

INDEX

ADEQUATE LEGAL REMEDY. See **Jurisdiction**, I, 2.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 3; III, 1; **Contracts**; **Insurance**.

AGRICULTURE. See **Antitrust Acts**, 3.

ALIENS. See **Constitutional Law**, XI, 6-7.

ALIMONY. See **Constitutional Law**, IX.

ALL WRITS ACT. See **Jurisdiction**, III, 2.

AMPLIFIERS. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

ANTITRUST ACTS. See also **Jurisdiction**, IV, 2.

1. *Sherman Act—Monopoly and restraint of trade—Motion picture industry.*—Violations of Sherman Act by producers, distributors and exhibitors of motion pictures; specific intent unnecessary; unlawful use of monopoly power; remedy; terms of decree. *United States v. Griffith*, 100; *Schine Theatres v. United States*, 110; *United States v. Paramount Pictures*, 131.

2. *Sherman Act—Monopoly and restraint of trade—Reasonableness of restraint—Steel industry.*—Acquisition by United States Steel Corporation of Consolidated Steel Corporation, largest West Coast fabricator, not violation of Act. *United States v. Columbia Steel Co.*, 495.

3. *Sherman Act—Violation—Interstate commerce—Beet sugar industry.*—Right of action by growers against refiner who conspired with others and fixed prices of sugar beets; conspiracy as affecting interstate rather than purely local commerce. *Mandeville Island Farms v. American Crystal Sugar Co.*, 219.

4. *Sherman Act—Monopoly and restraint of trade.*—Price-fixing conspiracy; effect of copyright; arbitration. *United States v. Paramount Pictures*, 131.

5. *Sherman Act—Restraint of trade—Reasonableness of restraint.*—Geographical area of competitive market; percentage of industry affected; significance of dollar volume. *United States v. Columbia Steel Co.*, 495.

6. *Sherman Act—Monopoly and restraint of trade—Vertical integration.*—Legality of vertical integration of industry. *United States v. Paramount Pictures*, 131; *United States v. Columbia Steel Co.*, 495.

ANTITRUST ACTS—Continued.

7. *Sherman Act—Monopoly—Attempt.*—Restraint which is reasonable and not unlawful under § 1 may nevertheless violate § 2 as attempt to monopolize. *United States v. Columbia Steel Co.*, 495.

8. *Robinson-Patman Act—Price discriminations—Quantity discounts.*—Sales of table salt in interstate commerce on quantity discount basis, including carload differentials, violated Act; evidence and findings of injury to competition; terms of cease-and-desist order; provision permitting 5-cents-per-case differentials. *Federal Trade Comm'n v. Morton Salt Co.*, 37.

APPEAL. See **Criminal Law**, 2; **Habeas Corpus**, 3; **Jurisdiction**.

APPEARANCE. See **Constitutional Law**, IX.

APPORTIONMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 3.

ARBITRATION. See **Antitrust Acts**, 4.

ARREST. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

ATTORNEY GENERAL. See **Jurisdiction**, II, 5.

BEET SUGAR. See **Antitrust Acts**, 3.

BENEFICIARIES. See **Insurance**.

BOATS. See **Constitutional Law**, XII.

CALIFORNIA. See **Constitutional Law**, XI, 6-7.

CARLOADS. See **Antitrust Acts**, 8.

CARRIERS. See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 3; **Transportation**.

CEILING PRICES. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

CERTIORARI. See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 1.

CHIEF OF POLICE. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

CIRCUIT COURTS OF APPEALS. See **Criminal Law**, 2; **Jurisdiction**, III.

CITIZENS. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1; XI, 6-7.

CIVIL RIGHTS. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1; XI; **District of Columbia**.

CIVIL SERVICE. See **Veterans**.

CLAYTON ACT. See **Antitrust Acts**, 8; **Jurisdiction**, IV, 2.

COLLATERAL ATTACK. See **Constitutional Law**, IX.

COLORED PERSONS. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1; XI, 3-4; **District of Columbia**.

COMMERCE. See **Antitrust Acts**; **Constitutional Law**, VII; **Transportation**.

COMMON CARRIERS. See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 3; **Transportation**.

COMPENSATION. See **Constitutional Law**, VI; **Labor**.

COMPETITION. See **Antitrust Acts**.

CONGRESS. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

CONSERVATION. See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 2; XI, 6, 8.

CONSOLIDATION. See **Transportation**.

