

# INDEX

ACCEPTANCE. See Contracts, 2. Page.

ACCOUNT STATED. See Federal Control Act, 6.

ACQUIESCENCE. See Boundaries, 1; Claims, 1; Jurisdiction, II, (3), 1.

ADEQUATE REMEDY AT LAW. See Equity, 2.

## ADMIRALTY:

1. *Maritime Tort*. Death of diver from floating barge removing obstructions to navigation. *Millers' Underwriters v. Braud*..... 59
2. *Id.* Local Workmen's Compensation Law applicable. *Id.*
3. *Collision*. Damages not recoverable from United States under Suits in Admiralty Act, for collision by government ship transporting troops and supplies. *Littlejohn v. U. S.* 215
4. *Seizure and Confiscation*. Power of government as respects enemy ships in harbors at outbreak of war. *Id.*
5. *Unseaworthiness*—liability of chartered ship for as surety. *Armour & Co. v. S. S. Co.*..... 253
6. *Id.* Release of liability through compromise between shipper and charterer. *Id.*
7. *Id.* Where charterer and shipper convert ship to use unauthorized by charter. *Id.*
8. *Admiralty Jurisdiction*. Not determined on facts alleged in libel alone. *Id.*
9. *Id.* Extends to non-maritime contracts brought in as defense. *Id.*

ADVERSE POSSESSION. See Boundaries, 2.

AGENCY. See Claims, 5, 7; Contracts, 5.

ALIENS. See Jurisdiction, III, 3.

ALLOTMENTS. See Indians, 10-11, 13.

**AMENDMENTS.** See Jurisdiction, IV, 19; Materialmen's Act, 3; Substitution. Page.

**ANCILLARY JURISDICTION.** See Jurisdiction, II, (3), 1; IV, 24.

**ANTI-TRUST ACTS.** See Trade Commission Act.

*Exchange Quotations.* A contract between cotton exchange and telegraph company, under which the exchange at its own expense collects its quotations of sales and delivers them to the telegraph company, which transmits them like other messages, at the charges of the recipients, to such persons only as the exchange approves, the telegraph paying the exchange for the privilege of having the business,—is not a violation of the Sherman Anti-Trust Act. *Moore v. Cotton Exch.*..... 593

**APPEAL:**

Time for. See Jurisdiction, V, 2.

**ARMY.** See Admiralty, 3; Contracts, 1-9.

1. *Reorganization Act, 1920*, liberally construed to avoid interference with military agencies. *Rogers v. U. S.*..... 154

2. *Id. Court of Inquiry.* Records to be furnished officer. *Id.*

3. *Id. Irregularities*, acquiesced in before Court of Inquiry, in excluding evidence, which was not in record sent to Final Classification Board, do not invalidate adverse classification by latter. *Id.*

**ASSESSMENT.** See Bankruptcy; Corporations; Taxation, II, 7-10.

**ATTORNEYS.** See Mandamus, 2; Taxation, I, 5.

**AWARD.** See Claims, 2-4.

**BANKRUPTCY:**

1. *Assessment on Stock*, of bankrupt corporation. Time and condition of liability determined by state law, though assessment ordered by bankruptcy court and suit to collect brought by the trustee. *Harrigan v. Bergdoll*..... 560

2. *Id. Limitations*, on suit to collect determined by state law. *Id.*

**BILL OF EXCEPTIONS.** See Exceptions.

Page.

**BILL OF LADING.** See Substitution.

**BOARD OF TAX APPEALS.** See Mandamus, 2; Taxation, I, 5-6.

**BOND.** See Claims, 11; Materialmen's Act.

**BOUNDARIES:**

1. *Acquiescence*, long continued, in possession of and exercise of jurisdiction over territory, determinative of boundary between States. *Michigan v. Wisconsin*..... 295
2. *Color of Title*, under Enabling Act, to river with islands extends adverse possession, etc., from part of the islands to entire land and water area described. *Id.*
3. *Costs*, in boundary cases. *Id.*

**CANCELLATION.** See Claims, 2, 4.

**CARRIERS.** See Admiralty; Claims, 1; Employers' Liability Act; Federal Control Act; Interstate Commerce Acts; Substitution; Taxation, II, 2; Workmen's Compensation Act.

**CHARTER.** See Admiralty, 5-7.

**CITIZENS.** See Jurisdiction, IV, 9.

*Naturalization.* See *Tutun v. U. S.*..... 568

**CLAIMS.** See Contracts; Criminal Law, 1; Federal Control Act; Fraud.

1. *Acquiescence.* Acceptance by railroad of land grant rates bars suit in Court of Claims for difference between them and higher lawful rates. *U. S. v. Reading Co.*..... 320
2. *Award; Abstract Question*, of validity of award by Secretary of War accepted by claimant, not considered where findings of Court of Claims fail to show damage resulting from cancellation of contract. *Towar Mills v. U. S.*..... 375
3. *Id. Counterclaim.* Right of government to counterclaim amount due it on claimant's note against award on earlier contract. *Id.*
4. *Id. Application; Interest.* Award applied against note as of date of award rather than earlier date of cancellation of contract for which it was made. *Id.*



**CLAIMS**—Continued.

	Page.
5. <i>Contract Subject to Ratification</i> , by superior officer, does not bind government expressly, nor does service rendered under it create implied obligation. <i>Interocean Co. v. U. S.</i> ..	65
6. <i>Delays</i> , by government, not ground for damages to contractor. <i>Crook Co. v. U. S.</i> .....	4
7. <i>Findings of Court of Claims</i> —conclusiveness of as to authority of army officer to sign contract for purchase of supplies. <i>U. S. v. Swift &amp; Co.</i> .....	124
8. <i>Interest</i> , on tax refunds, recoverable separately when allowed by statute. <i>Girard Trust Co. v. U. S.</i> .....	163
9. <i>Reletting</i> , of contract, and application of retained percentages, when contractor abandons work. <i>Midland Co. v. U. S.</i> .....	251
10. <i>Res Judicata</i> . Effect of judgment of Court of Claims, adjudicating title to land as between United States and Indian tribe, as estoppel on United States in subsequent suit to recover lands from State in behalf of Indians. <i>U. S. v. Minnesota</i> .....	181
11. <i>War Savings Certificates</i> , not payable if unregistered, even with indemnity bond. <i>Mandelbaum v. U. S.</i> .....	7

