

# INDEX.

- Page.
- ABANDONMENT.** See Carriers, 6; Indians, 1; Interstate Commerce Acts, I.
- ACCRETION.** See Boundaries, 3.
- ADEQUATE LEGAL REMEDY.** See Equity, 1, 2.
- ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS.** See Carriers, 8, 9; Equity, 2; Food and Drugs, 4-6; Interstate Commerce Acts, IV; Jurisdiction, II, 3-6; VIII; Public Lands, 1-4; Statutes, 3; Trade Marks, 2, 3; Waters, 2.
- ADMIRALTY:**  
*Sovereign Immunity.* When defense of, does not present jurisdictional question reviewable on direct appeal. *Transportes Maritimos v. Almeida*..... 104
- ADULTERATED BUTTER.** See Food and Drugs, 3-6.
- ALIENS:**  
Right to engage in business of pawnbroking; Japan treaty, 1911. See Constitutional Law, II, 3.  
1. *Immigration Laws; Construction.* Act of 1917, § 3, and Quota Law of 1921, § 2(d), are both operative; construed as acts *in pari materia*. *Commissioner of Immigration v. Gottlieb*..... 310  
2. *Exclusion of Asiatics.* *Exception*, of persons of specified occupations, from provision excluding natives of designated part of Asia, construed. *Id.*  
3. *Quota Law, § 2(d).* Wife and child of minister not admitted when quota allowed their nationality is exhausted. *Id.*
- AMENDMENT.** See Criminal Law, 6; Habeas Corpus, 5.
- ANTI-TRUST ACT:**  
*Strike. Interference with Manufacture by*, does not violate act, though fulfillment of existing interstate contracts for sale of products prevented. *United Leather Workers v. Herkert*. 457

	Page.
<b>APPEAL AND ERROR.</b> See <b>Habeas Corpus; Jurisdiction; Procedure; Trade Marks, 2, 3.</b>	
<b>ARMY.</b>	
Selective Draft Act; conspiracy to defeat. See <b>Criminal Law, 8-10.</b>	
<i>Officers; Pay; Act Apr. 26, 1898.</i> Service with troops operating against enemy; instruction camps. <i>United States v. Ferris</i> .....	165
<b>ARREST.</b> See <b>Habeas Corpus, 4, 5.</b>	
<b>ASSOCIATIONS.</b> See <b>Taxation, 9-12.</b>	
<b>ATTACHMENT.</b> See <b>Carriers, 10-12.</b>	
<b>BANKRUPTCY ACT:</b>	
1. <i>Composition; Proof of Claims; Limitations.</i> Right of creditor whose claim was included in schedules, to share in composition, where claim not proved within year after adjudication. <i>Nassau Smelting Works v. Brightwood Foundry Co.</i> .....	269
2. <i>Judgment Lien; How Avoided under § 67f.</i> Party attacking must plead and prove judgment was within four months before filing of petition, and that judgment debtor was insolvent when lien obtained. <i>Liberty Natl. Bank v. Bear</i> .....	365
3. <i>Partners.</i> Are they adjudged bankrupt by adjudication of bankruptcy of partnership? <i>Id.</i>	
4. <i>Id. Presumption of Insolvency.</i> Bankruptcy of partnership raises no presumption partners were insolvent for any period before petition filed. <i>Id.</i>	
5. <i>Id.</i> No presumption of their insolvency at time before petition filed against firm, from failure of trustee to realize enough to pay debts. <i>Id.</i>	
6. <i>Preference; § 60b; Defrauded Creditors.</i> Repayments under loan contracts secured with knowledge of insolvency, held illegal preferences; lenders held not to have rescinded contracts for fraud. <i>Cunningham v. Brown</i> .....	1
7. <i>Id. Knowledge of Insolvency,—facts held to sustain finding of. Id.</i>	

**BANKRUPTCY ACT**—Continued.

Page.

8. *Id.* *Commingleing of Funds. Resulting Trust or Lien*,—cannot be claimed by lender who rescinded contract and secured repayment out of bank account in which his and other like loans were deposited by bankrupt. *Id.*
9. *Id.* *Order of Payment*, of defrauded parties, from common fund; rules stated and *held* inapplicable. *Id.*
10. *Id.* *Minors*, not exempt from defeat of unlawful preference. *Id.*

**BANKS AND BANKING:**

1. *National Banks; Federal Reserve Act. Right to Act as Executor*, if competing trust companies have that power under state law. *Burnes Natl. Bank v. Duncan*..... 17
2. *Id.* *Power of Congress*, to grant such accessory functions to national banks, to sustain them in competition of banking business, cannot be controlled by state laws. *Id.*
3. *Id.* Authority given by Reserve Act is independent of regulations of State to secure trust funds in hands of its trust companies. *Id.*

**BILL OF LADING.** See **Carriers**, 5; **Interstate Commerce Acts**, III, 3.

**BONDS:**

Premiums; allowance as costs. See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 11.