CONSPIRACY. See **Antitrust Acts**, 3-4.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW. See also **Equity**; **Jurisdiction**, II, 4; **Parties**.

- I. Federal-State Relations, p. 875.
- II. Legislative Power, p. 875.
- III. Freedom of Speech and Press, p. 876.
- IV. Search and Seizure, p. 876.
- V. Ex Post Facto Laws, p. 876.
- VI. Eminent Domain, p. 876.
- VII. Commerce, p. 876.
- VIII. Imports and Exports, p. 877.
- IX. Full Faith and Credit, p. 877.
- X. Due Process of Law, p. 877.
- XI. Equal Protection of Laws, p. 878.
- XII. Privileges and Immunities, p. 879.

I. Federal-State Relations.

1. *Coastal waters — Fishing — State regulation.*—Jurisdiction of state to regulate shrimping in 3-mile belt off coast, in absence of conflicting federal legislation. *Toomer v. Witsell*, 385.

2. *Indians—Trust property—State inheritance tax.*—Oklahoma inheritance tax on transfer of properties held in trust by United States for benefit of restricted Osage Indian and heirs, valid. *West v. Oklahoma Tax Comm'n*, 717.

II. Legislative Power.

1. *Powers of Congress—District of Columbia.*—R. S. § 1978, guaranteeing all citizens same property rights as white citizens, valid. *Hurd v. Hodge*, 24.

2. *Powers of Congress—War powers—Renegotiation Act.*—Renegotiation Act, authorizing recovery by United States of "excessive profits" on contracts for war goods, valid exercise of war powers. *Lichter v. United States*, 742.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

3. *Powers of Congress—Delegation—Renegotiation Act.*—Authorization of administrative determination of "excessive profits" not unconstitutional delegation of legislative power. *Lichter v. United States*, 742.

III. Freedom of Speech and Press.

1. *Freedom of speech—Loud-speakers—Prior restraint.*—Ordinance forbidding use of loud-speakers in public places, except in uncontrolled discretion of Chief of Police, invalid. *Saia v. New York*, 558.

2. *Freedom of the press—Scope—Motion pictures.*—Freedom of the press extends to motion pictures. *United States v. Paramount Pictures*, 131.

IV. Search and Seizure.

Requirement of warrant—Illicit distilling.—Arrest lawful as of one committing felony in presence of officer; search and seizure of illicit distillery without warrant unlawful where known to officers for weeks; defendants entitled to suppression as evidence but not return of contraband. *Trupiano v. United States*, 699.

V. Ex Post Facto Laws.

State laws—Retroactive operation—Habitual criminals.—Pennsylvania statute authorizing punishment of fourth-offender not *ex post facto*, though one of convictions occurred prior to enactment. *Gryger v. Burke*, 728.

VI. Eminent Domain.

Wartime requisitions—Just compensation—Ceiling price.—Ceiling price rather than replacement cost as measure of just compensation for products requisitioned for war purposes. *United States v. Felin & Co.*, 624.

VII. Commerce.

1. *State regulation and taxation—Shrimping—Maritime belt.*—South Carolina tax of $\frac{1}{8}\text{¢}$ per pound on shrimp taken in maritime belt, not violative of commerce clause. *Toomer v. Witsell*, 385.

2. *Id.*—South Carolina statute requiring shrimpers to dock at South Carolina port, unload, pack, and tax-stamp catch, before shipping interstate, invalid. *Id.*

3. *State taxation—Gross receipts—Apportionment.*—State tax on motor carrier's gross receipts from substantial out-of-state mileage, invalid. *Central Greyhound Lines v. Mealey*, 653.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**VIII. Imports and Exports.**

State taxation—Shrimping—Maritime belt.—South Carolina tax of $\frac{1}{8}\text{¢}$ per pound on shrimp taken in maritime belt not unconstitutional levy on imports. *Toomer v. Witsell*, 385.

IX. Full Faith and Credit.

1. *Judgments—Divorce—Collateral attack.*—Valid and final Florida decree granting divorce to wife found domiciled there, in proceeding wherein husband appeared and had full opportunity to contest, not subject to collateral attack elsewhere. *Sherrer v. Sherrer*, 343.

2. *Id.*—Valid and final Nevada divorce decree in proceeding wherein parties participated and had full opportunity to contest jurisdictional issues was denied full faith and credit by Massachusetts courts which allowed collateral attack; collateral attack not allowable even by party in whose favor divorce decree was entered. *Coe v. Coe*, 378.