**COERCION.** See **Fraud**.

**COLLISION.** See **Admiralty**, 3.

**COLOR OF TITLE.** See **Boundaries**, 2.

**COMPENSATION.** See **Federal Control Act**, 1-3.

**COMPROMISE.** See **Admiralty**, 6; **Federal Control Act**, 6.

**CONFISCATION.** See **Admiralty**, 4.

**CONSPIRACY.** See **Criminal Law**, 4.

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW:**

- I. In General, p. 677.
- II. Judiciary, p. 677.
- III. Federal Instrumentalities, p. 677.
- IV. State Equality, p. 677.
- V. Treaty Making Power, p. 677.
- VI. War Power, p. 677.
- VII. Commerce Clause, p. 677.
- VIII. Fifth Amendment, p. 677.
- IX. Fourteenth Amendment, p. 678.

I. In General.

Page.

<i>Unconstitutionality.</i> Burden of proving facts showing.	
<i>Tank Car Corp. v. Day</i> .....	367
<i>Weaver v. Palmer Co.</i> .....	402

II. Judiciary. See Jurisdiction.

<i>Meaning of a "case,"</i> Const. Art. III, § 2. <i>Tutun v. U. S.</i> ..	568
--	-----

III. Federal Instrumentalities.

<i>Indian Allotment,</i> transfer of not taxable by State. <i>Children v. Beaver</i> .....	555
--	-----

IV. State Equality.

<i>Navigable Waters.</i> Title to lake bed in State; subject to dispositions made before her admission by United States.	
<i>U. S. v. Holt Bank</i> .....	49

V. Treaty Making Power.

1. <i>Scope.</i> Treaty not to be construed as divesting property rights which could not be divested by Act of Congress.	
<i>U. S. v. Minnesota</i> .....	181
2. <i>Judicial Power.</i> Cannot annul Indian treaty on ground that Indians' representatives were prevented from exercising free judgment in negotiations. <i>Id.</i>	

VI. War Power.

<i>Seizure and Confiscation</i> of enemy ships in harbors at outbreak of war. <i>Littlejohn v. U. S.</i> .....	215
--	-----

VII. Commerce Clause. See Anti-Trust Acts.

1. <i>Insect Quarantine.</i> Power of States to impose suspended by Act of Congress reposing full authority in Secretary of Agriculture. <i>Oregon-Wash. R. R. Co. v. Washington</i> .....	87
2. <i>Tax on Rolling Stock</i> of non-resident corporations valid when not discriminatory. <i>Tank Car Corp. v. Day</i> .....	367
3. <i>Sales on Exchange,</i> of cotton for future delivery, not interstate commerce. <i>Moore v. Cotton Exch.</i> .....	593

VIII. Fifth Amendment.

1. <i>Order of Interstate Commerce Commission,</i> requiring carriers to remove discrimination in switching does not deprive of property without due process, though practical effect may be to require admission of complaining carrier to part of their business. <i>Chicago, &amp;c. Ry. Co. v. U. S.</i> .....	287
--	-----

## VIII. Fifth Amendment—Continued.

Page.

2. *War Risk Insurance*. Right of beneficiary named in certificate not vested against substitution by soldier's will. *White v. U. S.*..... 175

## IX. Fourteenth Amendment.

1. *Legislative determinations*, weight of in deciding constitutionality. *Weaver v. Palmer Co.*..... 402
2. *Facts*, determining constitutionality, ascertainable by proof and judicial notice. *Id.*
3. *Burden of Proof* on attacking party. *Id.*
4. *Arbitrary Prohibition* of use of shoddy in comfortables not sustainable either as health measure or to prevent fraud. *Id.*
5. *Id.* Prohibition objectionable where regulation would suffice. *Id.*
6. *Id.* Unreasonable and arbitrary character of prohibition shown by comparison between what statute forbids and what it permits, without deciding whether the discrimination violates equal protection clause. *Id.*
7. *Convenience*, can not control constitutional guaranties. *Id.*
8. *Drainage Assessments*. Addition through court proceedings, of new land to drainage district, without allowing owners right to decide by vote as allowed those owning lands of original district, does not deny equal protection. *Cole v. Drainage Dist* ..... 45
9. *Municipal Corporations*, not protected by Amendment from special drainage assessments, without benefit, levied by State. *Risty v. Ry. Co.*..... 378
10. *Confiscatory Rates*. Right to enjoin not defeated by indefinite delay of public service commission in affording relief, or by technicalities of its procedure. *Smith v. Tel. Co.* 587
11. *Tax on Rolling Stock* of non-resident corporation, valid when not discriminatory. *Tank Car Corp. v. Day*..... 367
12. *Inheritance Tax*, on inheritance by non-resident of shares of foreign corporation doing business and owning property, locally, but not locally domesticated, is beyond power of State. *R. I. Trust Co. v. Doughton*..... 69
13. *Inheritance Tax*. *Conclusive Statutory Presumption* that gifts within 6 years of death were in contemplation of it, is arbitrary, and resulting tax void under due process and equal protection clauses. *Schlesinger v. Wisconsin*..... 230



IX. Fourteenth Amendment—Continued.

Page.

