

# INDEX

## ADMIRALTY. See Contracts.

- |  | Page |
|--|------|
| 1. <i>Collision</i> . Master of vessel at fault presumptively negligent and personally liable. <i>Wilson v. Pacific Mail S. S. Co.</i> ..  | 454  |
| 2. <i>Id.</i> Vessel not at fault for maintaining course and speed pursuant to International Rules. <i>Id.</i>   |      |
| 3. <i>Death</i> . Longshoreman knocked from wharf and drowned, local Workmen's Compensation Act applicable. <i>T. Smith &amp; Son, Inc. v. Taylor</i> .....  | 179  |
| 4. <i>Maritime Injury</i> . When cognizable under state compensation law. <i>Alaska Packers Ass'n v. Industrial Accident Comm'n.</i> .....   | 467  |
| 5. <i>Suits in Admiralty Act</i> furnishes exclusive remedy in suits against the Fleet Corporation relating to the possession or operation of merchant vessels. <i>Fleet Corp'n v. Rosenberg Bros. &amp; Co.</i> ..... | 202  |
| 6. <i>Id.</i> Libels not brought within the time prescribed by § 5 are barred. <i>Id.</i>  |      |
| 7. <i>Id.</i> Limitations sufficiently pleaded in exceptions to libels, need not be pleaded in answers. <i>Id.</i>   |      |

## ALIENS. See Citizenship.

## ALLOTMENTS. See Indians 1-4, 8.

## ANTI-NARCOTIC ACT. See Criminal Law.

- |  |     |
|--|-----|
| 1. "Any person" as used in § 2 includes all persons and not merely those who, by § 1, must register and pay tax. <i>Nigro v. United States</i> ..... | 332 |
| 2. <i>Burden of Proof</i> . Section 1 of the Anti-Narcotic Act is a regulation of burden of proof. <i>Casey v. United States</i> ....                | 413 |
| 3. <i>Constitutionality</i> of Act upheld. <i>Id.</i><br><i>Nigro v. United States</i> .....   | 332 |
| 4. Is a genuine taxing Act. <i>Id.</i>   |     |

## ANTI-TRUST ACTS:

- |  |     |
|--|-----|
| 1. <i>Consent Decree</i> . Motion to vacate as part of original cause. <i>Swift &amp; Co. v. United States</i> ..... | 311 |
|--|-----|

**ANTI-TRUST ACTS**—Continued.

Page.

2. *Id.* Grounds held insufficient to vacate. *Id.*
3. *Id.* Not void because of drastic restraints of future conduct, vagueness and generality, inconsistency with the Anti-Trust Act and common law, or improper injunction of purely intrastate commerce. *Id.*
4. *Id.* *Consent of Attorney General*, within his official discretion even if erroneous. *Id.*
5. *Supreme Court, District of Columbia*, may administer relief under Anti-Trust Act. *Id.*
6. *Id.* Suit under § 4 in equity need not be addressed to it as "District Court of the United States." *Id.*
7. *Unincorporated Associations* suable by their common names to enforce their liability under Sherman Act. *Brown v. United States*..... 134
8. *Id.* *Subpoena duces tecum* without *ad testificandum* clause may issue and be served on officer of association. *Id.*
9. *Id.* Scope, validity, and service of subpoena. *Id.*
10. See *Liberty Warehouse Co. v. Tobacco Growers' Ass'n.*.. 71

**APPEAL AND ERROR.** See **Jurisdiction** II (A) 4; V.**APPEARANCE.** See **Judgments** 3; **Jurisdiction** I, 3.**APPOINTMENT, POWER OF.** See **Constitutional Law** VII (A) 11.**ARKANSAS.** See **Indians** 5, 7, 12.**ASSOCIATIONS.** See **Anti-Trust Acts** 7, 8.**ASSUMPTION OF RISK.** See **Employers' Liability Act** 1.**ATTORNEY GENERAL.** See **Anti-Trust Acts** 4; **Jurisdiction** I, 6.**ATTORNEYS.** See **Equity**.

1. *Fees.* See **Costs** 1-3; **Taxation** I, 1.
2. *Suspension from the Bar* as punishment for failure of Master in Chancery to return fees found excessive by this Court. *In re Gilbert*..... 294

**AUTOMOBILES.** See **Motor Vehicles**.**BANKS AND BANKING.** See **Contracts**; **Taxation** II, 6-8.

*Deposits made by County Officer* in violation of statute, liability of surety as to. *People of Sioux County v. Nat'l Surety Co* ..... 238



**BANKRUPTCY:**

	Page.
1. <i>Adjudication</i> , until followed by appointment of trustee, does not divest bankrupt of title to cause of action against third person. <i>Danciger &amp; Emerich Oil Co. v. Smith</i> .....	542
2. <i>Conditional Sales</i> . No lien acquired by vendee's trustee on property retaken by vendor. <i>Finance &amp; Guaranty Co. v. Oppenheimer</i> .....	10
3. <i>Discharge</i> may be withheld under § 14b (3) when bankrupt, by false statements, obtains loan for corporation controlled by him. <i>Levy v. Industrial Finance Corp'n</i> .....	281
4. <i>Partnership</i> may be adjudicated as entity separate from partners under § 5 (a). <i>Liberty Nat'l Bank v. Bear</i> .....	215
5. <i>Id.</i> Petition, construction and effect of. <i>Id.</i>	
6. <i>Id.</i> Judgment liens against individual partners not annulled by filing of petition against partnership. <i>Id.</i>	
7. <i>Id.</i> Right of creditor to prove claim against partnership estate and individual assets. <i>Mitchell v. Hampel</i> .....	299
8. <i>Right of Action</i> . Validity of assignment to creditors of right of action by bankrupt cannot be questioned by defendants to the action. <i>Danciger &amp; Emerich Oil Co. v. Smith</i> .....	542
9. <i>Unlawful Preference</i> not shown by retaking property sold under conditional sale. <i>Finance &amp; Guaranty Co. v. Oppenheimer</i> .....	10

**BEQUEST.** See **Taxation I, 2.**

**BILL OF EXCEPTIONS.** See **Procedure 8.**

**BONDS.** See **Municipal Corporations.**

**BOUNDARIES:**

1. *New Mexico v. Texas*. Correction of opinion, decree. 557, 558
2. *Oklahoma v. Texas*. Amendment of decree..... 596

**BROKERS.** See **Constitutional Law VII (A) 5.**

**BURDEN OF PROOF.** See **Anti-Narcotic Act 2.**

**CARRIERS.** See **Interstate Commerce Acts; Railroads.**

**CERTIORARI.** See **Jurisdiction II (B); II (D) 3.**

**CHARITABLE BEQUEST.** See **Taxation I, 2.**

**CITIZENSHIP.** See **Jurisdiction IV.**

1. *Doubts as to grant of citizenship* resolved against claimant. *United States v. Manzi*..... 463

**CITIZENSHIP**—Continued.

Page.

