

INDEX

ADMINISTRATIVE AGREEMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF UNITED STATES COURTS.

Appointment of Assistant Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, p. 933.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE. See **Government Employees.**

ADMIRALTY.

1. *Limitation proceeding—Cross-claims against foreign claimant.*—Federal court in admiralty limitation proceeding may permit parties to cross-claim against foreign claimant for damages arising out of same maritime collision; consideration of Admiralty Rules. *British Transport Commission v. United States*, p. 129.

2. *Limitation proceeding—Right of claimant—State court proceeding.*—In admiralty limitation proceeding where aggregate of claims was reduced to less than value of vessels and their pending freight, principal claimant permitted to proceed in state court to determine liability of vessel owner for wrongful death of husband; *concursum* not necessary where value of vessels and pending freight exceeds claims. *Lake Tankers Corp. v. Henn*, p. 147.

ADVOCACY. See **Criminal Law**, 2.

AIR FORCE. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

ALABAMA. See **Constitutional Law**, IV; **Procedure**, 1.

ALIGNMENT OF PARTIES. See **Jurisdiction**, 4.

ALIMONY. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

AMERICAN EXPRESS COMPANY. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

AMUSEMENTS. See **Taxation**, 1.

APPEAL. See **Constitutional Law**, VI, 9; **Jurisdiction**, 1-3; **Procedure**, 3.

ARIZONA. See **Special Masters.**

ARMED FORCES. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2.

ARRAIGNMENT. See **Criminal Law**, 3.

ASSIGNMENT. See **Supreme Court**, 1-2.

ASSOCIATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

ATTORNEYS.

Disbarment—Grounds—Federal courts.—Disbarment of lawyer by state court does not automatically require disbarment by federal court; "principles of right and justice" did not require federal court to disbar lawyer for forgery committed 18 years previously while mentally ill. *Theard v. United States*, p. 278.

BETTING. See **Taxation**, 2.

BILL OF RIGHTS. See **Constitutional Law**.

BOOKS. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 3-6; III.

CALIFORNIA. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 5; VI, 9; **Special Masters**.

CAMP FOLLOWERS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

CAPITAL CASES. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

CARRIERS. See **Admiralty**; **Employers' Liability Act**.

CERTIORARI. See **Jurisdiction**, 1.

CITIES. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

CITIZENS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2.

CIVILIANS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

CIVIL SERVICE. See **Government Employees**.

CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

COERCION. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 7.

COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL. See **Criminal Law**, 2.

COLLISION. See **Admiralty**.

COMMERCE. See **Constitutional Law**, IV; VI, 6; VII.

COMMITTEE ON UN-AMERICAN ACTIVITIES. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 3.

COMMITTEES. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 3-4.

COMMUNISM. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 3-4; **Criminal Law**, 1-2.

COMMUNITY CURRENCY EXCHANGES ACT. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

CONCURSUS. See **Admiralty**, 2.

CONFESSION. See **Criminal Law**, 3.

CONGRESS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-3, 5; II, 1; VI, 1.

CONSPIRACY. See **Criminal Law**, 2.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW. See also **Jurisdiction**, 2.

- I. In General, p. 949.
- II. Freedom of Speech and Press, p. 950.
- III. Self-Incrimination, p. 950.
- IV. Commerce, p. 951.
- V. Full Faith and Credit, p. 951.
- VI. Due Process of Law, p. 951.
- VII. Equal Protection of Laws, p. 952.

I. In General.

1. *Court-martial jurisdiction—Limits—Dependents of servicemen overseas—Capital offenses.*—Civilian dependent accompanying member of armed forces overseas not triable by court-martial for capital offense in peacetime; Uniform Code of Military Justice, Art. 2 (11), unconstitutional *pro tanto*; provisions of Art. III, § 2, and Fifth and Sixth Amendments, for jury trial of crimes, applicable; Necessary and Proper Clause; regulation of "land and naval Forces"; exclusive power of law courts to try civilians for federal offenses. *Reid v. Covert*, p. 1.

2. *Armed forces of United States—Offenses in Japan—Jurisdiction—Security Treaty.*—Validity of waiver by United States of jurisdiction over offense committed in Japan by member of United States armed forces; wisdom of arrangement was exclusively for the determination of the Executive and Legislative Branches; delivery of serviceman to Japanese authorities for trial sustained. *Wilson v. Girard*, p. 524.