14. *Convenience. Legislative Discretion*, in choosing means deemed necessary to avoid evasions of legitimate inheritance taxes, can not justify such provisions. *Id.*

**CONTRACTS.** See **Claims**, 2-7, 9; **Jurisdiction**, IV, 2; **Materialmen's Act**, 1.

1. *Army Supplies.* Authority of representatives of Quartermaster General, Depot Quartermaster, and Food Administration, to purchase during the War. *U. S. v. Swift & Co.*.... 124

2. *Id. Offer and Acceptance* of contract, through correspondence. *Id.*

3. *Writing*, binding the government, may consist of exchange of letters. *Id.*

4. *Id.* Single instrument, signed at foot, unnecessary. *Id.*

5. *Execution* by subordinate, in name of superior officer. *Id.*

6. *Price*—need not be specified. *Id.*

7. *Damages*, due to government's refusal to take goods, how measured in absence of market value. *Id.*

8. *Id.* Duty of vendor in reselling on account of vendee. *Id.*

9. *Meeting of Minds.* Agreement for delivery of goods in specified quantities in successive months, *held* not preliminary negotiations merely, though price not named but fixed later in more formal agreements. *Id.*

10. *Exchange Quotations.* Contract between cotton exchange and telegraph company, for dissemination of quotations to those only whom exchange approves—not a violation of Anti-Trust Act. *Moore v. Cotton Exch.*..... 593

11. *Implied Contract*, does not arise to pay for service under agreement invalid for want of ratification. *Interocean Co. v. U. S.*..... 65

**CORPORATIONS.** See **Bankruptcy**; **Constitutional Law**, VII, 2; IX, 9, 11; **Jurisdiction**, IV, 10; **Taxation**, II, 4.

1. *Stock Assessment*, governed by state law. *Harrigan v. Bergdoll*..... 560

2. *Id. Limitations*, on suit to collect determined by state statute. *Id.*

**COTTON EXCHANGE.** See **Anti-Trust Acts**; **Constitutional Law**, VII, 3.

- Page.
- COUNTERCLAIM.** See Claims, 3; Equity, 3; Jurisdiction, II, (2), 2.
- COURT OF CLAIMS.** See Jurisdiction, II, (4); V.
- COURT OPINIONS.** See Procedure, II, 4.
- COSTS.** See Procedure, I, 2.
- CRIMINAL LAW.** See Jurisdiction, II, (1), 1; IV, 6-8.
1. *Claim Against Government; Cr. Code § 65.* Obtaining possession of non-dutiable goods from a Collector not obtaining approval of such a claim. *U. S. v. Cohn*..... 339
  2. *"Defrauding" Government; Cr. Code § 65*—means cheating out of money or property, and not obstruction of governmental functions by fraudulent means. *Id.*
  3. *Murder.* Removal of prosecution of prohibition agents from state court under Jud. Code § 33. *Maryland v. Soper*, (No. 1)..... 9
  4. *Conspiracy*—when not so removable. *Maryland v. Soper*, (No. 2)..... 36
- CUSTOMS.** See Criminal Law, 1.
- DAMAGES.** See Admiralty, 3; Claims, 2, 6; Contracts, 7; Federal Control Act, 2-3; Indians, 5.
- DEATH.** See Admiralty, 1.
- DEFRAUDING.** See Criminal Law, 2.
- DISCRIMINATION.** See Constitutional Law, IX, 6, 8, 11, 13; Interstate Commerce Acts, I, 3-5.
- DRAINAGE.** See Constitutional Law, IX, 9; Taxation, II, 7-10.
- ELECTION.** See Jurisdiction, IV, 24.
- EMINENT DOMAIN.** See Federal Control Act.
- EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT:**
1. *Parties and Representatives. C. R. I. & P. Ry. Co. v. Schendel* ..... 611
  2. *Character of Commerce.* Judgment of state court, holding employment intrastate in suit under Workmen's Compensation Act by railroad against widow, bars suit by administrator in court of another State under federal Act. *Id.*



**EQUITY.** See **Jurisdiction**, II, (3), 1.

Page.

1. *Injunction*, of special drainage assessments, under state law. *Risty v. Ry. Co.*..... 378
2. *Id.* Compliance with conditions precedent and absence of adequate legal remedy. *Id.*
3. *Counterclaim; Rule 30.* Right to seek counter injunction on counterclaim "arising out of the transaction which is the subject matter of the suit." *Moore v. Cotton Exch.*.. 593

**ESTOPPEL.** See **Claims**, 1, 10; **Judgments**, 1-4; **Taxation**, II, 8.

**EVIDENCE.** See **Army**; **Constitutional Law**, I; IX, 13; **Federal Control Act**, 2-3.

**EXCEPTIONS:**

1. *Nunc Pro Tunc* exceptions invalid. *Fleischman Co. v. U. S.*..... 349
2. *Trial Without Jury*, under R. S. §§ 649, 700, necessity for exceptions. *Id.*

**EXCHANGES.** See **Anti-Trust Acts**; **Constitutional Law**, VII, 3.

**EXTENSIONS.** See **Interstate Commerce Acts**, II, 3-6.

**FEDERAL CONTROL ACT:**

1. *Compensation.* Not recoverable in Court of Claims for taking railroad where taking purely technical and without loss to carrier. *Marion &c., Ry. Co. v. U. S.*..... 280
2. *Id.* Burden on carrier to prove value of use taken, or damage suffered, where taking was without agreement with President. *Id.*
3. *Id. Findings of Referees*, appointed by Interstate Commerce Commission, not *prima facie* evidence of just compensation if based on mere assumption without evidence of loss or damage. *Id.*
4. *Federal Agent*, substitution of for carrier begins new proceeding. *Mellon v. Weiss*..... 565
5. *Id. Limitation*, in bill of lading, of time for suit not suspended by prior pendency of suit against carrier. *Id.*
6. *Settlements*, of all demands as between United States and railroads "growing out of federal control," do not cover

**FEDERAL CONTROL ACT**—Continued.

Page.

claims of carriers for government transportation antedating federal control, which, having been paid, were erroneously recharged against the Director General of Railroads by the Treasury Department and were credited to him in his final accounts with the railroads. *U. S. v. Reading Co.*..... 320

**FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT.** See **Employers' Liability Act.****FEDERAL QUESTION.** See **Jurisdiction.****FINAL JUDGMENT.** See **Jurisdiction.****FINDINGS.** See **Claims**, 2, 7; **Interstate Commerce Acts**, II, 1-2; **Jurisdiction**, II, (4); III, 1; IV, 17.**FORECLOSURE.** See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 24.**FOREIGN CORPORATIONS.** See **Taxation**, II, 2, 4.**FRAUD.** See **Constitutional Law**, IX, 4; **Criminal Law**, 2.