2. *Widow of an alien* who died after filing declaration of intention but before obtaining final papers, must file her petition not less than two nor more than seven years from date of her husband's declaration. *Id.*

**CLAIMS.** See **United States.**

*Suit for Rent* under Tucker Act must rest on contract express or implied in fact, not on one implied only in law.

*Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co. v. United States*..... 287

**COAL LANDS.** See **Public Lands.****COLLISIONS.** See **Admiralty** 1, 2.**COMMON LAW.** See **Jurisdiction** I, 11; **Railroads** 2.**CONDITIONAL SALES.** See **Bankruptcy** 2, 9.**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.** See **Jurisdiction** I, 9; **Public Lands**; **Taxation** I, 4; II, 4, 11, 13.

I. Generally, p. 644.

II. Commerce Clause, p. 645.

III. Contract Clause, p. 645.

IV. Taxing Power, p. 645.

V. Fourth Amendment, p. 646.

VI. Fifth Amendment, p. 646.

VII. Fourteenth Amendment:

(A) Due Process Clause, p. 646.

(B) Equal Protection Clause, p. 647.

(C) Privileges and Immunities, p. 647.

**I. Generally.**

1. *Attacking Constitutionality.* One challenging judgment of state court must show its unconstitutionality as to himself. *Liberty Warehouse Co. v. Tobacco Growers Ass'n*.... 71
2. *Federal Instrumentalities,* taxation of. *Shaw v. Gibson-Zahniser Oil Corp'n*..... 575
3. *Id.* State taxation of forbidden. *New Brunswick v. United States*..... 547
4. *Imports.* State license tax on dealers in fish not unconstitutional as applied to imports. *Gulf Fisheries Co. v. MacInerney* ..... 124
5. *Presumption* that state tax held valid will be paid. *Interstate Buses Corp'n v. Blodgett*..... 245



I. Generally—Continued.

Page.

6. *Taking Private Property* for public use must be based on express authority. *Delaware, etc. R. R. Co. v. Morristown*..... 182
7. *Ulterior Motive of Legislation* may not affect validity. *Nigro v. United States*..... 332

II. Commerce Clause.

1. *Federal Control*. State cannot require railroad to engage in interstate commerce contrary to federal law. *Texas, etc. R. R. v. Northside Co.*..... 475
2. *Motor Buses*. Taxation for maintenance of state highways of motor buses used in interstate commerce. *Interstate Buses Corp'n v. Blodgett*..... 245
3. *Id.* Where such tax is reasonable in itself, it is not deemed unreasonable because other taxes are imposed on the same taxpayer, if aggregate is reasonable. *Id.*
4. *Id.* As a discrimination against interstate commerce. *Id.* See *Liberty Warehouse Co. v. Tobacco Growers' Ass'n*..... 71

III. Contract Clause.

1. *Public Officer* serving under law fixing his compensation, is protected by the contract clause. *Mississippi ex rel. Robertson v. Miller*..... 174
2. *Id.* Subsequent state law cannot deprive public officer of compensation earned under prior law. *Id.*

IV. Taxing Power. See Fifth Amendment; Commerce Clause.

1. *Anti-Narcotic Act* valid tax measure, not infringing state rights, though punishing any one who sells the drugs named without a written order from the purchaser on an official blank. *Nigro v. United States*..... 332
2. *Id.* *Ulterior motives* to discourage abuse of the drugs would not make it an invasion of the State Police Power. *Id.*
3. *Id.* Clause raising presumption of guilt against person possessing unstamped drugs, is constitutional. *Casey v. United States*..... 413
4. *Id.* This clause merely a regulation of burden of proof. *Id.*
5. *Flexible Tariff*. Delegation to the President under Tariff Act of power to increase or decrease duties is constitutional. *J. W. Hampton, Jr., & Co. v. United States*..... 394
6. *Protective Tariff*, within power of Congress. *Id.*

## V. Fourth Amendment.

	Page.
<i>Subpoena Duces Tecum</i> . Scope of. <i>Brown v. United States</i> .....	134

## VI. Fifth Amendment.

1. <i>Gift Tax Provisions</i> of Revenue Act of 1924, as applied to bona fide gifts not made in anticipation of death and consummated before approval of Act, are invalid under the due process clause. <i>Untermeyer v. Anderson</i> .....	440
2. <i>Self-incrimination</i> . Witness objecting to production of documents under subpoena, must allow inspection by court to determine their tendency to incriminate. <i>Brown v. United States</i> .....	134

## VII. Fourteenth Amendment. See Taxation.

## (A) Due Process Clause:

1. <i>Confiscatory Rates</i> . Franchise rates are displaced when increased by administrative order in the State of Washington pursuant to statute, and the new rates cannot thereafter be enforced as contract rates when they become too low. <i>Denny v. Pacific Telephone Co</i> .....	97
2. <i>Destruction of Property</i> . State may destroy one class to save another of greater public interest. <i>Miller v. Schoene</i> ..	272
3. <i>Id</i> . <i>Official Action on Private Request</i> not objectionable. <i>Id</i> .	
4. <i>Hack Stands</i> . Compelling use of railroad station property for public hack stands without compensation is taking without due process. <i>Delaware, etc. R. R. Co. v. Morristown</i> ...	182
5. <i>Kentucky Co-Operative Marketing Act</i> penalizing warehousemen who receive agricultural products for sale in violation of contract between vendor and his association, is constitutional. <i>Liberty Warehouse Co. v. Tobacco Growers' Ass'n</i> .....	71
6. <i>Liberty of Contract</i> is freedom from arbitrary restraint, not from reasonable regulation. <i>Id</i> .	
7. <i>Penalty</i> . Allowance of to party aggrieved by breach of statute, not unconstitutional. <i>Id</i> .	
8. <i>Service of Summons</i> . Statute providing for service on Secretary of State in actions against non-residents, with no provision making it probable that notice will be communicated to defendant, is void. <i>Wuchter v. Pizzutti</i> .....	13
9. <i>Id</i> . Actual service outside of State not required by statute, is not sufficient. <i>Id</i> .	



