYARDS. See Packers and Stockyards Act.

STRIKES. See Labor, 3.

SULPHUR. See Taxation, 1.

SUPERSEDEAS. See Procedure, 2.

SUPREME COURT. See also Procedure, 1-2.

Clerk authorized to transfer certain records and papers to National Archives, p. 901.

SURRENDER ORDER. See Contempt, 2.

SUSPENSION. See Aliens, 1.

TAFT-HARTLEY ACT. See Labor.

TAXATION.

1. *Income tax—Payment for assignment of oil payment right—Ordinary income—Not capital gain.*—Payment for assignment of oil payment right (or sulphur payment right) taxable as ordinary income; not long-term capital gain under § 117 of Internal Revenue Code of 1939. *Commissioner v. P. G. Lake, Inc.*, p. 260.

2. *Income tax—Exchange of oil payment right for real estate—Not tax-free exchange.*—Exchange of oil payment right for fee simple interest in real estate not tax-free exchange of property under § 112 (b) (1) of Internal Revenue Code of 1939. *Commissioner v. P. G. Lake, Inc.*, p. 260.

3. *Income tax—Deductions—Expenses of leasing premises and hiring employees for gambling enterprises.*—Amounts expended to lease premises and hire employees for conduct of gambling enterprises, illegal under state law, *held* deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses under § 23 (a) (1) (A) of Internal Revenue Code of 1939. *Commissioner v. Sullivan*, p. 27.

4. *Income tax—Deductions—Fines paid by truck owners for violations of maximum weight laws.*—Fines paid by truck owners for violations of state maximum weight laws *held* not deductible as "ordi-

TAXATION—Continued.

nary and necessary" business expenses under § 23 (a) (1) (A) of Internal Revenue Code of 1939. *Tank Truck Rentals v. Commissioner*, p. 30; *Hoover Motor Express Co. v. United States*, p. 38.

5. *Federal estate tax—Proceeds of irrevocably assigned single-premium life insurance policies.*—Proceeds of single-premium life insurance policies which had been assigned irrevocably to children of insured not includable in estate of insured for purposes of federal estate tax under § 811 (c) (1) (B) of Internal Revenue Code of 1939, though such policies were bought at same time and from same companies as single-premium nonrefundable life annuities payable to insured. *Fidelity-Philadelphia Trust Co. v. Smith*, p. 274.

TEMPORARY DISABILITY. See **Workmen's Compensation.**

TEXAS TOWER. See **Admiralty**, 1.

TOLLS. See **Panama Canal.**

TORTS. See **Labor**, 3.

TRANSPORTATION. See **Admiralty**; **Antitrust Acts**; **Employers' Liability Act**; **Federal Maritime Board**; **Interstate Commerce Commission**; **Panama Canal**; **Procedure**, 2; **Taxation**, 4.

TRIAL. See **Aliens**, 3; **Constitutional Law**, III; V; **Contempt**; **Procedure.**

TRUCKS. See **Taxation**, 4.

UNIONS. See **Labor.**

UTAH. See **Interstate Commerce Commission**, 2.

VENUE. See **Aliens**, 3.

VOTING. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

WAIVER. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

WAR. See **Citizenship**, 4; **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

WATER CARRIERS. See **Admiralty**; **Federal Maritime Board**; **Panama Canal.**

WEIGHT LAWS. See **Taxation**, 4.

WITNESSES. See **Constitutional Law**, V, 1; **Contempt**, 1; **Criminal Law**, 1.

WORDS.

1. *"Acquisition."*—§ 5 (2) (a), **Interstate Commerce Act.** *County of Marin v. United States*, p. 412.

2. *"Agents."*—**Federal Employers' Liability Act.** *Sinkler v. Missouri Pacific R. Co.*, p. 326.

WORDS—Continued.

3. "*Any place in the United States at which the violation may occur.*"—§ 279, Immigration and Nationality Act. *United States v. Cores*, p. 405.

4. "*Attached to the principles of the Constitution of the United States.*"—§ 4, Nationality Act of 1906. *Nowak v. United States*, p. 660; *Maisenberg v. United States*, p. 670.

5. "*Business expenses.*"—§ 23 (a) (1) (A) of Internal Revenue Code of 1939. *Commissioner v. Sullivan*, p. 27; *Tank Truck Rentals v. Commissioner*, p. 30; *Hoover Motor Express Co. v. United States*, p. 38.

6. "*Capital gain.*"—§ 117, Internal Revenue Code of 1939. *Commissioner v. P. G. Lake, Inc.*, p. 260.

7. "*Carrier.*"—§ 5 (2) (a), Interstate Commerce Act. *County of Marin v. United States*, p. 412.