3. *Congressional investigations—Limitations on power—Fairness—Contempt.*—Constitutional limitations on congressional investigations; no general authority to expose private affairs of individuals; investigations justifiable solely as adjunct to legislative process; Bill of Rights applicable; House Committee on Un-American Activities; conviction for refusal to answer invalid where witness not afforded fair opportunity to determine "question under inquiry." *Watkins v. United States*, p. 178.

4. *State legislative investigations—Limitations on power—Witnesses—Contempt.*—Constitutional limitations upon state legislative investigations; on record in this case, constitutional rights of witness violated by conviction for contempt for refusal to answer certain questions. *Sweezy v. New Hampshire*, p. 234.

5. *Obscenity statutes—Validity.*—Federal statute forbidding mailing of obscene matter (18 U. S. C. § 1461) sustained; proper exercise of postal power of Congress; not violative of freedom of press or due process nor encroachment on powers reserved to States by Ninth and Tenth Amendments. California obscenity statute (Penal

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

Code, § 311) sustained; not violative of freedom of press or due process nor inhibited by federal postal functions. *Roth v. United States*, p. 476; *Alberts v. California*, p. 476. See also *Kingsley Books, Inc., v. Brown*, p. 436.

II. Freedom of Speech and Press.

1. *Congressional investigations—Limitations on power—Rights of witnesses.*—Congressional investigations subject to Bill of Rights. *Watkins v. United States*, p. 178.

2. *State legislative investigations—Limitations on power—Rights of witness.*—Constitutional rights of witness violated by conviction for contempt for refusal to answer certain questions. *Sweezy v. New Hampshire*, p. 234.

3. *Obscenity statutes generally.*—Obscenity not within freedom of speech or press; standard for judging obscenity is whether matter in question appeals to prurient interest. *Roth v. United States*, p. 476.

4. *Obscenity—Federal criminal statute—Validity.*—Validity of 18 U. S. C. § 1461, making it crime to mail obscene matter; when properly applied, not violative of freedom of speech and press. *Roth v. United States*, p. 476.

5. *Obscenity—California criminal statute—Validity.*—Validity of obscenity provisions of California Penal Code, § 311; when properly applied, not violative of freedom of speech and press. *Alberts v. California*, p. 476.

6. *Obscenity—Injunction—New York law.*—New York law authorizing injunctive remedy against sale and distribution of publications adjudged obscene, and authorizing their seizure and destruction, valid; not forbidden "prior restraint." *Kingsley Books, Inc., v. Brown*, p. 436.

7. *Labor relations — Picketing — State injunction.*—Wisconsin injunction against picketing for unlawful purpose of coercing employer to coerce his employees, sustained. *Teamsters Union v. Vogt, Inc.*, p. 284.

III. Self-Incrimination.

Privilege against self-incrimination—Custodian of union's books and records—Subpoena duces tecum.—Privilege against self-incrimination attaches to questions asked custodian of union's books and records by federal grand jury as to whereabouts of books and records subpoenaed but not produced; questions here were incriminating. *Curcio v. United States*, p. 118.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**IV. Commerce.**

State taxation—Wholesale grocers—Discrimination against interstate commerce.—Privilege tax imposed by Alabama city on out-of-city wholesale grocery business, as here applied to Georgia corporation, *held* invalid as discriminatory burden on interstate commerce. *West Point Grocery Co. v. Opelika*, p. 390.

V. Full Faith and Credit.

Nevada divorce—Alimony rights in New York.—New York not bound by Full Faith and Credit Clause to recognize husband's Nevada *ex parte* divorce so far as it purported to affect wife's right to support. *Vanderbilt v. Vanderbilt*, p. 416.

VI. Due Process of Law.

1. *Congressional investigations—Fairness—Contempt.*—Conviction under 2 U. S. C. § 192 for refusal to answer questions before congressional investigating committee invalid where witness not afforded fair opportunity to determine "question under inquiry." *Watkins v. United States*, p. 178.

2. *State legislative investigations—Limitations on power—Contempt.*—On record in this case, rights of witness violated by conviction for contempt for refusal to answer certain questions. *Sweezy v. New Hampshire*, p. 234.