*Fraud and Coercion*, as ground of overcoming a release of claim sued on,—facts to be distinctly and specifically pleaded.

*Chamberlin Mach. Works v. U. S.*..... 347

**HEALTH.** See **Constitutional Law**, IX, 4.**INDEMNITY.** See **Claims**, 11.**INDIANS.** See **Public Lands.**

1. *Guardianship.* Status of United States as sole plaintiff in suit in this Court to regain title to lands in Indian reservations erroneously patented to State. *U. S. v. Minnesota*... 181

2. *Statutes of Limitations*, inapplicable to suit to regain land on behalf of Indians. *Id.*

3. *Chippewa Lands.* Effect of patents to Minnesota under Swamp Land Act of tracts located in reservations established before and after admission of State. *Id.*

4. *Id.* Effect of judgment of Court of Claims determining title adversely to Indians and in favor of United States. *Id.*

5. *Id.* Rights of Chippewas in lands ceded to be sold under Act, January 14, 1889, and damages recoverable for those wrongfully patented to and disposed of by State. *Id.*

6. *Reservations*, impliedly remove land from subsequent disposal as public land. *Id.*

**INDIANS**—Continued.

Page.

7. *Id.* Swamp land to which State had prior inchoate title impliedly excepted from larger tract reserved for Indians. *Id.*
8. *Treaties.* Courts powerless to annul on ground that Indians were prevented from exercising their free judgment. *Id.*
9. *Id.* Not to be construed as divesting rights which could not constitutionally be divested by act of Congress. *Id.*
10. *Heirs of Allottee*, by trust or restricted patent, conclusively determined by Secretary of Interior. *First Moon v. White Tail* ..... 243
11. *Allotment Act of 1911*, applies to suits claiming original allotments only. *Id.*
12. *Navigable Lake in Chippewa Reservation.* Title to bottom passed to State on her admission. *U. S. v. Holt Bank*.. 49
13. *Allotments.* Inheritance of not subject to state transfer tax. *Childers v. Beaver* ..... 555
14. *Id.* *Heirs* determined by Secretary of Interior, state law being adopted as federal rule. *Id.*

**INHERITANCE.** See **Indians**, 10, 13-14; **Taxation**, II, 4-6; **War Risk Insurance**.

**INJUNCTION.** See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 21-22; **Trade Commission Act**.

On Counterclaim, See **Equity**.

1. *Merger*, of preliminary in final decree. *Smith v. Tel. Co.* 587
2. *Confiscatory Rates.* Remedy of public service corporation by injunction. *Id.*
3. *Id.* When further application for administrative relief not condition precedent. *Id.*
4. *Final Decree*, on appeal from interlocutory orders. *Moore v. Cotton Exch* ..... 593

**INSECTS.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 1.

**INSURANCE.** See **War Risk Insurance**.

**INTEREST.** See **Claims**, 4, 8; **Taxation**, I, 1-4.

**INTERNATIONAL LAW.** See **Boundaries**; **Treaties**.

- Power to seize and confiscate enemy ships.* *Littlejohn v. U. S.* ..... 215



Page.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE ACTS. See Federal Control Act.

I. Carrier and Shipper.

1. *Limitations. Transportation Act*, and amendment, fixing three year limitation on actions to recover charges from carriers, not retroactive, and inapplicable to pending cases. *U. S. v. St. Louis &c. Ry. Co.*..... 1
2. *Preference. Extra Service*, by assigning special engine and crew to shipper for spotting cars, can not be charged for where spotting included in line-haul tariff. *C. & O. Ry. Co. v. Westinghouse Co.*..... 260
3. *Discrimination in Switching*—order to remove does not require participating carriers to admit complainant into existing arrangement. *C. I. & L. Ry. Co. v. U. S.*..... 287
4. *Id.* May exist though complainant's line physically connected with but one of several lines of defendants. *Id.*
5. *Id. Electric Railroad*, may have relief from discrimination in switching arrangement between steam railroads. *Id.*

II. Powers and Proceedings of Commission.

1. *Discrimination.* Similarity of circumstances determined by Commission and not courts. *Chicago &c. Ry. Co. v. U. S.*..... 287
2. *Conclusive Effect of Finding* that electric railroad was engaged in general transportation of freight, where evidence before Commission was not introduced in court. *Id.*
3. *Unauthorized Extensions.* Right of another carrier to enjoin under Transportation Act without prior determination by Commission. *Tex. & Pac. Ry. Co. v. Gulf Ry. Co.*..... 266
4. *Id.* Function of Commission in determining whether it is extension or industrial track, and right of carrier to invoke decision, without waiver, and of other interested parties to appear and resist. *Id.*
5. *Id.* Distinctions between extensions and spur or industrial trackage. *Id.*
6. *Id. Laches*, in applying for injunction. *Id.*
7. *Dismissal of Complaint*, revokes order dependent on it. *Minneapolis R. R. Co. v. Peoria Ry. Co.*..... 580
8. *Reopening of Case Dismissed*, does not revive former orders. *Id.*
9. *Modification of Order.* Formal action of Commission required; opinion of individual Commissioner immaterial. *Id.*

**INTERVENTION.** See **Materialmen's Act**, 4.

Page.

**JOINDER.** See **Equity**, 3.

**JUDGMENTS.** See **Claims**, 10; **Interstate Commerce Acts**, II; **Jurisdiction**, II, (2), 2; II, (4), 1-2; III, 3; IV, 18, 20.