**BOUNDARIES.** See **Public Lands**, 5.

1. *Interstate Line.* Practical construction of decree properly adopted by commissioners locating boundary. *Oklahoma v. Texas*..... 493
2. *Id.* *Objections to Location*, must be founded on present and certain interest of objector. *Id.*
3. *Id.* *Accretion.* River-bank boundary follows natural accretion to bank in which artificial structure was minor factor. *Id.*

**BURDEN OF PROOF.** See **Bankruptcy Act**, 2

**BUTTER.** See **Food and Drugs**, 3-6.

**CAPITAL STOCK.** See **Taxation**, 12.

**CAREY ACT.** See **Waters**, 2-4.

	Page.
<b>CARRIERS.</b> See <b>Interstate Commerce Acts; Safety Appliance Act.</b>	
1. <i>Federal Control Act; Actions.</i> Director General, suable only with reference to particular system or carrier out of whose operations liability arose. <i>Davis v. Donovan</i> .....	257
2. <i>Id. Negligence.</i> Action against Director General for negligence in operation of one carrier cannot be maintained by proof of negligence in operation of another, both under his control. <i>Id.</i>	
3. <i>Id. Limitations.</i> State statute inapplicable to action by Director General for damage to railroad wharf under federal control. <i>Davis v. Corona Coal Co.</i> .....	219
4. <i>Id. Guaranty of Operating Income.</i> Company leasing refrigerator cars to railroads, not a carrier within Transportation Act, § 209. <i>Chicago Refrigerator Co. v. Interstate Commerce Comm.</i> .....	292
5. <i>Land-Grant Rates.</i> Property purchased by Government f. o. b. place of shipment, shipped on government bills of lading, under contracts reserving right of inspection and rejection at destination. <i>Illinois Cent. R. R. v. United States</i> .....	209
6. <i>Steamboat Route; Abandonment.</i> When allowable under Michigan law and at common law. <i>Lucking v. Detroit Nav. Co.</i> .....	346
7. <i>Facilities for Removal of Freight,</i> may be required by State. <i>Norfolk &amp; Western Ry. v. Public Serv. Comm.</i> ....	70
8. <i>Id. Findings of State Commission.</i> Facts held to justify order requiring construction and maintenance of crossing for hauling freight across tracks. <i>Id.</i>	
9. <i>Id.</i> Order requiring shipper to supply gate to crossing while transporting goods across tracks does not violate constitutional rights of carrier. <i>Id.</i>	
10. <i>Attachment and Garnishment,</i> —rolling stock and traffic balances owing carrier not exempt from, because used and derived in interstate commerce. <i>Atchison, T. &amp; S. F. Ry. v. Wells</i> .....	101
11. <i>Id. Foreign Railroad Corporations. Suits Against,</i> in state courts by nonresidents upon actions arising elsewhere; state law authorizing, held invalid. <i>Id.</i>	
12. <i>Id. Enjoining Enforcement of Judgments,</i> obtained by garnishment and constructive service against foreign rail-	

- CARRIERS**—Continued. Page.  
road corporation and local railroad corporation, as garnishee,  
as interference with interstate commerce. *Id.*
- CERTIORARI.** See Jurisdiction, IV, 4, 16-18; Procedure, V;  
VI, 2.
- CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS.** See Jurisdiction, IV, 4, 5;  
Procedure, VI, 2.
- CITIES.** See Constitutional Law, II, 3; Intoxicating Liquors,  
2; Municipal Corporations.
- CITIZENS.** See Taxation, 1.
- CLAIMS.** See Bankruptcy Act; Jurisdiction, IV, 12; VI.
- COMITY.** See Jurisdiction, II, 6.
- COMMERCE.** See Anti-Trust Act; Constitutional Law, III;  
Interstate Commerce; Interstate Commerce Acts.
- COMMISSIONER OF INTERNAL REVENUE.** See Food  
and Drugs, 4-6; Intoxicating Liquors, 3, 4.
- COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS.** See Trade Marks, 2, 3.
- COMMON LAW.** See Carriers, 6.  
Trusts. See Taxation, 9-11.
- COMPENSATION.** See Army; Eminent Domain.  
Workmen's Compensation Laws. See Constitutional Law,  
X, 12.
- COMPOSITION.** See Bankruptcy Act, 1.
- CONDEMNATION.** See Eminent Domain.
- CONGRESS:**  
Statutes cited. See table at front of volume.  
Powers. See Constitutional Law.  
Legislative construction. See Statutes, 2.
- CONSPIRACY.** See Anti-Trust Act; Criminal Law, 8-10.
- CONSTITUTIONAL LAW:**  
I. Judiciary, p. 606.  
II. Treaty Power, p. 606.