3. *Judgments—Divorce—Alimony.*—Nevada *ex parte* divorce not denied full faith and credit by New York judgment for arrears of alimony under earlier decree of separation. *Estin v. Estin*, 541; *Kreiger v. Kreiger*, 555.

X. Due Process of Law.

1. *Judicial action—State courts—Error.*—Error of state court in construing state law not denial of due process. *Gryger v. Burke*, 728.

2. *Private property—Taking—Renegotiation Act.*—Recovery of excessive profits on war contracts did not deprive contractor of property without due process of law. *Lichter v. United States*, 742.

3. *Procedural due process—Renegotiation Act.*—Renegotiation Act as affording procedural due process. *Lichter v. United States*, 742.

4. *Civil procedure—Divorce—Jurisdiction.*—Due process does not require that defendant in divorce proceeding, who appeared and had opportunity to contest every issue including complainant's domicile, be afforded second opportunity to litigate existence of jurisdictional facts. *Sherrer v. Sherrer*, 343.

5. *Criminal proceedings—Fair trial.*—Sentence of uncounseled defendant on misinformation or false assumptions as to his criminal record, invalid. *Townsend v. Burke*, 736.

6. *Criminal procedure—Notice and hearing—Indictment.*—Due process not denied by conviction on plea of guilty of offense which, though not charged in indictment, was lesser than and related to offense charged. *Paterno v. Lyons*, 314.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

7. *Criminal proceedings—Right to counsel.*—Right to counsel in trial for non-capital offense of youth incapable of adequately representing self. *Wade v. Mayo*, 672.

8. *Criminal proceedings—Right to counsel.*—Failure of state to provide counsel for defendant on fourth-offender charge under Pennsylvania law did not deny due process. *Gryger v. Burke*, 728.

9. *Criminal proceedings—Coercion.*—That defendant had been held incommunicado 40 hours did not vitiate conviction in state court on plea of guilty. *Townsend v. Burke*, 736.

10. *Criminal proceedings—Habitual criminal law.*—Punishment as fourth-offender under Pennsylvania Habitual Criminal Law did not subject defendant to double jeopardy. *Gryger v. Burke*, 728.

11. *Criminal procedure—State remedies.*—Adequacy as due process of state remedies for challenging validity of conviction under Federal Constitution. *Paterno v. Lyons*, 314.

XI. Equal Protection of Laws.

1. *Judicial action as state action.*—Judicial action as action of state under Fourteenth Amendment. *Shelley v. Kraemer*, 1.

2. *Racial restrictive covenants—Validity.*—Racial restrictive covenants standing alone not violative of Fourteenth Amendment. *Shelley v. Kraemer*, 1; *Hurd v. Hodge*, 24.

3. *Racial restrictive covenants—Unenforceable judicially.*—Racial restrictive covenants unenforceable by state courts. *Shelley v. Kraemer*, 1; see also *Hurd v. Hodge*, 24.

4. *Id.*—Colored persons denied equal protection by judicial enforcement of covenants excluding them from ownership or occupancy of property, even though courts would also enforce covenants excluding white persons. *Shelley v. Kraemer*, 1.

5. *Id.*—Covenantors not denied equal protection by denial to them of access to courts for enforcement of racial restrictive covenants. *Shelley v. Kraemer*, 1.

6. *Fishing—Aliens.*—California statute denying fishing licenses to persons "ineligible to citizenship," including resident alien Japanese, invalid. *Takahashi v. Fish & Game Comm'n*, 410.

7. *Id.*—Federally created racial ineligibility for citizenship not justification for California statute. *Id.*

8. *Fishing—Nonresidents.*—Validity of South Carolina regulation and taxation of shrimping in coastal waters; discrimination against nonresidents. *Toomer v. Witsell*, 385.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.**XII. Privileges and Immunities.**

Shrimping in marginal sea—State regulation—Discrimination against nonresidents.—South Carolina license fee of \$2,500 on non-resident, \$25 on resident, for each shrimp boat, invalid. *Toomer v. Witsell*, 385.

CONTINUANCE. See **Jurisdiction**, II, 2.

CONTRABAND. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

CONTRACTS. See also **Constitutional Law**, II, 2-3; XI, 2-5; **District of Columbia**; **Labor**, 1; **Procedure**, 1.