1. *Estoppel*. Effect between adverse parties independent of arrangement on record or which was *actor*. *C. R. I. & P. Ry. Co. v. Schendel*. . . . . 611
2. *Id. Pending Suit*, barred by judgment recovered in suit begun later in other jurisdiction. *Id.*
3. *Id. Representation*. Judgment against trustee binds beneficiaries. *Id.*
4. *Id. Identity of Parties*, how tested. *Id.*
5. *Finality of Judgment*. *Id.*

**JUDICIAL SALE.** See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 24.

**JURISDICTION:**

- I. Generally, p. 685.
- II. Jurisdiction of this Court:
  - (1) Original, p. 686.
  - (2) Over Circuit Court of Appeals, p. 686.
  - (3) Over District Court, p. 686.
  - (4) Over Court of Claims, p. 687.
- III. Jurisdiction of Circuit Court of Appeals, p. 687.
- IV. Jurisdiction of District Court, p. 687.
- V. Jurisdiction of Court of Claims, p. 689.
- VI. Jurisdiction of Courts of District of Columbia, p. 689.
  - Admiralty Jurisdiction. See IV, 1-3.
  - Ancillary Jurisdiction. See IV, 24.
  - Certiorari. See II, (4), 1.
  - Diverse Citizenship. See IV, 9-11.
  - Equity Jurisdiction. See IV, 13.
  - Federal and Local Questions. See I, 1-2, 10; IV, 9, 12, 16.
  - Finality for Purposes of Review. See II, (2), 2; II, (4), 2; III, 3; V.
  - Jurisdiction or Merits. See I, 4; IV, 25.
  - Removal. See II, (1), 1; IV, 6, 11-12.

**I. Generally.**

1. *Local Question*. Construction by state court of state constitution as to uniformity of taxation, binding. *Tank Car Corp. v. Day*. . . . . 367

## I. Generally.—Continued.

Page.

2. *Id.* Exposition of state statutes in cases removed to District Courts. *Risty v. Ry Co.*..... 378
3. *Federal Equity Jurisdiction*, tested by inadequacy of legal remedy in law side of federal court and not inadequacy of remedy afforded by state courts. *Id.*
4. *Jurisdiction or Merits.* Dismissal of bill because claim (not frivolous) set up under federal statute is unsound, is not dismissal for want of jurisdiction. *Moore v. Cotton Exch.*.. 593
5. *Injunction.* Preliminary merged in final decree and appeal from former dismissed. *Smith v. Tel. Co.*..... 587
6. "Case," meaning of within Const. Art. III, §2. *Tutun v. U. S.*..... 568
7. *Naturalization Proceeding*, a case. *Id.*
8. *Treaty*, with Indians, can not be annulled on ground that Indians' representatives were prevented from exercising free judgment in negotiations. *U. S. v. Minnesota*..... 181
9. *Swamp Land Acts.* Duty of State to apply proceeds to reclamation not enforceable by courts. *Id.*
10. *Navigability*, of waters claimed by States in virtue of sovereign equality, a federal question. *U. S. v. Holt Bank.*.. 49

## II. Jurisdiction of this Court.

(1) *Original.*

1. *Mandamus*, to test legality of removal of state criminal prosecution to District Court. *Maryland v. Soper (No. 1).* 9  
*Maryland v. Soper (No. 2)*..... 36
2. *Parties; Suit by United States*, as guardian of Indians, maintainable against State without joining Indians. *U. S. v. Minnesota*..... 181

(2) *Over Circuit Court of Appeals.*

1. *War Risk Insurance* cases, pending in Supreme Court on appeal, not affected by Act of 1925 giving appellate jurisdiction as to such suits "pending," to Circuit Court of Appeals. *White v. U. S.*..... 175
2. *Final Decree.* Where court affirms interlocutory orders granting and denying injunctions, with directions to dismiss bill and make injunction permanent on counterclaim. *Moore v. Cotton Exch.*..... 593

(3) *Over District Court.*

1. *Jurisdictional Appeal*, from decree dismissing ancillary petition for want of ancillary jurisdiction. Equity of petition



II. Jurisdiction of this Court—Continued.

	Page.
and question of laches and acquiescence not open. <i>Cincinnati R. R. Co. v. Indianapolis Ry. Co.</i> .....	107
2. <i>War Risk Insurance</i> cases, pending in Supreme Court on appeal, not affected by Act of 1925 giving appellate jurisdiction to such suits "pending," to Circuit Court of Appeals. <i>White v. U. S.</i> .....	175
(4) <i>Over Court of Claims.</i> See <b>Claims.</b>	
1. <i>Act of Feb. 13, 1925.</i> <i>Certiorari</i> only method of reviewing judgment becoming final after Act took effect. <i>Sou. Pac. Co. v. U. S.</i> .....	103
2. <i>Id.</i> <i>Finality.</i> Judgment entered before but suspended by motion for new trial until after effective date of Act. <i>Id.</i>	
3. <i>Findings</i> , as to authority of Army officer to make contract, when conclusive. <i>U. S. v. Swift &amp; Co.</i> .....	124
4. <i>Findings</i> , conclusive on this court as to contents of record of military tribunal affecting claimant's status and pay as officer. <i>Rogers v. U. S.</i> .....	154
5. <i>Limitation</i> of 90 days for appeal, runs from denial of motion for new trial, and not suspended by renewal of motion. <i>Morse v. U. S.</i> .....	151

III. Jurisdiction of Circuit Court of Appeals.

1. <i>Law Case Without Jury.</i> Scope of review in absence of exceptions and special findings. <i>Fleischmann Co. v. U. S.</i> .....	349
2. <i>Id.</i> Rulings on pleadings, reviewable. <i>Id.</i>	
3. <i>Naturalization.</i> Order denying is final judgment reviewable by Circuit Court of Appeals. <i>Tutun v. U. S.</i> .....	568
4. " <i>Suits Pending.</i> " Act transferring appellate jurisdiction to Circuit Court of Appeals, not construed as embracing appeals pending in this Court. <i>White v. U. S.</i> .....	175

IV. Jurisdiction of District Court.

1. <i>Admiralty.</i> Allegations of libel do not conclusively determine jurisdiction. <i>Armour &amp; Co. v. S. S. Co.</i> .....	253
2. <i>Id.</i> Extends to non-maritime contracts injected as defense. <i>Id.</i>	
3. <i>Id.</i> <i>Damages for Death.</i> Remedy of state workmen's compensation law exclusive in case of local concern. <i>Millers' Underwriters v. Braud.</i> .....	59