VII. Fourteenth Amendment—Continued.

	Page.
10. <i>Special Assessment Tax</i> . Notice of proceeding to determine advisability of improvement not required if landowner allowed hearing against assessment. <i>Chicago, etc. R. R. Co. v. Risty</i> .....	567
11. <i>Succession Tax</i> valid where imposed on beneficiaries of trust fund coming into their possession and enjoyment through non-exercise of a power of appointment, under a trust created before the taxing statute was enacted. <i>Saltonstall v. Saltonstall</i> .....	260
12. <i>Virginia Cedar Rust Act</i> providing for cutting down cedar trees infected with rust and growing within a specified distance of any apple orchard, consistent with the due process clause. <i>Miller v. Schoene</i> .....	272
13. <i>Workmen's Compensation Act</i> . Award under, constitutional. <i>Bountiful Brick Co. v. Giles</i> .....	154
14. <i>Vagueness of Statute</i> unobjectionable where application to individual case determined judicially before penalty incurred under it. <i>Miller v. Schoene</i> .....	272
(B) Equal Protection Clause:	
<i>Kentucky Co-Operative Marketing Act</i> . Penalty imposed on warehousemen for inducing breach of marketing contracts does not violate equal protection clause. <i>Liberty Warehouse Co. v. Tobacco Growers' Ass'n</i> .....	71
(C) Privileges and Immunities:	
<i>Corporation</i> does not possess the privileges and immunities of a citizen of the United States. <i>Liberty Warehouse Co. v. Tobacco Growers' Ass'n</i> .....	71

**CONTEMPT.** See Jurisdiction II (A) 3; Procedure 5.

<i>By Refusal to Produce Documents</i> on ground of self-incrimination. <i>Brown v. United States</i> .....	134
---	-----

**CONTRACTS.** See Claims; Constitutional Law III; Officers; Public Utilities; Railroads.

<i>Letter of Credit</i> for payment on delivery at destination of goods at domestic port, covers goods on ship departing for other destination but diverted at sea to port specified. <i>Lam-born v. Nat'l Bank of Commerce</i> .....	469
---	-----

**CORPORATIONS.** See Bankruptcy 3; Constitutional Law VII (C).

**COSTS:**

	Page.
1. <i>Attorneys Fees</i> as costs included in judgment. <i>People of Sioux County v. Nat'l Surety Co.</i> .....	238
2. <i>Reasonableness</i> of attorneys fees to be determined by amount involved in action. <i>Id.</i>	
3. <i>Where Both Parties Are At Fault</i> in improperly including evidence in the transcript, each must pay its own costs and counsel fees. <i>Fairbanks, Morse &amp; Co. v. American Valve Co.</i> .....	305

**CREDITOR'S BILL:**

Creditor can bring creditor's bill only after judgment and return of <i>nulla bona</i> . <i>Harkin v. Brundage</i> .....	36
--	----

**CRIMINAL LAW. See Anti-Narcotic Act; Anti-Trust Acts; Constitutional Law; Prohibition Act.**

1. <i>Official Invitation to Crime</i> . See <i>Casey v. United States</i> .	413
2. <i>Penal Statutes</i> , construed to support policy and purpose of enactment. <i>Donnelley v. United States</i> .....	505
3. <i>Public Officers</i> included by general language in disciplinary statutes. <i>Id.</i>	
4. <i>Venue</i> . Objection that it has not been established should be made specifically and not by general request to direct verdict for want of evidence. <i>Casey v. United States</i> ....	413

**CURTESY. See Indians 4, 7.****CUSTOMS DUTIES. See Constitutional Law IV, 5, 6.****DEATH. See Admiralty 3.****DECLARATORY JUDGMENTS. See Jurisdiction II (A) 2.****DECREES. See Injunctions 2; Judgments; Jurisdiction I, 2.****DELEGATION OF POWER. See Constitutional Law IV, 5.****DRUGS. See Anti-Narcotic Act.****EMERGENCY FLEET CORPORATION. See Admiralty.****EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT. See Admiralty 3; Negligence; Workmen's Compensation Act.**

1. <i>Assumption of Risk</i> . Locomotive engineer assumes risk of being struck by mail crane on mail rack. <i>C. &amp; O. Ry. Co. v. Leitch</i> .....	429
<i>Id.</i> See <i>Toledo, etc. R. R. Co. v. Allen</i> .....	165



**EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT—Continued.**

	Page.
2. "Employee." Member of crew of one railroad <i>pro hac vice</i> employee of another railroad where he was injured while working thereon. <i>Linstead v. C. &amp; O. Ry. Co.</i> .....	28
3. Negligence of railroad in not maintaining adequate space between tracks in switching yard. <i>Toledo, etc. R. R. Co. v. Allen.</i> .....	165
4. <i>Id.</i> Railroad not obliged to give employee notice by ringing bell in absence of unusual danger. <i>Id.</i>	

**EQUITY.** See **Creditor's Bill**; **Injunctions**; **Jurisdiction I**, 10; **Procedure 1**.

1. Master cannot be compensated except by proper order of court. <i>In re Gilbert.</i> .....	6
2. <i>Id.</i> Duty to return, with interest, fees allowed by District Court but held excessive on appeal, and punishment for failure to comply. <i>In re Gilbert.</i> .....	6, 294