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW**—Continued.

Page.

- III. Commerce Clause, p. 606.
- IV. Full Faith and Credit, p. 607.
- V. National Banks, p. 607.
- VI. Fourth Amendment, p. 607.
- VII. Fifth Amendment, p. 607.
- VIII. Sixth Amendment, p. 608.
- IX. Tenth Amendment, p. 608.
- X. Fourteenth Amendment:
  - (1) Notice and Hearing, p. 608.
  - (2) Liberty and Property; Police Power; Taxation, p. 608.
  - (3) Equal Protection of the Laws, p. 609.
- XI. Sixteenth Amendment, p. 609.
- XII. Eighteenth Amendment, p. 609.
  - See **Jurisdiction; Treaties**.
  - Confiscatory state rates; injunction. See **Equity**, 1;
  - Jurisdiction**, II, 3-6.
  - Retroactive laws. See **Taxation**, 13.
  - Minnesota constitution; power of municipality to grant franchise and regulate rates. See **Municipal Corporations**, 3.

**I. Judiciary.**

*Constitutional Question; Erroneous Decision.* There being power to decide, judgment, if erroneous, is not therefore void. *Goto v. Lane*..... 393

**II. Treaty Power.**

1. *Subject Matter*, extends to all proper subjects of negotiation between our Government and foreign nations, including that of promoting friendly relations by establishing rules of equality between foreign subjects while here and native citizens. *Asakura v. Seattle*..... 332
2. *Treaty Guarantee of Equal Treatment; Effect of.* Self-operative and superior to state laws. *Id.*
3. *Right to Carry on Trade*, guarantee of, in treaty with Japan, 1911, includes right to engage in pawnbroking. *Id.*

**III. Commerce Clause.**

1. *Pipe Lines.* Piping of gas from one State to another and sale to distributing companies who sell locally to consumers, free from state interference. *Missouri v. Kansas Gas Co.*... 298

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW**—Continued.

Page.

- 2. *Id. Rates.* State may not fix rates in such business, even in absence of congressional regulation. *Id.*
- 3. *Foreign Railroad Corporations; Suits Against,* in state courts by nonresident, upon action which arises elsewhere; state law authorizing, *held* invalid. *Atchison, T. & S. F. Ry. v. Wells*..... 101
- 4. *Id. Garnishment.* Enjoining enforcement of judgments against foreign railroad corporation and local railroad corporation, as garnishee, as interference with interstate commerce. *Id.*

**IV. Full Faith and Credit.**

*Proceedings of Federal Courts,* must be accorded full faith and credit by state courts. *Knights of Pythias v. Meyer*.. 30

**V. National Banks.**

*Right to Act as Executor; Federal Reserve Act.* Power of Congress to authorize national bank to act as executor, if competing trust companies have that power under state law, not controlled by state laws. *Burnes Natl. Bank v. Duncan*. 17

**VI. Fourth Amendment.**

- 1. *Unlawful Search.* In prosecution for concealing spirits, admission of testimony of officers as to finding whiskey near defendant's house and as to occurrences in vicinity, *held* not violative of Fourth or Fifth Amendments, though witnesses held no warrant and were trespassers. *Hester v. United States*..... 57
- 2. *Id.* Protection accorded people in their persons, houses, papers, etc., does not extend to open fields. *Id.*

**VII. Fifth Amendment.**

Self-incrimination. See VI, *supra*.

- 1. *Indictment.* Stipulation that disjunctive allegations be read conjunctively, not an amendment of indictment. *Goto v. Lane*..... 393
- 2. *Due Process. Supplemental Prohibition Act,* preventing dealers from disposing of intoxicating malt liquors acquired before it was passed, sustained. *Everard's Breweries v. Day*. 545
- 3. *Id. Car Distribution; Interstate Commerce Commission.* Rule fixing number of cars distributable to coal mines in

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW**—Continued.

Page.

proportion to daily capacity of each to produce, *held* not arbitrary as applied to mines served by more than one carrier. *United States v. New River Co.*..... 533

**VIII. Sixth Amendment.**

Indictment. See VII, 1, *supra*.