War goods—Excessive profits—Recovery.—Constitutionality of Renegotiation Act; finality of administrative determination of excessive profits, in absence of timely petition to Tax Court for redetermination. *Lichter v. United States*, 742.

COPYRIGHTS. See **Antitrust Acts**, 4.

CORPORATIONS. See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 2; **Transportation**.

COUNSEL. See **Constitutional Law**, X, 5, 7-8.

COURTS. See **Constitutional Law**, IX; X; XI; **Criminal Law**, 2; **District of Columbia**.

COVENANTS. See **Constitutional Law**, XI, 2-5; **District of Columbia**.

CRIMINAL LAW. See also **Constitutional Law**, III, 1; IV; V; X, 5-11; **Habeas Corpus**; **Jurisdiction**, III, 2; IV, 1; **Procedure**, 5-6.

1. *Federal sentence commencing upon expiration of state sentence—Effect of parole.*—Federal sentence to imprisonment at "expiration" of current state sentence begins immediately upon parole. *Hunter v. Martin*, 302.

2. *Procedure—Appeals—Argument.*—Circuit court of appeals has discretion to require production of prisoner to argue own appeal. *Price v. Johnston*, 266.

DECREES. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1, 8; **Constitutional Law**, IX.

DEEDS. See **Constitutional Law**, XI, 2-5; **District of Columbia**.

DELEGATION OF LEGISLATIVE POWER. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 3.

DISCHARGE. See **Words**.

DISCOUNT. See **Antitrust Acts**, 8.

DISCRIMINATION. See **Antitrust Acts**, 8; **Constitutional Law**, XI; XII; **District of Columbia**.

DISTILLING. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

DISTRICT COURTS. See **Jurisdiction**, I; IV.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

Racial restrictive covenants—Unenforceable in courts.—Enforcement of racial restrictive covenants in District of Columbia courts precluded by R. S. § 1978 and public policy. *Hurd v. Hodge*, 24.

DIVORCE. See **Constitutional Law**, IX; X, 4.

DOMICILE. See **Constitutional Law**, IX; X, 4.

DOUBLE JEOPARDY. See **Constitutional Law**, X, 10.

DUE PROCESS. See **Constitutional Law**, X.

EFFICIENCY RATINGS. See **Veterans**.

EMERGENCY COURT OF APPEALS. See **Jurisdiction**, I, 3.

EMINENT DOMAIN. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEE. See **Labor**.

EQUAL PROTECTION OF LAWS. See **Constitutional Law**, XI.

EQUITY. See also **Jurisdiction**, I, 1-2.

Maxims—Clean hands—Application.—Previous conviction of challenger of constitutionality of statute did not warrant application of clean hands maxim. *Toomer v. Witsell*, 385.

EVIDENCE. See **Antitrust Acts**, 8; **Constitutional Law**, IV; **Habeas Corpus**, 1.

EXCESSIVE PROFITS. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 2-3.

EXHAUSTION OF REMEDY. See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 1; **Procedure**, 6.

EX POST FACTO LAWS. See **Constitutional Law**, V.

FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT. See **Labor**.

FAIR TRIAL. See **Constitutional Law**, X, 5-11.

FEDERAL AGENTS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

FEDERAL QUESTION. See **Jurisdiction**, II, 2-3.

FEDERAL-STATE RELATIONS. See **Constitutional Law**, I.

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION. See **Antitrust Acts**, 8.

FELONY. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

FIFTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, VI; X.

- FINAL JUDGMENT.** See **Jurisdiction**, II, 1.
- FINDINGS.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 8.
- FIRST AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, III.
- FISHING.** See **Constitutional Law**, XI, 6, 8.
- FLORIDA.** See **Constitutional Law**, IX, 1.
- FORUM NON CONVENIENS.** See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 2.
- FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, X; XI; XII.
- FOURTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, IV.
- FOURTH-OFFENDER LAW.** See **Constitutional Law**, V; X, 10.
- FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND PRESS.** See **Constitutional Law**, III.
- FULL FAITH AND CREDIT.** See **Constitutional Law**, IX.
- GAS.** See **Jurisdiction**, II, 1.
- GOVERNMENT CONTRACTS.** See **Constitutional Law**, II, 2-3; **Procedure**, 1, 4.
- GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES.** See **Veterans**.
- GROSS RECEIPTS TAX.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 3.
- HABEAS CORPUS.** See also **Jurisdiction**, II, 8; III, 2; IV, 1.
1. *Petition—Sufficiency—Hearing.*—Dismissal of fourth petition for habeas corpus, which for first time alleged knowing use of false testimony by prosecution to obtain conviction, erroneous. *Price v. Johnston*, 266.
 2. *State prisoner—Claim of federal right—Exhausting state remedy.*—Discretion of federal district court to entertain application for habeas corpus though petitioner did not seek certiorari to review state supreme court's denial. *Wade v. Mayo*, 672.
 3. *Production of prisoner to argue own appeal.*—Circuit court of appeals has discretion to require production of prisoner to argue own appeal. *Price v. Johnston*, 266.
- HABITUAL CRIMINALS.** See **Constitutional Law**, V; X, 10.
- HEARING.** See **Constitutional Law**, X.
- HUSBAND AND WIFE.** See **Constitutional Law**, IX.
- IMMUNITIES.** See **Constitutional Law**, XII.
- IMPORTS.** See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.
- INDIANS.** See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

INDICTMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, X, 6.

INHERITANCE TAX. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

INJUNCTION. See **Antitrust Acts**; **Jurisdiction**, I, 2.

INSURANCE.

National Service Life Insurance—Payments to beneficiaries—Installments.—Amount of monthly installments payable to beneficiaries over age 30; Veterans' Administration Regulation 3450 valid. *United States v. Zazove*, 602.

INTENT. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1.

INTEREST.

Judgments—Inclusion of interest—Mandate.—District court entering judgment on mandate of circuit court of appeals may not add interest not provided for by mandate. *Briggs v. Pennsylvania R. Co.*, 304.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE. See **Antitrust Acts**; **Constitutional Law**, VII; **Transportation**.

INTERVENTION. See **Procedure**, 2.

INTOXICATING LIQUORS. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

JAPANESE. See **Constitutional Law**, XI, 6.

JUDGES. See **Constitutional Law**, X, 1, 5, 8; XI, 1; **District of Columbia**.

JUDGMENTS. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1, 8; **Constitutional Law**, IX; **Interest**; **Jurisdiction**.

JURISDICTION. See also **Constitutional Law**, IX; X, 4; **Interest**; **Procedure**, 3; **Transportation**.

I. In General, p. 883.

II. Supreme Court, p. 883.

III. Circuit Courts of Appeals, p. 883.

IV. District Courts, p. 884.

V. Tax Court, p. 884.

References to particular subjects under title Jurisdiction.—Adequate Legal Remedy, I, 2; All Writs Act, III, 2; Antitrust Acts, IV, 2; Attorney General, II, 5; Certiorari, IV, 1; Clayton Act, IV, 2; Constitutional Law, II, 4; Continuance, II, 2; Corporations, IV, 2; Criminal Procedure, III, 2; IV, 1; District of Columbia, I, 1; Emergency Court of Appeals, I, 3; Equity, I, 1-2; Federal Question, II, 2-3; Finality of Judgment, II, 1; Habeas Corpus, II, 8; III, 2; IV, 1; Injunction, I, 2; Mandamus, III, 1; Mandate, III, 1; Non-Federal Question, II, 2, 4-8; Price Control Act, I, 3; Racial Restrictive Covenants, I, 1; Renegotiation Act, I, 4; IV, 3; State Law, II, 4-8.

JURISDICTION—Continued.**I. In General.**

1. *Federal courts—Limitations of power—Racial restrictive covenants.*—Federal courts and courts of District of Columbia without power to enforce racial restrictive covenants. *Shelley v. Kraemer*, 1; *Hurd v. Hodge*, 24.

2. *Federal courts—Equity—Enjoining state law.*—Adequacy of legal remedy as bar to equitable relief. *Toomer v. Witsell*, 385.

3. *Federal courts—Price Control Act—Validity of rent order.*—Jurisdiction as between District Court and Emergency Court of Appeals to determine validity of rent order; effect of 1947 amendment. *Woods v. Hills*, 210.

4. *Federal courts—Renegotiation Act.*—Finality of administrative determination of excessive profits, in absence of timely petition to Tax Court for redetermination. *Lichter v. United States*, 742.

II. Supreme Court.

1. *Review of state courts—Finality of judgment.*—Order giving producer alternatives of purchasing other's gas, or marketing and accounting therefor, or closing own wells, not appealable "final" judgment. *Republic Natural Gas Co. v. Oklahoma*, 62.

