

355 U. S.

Per Curiam.

KRASNOV *ET AL.* *v.* UNITED STATES.APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA.

No. 238. Decided October 14, 1957.*

143 F. Supp. 184, affirmed.

C. Brewster Rhoads for appellants in No. 238.*Robert L. Wright* and *Milton M. Gottesman* for
appellants in No. 254.*Joseph F. Padlon* for appellant in No. 255.*Solicitor General Rankin*, *Acting Assistant Attorney
General Bicks*, *Daniel M. Friedman* and *Joseph F.
Tubridy* for the United States.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to affirm is granted and the judgment is
affirmed.MR. JUSTICE HARLAN and MR. JUSTICE WHITTAKER are
of the opinion that probable jurisdiction should be noted.

*Together with No. 254, *Comfy Manufacturing Co. et al. v. United States*, and No. 255, *Oppenheimer v. United States*, also on appeals from the same court.