*Trial; Venue.* Accused not triable in one district under indictment showing offense committed in another; no authority for removal to district other than that in which trial may constitutionally be had. *Salinger v. Loisel*..... 224

**IX. Tenth Amendment.**

*Supplemental Prohibition Act*, forbidding prescribing of intoxicating malt liquors as medicine, consistent with reserved rights of States. *Everard's Breweries v. Day*..... 545

**X. Fourteenth Amendment.**

(1) *Notice and Hearing.*

1. *Tax Assessment.* Notice and hearing, before making special assessment, unnecessary when land owner has and accepts opportunity to determine all questions as to validity, etc., by suit in state court. *Hetrick v. Lindsey*..... 384

(2) *Liberty and Property; Police Power; Taxation.*

2. *Intoxicating Liquors. Prescriptions*,—city ordinance regulating, does not infringe rights of pharmacists granted by Eighteenth Amendment or Prohibition Act and protected by Fourteenth Amendment. *Hixon v. Oakes*..... 254

3. *Railroads. Facilities*, for removal of freight, may be required by State. *Norfolk & Western Ry. v. Public Serv. Comm.*..... 70

4. *Id.* Order requiring construction and maintenance of crossing for hauling freight across tracks, does not violate rights of railroad by requiring shipper to supply gate and watchman while transporting his goods across tracks. *Id.*

5. *Public Utility Rates. Duty of Courts* not to make rates but to uphold guaranties inhibiting taking under any guise of private property for public use without compensation. *Pacific Gas. Co. v. San Francisco*..... 403

6. *Id. Test of Adequacy.* Duty to consider real value of newly acquired patent rights and value of property made obsolescent thereby. *Id.*

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW**—Continued.

	Page.
7. <i>Id.</i> <i>Contract Rates</i> , binding upon utility even if rates become so unremunerative that, if imposed under police power, they would be confiscatory. <i>Opelika v. Opelika Sewer Co.</i> .....	215
8. <i>Id.</i> <i>Power of Municipality to Contract. State Decisions</i> , construing state constitution and city charter; when binding in suit for relief from rates on ground that they had become confiscatory. <i>Id.</i>	
9. <i>Id.</i> State may authorize municipality by contract to establish rates for reasonable term. <i>Public Serv. Co. v. St. Cloud.</i> .....	352
10. <i>Id.</i> <i>Effect of Contract.</i> Suspends power to regulate; and rates remain binding even if inadequate. <i>Id.</i>	
11. <i>Telegraph Lines. Privilege Tax</i> , based on mileage, held within power of State as applied to local corporation, and not repugnant to due process or equal protection clauses. <i>New York Tel Co. v. Dolan.</i> .....	96
12. <i>Workmen's Compensation Law</i> , requiring employers, upon death by accident of employee leaving no beneficiaries, to make payments to special funds out of which compensation may be made to employees of other employers, in cases in which they are not otherwise compensated or for their rehabilitation,—not repugnant to due process or equal protection clause. <i>Sheehan Co. v. Shuler.</i> .....	371
<i>New York State Rys. v. Shuler.</i> .....	379
(3) <i>Equal Protection of the Laws.</i> See X, 3, 4, 11, 12, <i>supra.</i>	

**XI. Sixteenth Amendment.**

1. <i>Construction.</i> When applying Amendment and Income Tax Laws, courts regard matters of substance and not of form. <i>Weiss v. Stearn.</i> .....	242
2. <i>Income Tax; Citizen Domiciled Abroad.</i> Congress may tax income from property situated abroad. <i>Cook v. Tait.</i> ..	47

**XII. Eighteenth Amendment.**

1. <i>Intoxicating Liquors. Prescriptions</i> ,—city ordinance regulating, held not to infringe rights of pharmacists granted by Eighteenth Amendment or Prohibition Act and protected by Fourteenth Amendment. <i>Hixon v. Oakes.</i> .....	254
--	-----

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW**—Continued.

Page.

2. *Id.* *Supplemental Prohibition Act*, in preventing physicians from prescribing intoxicating malt liquors for medicinal purposes, is constitutional. *Everard's Breweries v. Day*.... 545

3. *Id.* This is not an invasion of state power (Tenth Amendment), but supported by power of Congress to make laws "necessary and proper" (Art. I, § 8, cl. 18) and power given by Eighteenth Amendment to enforce by "appropriate legislation." *Id.*

**CONSTRUCTION.** See **Statutes; Treaties.**

**CONTRACTS.** See **Anti-Trust Act; Interstate Commerce Acts, II; III; Municipal Corporations; Waters, 1.**

Expropriation of. See **Eminent Domain.**

Rescission. See **Bankruptcy Act, 6-8.**

Land-grant rates. See **Carriers, 5.**

**CORPORATIONS.** See **Waters.**

Excise tax; domestic corporations. See **Taxation, 9-12.**

Income tax. See *id.*, 3-6.

Privilege tax. See **Constitutional Law, X, 11.**

Foreign corporations; suability in state courts. See *id.*, III, 3, 4.

**COSTS.** See **Jurisdiction, IV, 8-11.**

**COUNTERCLAIM.** See **Dismissal, 3.**

**COURT OF CLAIMS.** See **Jurisdiction, IV, 12; VI.**

**COURTS.** See **Constitutional Law; Criminal Law; Dismissal; Equity; Evidence; Habeas Corpus; Judgments; Jurisdiction; Parties; Pleading; Procedure; Receivers; Statutes; Treaties.**

Administrative decisions. See references under that title.