IV. Jurisdiction of District Court—Continued.	Page.
4. <i>Confiscatory Rates</i> . Right to enjoin not defeated by indefinite delay of public service commission in affording relief, or by technicalities of its procedure. <i>Smith v. Tel. Co.</i> .....	587
5. <i>Indian Allotments</i> . No jurisdiction to determine heirs of an allotment made, as distinguished from suit claiming original allotment. <i>First Moon v. White Tail</i> .....	243
6. <i>Removal of Criminal Prosecutions</i> from State court, under Jud. Code, § 33. <i>Maryland v. Soper</i> , (No. 1)..... <i>Maryland v. Soper</i> , (No. 2).....	9 36
7. <i>Id.</i> Prohibition Officers, when entitled to. <i>Id.</i>	
8. <i>Id.</i> <i>Pleadings.</i> <i>Id.</i>	
9. <i>Venue</i> , Jud. Code, § 51. Suit dependent on diverse citizenship or federal question, to be dismissed on motion of non-resident defendant. <i>Seaboard Co. v. C. R. I. &amp; Pac. Ry.</i> ....	363
10. <i>Id.</i> <i>Corporation</i> of another state a non-resident, though in local business. <i>Id.</i>	
11. <i>Id.</i> <i>Removal</i> . Jud. Code, § 28, allowing removal of suits of which District Courts "are given original jurisdiction" relates to general jurisdiction of those courts and not to local jurisdiction over defendants person dealt with in § 51. <i>Id.</i>	
12. <i>Local Question; Removal</i> . Exposition of state statutes in removal cases. <i>Risty v. Ry. Co.</i> .....	378
13. <i>Equity Jurisdiction</i> , extent of, and how tested, in suit to enjoin state drainage assessment. <i>Id.</i>	
14. <i>Inadequacy of Legal Remedy.</i> <i>Id.</i>	
15. <i>Jurisdictional Amount</i> , held involved in suits to enjoin drainage assessments. <i>Id.</i>	
16. <i>Federal Question</i> , must be substantial to sustain jurisdiction. <i>Id.</i>	
17. <i>Trial Without Jury</i> , at law, necessity for exceptions or special findings. <i>Fleischmann Co. v. U. S.</i> .....	349
18. <i>Id.</i> Reviewability of judgment. <i>Id.</i>	
19. <i>Id.</i> <i>Amendments</i> , of petitions. <i>Id.</i>	
20. <i>Entry of Judgment</i> , valid at term following that at which case heard and taken under advisement. <i>Id.</i>	
21. <i>Transportation Act</i> . Injunction against unauthorized railway extension without awaiting determination by Interstate Commerce Commission. <i>Tex. &amp; Pac. Ry. Co. v. Gulf Ry. Co.</i> .....	266



IV. Jurisdiction of District Court—Continued.

Page.

22. *Unfair Competition*. Application to Federal Trade Commission condition precedent to injunction suit. *Moore v. Cotton Exch* ..... 593
23. *Order of Interstate Commerce Commission*. Jurisdiction to enforce depends on situation when suit brought and not conferred by subsequent events. *Minneapolis R. R. Co. v. Peoria Ry. Co.*..... 580
24. *Ancillary Jurisdiction*, to afford relief to purchaser in foreclosure proceedings, at foot of decree on ground of mistake in exercising option with regard to rejection of leases affecting property purchased. *Cincinnati R. R. Co. v. Indianapolis Ry. Co.*..... 107
25. *Id. Laches*. Delay of two years not ground for dismissing petition for want of jurisdiction. *Id.*

V. Jurisdiction of Court of Claims.

1. *New Trial*, renewed motion for after denial requires leave of court. *Morse v. U. S.*..... 151
2. *Id. Time for Appeal*, runs from denial of motion. *Id.*

VI. Jurisdiction of Courts of District of Columbia.

*Mandamus*, to Board of Tax Appeals to require admission of attorney. *Goldsmith v. Bd. of Tax App.*..... 117

**LACHES.** See Jurisdiction, II, (3), 1.

1. *Confirmed Grant*. Laches in asserting title to against adverse claimants. *Sanchez v. Deering*..... 227
2. *Suit to Enjoin* unauthorized railway extension. *Tex. & Pac. Ry. Co. v. Gulf Ry. Co.*..... 266

**LAND GRANT RATES.** See Claims, 1.

**LEASE.** See Jurisdiction, IV, 24.

**LIMITATIONS.** See Bankruptcy, 2; Corporations, 2; Interstate Commerce Acts, I, 1; Jurisdiction, II, (4), 5; Materialmen's Act, 4; Public Lands, 6; Substitution.

**MANDAMUS:**

1. *Original Petition*, in Supreme Court, by State, to test legality of removal of state criminal prosecution to District Court. *Maryland v. Soper, (No. 1)*..... 9
- Maryland v. Soper, (No. 2)*..... 36



**MANDAMUS**—Continued.

Page

2. *To Board of Tax Appeals*, to give hearing to rejected attorney, but not to compel Board summarily to admit him to practice. *Goldsmith v. Bd. of Tax App.*..... 117

**MATERIALMEN'S ACT:**

1. *Allegations*, in declaration and intervening petition, that contract was "completed and final settlement had" at date specified, *held* allegations of fact. *Fleischmann Co. v. U.S.*.. 349
2. *Liberal Construction*, of Act. *Id.*
3. *Amendments of Pleadings*, allowable after year from final settlement and relate back. *Id.*
4. *Limitations; Intervening Claimants*, like original use plaintiffs, have one year from completion of "work," i. e., from "performance and final settlement of contract." *Id.*

**MICHIGAN:**

- See *Michigan v. Wisconsin*..... 295

**MISTAKE.** See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 24.**MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS.** See **Constitutional Law**, IX, 9.**MURDER.** See **Criminal Law**, 3.**NATURALIZATION.** See **Jurisdiction**, I, 7; III, 3.**NEWS:**

- Vending of*, See *Moore v. Cotton Exch.*..... 593

**NEW TRIAL.** See **Jurisdiction**, II, (4), 2, 5; V, 1.**NON-RESIDENTS.** See **Jurisdiction**.

- Taxation of*, See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 2.