2. *Review of state courts—Federal or non-federal question.*—Continuance of cause pending advice as to basis of state supreme court judgment. *Loftus v. Illinois*, 804.

3. *Review of state courts—Federal question.*—Federal question as properly presented. *Central Greyhound Lines v. Mealey*, 653.

4. *Review of state courts—State law.*—Decision of highest state court that right under state constitution had not been denied is binding here. *Paterno v. Lyons*, 314.

5. *Id.*—Views of attorney general as to state law entitled to great weight, in absence of statute or decision. *Phyle v. Duffy*, 431.

6. *Id.*—Construction of state statute is for state courts. *Gryger v. Burke*, 728.

7. *Review of state courts—Non-federal question.*—Judgment of state supreme court as resting on adequate non-federal ground. *Hedgebeth v. North Carolina*, 806.

8. *Id.*—State court's denial of habeas corpus as wrong remedy not reviewable; adequate non-federal ground. *Phyle v. Duffy*, 431.

III. Circuit Courts of Appeals.

1. *Mandamus—Mandate.*—Circuit court of appeals may compel district court to comply with mandate in case adjudged under Act of June 9, 1944, though term has expired and further appeal might come to Supreme Court. *United States v. U. S. District Court*, 258.

JURISDICTION—Continued.

2. *All Writs Act*—*Production of prisoner to argue own appeal*.—Circuit court of appeals has discretion to require production of prisoner to argue own appeal. *Price v. Johnston*, 266.

IV. District Courts.

1. *Habeas corpus*—*State prisoner—Exhaustion of state remedy*.—Discretion of district court to entertain application for habeas corpus though petitioner did not seek certiorari to review state supreme court's denial. *Wade v. Mayo*, 672.

2. *Clayton Act*, § 12—*Forum non conveniens*.—Court may not decline to exercise jurisdiction of civil proceeding against corporation brought in district authorized by § 12 of Clayton Act. *United States v. National City Lines*, 573.

3. *Renegotiation Act*.—Scope of jurisdiction of District Court and of Tax Court. *Lichter v. United States*, 742.

V. Tax Court.

Renegotiation Act.—Jurisdiction of Tax Court under Renegotiation Act. *Lichter v. United States*, 742.

JUST COMPENSATION. See **Constitutional Law**, VI.

LABOR. See also **Procedure**, 1.

1. *Fair Labor Standards Act*—*Overtime—Longshoremen*.—Contract between longshoremen's union and employers, specifying rate of pay for weekday daytime hours and 50% more for other hours, did not comply with overtime compensation requirements of Act. *Bay Ridge Co. v. Aaron*, 446.

2. *Id.*—Determination of "regular rate" of pay for longshoremen; overtime premium and shift differential distinguished; computation of amount of compensation due. *Id.*

LAW OFFICERS. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1; IV; X, 9.

LEGISLATIVE POWER. See **Constitutional Law**, II.

LICENSE. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1; XI, 6; XII.

LIFE INSURANCE. See **Insurance**.

LONGSHOREMEN. See **Labor**.

LOUD-SPEAKERS. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

MANDAMUS.

1. *Power to issue writ—Federal courts*.—Judicial Code § 262 empowers federal courts to issue writ in exercise or aid of appellate jurisdiction. *United States v. U. S. District Court*, 258.

MANDAMUS—Continued.

2. *Propriety of writ*.—Mandamus appropriate to prevent lower court from obstructing enforcement of judgment of higher court. *Id.*

3. *Id.*—Circuit court of appeals may compel district court to comply with mandate in case adjudged under Act of June 9, 1944, though term has expired and further appeal might come to Supreme Court. *Id.*

MANDATE. See **Interest; Jurisdiction**, III, 1; **Mandamus**.

MARITIME WATERS. See **Constitutional Law**, XI, 6, 8.

MARRIAGE. See **Constitutional Law**, IX.

MASSACHUSETTS. See **Constitutional Law**, IX.

MAXIMS. See **Equity**.

MERGER. See **Transportation**.

MONOPOLY. See **Antitrust Acts**.

MOTION PICTURES. See **Antitrust Acts**, 1; **Constitutional Law**, III, 2.

MOTOR CARRIERS. See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 3.

NATIONAL SERVICE LIFE INSURANCE. See **Insurance**.

NATURAL GAS. See **Jurisdiction**, II, 1.

NEGROES. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 1; XI, 2-5; **District of Columbia**.

NEVADA. See **Constitutional Law**, IX, 2, 3.