**CREDITORS.** See **Bankruptcy Act; Carriers, 10-12.**

**CRIMINAL LAW.**

Search and seizure; self-incrimination. See **Evidence, 1.**

Removal proceedings; successive applications for *habeas corpus*. See **Habeas Corpus, 4-10.**

1. *Venue.* *Sixth Amendment*, forbids trial in one district under indictment showing offense committed in another.

*Salinger v. Loisel*..... 224

**CRIMINAL LAW**—Continued.

- |  | Page. |
|--|-------|
| 2. <i>Id. Removal.</i> No authority for, to district other than that in which trial may constitutionally be had. <i>Id.</i>  |       |
| 3. <i>Id. Locus of Crime; Depositing Mail Matter; Crim. Code, § 215.</i> Fraudulent schemes; causing letter to be delivered by mail and mailing are separate offenses; person causing mailing may be prosecuted in district of delivery. <i>Id.</i>              |       |
| 4. <i>Id. Trial and Indictment; Jud. Code, § 53.</i> When district contains several divisions, trial must be in division where offense committed, unless accused consents otherwise; indictment may be returned in another division of same district. <i>Id.</i> |       |
| 5. <i>Removal; Delay. Mandate,</i> ordered to issue immediately, requiring accused to surrender to marshal in district of removal proceeding or district of indictment. <i>Id.</i>   |       |
| 6. <i>Indictment. Stipulation</i> that disjunctive allegations be read conjunctively, not an amendment. <i>Goto v. Lane</i> . . . .  | 393   |
| 7. <i>Id. Jurisdiction,</i> of territorial court of Hawaii, to pass upon construction and sufficiency of indictment, and on effect of stipulation concerning it. <i>Id.</i>  |       |
| 8. <i>Conspiracy to Defraud United States; Draft Act. Crim. Code, § 37,</i> does not embrace conspiracy to defeat draft by inducing refusal to register. <i>Hammerschmidt v. United States</i> . . . .   | 182   |
| 9. <i>Id. "Defraud" Defined</i> as cheating United States out of property or money or interfering with lawful governmental functions by deceit, etc. <i>Id.</i>  |       |
| 10. <i>Id.</i> Open defiance of governmental purpose to enforce law by urging those subject to it to disobey it, is not a "fraud." <i>Id.</i>  |       |
| 11. <i>Intoxicating Liquor; Indian Country.</i> Offense of possessing not repealed by Prohibition Act. <i>Kennedy v. United States</i> . . . .   | 344   |

**CUMMINS AMENDMENT.** See **Interstate Commerce Acts**, III, 2, 3.

**DAMAGES.** See **Eminent Domain**.

Limitation of liability; carrier and shipper. See **Interstate Commerce Acts**, III, 1-3.

**DEMURRER.** See **Pleading**.

- DIRECTOR GENERAL.** See **Carriers**, 1-3. Page.
- DISMISSAL.** See **Procedure**, VI, 4.
- Want of necessary parties. See **Parties**, 2.
1. *Right of Plaintiff to Dismiss*,—when absolute. *Ex parte Skinner & Eddy Corp.*..... 86
  2. *Court of Claims. Rule of Federal Courts*, at law and in equity, governing right to dismiss without prejudice, should obtain in Court of Claims. *Id.*
  3. *Effect of Counterclaim.* Plaintiff may dismiss without prejudice when Government has filed no counterclaim and will not be prejudiced. *Id.*
  4. *Vacating Dismissal Retroactively; Jud. Code, § 154.* Where plaintiff, after dismissing suit in Court of Claims, sued in state court on same causes of action, which remained pending, Court of Claims could not resume jurisdiction by setting aside dismissal retroactively. *Id.*
  5. *Id. Mandamus*, will lie to correct order of Court of Claims reinstating dismissed case, in violation of plaintiff's right to dismiss. *Id.*
- DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.** See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 13; **Trade Marks**, 2, 3.
- DISTRICT COURT.** See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 5-11; V.
- DOMICILE.** See **Taxation**, 1.
- DRAFT ACT.** See **Criminal Law**, 8-10.
- DUE PROCESS.** See **Constitutional Law**.
- EIGHTEENTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, XII.
- EMERGENCY FLEET CORPORATION.** See **Eminent Domain**.
- EMINENT DOMAIN:**
1. *Requisition; Emergency Fleet Corporation.* Expropriation of vessel in process of construction under contract between builder and plaintiff, held a taking of plaintiff's rights under contract. *Brooks-Scanlon Corp. v. United States*... 106
  2. *Just Compensation.* Measured by value of plaintiff's rights under contract at time of taking. *Id.*

**EMINENT DOMAIN**—Continued.

Page.