3. *Federal obscenity statute—Validity.*—Validity of 18 U. S. C. § 1461, making it crime to mail obscene matter; when properly applied, not violative of due process. *Roth v. United States*, p. 476.

4. *State obscenity statute—Validity.*—Validity of obscenity provisions of California Penal Code, § 311; when properly applied, not violative of due process. *Alberts v. California*, p. 476.

5. *State obscenity statute—Validity—Injunction.*—New York law authorizing injunctive remedy against sale and distribution of publications adjudged obscene, and authorizing their seizure and destruction, valid; not forbidden "prior restraint"; want of jury trial not violative of due process. *Kingsley Books, Inc., v. Brown*, p. 436.

6. *Labor relations—Picketing—Injunction.*—Limits on power of State to enjoin picketing; Wisconsin injunction against picketing for unlawful purpose of coercing employer to coerce his employees sustained. *Teamsters Union v. Vogt, Inc.*, p. 284.

7. *Criminal statutes—Validity—Vagueness.*—Federal and California obscenity statutes not too vague to support conviction for crime. *Roth v. United States*, p. 476.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

8. *Criminal statutes — Validity — Vagueness.* — 26 U. S. C. § 4462 (a) (2), imposing tax on "so-called 'slot' machines," not invalid for vagueness as here applied. *United States v. Korpan*, p. 271.

9. *Criminal procedure—State courts—Trial record—Appeal.—Ex parte* settlement of state court trial record for use on appeal denied appellant procedural due process; right to counsel not waived; valid appeal to Constitution not too late. *Chessman v. Teets*, p. 156.

VII. Equal Protection of Laws.

Regulation of business—Discrimination—Sale of money orders.—Provision of Illinois Community Currency Exchanges Act exempting American Express Company money orders from state licensing and regulation, invalid as to other sellers of money orders. *Morey v. Doud*, p. 457.

CONSTRUCTION OF STATUTES. See **Words**.

CONTEMPT. See **Constitutional Law**, III; VI, 1-2.

CONTEMPT OF CONGRESS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 3.

CORPORATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, III; IV; VII; **Jurisdiction**, 4; **Procedure**, 4.

COUNSEL. See **Attorneys**; **Constitutional Law**, VI, 9.

COURT OF CLAIMS. See **Supreme Court**, 2.

COURTS. See **Administrative Office of United States Courts**; **Admiralty**; **Attorneys**; **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2; II, 6-7; III; V; VI, 5-6, 9; **Criminal Law**, 3; **Employers' Liability Act**; **Jurisdiction**; **Procedure**; **Supreme Court**.

COURTS-MARTIAL. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2.

CRIMINAL LAW. See also **Attorneys**; **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-5; II, 1-5; III; VI, 1-5, 7-9; **Instructions to Jury**; **Jurisdiction**, 3; **Procedure**, 3, 5; **Taxation**.

1. *Offenses—Contempt of Congress—Refusal to answer.*—Conviction under 2 U. S. C. § 192 for refusal to answer before congressional committee invalid where witness not accorded fair opportunity to determine pertinency of question. *Watkins v. United States*, p. 178.

2. *Offenses—Smith Act—Construction—"Organize"—Conspiracy—Limitations.*—Advocacy and teaching of forcible overthrow of Government, as abstract principle without intent to instigate action, not prohibited by Smith Act; statute of limitations as applied to indictment for conspiracy; meaning of "organize"; adequacy of instructions to jury; sufficiency of evidence; doctrine of collateral estoppel. *Yates v. United States*, p. 298.

CRIMINAL LAW—Continued.

3. *Arraignment—Federal rules—Unnecessary delay.*—Application of federal rule requiring officer to arraign arrested person "without unnecessary delay"; delay in arraignment vitiated confession of rape. *Mallory v. United States*, p. 449.

CROSS-CLAIMS. See **Admiralty**, 1.

CURRENCY EXCHANGES. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

CUSTODIANS. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

DAMAGES. See **Admiralty**; **Employers' Liability Act**.

DEATH. See **Admiralty**, 2; **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2.

DEFENSE. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2.

DELAY. See **Criminal Law**, 3.

DEPARTMENTAL REGULATIONS. See **Government Employees**.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE. See **Government Employees**.

DEPENDENTS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

DERIVATIVE SUIT. See **Jurisdiction**, 4; **Procedure**, 4.