**EQUITY RULES.** See **Jurisdiction III**, 1, 2; **Procedure 1**.

**ESTATE TAX.** See **Taxation I**, 2.

**EVIDENCE.** See **Anti-Narcotic Act 2**; **Patents for Inventions 1-4**, 6, 7; **Procedure 1**, 8.

*Self-Incrimination.* To show incrimination by documents called for by subpoena, witness must produce them for inspection by court. *Brown v. United States.*..... 134

**FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT.** See **Employers' Liability Act**.

**FEDERAL QUESTION.** See **Jurisdiction I**, 9, 11; **II (A) 2**.

**FEES.** See **Attorneys**; **Equity**; **Jurisdiction I**, 10.

**FLEET CORPORATION.** See **Admiralty 5**, 6, 7.

**FORFEITURES.** See **Prohibition Act 1**.

**FRAUD.** See **Bankruptcy 3**; **Jurisdiction I**, 5; **Receivers 3**.

**GIFTS.** See **Taxation I**, 3-4.

**HACKSTANDS.** See **Constitutional Law VII (A) 4**; **Railroads**.

**HARRISON ACT.** See **Anti-Narcotic Act**.

**HIGHWAYS.** See **Motor Vehicles**; **Constitutional Law II**, 2-4; **Taxation II**, 5.

**HOUSING CORPORATION.** See **Taxation II**, 2-3.

**HUSBAND AND WIFE.** See **Indians 4**, 7.

**IMPORTS.** See **Constitutional Law I**, 4.

Page.

**INCOME TAX.** See **Taxation I**, 1, 5-8.

**INDIANS.**

1. *Allotment*. Fee simple patent issued to allottee after death vests title in allottee's heirs under Rev. Stats. § 2448. *Larkin v. Paugh*..... 431
2. *Id.* Construction of term "public lands" as applying to allotments. *Id.*
3. *Id.* Under Rev. Stats. § 2448, fee simple patent allottee's heirs took title as though directly from the allottee. *Id.*
4. *Id.* Creek allotment not subject to curtesy. *Marlin v. Lewallen* ..... 58
5. *Longest v. Langford*..... 69
5. *Construction of Statutes* of Arkansas adopted for Indian Territory follows that previously adopted by Arkansas. *Marlin v. Lewallen*..... 58
6. *Creek Agreements* in nature of comprehensive treaty to be construed as understood by Indians. *Id.*
7. *Indian Territory Act* relating to jurisdiction of special courts did not subject lands of Creeks to Arkansas law of curtesy. *Id.*
8. *Issuance of Patent* to allottee terminates prior trusts and restriction on alienation. *Larkin v. Paugh*..... 431
9. *State Court* has jurisdiction to determine validity of contract to sell land made in anticipation of patent. *Id.*
10. *Taxation*. Land purchased for Indian by Secretary of Interior with restriction on alienation, and exploited for oil and gas under departmental lease, is subject to state gross production tax and Secretary has no power to exempt it. *Shaw v. Gibson-Zahniser Oil Corp'n*..... 575
11. *Id.* Such a tax is not forbidden as on a federal instrumentality. *Id.*
12. *Tribal Laws* in Indian Territory superseded by laws adopted from State of Arkansas. *Marlin v. Lewallen*..... 58

**INDIAN TERRITORY.** See **Indians**.

**INJUNCTIONS.** See **Anti-Trust Acts**; **Interstate Commerce Acts** 4, 5; **Jurisdiction I**, 2; **II (C)**; **Prohibition Act** 3-5; **Taxation II**, 1.

1. *Acts Merely Threatened* may be enjoined without evidence, on allegations of bill not specifically denied. *Swift & Co. v. United States*..... 311



**INJUNCTIONS**—Continued.

Page.

2. *Consent Decree*, though erroneous, not void if jurisdiction existed over subject and parties. *Id.*
3. *Restoration of Status Quo* may be compelled by mandatory injunction. *Texas, etc. R. R. Co. v. Northside Ry. Co.* 475
4. *Vague or Excessive Restraints* on future conduct may be limited by other parts of decree and allegations of bill. *Swift & Co. v. United States*..... 311

**INTERNAL REVENUE.** See **Taxation I.**

**INTERNATIONAL LAW.** See **Boundaries; Indians 6.**

**INTERSTATE COMMERCE.** See **Constitutional Law II; Interstate Commerce Acts; Telegraph Companies.**

**INTERSTATE COMMERCE ACTS.** See **Employers' Liability Act.**

1. *Car Distribution.* Reasonableness of practice of carrier in distributing coal cars determinable by Commission, not by suit in state court. *Midland Valley R. R. Co. v. Barkley*... 482
2. *Divisions of Joint Rates.* Under § 15 (6), Commission is not authorized to require readjustment between carriers of past receipts from agreed joint rates. *Brimstone R. R. Co. v. United States*..... 104
3. *Id.* Readjustment of divisions authorized only on proof of the conditions specified in the statute. *Id.*
4. *Injunction.* Suit under Interstate Commerce Act, § 1, pars. 18-20, to enjoin condemnation proceedings and construction and operation of railroad, held not moot. *Texas, etc. R. R. Co. v. Northside Ry. Co.*..... 475
5. *Id.* Dismissal of bill where injury not threatened and could not occur until future time. *Id.*
6. *Permission to Build*, under § 1, pars. 18-20, not required where projected railroad to be operated wholly intrastate. *Id.*
7. *Id.* State cannot require railroad company to engage in interstate commerce in violation of federal law. *Id.*

**INTOXICATING LIQUORS.** See **Prohibition Act.**

**JUDGMENTS.** See **Anti-Trust Acts 1-4; Bankruptcy 6; Constitutional Law I, 1; Costs 1; Creditor's Bill; Jurisdiction.**

1. *Consent Decree.* Errors and irregularities in, waived by consent; motion to vacate untenable where there was juris-

**JUDGMENTS—Continued.**

	Page.
diction of subject and parties. <i>Swift &amp; Co. v. United States</i> .....	311
2. <i>Enforcement</i> should not be denied where judgment unassailable and its alleged injustice based on conflicting testimony. <i>Delaware, etc. R. R. Co. v. Rellstab</i> .....	1
3. <i>Motion to Vacate</i> default judgment operates as general appearance. <i>Richardson Machinery Co. v. Scott</i> .....	128
4. <i>Id.</i> Made by defendants in response to petitions for intervention, is part of the original cause. <i>Swift &amp; Co. v. United States</i> .....	311
5. <i>Reinstatement</i> . Mandamus appropriate remedy. <i>Delaware, etc. R. R. Co. v. Rellstab</i> .....	1
6. <i>Setting Aside</i> . Power of district court to set aside judgment for perjury ends with term at which it was entered. <i>Id.</i>	

**JURISDICTION. See Criminal Law; Indians; Interstate Commerce Acts; Judgments; Receivers.**

I. Generally, p. 653.

II. Jurisdiction of this Court:

(A) Generally, p. 653.