- 3. *Facts* considered, in determining plaintiff's loss caused by taking. *Id.*
- 4. *Replacement Cost*, not necessarily the sole measure of or guide to value. *Id.*

**EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEE:**

- Strikes. See **Anti-Trust Act**.
- Workmen's Compensation Laws. See **Constitutional Law**, X, 12.

**EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS.** See **Constitutional Law**, X, 3, 4, 11, 12.

**EQUITY:**

- Injunction. See **Jurisdiction**, II, 3-6; III, IV, 3; V, 4; **Trade Marks**, 2, 3; **Unfair Competition**, 3, 4; **Waters**, 3, 4. Appeal; order taxing costs. See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 8-11.
- Id.* Interlocutory injunction and final decree; scope of review. See **Procedure**, VI, 4, 5.
- Dismissal of suit; right of plaintiff. See **Dismissal**.
- Resulting trust; defrauded creditors; illegal preference. See **Bankruptcy Act**, 8.
- Equities, as between owners of excessive water-right shares. See **Waters**.
- 1. *Inadequate Legal Remedy; Right to Rely on Validity of State Statute.* When litigant whose constitutional rights are being invaded and to whom statute denies supersedeas in state courts, may rely upon effect of statute and presumption of its validity. *Pacific Tel. Co. v. Kuykendall*..... 196
- 2. *Id. Discriminatory State Taxes; Injunction.* District Court may enjoin collection, where remedies provided by state law for review of action of assessing board are inadequate. *Chicago, B. & Q. R. R. v. Osborne*..... 14
- 3. *Injunction; Political Cases.* Court of United States, sitting in equity, may not enjoin prosecution of proceeding to remove state official from office. *Walton v. House of Representatives* ..... 487

**ERROR AND APPEAL.** See **Habeas Corpus; Jurisdiction; Procedure; Trade Marks**, 2, 3.

**ESTATES OF DECEDENTS.** See **Banks and Banking**.

- ESTOPPEL.** See **Interstate Commerce Acts**, III, 4. Page.
- EVIDENCE.** See **Habeas Corpus**, 9; **Public Utilities**.
- Burden of proof. See **Bankruptcy Act**, 2.
- Presumption. See 2, *infra*; **Bankruptcy Act**, 4, 5; **Interstate Commerce Acts**, III, 1; IV, 14.
- Findings; lower courts. See **Procedure**, VI, 2.
- Id.* Interstate Commerce Commission. See **Interstate Commerce Acts**, IV.
- Id.* Knowledge of insolvency. See **Bankruptcy Act**, 7.
- Id.* Necessity for providing railroad facilities. See **Carriers**, 8.
- Evidence of agreement to pay freight. See **Interstate Commerce Acts**, III, 5-8.
1. *Unlawful Search; Self-incrimination.* Admissibility, of testimony of revenue officers, as to finding whiskey near defendant's house and as to suspicious occurrences in vicinity, though witnesses held no warrant and were trespassers. *Hester v. United States*. . . . . 57
2. *Presumption; Validity of State Statute.* Litigant whose constitutional rights are being invaded and to whom statute denies supersedeas in state courts, may rely upon effect of statute and presumption of its validity; not required to establish its validity under state constitution. *Pacific Tel. Co. v. Kuykendall*. . . . . 196
3. *Experts.* Value of testimony on question of depreciation in public utility rate case. *Pacific Gas Co. v. San Francisco*. 403
- EXCISE TAX.** See **Taxation**, 9-12.
- EXECUTIVE OFFICERS.** See **Officers**.
- EXECUTORS AND ADMINISTRATORS.** See **Banks and Banking**.
- EXPERTS.** See **Evidence**, 3.
- EXPRESS COMPANIES.** See **Interstate Commerce Acts**, IV, 4, 5.
- FACTS.** See **Evidence**.
- Administrative decisions. See references under that title.
- Presumption. See **Bankruptcy Act**, 4, 5; **Evidence**, 2; **Interstate Commerce Acts**, III, 1; IV, 14.

**FACTS**—Continued.

Page.

- Findings; lower courts. See **Procedure**, VI, 2.  
*Id.* Of Interstate Commerce Commission. See **Interstate Commerce Acts**, IV.  
*Id.* Knowledge of insolvency. See **Bankruptcy Act**, 7.  
*Id.* Necessity for providing railroad facilities. See **Carriers**, 8.