DISBARMENT. See **Attorneys**.

DISCHARGE. See **Government Employees**.

DISCRIMINATION. See **Constitutional Law**, IV; VII.

DISLOYALTY. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 3-4; **Criminal Law**, 1-2; **Government Employees**.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA. See **Jurisdiction**, 3.

DIVERSITY JURISDICTION. See **Jurisdiction**, 4.

DIVORCE. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

DOCUMENTS. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

DUE PROCESS. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEE. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 7; **Employers' Liability Act**; **Government Employees**.

EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT.

1. *Liability of employer—Questions for jury—Sufficiency of evidence.*—Sufficiency of evidence of employer negligence to sustain jury verdict. *Ringhiser v. Chesapeake & O. R. Co.*, p. 901.

2. *Liability of employer—Questions for jury—Sufficiency of evidence.*—Judgment of Ohio Supreme Court reversed, and cause remanded, on authority of *Rogers v. Missouri Pacific R. Co.*, 352 U. S. 500. *McBride v. Toledo Terminal R. Co.*, p. 517.

- EQUAL PROTECTION OF LAWS.** See Constitutional Law, VII.
- ESTOPPEL.** See Criminal Law, 2.
- EVIDENCE.** See Criminal Law, 2-3; Employers' Liability Act; Jurisdiction, 3.
- EXECUTIVE AGREEMENTS.** See Constitutional Law, I, 2.
- EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS.** See Constitutional Law, I, 2; Government Employees.
- EXEMPTION.** See Constitutional Law, VII.
- EXONERATION.** See Admiralty.
- EX PARTE PROCEEDINGS.** See Constitutional Law, V; VI, 9.
- FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT.** See Employers' Liability Act.
- FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE.** See Admiralty, 1; Jurisdiction, 4; Procedure, 4.
- FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE.** See Criminal Law, 3.
- FIFTH AMENDMENT.** See Constitutional Law, I, 1-3; III; VI.
- FINAL DECISION.** See Jurisdiction, 3.
- FIRST AMENDMENT.** See Constitutional Law, II.
- FLORIDA.** See Procedure, 1.
- FORCIBLE OVERTHROW.** See Criminal Law, 2.
- FOREIGN AFFAIRS.** See Admiralty; Constitutional Law, I, 1-2.
- FOREIGN SERVICE.** See Government Employees.
- FORGERY.** See Attorneys.
- FORMA PAUPERIS.** See Procedure, 3.
- FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT.** See Constitutional Law, I, 3-4; II, 2, 5-7; VI; VII.
- FRAUD.** See Attorneys.
- FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND PRESS.** See Constitutional Law, II; Instructions to Jury.
- FREIGHT.** See Admiralty, 2.
- FRIVOLOUS APPEAL.** See Procedure, 3.
- FULL FAITH AND CREDIT.** See Constitutional Law, V.

GAMING DEVICES. See **Constitutional Law**, VI, 8; **Taxation**, 1.

GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES.

Discharge—Validity—Regulations.—Discharge of Foreign Service Officer by Secretary of State on recommendation of Civil Service Loyalty Review Board, violative of State Department regulations, invalid; Department's regulations were applicable to discharges under McCarran Rider. *Service v. Dulles*, p. 363.

GRAND JURY. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

GROCERS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

HABEAS CORPUS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2; VI, 9.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 3;
Criminal Law, 1.

HUSBAND AND WIFE. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1; V.

ILLINOIS. See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

INCOMPETENTS. See **Attorneys**.

INCRIMINATION. See **Constitutional Law**, III.

IN FORMA PAUPERIS. See **Procedure**, 3.

INJUNCTION. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 6-7.

INSANITY. See **Attorneys**.

INSTRUCTIONS TO JURY. See also **Criminal Law**, 2.

Criminal trials—Obscenity.—Sufficiency of instructions in trial for violation of obscenity statutes; what is obscenity; protection of freedom of speech and press. *Roth v. United States*, p. 476.

INTERNAL REVENUE. See **Taxation**.

INTERNATIONAL LAW. See **Admiralty**, 1; **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2.

INTERPRETATION OF STATUTES. See **Words**.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE. See **Constitutional Law**, IV; VI, 6.

INTERVENTION. See **Procedure**, 1.

INVESTIGATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 3-4.

JAPAN. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

JUDGES. See **Supreme Court**, 1-2.

JUDGMENTS. See **Constitutional Law**, V; **Criminal Law**, 1-2;
Employers' Liability Act; **Jurisdiction**, 1-3.

JURISDICTION. See also **Admiralty**; **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2; V; VI, 6; **Procedure**.

1. *Supreme Court—Review of state court—Appeal—Certiorari.*—Appeal under 28 U. S. C. § 1257 (2) dismissed and certiorari granted. *Sweezy v. New Hampshire*, p. 234.

2. *Supreme Court—Review of state court—Federal question.*—Cause remanded to state court for determination as to whether federal constitutional question was passed on. *Blackburn v. Alabama*, p. 393.

3. *Court of Appeals for District of Columbia Circuit—Appeals from District Court—"Final" decisions—Criminal cases—Order suppressing evidence—Appeal by Government.*—Order of District Court for District of Columbia granting motion of defendant to suppress evidence in pending criminal case not appealable by Government as "final" decision. *Carroll v. United States*, p. 394.

4. *District courts—Diversity jurisdiction—Stockholder's derivative suit.*—Determination of diversity jurisdiction over stockholder's derivative suit; realignment of parties; management as "antagonistic" to stockholders; diversity jurisdiction not lost by death of original plaintiff; requirements of Rule 23 (b) of Rules of Civil Procedure; whether stockholder may sue on behalf of corporation is question of local law. *Smith v. Sperling*, p. 91; *Swanson v. Traer*, p. 114.

JURY. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1; III; VI, 5; **Criminal Law**, 2; **Employers' Liability Act**; **Instructions to Jury**.

JUSTICES. See **Supreme Court**, 1-2.

LABOR. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 7; III; **Employers' Liability Act**; **Government Employees**.

LAWYERS. See **Attorneys**; **Constitutional Law**, VI, 9.

LEGISLATURE. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2-4; **Criminal Law**, 1.

LICENSE. See **Constitutional Law**, IV; VII.

LIMITATIONS. See **Criminal Law**, 2.

LIMITED LIABILITY ACT. See **Admiralty**.

LOTTERY. See **Taxation**, 2.

LOUISIANA. See **Procedure**, 1.

LOYALTY REVIEW BOARD. See **Government Employees**.

MACHINES. See **Constitutional Law**, VI, 8; **Taxation**, 1.

MAILS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 5.

- MARITIME LAW.** See Admiralty.
- MATRIMONY.** See Constitutional Law, V.
- McCARRAN RIDER.** See Government Employees.
- MENTAL ILLNESS.** See Attorneys.
- MILITARY TRIBUNALS.** See Constitutional Law, I, 1-2.
- MISSISSIPPI.** See Procedure, 1.
- MONEY ORDERS.** See Constitutional Law, VII.
- MUNICIPALITIES.** See Constitutional Law, IV.
- MURDER.** See Constitutional Law, I, 1-2.
- "NECESSARY AND PROPER" CLAUSE.** See Constitutional Law, I, 1.
- NEGLIGENCE.** See Admiralty; Employers' Liability Act.
- NEVADA.** See Constitutional Law, V.
- NEW HAMPSHIRE.** See Constitutional Law, I, 4.
- NEW MEXICO.** See Special Masters.
- NEW YORK.** See Constitutional Law, II, 6; V.
- NINTH AMENDMENT.** See Constitutional Law, I, 5.
- NUMBERS GAME.** See Taxation, 2.
- OBSCENITY.** See Constitutional Law, I, 5; Instructions to Jury.
- OCCUPATIONAL TAX.** See Taxation, 2.
- OFFICERS.** See Constitutional Law, III; Criminal Law, 3.
- OHIO.** See Employers' Liability Act, 2.
- ORGANIZING.** See Criminal Law, 2.
- ORIGINAL JURISDICTION.** See Procedure, 1.
- OVERT ACT.** See Criminal Law, 2.
- PARTIES.** See Admiralty; Jurisdiction, 4; Procedure, 1, 4.
- PAUPERS.** See Procedure, 3.
- PICKETING.** See Constitutional Law, II, 7.
- PINBALL MACHINES.** See Constitutional Law, VI, 8.
- POLICE.** See Criminal Law, 3.
- POSTAL POWER.** See Constitutional Law, I, 5.
- PRIOR RESTRAINT.** See Constitutional Law, II, 6.
- PRIVILEGE.** See Constitutional Law, III; IV.