(B) Over Circuit Courts of Appeals, p. 654.

(C) Over District Courts, p. 654.

(D) Over State Courts, p. 654.

III. Jurisdiction of Circuit Court of Appeals, p. 655.

IV. Jurisdiction of District Courts, p. 655.

V. Jurisdiction of Court of Appeals, District of Columbia, p. 655.

VI. Jurisdiction of Supreme Court, District of Columbia, p. 655.

VII. Jurisdiction of State Courts, p. 655.

Certified Question. See II (A) 1.

Certiorari. See II B.

Diverse Citizenship. See IV.

Federal Question. See I, 9, 11; II (A) 2.

Finality of Judgment. See II (D) 2-3.

Injunction. See II (C).

Local Questions. See II (D) 4-7.

Transfer. See II (A) 4.

**I. Generally. See Anti-Trust Acts; Injunctions.**

1. *Administrative Remedy*. Validity of special assessment not considered where land-owner did not avail himself of opportunity to be heard in state proceedings. *Chicago, etc. R. R. Co. v. Risty*..... 567



I. Generally—Continued.

	Page.
2. <i>Id.</i> Application for equalization not condition precedent to suit to enjoin discriminating tax. <i>Montana Nat'l Bank v. Yellowstone County</i> .....	499
3. <i>Appearance</i> , by motion to vacate default judgment. <i>Richardson Machinery Co. v. Scott</i> .....	128
4. <i>Concurrent and Coördinate Jurisdiction</i> . Court first obtaining constructive possession of property by the filing of a bill, entitled to retain it although prior physical possession not obtained. <i>Harkin v. Brundage</i> .....	36
5. <i>Id.</i> Jurisdiction obtained by fraud of party to be relinquished by federal court in favor of state court. <i>Id.</i>	
6. <i>Consent Decree</i> in a suit under Anti-Trust Act, not voidable because consent of Attorney General was erroneously given. <i>Swift &amp; Co. v. United States</i> .....	311
7. <i>Id.</i> Errors and irregularities in, waived by consent; motion to vacate untenable where there was jurisdiction of subject and parties and decree not void. <i>Id.</i>	
8. <i>Controversy</i> . Jurisdiction to decide as to existence of. <i>Id.</i>	
9. <i>Federal Question</i> not raised by answer not mentioning Constitution or any federal statute. <i>Liberty Warehouse Co. v. Tobacco Growers' Ass'n</i> .....	71
10. <i>Fees</i> . No power in state court to determine right of Master to retain fees found excessive by this Court. <i>In re Gilbert</i> .....	6, 294
11. <i>General Law</i> . On question not involving land title, local statute, or constitution, but depending on general law, federal courts not bound to follow state court. <i>Black &amp; White Taxi Co. v. Brown &amp; Yellow Taxi Co.</i> .....	518
12. <i>Moot Case</i> . Suit to enjoin condemnation proceedings and construction and operation of railroad held not moot. <i>Texas, etc. R. R. v. Northside Ry.</i> .....	475

II. Jurisdiction of this Court.

(A) Generally. See **Procedure**.

1. <i>Certified Question</i> . By ordering up record, this Court acquires jurisdiction as fully as if formal transfer had been made. <i>Swift &amp; Co. v. United States</i> .....	311
2. <i>Declaratory Judgment</i> not subject to review in this Court. <i>Liberty Warehouse Co. v. Tobacco Growers' Ass'n</i> .....	71

## II. Jurisdiction of this Court—Continued.

Page.

3. *Findings of District Court* in commitment of witness for contempt assumed correct in absence of affirmative showing to contrary in record. *Brown v. United States*..... 134

4. *Transfer of Appeal* erroneously taken from Supreme Court of District of Columbia to the Court of Appeals of the District. *Swift & Co. v. United States*..... 311

## (B) Over Circuit Courts of Appeals.

*Scope of Review* on certiorari limited to questions on which writ is based. *Commercial Credit Co. v. United State*.... 226

## (C) Over District Courts.

*Injunction. Direct Appeal* under Jud. Code, § 266, where application for interlocutory injunction is denied and bill dismissed. *Interstate Buses Corp'n v. Blodgett*..... 245

## (D) Over State Courts.

1. *Administrative Commission. Orders of not reviewable. Staten Island Ry. Co. v. Transit Comm'n*..... 603

2. *Highest State Court. Judgment of Appellate Division in New York not reviewable where leave to appeal to the Court of Appeals could have been granted, but was not asked. McMaster v. Gould*..... 284

3. *Id.* When a state supreme court, in denying certiorari, does not pass on the merits, the writ is properly directed to the intermediate court. *Western Union v. Priester*..... 252

4. *Local Question. Judgment based on adequate non-federal grounds is not reviewable by this Court. Richardson Machinery Co. v. Scott*..... 128

5. *Id.* Construction of statute by state court followed by this Court although made subsequent to decision under review. *People of Sioux County v. Nat'l Surety Co*..... 238

6. *Id.* Construction of succession tax statutes and trust instrument accepted by this Court. *Saltonstall v. Saltonstall*.. 260

7. *Id.* Construction of state statute by local courts followed. *Denney v. Pacific Telephone Co*..... 97

8. *Objection to Constitutionality*, though so general as to include state constitution, deemed applicable to federal Constitution in so far as state court so construed it. *Id.*