**FEDERAL CONTROL ACT.** See **Carriers**, 1-4.

**FEDERAL QUESTION.** See **Jurisdiction**, I, 1; IV, 4, 13-15, 17, 18; V, 1; VII.

**FEDERAL RESERVE ACT.** See **Banks and Banking**.

**FIFTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII.

**FINAL JUDGMENT.** See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 10, 16.

**FOOD AND DRUGS:**

1. *Act June 30, 1906; Misbranding.* Purpose in forbidding, to prevent misleading statements as well as those which are false. *United States v. Ninety-five Barrels of Vinegar*..... 438
2. *Id.* Vinegar made from dried apples sold as "apple cider" vinegar, held misbranded. *Id.*
3. *Act May 9, 1902; Adulterated Butter; Statutory Definition.* Fact that butter contains 16% moisture does not constitute it adulterated. *Lynch v. Tilden Co.*..... 315
4. *Id.* *Void Regulation*, of Commissioner of Internal Revenue and Secretary of Treasury. *Id.*
5. *Id.* *Regulations Authorized*, by Act Aug. 2, 1886; how far made applicable to manufacturers of adulterated butter by Act May 9, 1902. *Id.*
6. *Treasury Regulations; R. S. § 251*, authorizing regulations by Secretary of Treasury in execution internal revenue laws, does not sustain one defining "adulterated butter" contrary to statute definition. *Id.*

**FOREIGN NATIONS.** See **Aliens**.

- Treaties. See **Constitutional Law**, II; **Treaties**.  
 Sovereign immunity. See **Admiralty**.

**FORMA PAUPERIS.** See **Procedure**, V.

**FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, X.

- FOURTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, VI. Page.
- FRANCHISE.** See **Municipal Corporations**.
- FRATERNAL ASSOCIATIONS.** See **Insurance**.
- FRAUD.** See **Interstate Commerce Acts**, III, 3; **Unfair Competition**, 2.
- Conspiracy to defraud United States. See **Criminal Law**, 8-10.
- Rescission of contract; repayment; illegal preference. See **Bankruptcy Act**, 6-10.
- Parties to Fraud.* He who induces another to commit a fraud and furnishes the means is equally guilty. *Warner & Co. v. Lilly & Co.*..... 526
- GARNISHMENT.** See **Carriers**, 10-12.
- GAS COMPANIES.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1, 2; **Municipal Corporations**, 4-6; **Public Utilities**.
- GRANTS.** See **Indians**, 1.
- HABEAS CORPUS.** See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 14, 15.
1. *Discharge Discretionary*, in federal courts. *Goto v. Lane*. 393
  2. *Another Remedy*, excludes *habeas corpus*, with rare exceptions, as where judgment or process under which prisoner held is void. *Id.*
  3. *Id. Laches.* Allowing time for writ of error to expire, gives no right to relief by *habeas corpus*. *Id.*
  4. *Removal Proceedings.* Warrants issued in triplicate are in legal effect a single warrant; defendant who had secured supersedeas on appeal from order refusing relief by *habeas corpus* from arrest under one, could not be arrested under another. *Salinger v. Loisel*..... 224
  5. *Id. Successive Petitions; Practice.* Where accused on being surrendered by surety and instituting *habeas corpus*, is rearrested in removal proceedings, second confinement, involving same questions, tested by amendment of existing petition in *habeas corpus*. *Id.*
  6. *Double Appeals. Consolidation*, where second petition erroneously brought, to avoid confusion and expense. *Id.*
  7. *Res Judicata.* Strict doctrine of, does not apply to decision on *habeas corpus* refusing discharge. *Id. Wong Doo v. United States*..... 239

**HABEAS CORPUS**—Continued.

	Page.
8. <i>Id.</i> <i>Effect of Prior Refusal.</i> R. S. § 761. Federal court may base refusal to discharge on prior refusal and require applicant to show whether prior application was made and, if so, what was done on it. <i>Salinger v. Loisel</i> .....	224
9. <i>Id.</i> <i>Judicial Discretion</i> , to dismiss petition, when ground for second application was set up, with another, in first application, and when evidence to support it then was withheld for use on second attempt if first failed. <i>Wong Doo v. United States</i> .....	239
10. <i>Unreasonable Delays. Issuance of Mandate</i> , forthwith, by this Court. <i>Id.</i>	

**HAWAII.** See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 14, 15; VII.

**IDAHO.** See **Waters**.

**IMMIGRATION.** See **Aliens**.

**IMPEACHMENT:**

State officials; injunction. See **Equity**, 3.

**INCOME TAX.** See **Taxation**, 1-8.

**INDIANS:**

1. <i>Right of Occupancy.</i> Failure to claim, when Mexican Grant was adjudicated in California, worked abandonment under Private Land Claims Act. <i>United States v. Title Ins. Co.</i> .....	472
2. <i>Intoxicating Liquors; Indian Country.</i> Offense of possessing not repealed by Prohibition Act. <i>Kennedy v. United States</i> .....	344

**INDICTMENT.** See **Criminal Law**, 1-7.

**INFANTS.** See **Bankruptcy Act**, 10.

**INJUNCTION.** See **Unfair Competition**, 3, 4; **Waters**, 3, 4.

Appeal; interlocutory injunction and final decree; scope of review. See **Procedure**, VI, 4, 5.