NEW YORK. See **Constitutional Law**, IX, 3.

NON-FEDERAL QUESTION. See **Jurisdiction**, II, 2, 4-8.

NON-RESIDENTS. See **Constitutional Law**, XI, 8.

NOTICE. See **Constitutional Law**, X, 6.

OIL. See **Jurisdiction**, II, 1.

OKLAHOMA. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

OSAGE INDIANS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

OVERTIME. See **Labor; Procedure**, 1.

PARKS. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

PAROLE. See **Criminal Law**, 1.

PARTIES.

Plaintiffs—Constitutionality of statute—Standing to sue.—Standing of parties to challenge constitutionality of statute. *Toomer v. Witsell*, 385.

- PENALTY.** See **Constitutional Law**, V; **Criminal Law**, 1.
- PENNSYLVANIA.** See **Constitutional Law**, V.
- PERJURY.** See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.
- PLEA.** See **Constitutional Law**, X, 6, 9.
- POLICE.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1; IV.
- PREFERENCE.** See **Veterans**.
- PRICE CONTROL.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI; **Jurisdiction**, I, 3.
- PRICE DISCRIMINATION.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 8.
- PRICE FIXING.** See **Antitrust Acts**, 3, 4.
- PRISONERS.** See **Criminal Law**.
- PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES.** See **Constitutional Law**, XII.
- PROCEDURE.** See also **Constitutional Law**, X, 3-11; **Habeas Corpus**; **Jurisdiction**; **Parties**.
1. *Summary judgment—Record—Adequacy.*—Overtime compensation case against contractor who produced munitions in government-owned plant on cost-plus-fixed-fee basis, remanded for amplification of record. *Kennedy v. Silas Mason Co.*, 249.
 2. *Intervention—Right—Interest of applicant.*—Leave to intervene denied where applicant's legal rights unaffected by decree. *United States v. Paramount Pictures*, 131.
 3. *Venue—Antitrust proceedings—Forum non conveniens.*—Dismissal of civil antitrust proceeding not justified by transfer of criminal prosecution from same district. *United States v. National City Lines*, 573.
 4. *Renegotiation Act—Tax Court procedure.*—Validity of procedure prescribed by Renegotiation Act for petition to Tax Court for redetermination of excessive profits. *Lichter v. United States*, 742.
 5. *Criminal procedure—Appeals—Argument.*—Discretion of circuit court of appeals to require production of prisoner to argue own appeal. *Price v. Johnston*, 266.
 6. *Criminal procedure—State prisoner—Claim of federal right.*—Doctrine of exhaustion of state remedy. *Hedgebeth v. North Carolina*, 806.
- PROSECUTING ATTORNEYS.** See **Habeas Corpus**, 1.
- PUBLIC INTEREST.** See **Transportation**.
- PUBLIC OFFICERS.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1; IV.
- PUBLIC PLACES.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1.

PUBLIC POLICY. See **District of Columbia.**

PUBLIC USE. See **Constitutional Law, VI.**

QUANTITY DISCOUNT. See **Antitrust Acts, 8.**

RACIAL DISCRIMINATION. See **Constitutional Law, XI, 2-6;**
District of Columbia; Jurisdiction, I, 1.

RAILROADS. See **Transportation.**

REAL PROPERTY.

Restrictive covenants—Enforceability.—Racial restrictive covenants unenforceable by courts of states and District of Columbia. *Shelley v. Kraemer, 1; Hurd v. Hodge, 24.*

RECORD. See **Procedure, 1.**

REFINERS. See **Antitrust Acts, 3.**

REGULAR RATE. See **Labor, 2.**

REGULATIONS. See **Insurance.**

RENEGOTIATION ACT. See **Constitutional Law, II, 2-3; Con-**
tracts; Jurisdiction, I, 4; IV, 3; Procedure, 4.

RENT CONTROL. See **Jurisdiction, I, 3.**

REQUISITION. See **Constitutional Law, VI.**

RESTRAINT OF TRADE. See **Antitrust Acts.**

RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS. See **Constitutional Law, XI, 2-5;**
District of Columbia.