**NOTICE.** See **Taxation**, I, 6.**OFFER.** See **Contracts**, 2.**OFFICERS.** See **Army; Claims**.**OPINIONS.** See **Procedure**, 6.**PARTIES.** See **Interstate Commerce Acts**, II, 4; **Judgments**, 1, 4.

1. *Parties in Interest.* United States real party in interest in original suit against State on behalf of Indians. *U. S. v. Minnesota* ..... 181

**PARTIES**—Continued.

	Page.
2. <i>Representation</i> . Telephone subscribers represented by state commission in suit by company to enjoin confiscatory rates. <i>Smith v. Tel. Co.</i> .....	587

**PATENTS FOR INVENTIONS:**

<i>Patent Application</i> , effect of as publication or "reduction to practice" of invention disclosed but not claimed, preventing later applicant from being "first inventor." <i>Milburn Co. v. Davis Co.</i> .....	390
---	-----

**PAYMENT.** See **Federal Control Act**, 6.

*Application of*, See **Claims**, 4.

**PENDING SUIT.** See **Judgments**, 2; **Jurisdiction**, II, (2).

**PLEADING.** See **Equity**, 3; **Fraud**; **Materialmen's Act**, 1, 3; **Jurisdiction**.

<i>Removal of Criminal Prosecution</i> , averments of petition. <i>Maryland v. Soper</i> , (No. 1).....	9
---	---

**PRESUMPTIONS.** See **Constitutional Law**, IX, 13.

**PRIVATE LAND CLAIMS:**

1. <i>Spanish Grant</i> —Passing of title on confirmation and survey. <i>Sanchez v. Deering</i> .....	227
2. <i>Laches</i> , in asserting title against adverse claimants. <i>Id.</i>	

**PROCEDURE OF THIS COURT.** See **Jurisdiction**.

For other matters related to Procedure, see: **Admiralty**; **Army**; **Bankruptcy**; **Boundaries**; **Claims**; **Corporations**; **Criminal Law**; **Employers' Liability Act**; **Equity**; **Exceptions**; **Federal Control Act**; **Injunction**; **Interstate Commerce Acts**; **Judgments**; **Laches**; **Limitations**; **Mandamus**; **Materialmen's Act**; **Parties**; **Pleading**; **Substitution**; **Taxation**; **Trade Commission Act**.

1. <i>Boundary Determination</i> . See <i>Michigan v. Wisconsin</i> ...	295
2. <i>Id.</i> <i>Costs</i> , divided. <i>Id.</i>	
3. <i>Abstract Questions</i> , made so by findings below, not considered. <i>Towar Mills v. U. S.</i> .....	375
4. <i>Rehearing</i> . No examination of points not previously raised. <i>Wireless Co. v. Radio Corp.</i> .....	84
5. <i>Scope of Review</i> . <i>Concurrent Findings</i> of fact by District Court and Circuit Court of Appeals. <i>Risty v. Ry. Co.</i>	378
6. <i>Opinions</i> , to be read with regard to facts of case and questions actually decided. <i>Weaver v. Palmer Co.</i> .....	402

**PROHIBITION:**

	Page.
Removal of state prosecutions against federal prohibition officers. <i>Maryland v. Soper</i> (No. 1).....	9
<i>Maryland v. Soper</i> (No. 2).....	36

**PROTEST.** See **Taxation**, I, 3.

**PUBLIC CONTRACTS.** See **Materialmen's Act**, 1.

**PUBLIC LANDS.** See **Private Land Claims**; **Indians**.

1. *Indian Reservation.* Withdraws land from subsequent disposition as public. *U. S. v. Minnesota*..... 181
2. *Swamp Land Acts.* Construction and effect of as applied to lands in Minnesota embraced in Indian reservations created before and after admission of State. *Id.*
3. *Id.* Methods of selection under. *Id.*
4. *Id.* Duty of State to apply proceeds in reclamation, not enforceable by courts. *Id.*
5. *Id.* Minnesota Constitution, directing sale, and devotion of proceeds to education, did not disable State from reclaiming or evince such purpose. *Id.*
6. *Limitations.* Six year limit on suits to annul patents, and state statutes of limitations, inapplicable to suit by United States to annul patents in behalf of Indians. *Id.*
7. *Construction of Land Laws.* Effect of executive practice. *Id.*

**PUBLIC WORKS.** See **Materialmen's Act**.

**PURCHASERS.** See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 24.

**QUARANTINE.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 1.

**QUARTERMASTER.** See **Contracts**, 1.

**RAILROADS.** See references under **Carriers**.

**RATES.** See **Claims**, 1; **Const. Law**, IX, 10; **Interstate Commerce Acts**.

**RATIFICATION.** See **Claims**, 5.

**REHEARING.** See **Procedure**, 4.

**RELEASE.** See **Admiralty**, 6; **Fraud**.

**REMOVAL.** See **Criminal Law**, 3-4; **Jurisdiction**, IV, 11-12; **Mandamus**, 1.



**RESIDENTS.** See Constitutional Law, VII, 2. Page.

**RES JUDICATA.** See Judgments.

**REVENUE OFFICERS:**

<i>Removal of State prosecutions to District Court, Maryland v. Soper, (No. 1)</i> .....	9
<i>Maryland v. Soper, (No. 2)</i> .....	36

**RULES.** See Equity, 3.

**SALES.** See Anti-Trust Acts; Constitutional Law, VII, 3; Contracts; Jurisdiction, IV, 23.

**SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE.** See Constitutional Law, VII, 1.

**SECRETARY OF INTERIOR.** See Indians, 10, 14.

**SECRETARY OF WAR.** See Claims, 2.

**SEIZURE.** See Admiralty, 4.

**SOUTH DAKOTA.** See Taxation, II, 9.

**STARE DECISIS.** See Procedure, 6.

**STATES.** See Boundaries; Constitutional Law; Jurisdiction, I, 8-9.

<i>Removal of state prosecutions against federal prohibition officers. Maryland v. Soper, (No. 1)</i> .....	9
<i>Maryland v. Soper, (No. 2)</i> .....	36

**STATUTES:**

Consult titles indicative of subject matter, and table at beginning of volume.