PRIVILEGE TAX. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

PROCEDURE. See also **Admiralty**; **Attorneys**; **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-4; II, 1-2, 6-7; III; V; VI, 1-2, 5-6, 9; **Employers' Liability Act**; **Government Employees**; **Instructions to Jury**; **Jurisdiction**.

1. *Supreme Court—Original jurisdiction—Intervention.*—Alabama, Florida, Mississippi and Texas granted leave to intervene, without prejudice to motions of United States and Louisiana which are continued. *United States v. Louisiana*, p. 515.

2. *Supreme Court—State statute—Remand.*—Remand of case to state court for determination of question of state law unnecessary where highest state court has already clearly indicated answer. *Morey v. Doud*, p. 457.

3. *Federal courts—Appeal in forma pauperis.*—Applicant for leave to appeal *in forma pauperis* not afforded adequate opportunity to show Court of Appeals that claimed errors in District Court trial were not frivolous; case remanded. *Farley v. United States*, p. 521.

4. *Federal Rules of Civil Procedure—Stockholder's action—Requirements.*—Requirement of Rule 23 (b) that stockholder particularize efforts to secure desired action from management. *Smith v. Sperling*, p. 91.

5. *Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure—Arraignment—Delay.*—Application of rule requiring officer to arraign arrested person "without unnecessary delay"; extended delay in arraignment vitiated confession. *Mallory v. United States*, p. 449.

PROTOCOL. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

PUBLICATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 3-6.

QUESTION UNDER INQUIRY. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 3.

RAILROADS. See **Employers' Liability Act**.

RAPE. See **Criminal Law**, 3.

REALIGNMENT OF PARTIES. See **Jurisdiction**, 4.

RECORDS. See **Constitutional Law**, III; VI, 9.

REGULATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, VII; **Government Employees**.

RESERVED POWERS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 5.

RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE. See **Admiralty**, 1; **Jurisdiction**, 4; **Procedure**, 4.

RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE. See **Criminal Law**, 3.

SECRETARY OF STATE. See Constitutional Law, I, 2; Government Employees.

SECURITY TREATY. See Constitutional Law, I, 2.

SEIZURE. See Constitutional Law, VI, 5.

SELF-INCRIMINATION. See Constitutional Law, III.

SENATE APPROVAL. See Constitutional Law, I, 2.

SERVICEMEN. See Constitutional Law, I, 1-2.

SHIPOWNERS' LIMITED LIABILITY ACT. See Admiralty.

SIXTH AMENDMENT. See Constitutional Law, I, 1.

SLOT MACHINES. See Constitutional Law, VI, 8.

SMITH ACT. See Criminal Law, 2.

SOLDIERS. See Constitutional Law, I, 1-2.

SPECIAL MASTERS.

Orders relative to compensation, fees and expenses of Special Masters in certain cases. Texas v. New Mexico, p. 918; Arizona v. California, p. 918.

STATE DEPARTMENT. See Constitutional Law, I, 2; Government Employees.

STATEMENT OF BUSINESS. See Supreme Court, 3.

STATES. See Constitutional Law, I, 4-5; II, 2, 7; IV; V; VI, 2, 6; VII; Procedure, 1-2; Special Masters.

STOCKHOLDERS. See Jurisdiction, 4; Procedure, 4.

SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM. See Constitutional Law, III.

SUBVERSION. See Constitutional Law, I, 3-4; Criminal Law, 1-2.

SUPPORT. See Constitutional Law, V.

SUPPRESSION OF EVIDENCE. See Jurisdiction, 3.

SUPREME COURT. See also Jurisdiction, 1-2; Procedure, 1-2; Special Masters.

1. Order temporarily assigning certain Associate Justices to certain circuits as Circuit Justices, p. 934.

2. MR. JUSTICE REED (retired) designated and assigned to perform judicial duties in United States Court of Claims, p. 944.

3. Statement showing the number of cases filed, disposed of, and remaining on dockets, at conclusion of October Terms 1954, 1955, and 1956. P. 946.

TAXATION. See also **Constitutional Law**, IV.