8. "*Claim . . . against the Government of the United States.*"—False Claims Act. *Rainwater v. United States*, p. 590; *United States v. McNinch*, p. 595.

9. "*Concealment of a material fact.*"—§ 340 (a), Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952. *Maisenberg v. United States*, p. 670.

10. "*Conformity to the usages at law . . . now prevailing.*"—§ 24 of Clayton Act of 1914, 18 U. S. C. § 402. *Green v. United States*, p. 165.

11. "*District in which the offense was committed.*"—Rule 18, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. *United States v. Cores*, p. 405.

12. "*Entry of the judgment.*"—Rule 73 (a), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *United States v. Schaefer Brewing Co.*, p. 227.

13. "*Expenses.*"—§ 23 (a) (1) (A) of Internal Revenue Code of 1939. *Commissioner v. Sullivan*, p. 27; *Tank Truck Rentals v. Commissioner*, p. 30; *Hoover Motor Express Co. v. United States*, p. 38.

14. "*Full hearing.*"—Packers and Stockyards Act. *Denver Union Stock Yard Co. v. Producers Livestock Marketing Assn.*, p. 282.

15. "*Good cause.*"—§ 338 (a), Nationality Act of 1940. *Nowak v. United States*, p. 660; *Maisenberg v. United States*, p. 670.

16. "*Good cause.*"—Rule 34, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *United States v. Procter & Gamble Co.*, p. 677.

17. "*Good faith.*"—28 U. S. C. § 1915. *Ellis v. United States*, p. 674.

18. "*Infamous crime.*"—Fifth Amendment. *Green v. United States*, p. 165.

WORDS—Continued.

19. "*Judgment.*"—Rule 73 (a), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. United States v. Schaefer Brewing Co., p. 227.

20. "*Member of a crew of any vessel.*"—§ 1654 of Defense Bases Act. Grimes v. Raymond Concrete Pile Co., p. 252.

21. "*Necessary*" *business expenses.*—§ 23 (a) (1) (A) of Internal Revenue Code of 1939. Commissioner v. Sullivan, p. 27; Tank Truck Rentals v. Commissioner, p. 30; Hoover Motor Express Co. v. United States, p. 38.

22. "*Ordinary income.*"—Internal Revenue Code of 1939. Commissioner v. P. G. Lake, Inc., p. 260.

23. "*Ordinary and necessary expenses*" of *business.*—§ 23 (a) (1) (A) of Internal Revenue Code of 1939. Commissioner v. Sullivan, p. 27; Tank Truck Rentals v. Commissioner, p. 30; Hoover Motor Express Co. v. United States, p. 38.

24. "*Reasonable stockyard services.*"—Packers and Stockyards Act. Denver Union Stock Yard Co. v. Producers Livestock Marketing Assn., p. 282.

25. "*Remains.*"—§ 252 (c), Immigration and Nationality Act. United States v. Cores, p. 405.

26. "*Resort to other discriminating or unfair methods.*"—§ 14, Shipping Act of 1916. Federal Maritime Board v. Isbrandtsen Co., p. 481.

27. "*State where the said crimes shall have been committed.*"—U. S. Const., Art. III, § 2, cl. 3; Sixth Amendment. United States v. Cores, p. 405.

28. "*Temporary disability.*"—Alaska Workmen's Compensation Act. Alaska Industrial Board v. Chugach Electric Assn., p. 320.

29. "*Time of entering the United States.*"—§ 4 (a) Anarchist Act of 1918, as amended by § 22 of Internal Security Act of 1950. Bonetti v. Rogers, p. 691.

30. "*Undue, unreasonable, or unjust discrimination against interstate . . . commerce.*"—§ 13 (4), Interstate Commerce Act. Public Service Comm'n of Utah v. United States, p. 421.

31. "*Unjust, unreasonable or discriminatory regulation or practice.*"—Packers and Stockyards Act. Denver Union Stock Yard Co. v. Producers Livestock Marketing Assn., p. 282.


32. "*Wages, hours, and other terms and conditions of employment.*"—§ 8 (d) of National Labor Relations Act. Labor Board v. Borg-Warner Corp., p. 342.


33. "*Willful misrepresentation.*"—§ 340, Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952. Maisenberg v. United States, p. 670.


WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION. See also **Admiralty; Employers' Compensation Act; Procedure**, 6, 9.


Alaska—Award of "temporary disability" payments after payment for "total and permanent disability."—In circumstances of case, there was factual basis for award of "temporary disability" payments under Alaska Workmen's Compensation Act after payment had been made for "total and permanent disability." *Alaska Industrial Board v. Chugach Electric Assn.*, p. 320.

























OAMGENPUB1118