RETROACTIVE LAWS. See **Constitutional Law, V.**

REVENUE LAWS. See **Constitutional Law, IV.**

RIGHT TO COUNSEL. See **Constitutional Law, X, 5, 7-8.**

ROBINSON-PATMAN ACT. See **Antitrust Acts, 8.**

SALT. See **Antitrust Acts, 8.**

SEARCH AND SEIZURE. See **Constitutional Law, IV.**

SENTENCE. See **Criminal Law, 1.**

SEPARATION. See **Constitutional Law, IX.**

SHERMAN ACT. See **Antitrust Acts.**

SHIFT DIFFERENTIAL. See **Labor, 2.**

SHRIMP. See **Constitutional Law, XI, 8.**

SOUTH CAROLINA. See **Constitutional Law, XI, 8.**

SPECIFIC INTENT. See **Antitrust Acts, 1.**

STAMP TAX. See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 2.

STANDING TO SUE. See **Parties**.

STATES. See **Constitutional Law**; **District of Columbia**; **Jurisdiction**, II, 4-8.

STATUTES.

1. *Validity—Wisdom—Courts.*—Wisdom of Act is for Congress not courts. *Hilton v. Sullivan*, 323.

2. *Construction—District of Columbia.*—"Every State and Territory" in R. S. § 1978 as including District of Columbia. *Hurd v. Hodge*, 24.

STEEL. See **Antitrust Acts**, 2.

STEVEDORES. See **Labor**.

STOCKHOLDERS. See **Transportation**.

SUGAR BEETS. See **Antitrust Acts**, 3.

SUMMARY JUDGMENT. See **Procedure**, 1.

TABLE SALT. See **Antitrust Acts**, 8.

TAXATION. See also **Constitutional Law**, VII.

State taxation—Inheritance tax—Indians.—Oklahoma inheritance tax on transfer of properties held in trust by United States for benefit of restricted Osage Indian and heirs, valid. *West v. Oklahoma Tax Comm'n*, 717.

TAX COURT. See **Contracts**; **Jurisdiction**, I, 4.

TERRITORIAL WATERS. See **Constitutional Law**, XI, 6, 8.

THREE-MILE LIMIT. See **Constitutional Law**, XI, 6, 8.

TRANSFER TAX. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

TRANSPORTATION. See also **Constitutional Law**, VII, 3.

Interstate Commerce Act—Authority of Commission—Merger of railroads.—Commission's approval of voluntary merger, found to be in public interest and fair to stockholders, may not leave claims of dissenting stockholders to be settled by litigation or state law. *Schwabacher v. United States*, 182.

TRIAL. See **Constitutional Law**, X, 5-11.

TRUSTS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

UNIONS. See **Labor**.

VENUE. See **Procedure**, 3.

VERTICAL INTEGRATION. See **Antitrust Acts**, 6.

VETERANS. See also **Insurance.**

Government employment—Preference over non-veterans—Length of service.—Validity of Civil Service regulations giving veterans of World War II and those having "good" efficiency ratings preference over non-veterans irrespective of length of government service. *Hilton v. Sullivan*, 323.

WAR. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 2; VI; **Contracts**; **Procedure**; **Veterans.**

WARRANT. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

WORDS.

1. "*Agreeable to the usages and principles of law.*"—Judicial Code § 262. *Price v. Johnston*, 266.

2. "*At the expiration of the sentence now being served.*"—*Hunter v. Martin*, 302.

3. "*Discharge.*"—Selective Training & Service Act. *Hilton v. Sullivan*, 323.

4. "*Due effect.*"—Veterans' Preference Act. *Hilton v. Sullivan*, 323.

5. "*Every State and Territory.*"—As including District of Columbia. *Hurd v. Hodge*, 24.

6. "*Excessive profits.*"—Renegotiation Act. *Lichter v. United States*, 742.

7. "*Final*" judgment.—Judicial Code § 237. *Republic Natural Gas Co. v. Oklahoma*, 62.

8. "*Necessary and proper.*"—Const., Art. I, § 8. *Lichter v. United States*, 742.


9. "*Overtime premium.*"—Fair Labor Standards Act. *Bay Ridge Co. v. Aaron*, 446.

10. "*Regular rate.*"—Fair Labor Standards Act. *Bay Ridge Co. v. Aaron*, 446.


11. "*State.*"—As including District of Columbia. *Hurd v. Hodge*, 24.


YOUTHS. See **Constitutional Law**, X, 7.


...the ... of ...

















Fleming Library
GRAND CANYON COLLEGE
Phoenix, Arizona



FOR REFERENCE ONLY
Do not remove from the library

Fleming Library
GRAND CANYON COLLEGE
Phoenix, Arizona