<b>III. Jurisdiction of Circuit Courts of Appeals.</b>	Page.
1. <i>Equity Rule 75b</i> . Evidence will not be reëxamined on appeal when not condensed and stated as required by rule. <i>Fairbanks, Morse &amp; Co. v. American Valve Co.</i> .....	305
2. <i>Id.</i> Affording opportunity to comply by remitting transcript. <i>Id.</i>	
<b>IV. Jurisdiction of District Courts.</b> See Judgments II, 2, 6; Procedure.	
<i>Diverse Citizenship</i> . Suit not subject to dismissal under Jud. Code § 37, if controversy real and substantial and requisite diversity of citizenship exists, though diversity brought about intentionally. <i>Black &amp; White Taxi Co. v. Brown &amp; Yellow Taxi Co.</i> .....	518
<b>V. Jurisdiction of Court of Appeals, District of Columbia.</b>	
<i>Anti-Trust Act</i> . Appeal from order denying motion to vacate decree under Anti-Trust Act does not lie to the Court of Appeals. <i>Swift &amp; Co. v. United States</i> .....	311
<b>VI. Jurisdiction of Supreme Court, District of Columbia.</b> See I, 6-8; II (A) 4, <i>supra</i> .	
1. <i>Anti-Trust Act</i> . Relief under. <i>Swift &amp; Co. v. United States</i> .....	311
2. <i>Id.</i> Suit under § 4 in Equity need not be addressed to Supreme Court as District Court of the United States. <i>Id.</i>	
<b>VII. Jurisdiction of State Courts.</b> See Indians 9; Interstate Commerce Acts 1.	
1. <i>New York Courts</i> . Provision governing appeals from Appellate Division to Court of Appeals. <i>McMaster v. Gould</i> ..	284
2. <i>Service of Summons</i> . Statute providing for service on Secretary of State in actions against non-residents, with no provision making it probable that notice will be communicated to defendant, is void. <i>Wuchter v. Pizzutti</i> .....	13
<b>KENTUCKY CO-OPERATIVE MARKETING ACT.</b> See Constitutional Law VII (A 5).	
<b>LANDLORD AND TENANT.</b> See Claims; Prohibition Act; United States.	
<b>LEASE.</b> See Public Lands; United States.	
<b>LETTER OF CREDIT.</b> See Contracts.	
<b>LIBELS.</b> See Admiralty 6, 7.	
<b>LICENSE TAX.</b> See Taxation II, 4.	

- LIENS.** See **Bankruptcy** 2, 6. Page.  
*Virginia Traders Act* providing property used in trade is  
 liable to creditors, means lien creditors. *Finance & Guar-*  
*anty Co. v. Oppenhimer*..... 10
- LIMITATION OF ACTIONS.** See **Admiralty** 6, 7.
- MAIL CRANES.** See **Employers' Liability Act** 1.
- MANDAMUS.** See **Judgments** 5.
- MARITIME LAW.** See **Admiralty; Contracts.**
- MASTER.** See **Equity; Jurisdiction** I, 10.
- MASTER AND SERVANT.** See **Employers' Liability Act;**  
**Workmen's Compensation Act.**
- MINERAL LANDS.** See **Public Lands.**
- MOOT CASE.** See **Interstate Commerce Act** 4.
- MOTOR VEHICLES.**  
 1. *Suits for Personal Injuries. Wuchter v. Pizzutti*..... 13  
 2. *Taxation for use of highways. Interstate Busses Corp'n*  
*v. Blodgett*..... 245
- MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS.** See **Constitutional Law** VII  
 (A) 4.  
 1. *Void Bonds.* Municipality not liable for negligence or  
 misrepresentation of officials in issuing void special improve-  
 ment bonds. *Moore v. City of Nampa*..... 536  
 2. *Id.* Purchaser charged with knowledge of law and in-  
 validating defects. *Id.*
- NATIONAL BANKS.** See **Banks and Banking; Taxation** II,  
 6-8.
- NATURALIZATION.** See **Citizenship.**
- NEGLIGENCE.** See **Admiralty; Employers' Liability Act;**  
**Municipal Corporations; Telegraph Companies; Work-**  
**men's Compensation Act.**  
*Verdict based on conjecture. Kansas City Southern Ry.*  
*v. Jones*..... 303
- NEW MEXICO.** See **Boundaries.**
- OFFICERS.** See **Criminal Law** 3; **Prohibition Act** 2.  
*Implied Contract* as to compensation of public officer serving  
 under law specifying the amount. *Mississippi ex rel. Robert-*  
*son v. Miller*..... 174



**PARTNERSHIP.** See Bankruptcy 4-7.

Page.

**PATENTS FOR INVENTIONS:**

1. *Abandonment* not shown by failure to use commercially or to apply for patent. *Corona Cord Tire Co. v. Doan Chemical Corp'n*..... 358
2. *Breadth of Claim.* Process patent must not include large group of related substances without proof that all have a common quality useful in the process. *Id.*
3. *Burden of Proof* in suit to enjoin infringement. *Id.*
4. *Misleading Affidavits* before Examiner, when not destructive of presumption upholding patent. *Id.*
5. *Patent for Vulcanizing Rubber* held invalid. *Id.*
6. *Prior Discovery* of method of producing substance irrelevant as to priority of use as ingredient in another process. *Id.*
7. *Priority of Discovery.* Proof by one witness and circumstances. *Id.*
8. *Publication* printed after discovery. Effect of. *Id.*
9. *Reduction to Practice* of process of vulcanizing rubber does not require production and sale of goods. *Id.*
10. *Scope of Patent*, includes all advantages resulting from the invention. *Id.*

**PENALTIES.** See Statutes 2.

**PERJURY:**

*Setting Aside Judgment* because of, must be done during term at which it was rendered. *Delaware, etc. R. R. Co. v. Relstab*..... 1

**PERSONAL INJURIES.** See Admiralty; Employers' Liability Act; Motor Vehicles; Negligence; Workmen's Compensation Act.

**PLEADINGS.** See Injunctions 1, 4; Jurisdiction I, 7.

*Limitation* sufficiently pleaded in exceptions to libels, need not be pleaded in answer. *Fleet Corp'n v. Rosenberg Bros. & Co.*..... 202