1. "*Suits Pending*." Act transferring appellate jurisdiction to Circuit Court of Appeals not construed as embracing appeals pending in this Court. *White v. U. S.*..... 175
2. *Casus Omissus*. Not supplied by inference where statute clear and particular. *Iselin v. U. S.*..... 245
3. *Administrative Practice, and Legislative Interpretation* by reenactment. *Id.*

**STOCK.** See Bankruptcy; Corporations; Taxation, II, 4.

**STOCK EXCHANGE.** See Anti-Trust Acts; Constitutional Law, VII, 3.

**SUBSTITUTION:**

Page.

Substitution of Federal Agent for carrier began new suit and time limit in bill of lading not suspended by prior pendency of suit against carrier. *Mellon v. Weiss*..... 565

**SUITS IN ADMIRALTY ACT.** See Admiralty, 3.

**SURETY.** See Admiralty, 5; Materialmen's Act.

**SURVEY.** See Private Land Claims.

**TAXATION:****I. Federal Taxation.**

1. *Interest*, on tax refunds, when recoverable separately in Court of Claims. *Girard Trust Co. v. U. S.*..... 163
2. *Id.* Under Rev. Act, 1921. Meaning of "date of allowance," to which interest runs. *Id.*
3. *Id.* *Protest*, preceding payment of tax, must have been valid, to date interest from time of payment rather than six months later. *Id.*
4. *Id.* *Discount*, deducted in anticipatory payment of tax, not included in amount and interest refunded. *Id.*
5. *Board of Tax Appeals.* Power to regulate admission of attorneys, under Rev. Act, 1924. *Goldsmith v. Bd. of Tax App*..... 117
6. *Id.* *Notice* requisite to rejection of applicant on charges. *Id.*
7. *Opera Tickets.* Tax on under Rev. Act, 1918, inapplicable to stockholders' tickets. *Iselin v. U. S.*..... 245

**II. State Taxation.**

1. *Apportionment* among political divisions, determinable by state legislature. *Tank Car Corp. v. Day*..... 367
2. *Property Tax; Non-Residents.* Special tax on rolling stock of non-resident corporations, not violative of commerce or equal protection clauses, when in lieu of other taxes, and not discriminatory. *Id.*
3. *Uniformity.* Construction of state constitution. *Id.*
4. *Transfer Tax*, on inheritance by non-resident of shares of foreign corporation doing business and owning property, locally, but not locally domesticated, is beyond power of State. *R. I. Trust Co. v. Doughton*..... 69

II. **State Taxation**—Continued.

	Page.
5. <i>Inheritance Tax</i> . Conclusive statutory presumption that gifts within six years of death were in contemplation of it, is unconstitutional. <i>Schlesinger v. Wisconsin</i> .....	230
6. <i>Inheritance of Indian Allotment</i> , not taxable by State. <i>Childers v. Beaver</i> .....	555
7. <i>Drainage Assessments</i> . Addition, through court proceedings, of new land, to drainage district, without allowing owners right to decide by vote as allowed those owning lands of original district, does not deny equal protection. <i>Cole v. Drainage Dist</i> .....	45
8. <i>Id. Estoppel to Question</i> , unlawful extension of, does not arise from earlier participation in lawful proceedings. <i>Risty v. Ry. Co.</i> .....	378
9. <i>Id. So. Dak. Statutes</i> . Cost of reconstructing or maintaining existing works not assessable on lands outside project as originally established. <i>Id.</i>	
10. <i>Illegal Drainage Assessments</i> , enjoining of in federal court, when legal remedy exhausted or inadequate. <i>Id.</i>	

**TERM.** See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 20.

**TRADE COMMISSION ACT:**

*Unfair Competition*. Application to Commission condition precedent to relief by injunction. *Moore v. Cotton Exch.*... 593

**TRANSFERS.** See **Taxation**, II, 4.

**TRANSPORTATION ACT.** See **Interstate Commerce Acts**, I, 1; II, 3.

**TREATIES.** See **Indians**.

1. *Scope of Treaty Power*, as respects divestiture of property rights. See *U. S. v. Minnesota*..... 181
2. *Power to Seize* and confiscate enemy ships at outbreak of war, in absence of treaty or convention. *Littlejohn v. U. S.* 215

**TRIAL.** See **Exceptions**, 2.

**TRUSTEE.** See **Bankruptcy**, 1; **Judgments**, 3.

**UNFAIR COMPETITION.** See **Trade Commission Act**.

**UNITED STATES.** See **Admiralty**, 3-4; **Claims**; **Contracts**; **Criminal Law**, 1-2; **Jurisdiction**, II, 2.

**UNSEAWORTHINESS.** See **Admiralty**, 5.



**VENUE.** See Jurisdiction, IV, 9. Page.

**WAIVER.** See Federal Control Act, 6; Interstate Commerce Acts, II, 4.

**WAR RISK INSURANCE:**

1. *Suits to Enforce*, appellate jurisdiction in. *White v. U. S.* 175
2. *Regulations*. Power of Director to adopt, incorporating in certificates any future amendment of Act. *Id.*
3. *Beneficiary*. No vested right of one named in certificate, as against right of soldier to substitute by his will another made eligible only by statute subsequent to his death. *Id.*

**WAR SAVINGS CERTIFICATES.** See Claims, 11.

**WATERS:**

1. *Navigable Lake*. Title to bottom passed to State though included in reservation unless previous disposition by United States plainly appears. *U. S. v. Holt Bank*..... 49
2. *Navigability*—test of stated. *Id.*
3. *Id.* Uniform federal rule governs. *Id.*

**WILLS.** See War Risk Insurance, 3.

**WISCONSIN:**

See *Michigan v. Wisconsin*..... 295

**WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION LAWS.** See Admiralty, 2.

1. *Judgment*, effect of as determining intrastate character of deceased workman's employment, when pleaded in action under Federal Employer's Liability Act. *C. R. I. & P. Ry. Co. v. Schendel*..... 611
2. *Iowa Act*. Decision of Deputy Industrial Commissioner not final. *Id.*

**WRITINGS.** See Contracts, 3-4.