1. *Federal taxes*—“*Gaming devices*”—*Pinball machines*.—Pinball machine entitling winners to cash held “so-called ‘slot’ machine” subject to \$250 tax under 26 U. S. C. (Supp. IV) §§ 4461–4462. *United States v. Korpan*, p. 271.

2. *Federal taxes*—*Wagers*—*Occupational tax*.—Pick-up man in numbers game not subject to occupational tax under 26 U. S. C. (1952 ed.) § 3290 as person “engaged in receiving wagers.” *United States v. Calamaro*, p. 351.

TENTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 5.

TESTIMONY. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 3–4; III.

TEXAS. See **Procedure**, 1; **Special Masters**.

TRANSPORTATION. See **Employers’ Liability Act**.

TREATIES. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1–2.

TRIAL. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1–2; VI, 9; **Instructions to Jury**; **Procedure**, 3, 5.

UN-AMERICAN ACTIVITIES COMMITTEE. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 3.

UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 1.

UNIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, III; VI, 6.

VAGUENESS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 3; VI, 7–8.

VERDICT. See **Employers’ Liability Act**, 1.

VESSELS. See **Admiralty**.

WAGERS. See **Taxation**, 2.

WAIVER. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2; VI, 9.

WHOLESALE GROCERS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

WISCONSIN. See **Constitutional Law**, VI, 6.

WITNESSES. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 3–4; III; **Criminal Law**, 1.

WORDS.

1. “*Absolute discretion*.”—McCarran Rider. *Service v. Dulles*, p. 363.


2. “*Advocate*.”—Smith Act. *Yates v. United States*, p. 298.


3. “*Antagonism*” between corporation and stockholders.—Smith v. Sperling, p. 91; *Swanson v. Traer*, p. 114.


WORDS—Continued.


4. "*Engaged in receiving wagers.*"—26 U. S. C. (1952 ed.) § 3290. *United States v. Calamaro*, p. 351.
5. "*Final decision.*"—28 U. S. C. § 1291. *Carroll v. United States*, p. 394.
6. "*Frivolous appeal.*"—*Farley v. United States*, p. 521.
7. "*Gaming device.*"—26 U. S. C. § 4462. *United States v. Korpan*, p. 271.
8. "*Good faith.*"—28 U. S. C. § 1915. *Farley v. United States*, p. 521.
9. "*Land and naval Forces.*"—Const., Art. I, § 8, cl. 14. *Reid v. Covert*, p. 1.
10. "*Necessary and proper.*"—Const., Art. I, § 8, cl. 18. *Reid v. Covert*, p. 1.
11. "*Necessary or advisable in the interests of the United States.*"—*McCarran Rider. Service v. Dulles*, p. 363.
12. "*Not taken in good faith.*"—28 U. S. C. § 1915. *Farley v. United States*, p. 521.
13. "*Obscene.*"—*Kingsley Books, Inc., v. Brown*, p. 436.
14. "*Obscene, lewd, lascivious, or filthy.*"—18 U. S. C. § 1461. *Roth v. United States*, p. 476.
15. "*Obscene or indecent.*"—California Penal Code, § 311. *Alberts v. California*, p. 476.
16. "*Organize.*"—Smith Act. *Yates v. United States*, p. 298.
17. "*Overt act.*"—18 U. S. C. § 371. *Yates v. United States*, p. 298.
18. "*Principles of right and justice.*"—*Theard v. United States*, p. 278.
19. "*Prior restraint.*"—*Kingsley Books, Inc., v. Brown*, p. 436.
20. "*Question under inquiry.*"—2 U. S. C. § 192. *Watkins v. United States*, p. 178.
21. "*Slot machines.*"—26 U. S. C. § 4462 (a) (2). *United States v. Korpan*, p. 271.
22. "*Teach.*"—Smith Act. *Yates v. United States*, p. 298.
23. "*Unlawful purpose.*"—Wis. Stat. § 111.06 (2) (b). *Teamsters Union v. Vogt, Inc.*, p. 284.
24. "*Without unnecessary delay.*"—Rule 5 (a) of Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. *Mallory v. United States*, p. 449.


WRONGFUL DEATH. See **Admiralty**, 2; **Constitutional Law**, I, 1-2; **Employers' Liability Act**.




















OAMSS37433