**PRESUMPTIONS.** See Admiralty 1; Constitutional Law I, 5; IV, 3.

- PROCEDURE.** See Admiralty; Anti-Trust Acts; Bankruptcy; Citizenship; Costs; Criminal Law; Creditor's Bill; Equity; Injunction; Judgments; Jurisdiction; Prohibition Act; Receivers; Rules.
1. *Equity Rule 75b*. Effect of failure to condense testimony in narrative form. *Fairbanks, Morse & Co. v. American Valve Co.*..... 305
  2. *Id.* Procedure for curing defective transcript. *Id.*
  3. *Id.* Costs and Counsel Fees where both parties at fault. *Id.*
  4. Errors not Specified or Briefed nor considered. *Donnelley v. United States.*..... 505
  5. Findings of District Court in commitment of witness for contempt assumed correct in absence of affirmative showing in record. *Brown v. United States.*..... 134
  6. Findings of Trial Court, conclusiveness here. *Corona Cord Tire Co. v. Doan Chemical Corp'n.*..... 358
  7. *Harkin v. Brundage.*..... 36
  7. Motion to Vacate Decree made by defendants in response to petitions for intervention is part of the original cause. *Swift & Co. v. United States.*..... 311
  8. Original Exhibits sent up with bill of exceptions and made part of it by reference in bill. *Krauss Bros. Lumber Co. v. Mellon.*..... 386
  9. State Statute. Construction of by local courts followed. *Denney v. Pacific Telephone Co.*..... 97
  - Richardson Machinery Co. v. Scott.*..... 128
  - People of Sioux County v. Nat'l Surety Co.*..... 239
  - Black & White Taxi Co. v. Brown & Yellow Taxi Co.*..... 518
- PROCESS.** See Anti-Trust Acts 8, 9,
- PROHIBITION ACT:**
1. Forfeiture of vehicle must be under § 26 if proceeding brought under this statute. *Commercial Credit Co. v. United States.*..... 226
  2. Officer violating duty under § 2 by failure to report knowledge of illegal possession or transportation, punishable under § 29, Tit. II. *Donnelley v. United States.*..... 505
  3. "Padlock" Injunctions. Provision for not punitive but preventive. *Grosfield v. United States.*..... 494
  4. *Id.* Lack of criminal participation of owner of premises and ousting of tenant, no defense in suit based on illegal manufacture by tenant. *Id.*



**PROHIBITION ACT**—Continued.

Page.

5. *Id.* Power of district court to permit premises to be occupied and used. *Id.*

**PUBLIC LANDS.** See **Indians.**

1. *Coal Lands.* Effect of application to purchase coal lands within previously surveyed school section. *Work v. Braffet.* 560
2. *Id.* Right of United States to withdraw coal lands from entry pending application. *Id.*
3. *Id. General Leasing Act.* Construction of provision excepting existing valid claims from withdrawal. *Id.*

**PUBLIC OFFICERS.** See **Criminal Law 3; Officers; Prohibition Act.**

**PUBLIC UTILITIES:**

- Franchise Rates.* When increased by administrative order in State of Washington, the new *maxima* are not contract rates. *Denney v. Pacific Telephone Co.*..... 97

**RAILROADS.** See **Constitutional Law VII (A) 4; Employers' Liability Act; Interstate Commerce Acts; Negligence.**

1. *Hack Stands.* Grant of exclusive privileges to taxicab company at station within power of railroad company. *Black & White Taxi Co. v. Brown & Yellow Taxi Co.*..... 518  
*Delaware, etc. R. R. Co. v. Morristown.*..... 182
2. *Id.* Such contracts governed by common law in absence of governing provision of local statutes or constitution. *Black & White Taxi Co. v. Brown and Yellow Taxi Co.*..... 518
3. *Station Property* is private property of the railroad and may be used for any lawful purpose. *Id.*

**RATES.** See **Constitutional Law VII (A) 1; Interstate Commerce Acts 2-3; Public Utilities; Telegraph Companies.**

**RECEIVERS:**

1. *Bias.* Receiver is officer of the court and must be free from friendliness. *Harkin v. Brundage.*..... 36
2. *Federal and State Court Receiverships.* Priority and duty to relinquish when procured by fraud on court. *Id.*
3. *Irregularly Appointed* receiver may continue if not seasonably objected to. *Id.*

**RULES:**

1. Rule 11, Par. 9. *Donnelley v. United States.*..... 505
2. Rule 25, Par. 2 (e); Par. 4. *Id.*

**RULES**—Continued.

	Page.
3. Rule 29, § 7, as amended.....	639
4. Equity Rule 75b, as to condensation of testimony. <i>Fairbanks, Morse &amp; Co. v. American Valve Co.</i> .....	305

**SALES.** See **Bankruptcy 2**; **Constitutional Law VII (A) 5**.

**SHERMAN ACT.** See **Anti-Trust Acts**.

**STATES.** See **Constitutional Law**; **Boundaries**; **Interstate Commerce Acts 7**; **Jurisdiction II (D) 5-7**; **Taxation II**.

**STATUTES.** Consult titles indicative of subject matter, and Table of Statutes cited at the beginning of this volume.

1. <i>Adoption</i> from a State for a Territory by Act of Congress carries with it previous construction of courts of State from which adopted. <i>Marlin v. Lewallen</i> .....	58
2. <i>Penal Statute</i> not invalid because aggrieved party receives penalty for violation. <i>Liberty Warehouse Co. v. Tobacco Growers' Ass'n</i> .....	71

**SUBPOENA.** See **Anti-Trust Acts 8, 9**.

**SUCCESSION TAX.** See **Taxation II, 13-14**.

**SUITS IN ADMIRALTY ACT.** See **Admiralty 5, 6, 7**.

**SUMMONS.** See **Constitutional Law VII (A) 8, 9**.

**SURETY.** See **Banks and Banking**.

**TARIFF.** See **Constitutional Law IV, 5-6**.

**TAXATION.** See **Anti-Narcotic Act**; **Constitutional Law IV, 1, 5, 6**; **Indians 10, 11**; **Jurisdiction I, 1**.

**I. Federal Taxation.**

1. <i>Deductions.</i> Attorneys fees in defending suit deductible from gross income as necessary expense under § 214 (a) (1), Revenue Act of 1918. <i>Kornhauser v. United States</i> ..	145
2. <i>Estate Tax.</i> Contingent charitable bequest not deductible from estate where value is merely speculative. <i>Humes v. United States</i> .....	487
3. <i>Gift Tax Provisions</i> of Revenue Act of June 2, 1924, apply to gifts made any time during that calendar year. <i>Untermeyer v. Anderson</i> .....	440
4. <i>Id.</i> These provisions are invalid under the due process clause of the Fifth Amendment as applied to bona fide gifts consummated prior to June 2, 1924. <i>Id.</i>	



# INDEX.

661

## I. Federal Taxation—Continued.

	Page.
5. <i>Income Tax</i> , "dividends" under Act of 1918. <i>Hellmich v. Hellman</i> .....	233
6. <i>Id.</i> Objection of double taxation fails in view of clearly expressed intention of statute. <i>Id.</i>	
7. <i>Id.</i> Taxability and computation of profits from sale of former residence of taxpayer subsequently devoted to rental. <i>Heiner v. Tindle</i> .....	582
8. <i>Interest</i> on refund of tax illegally collected. <i>United States v. Magnolia Petroleum Co.</i> .....	160

## II. State Taxation.

1. <i>Enjoining State Tax</i> . Preliminary application for administrative relief, held unnecessary. <i>Montana Nat'l Bank v. Yellowstone County</i> .....	499
2. <i>Federal Instrumentality</i> . Lands held by United States Housing Corporation not subject to state taxation. <i>New Brunswick v. United States</i> .....	547
3. <i>Id.</i> Purchasers of such land subject to mortgage may be taxed and their equities sold to enforce collection. <i>Id.</i>	
4. <i>License Tax</i> on dealing in fish not unconstitutional as applied to imported fish. <i>Gulf Fisheries Co. v. MacInerney</i> ...	124
5. <i>Motor Buses</i> . Taxation for maintenance of public highways valid. <i>Interstate Buses Corp'n v. Blodgett</i> .....	245
6. <i>National Bank Shares</i> . Discrimination against in taxing incorporated state bank, violates Rev. Stats. § 5219. <i>Montana Nat'l Bank v. Yellowstone County</i> .....	499
7. <i>Id.</i> Exemption of federal securities held by bank does not apply to taxation of shares of state corporate banks or national banks. <i>Id.</i>	
8. <i>Id.</i> <i>Inconsistent Constructions</i> of state taxing act; effect on rights of national banks' shareholders. <i>Id.</i>	
9. <i>Id.</i> <i>Application for Equalization</i> , not prerequisite to suit. <i>Id.</i>	
10. <i>Special Assessment</i> . Decree dismissing, without prejudice, suit to enjoin special assessment does not bar subsequent suit on same question. <i>Chicago, etc. R. R. Co. v. Risty</i> .....	567
11. <i>Id.</i> Due process does not require notice of proceeding to determine advisability of improvement if land-owner is afforded opportunity to be heard against assessment. <i>Id.</i>	

## II. State Taxation—Continued.

Page.

12. *Id.* Waiver of right to attack assessment by failure to object thereto. *Id.*
13. *Succession Tax* imposed on beneficiaries as of the time when they come into possession and enjoyment under a power of appointment antedating the taxing statute, consistent with the Fourteenth Amendment. *Saltonstall v. Saltonstall*..... 260
14. *Id.* Until privilege of succession is fully exercised, may be taxed. *Id.*
15. *Void Special Improvement Bonds.* City not liable to purchaser for negligence in issuing. *Moore v. City of Nampa*..... 536

TAXICABS. See Constitutional Law VII (A) 4; Railroads.

## TELEGRAPH COMPANIES:

1. *Limitation of Liability* for unrepeatd messages by a tariff provision, fixes the entire liability for mistakes. *Western Union v. Priester*..... 253
2. *Id.* Such liability cannot be enlarged on ground of gross negligence. *Id.*

TELEPHONE COMPANIES. See Public Utilities.

TEXAS. See Boundaries.

TREATIES. See Indians 6.

TRUSTS. See Constitutional Law VII (A) 11.

TUCKER ACT. See Claims.

UNITED STATES. See Admiralty; Criminal Law; Employers' Liability Act; Indians; Jurisdiction; Patents for Inventions; Public Lands; Taxation I.

1. *Lease* to United States for term of years without specific authority of law, appropriation for rent being available only for the first year, binds the Government for that year only. *Goodyear Tires & Rubber Co. v. United States*..... 287
2. *Id.* Such lease is binding for a subsequent year only if an appropriation is available for rent and it is continued by government officers. *Id.*
3. *Id.* Holding over does not constitute a renewal. *Id.*

VENUE. See Criminal Law 4.

VERDICT. See Criminal Law 4; Negligence.




<b>VIRGINIA CEDAR RUST ACT.</b>	See <i>Miller v. Schoene</i> .....	Page. 272
<b>VIRGINIA TRADERS ACT.</b>	See <i>Liens</i> .	
<b>WAIVER.</b>	See <i>Taxation II</i> , 12.	
<b>WAREHOUSEMEN.</b>	See <i>Constitutional Law VII (A)</i> 5.	
<b>WITNESSES.</b>	See <i>Constitutional Law VI</i> , 2; <i>Contempt; Evidence; Procedure</i> 5.	
<b>WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION ACT.</b>	See <i>Admiralty</i> 3-4.	
1. <i>Causal Connection</i> between injury suffered by employee and his employment at the time is sufficient. <i>Bountiful Brick Co. v. Giles</i> .....		154
2. <i>Id.</i> Injury suffered by employee passing, with express or implied consent of employer, to or from work, over premises of another, is within scope of the Act. <i>Id.</i>		
3. <i>Death of Longshoreman</i> knocked from wharf and drowned. <i>T. Smith &amp; Son, Inc. v. Taylor</i> .....		179


---

ADDITIONAL COPIES  
 OF THIS PUBLICATION MAY BE PROCURED FROM  
 THE SUPERINTENDENT OF DOCUMENTS  
 U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE  
 WASHINGTON, D. C.  
 AT  
 \$2 PER COPY  
 V
























LSCM113936