Injunction of void judgment of state court. See **Jurisdiction**, III.

Suit against receiver appointed by this Court. See *id.*, IV, 3.

Orders of Interstate Commerce Commission. See *id.*, V, 4.

Trade mark registration; cancellation. See **Trade Marks**, 2, 3.

**INJUNCTION**—Continued.

Page.

Confiscatory state rates. See **Equity**, 1; **Jurisdiction**, II, 3-6.

Illegal tax. See **Equity**, 2.

Impeachment proceedings. See *id.*, 3.

**INSOLVENCY.** See **Bankruptcy Act**.

**INSURANCE.** See **Taxation**, 6-8.

*Fraternal Orders; Form of Government; Conflicting Constructions of Similar State Statutes.* When decree of federal court construing state statute requiring representative form of government not binding in litigation in another State whose courts had given contrary construction to similar statute of latter State. *Knights of Pythias v. Meyer*..... 30  
*Knights of Pythias v. Eiser*..... 41

**INTERIOR, SECRETARY OF.** See **Public Lands**, 1-4; **Waters**, 2.

**INTERNAL REVENUE.** See **Food and Drugs**, 3-6; **Intoxicating Liquors**, 3, 4; **Taxation**.

**INTERNATIONAL LAW.** See **Aliens**; **Constitutional Law**, II; **Taxation**, 1; **Treaties**.

Sovereign immunity. See **Admiralty**.

Interstate boundary. See **Boundaries**; **Procedure**, I.

**INTERSTATE COMMERCE.** See **Anti-Trust Act**; **Constitutional Law**, III; **Interstate Commerce Acts**; **Safety Appliance Act**.

*Pipe Lines.* Piping of gas from one State to another and sale to distributing companies who sell locally to consumers, is interstate commerce. *Missouri v. Kansas Gas Co.*..... 298

**INTERSTATE COMMERCE ACTS.** See **Anti-Trust Act**; **Constitutional Law**, III; **Safety Appliance Act**.

Federal Control Act. See II, *infra*; **Carriers**, 1-4.

**I. Abandonment of Routes.**

*Carriers by Water.* Duty under § 1(4) to furnish transportation, does not oblige carrier to continue route; § 1(18), concerning abandonment, relates only to railroads. *Lucking v. Detroit Nav. Co.*..... 346

INTERSTATE COMMERCE ACTS—Continued.

Page.

II. Transportation Act.

*Guaranty of Operating Income.* Company leasing refrigerator cars to railroads, not a carrier within § 209, which guaranteed income of carriers with which contracts had been made fixing compensation under Federal Control Act. *Chicago Refrigerator Co. v. Interstate Commerce Comm.* . . . . . 292

III. Carrier, Shipper and Passenger.

1. *Passenger Tickets; Limitation of Liability.* Stipulation limiting selling carrier's liability to its own lines, presumed to have been agreed to by passenger, and is valid. *Missouri Pacific R. R. v. Prude.* . . . . . 99

See also *Jurisdiction*, IV, 17.

2. *Cummins Amendment; Limitation of Liability.* Carrier liable for actual loss of property shipped, regardless of agreement or representation by shipper. *Adams Express Co. v. Darden.* . . . . . 265

See also *Jurisdiction*, IV, 4.

3. *Id.* So held, where, without fraud, declared value was less than actual value and carried lower tariff rate, and where contract was on form filed as part of tariff and bore notice that true value must be declared. *Id.*

4. *Tariff Rates; Parties Liable for.* Contract of carrier cannot reduce charges payable under tariff, or release shipper who assumed payment; nor can any act of carrier estop it from enforcing full payment by person liable. *Louis. & Nash. R. R. v. Central Iron Co.* . . . . . 59

5. *Id. Right to Contract.* When delivery for shipment does not import obligation of shipper to pay freight charges; carrier and shipper may contract as to when and by whom payment shall be made. *Id.*

6. *Id. Shipper Secondarily Liable;* to enforce payment by, carrier must first make effort to collect from those primarily liable. *Id.*

7. *Id. Consignee,* by accepting shipment, becomes liable for lawful tariff charges, whether demanded upon delivery or later. *Id.*

8. *Id. Evidence* justifying finding that shipper did not assume primary obligation to pay freight charges. *Id.*

INTERSTATE COMMERCE ACTS—Continued. Page.

IV. Powers and Proceedings of Commission.

1. *Car Distribution. Orders Reviewable.* Order in form dismissing complaint of shippers but in effect requiring observance of rule attacked as arbitrary and illegal, is not negative; may be reviewed by District Court. *United States v. New River Co.*..... 533
2. *Id. Discretionary Findings.* Courts cannot substitute their judgment for findings and conclusions of Commission made within scope of its power to regulate distribution of coal cars. *Id.*
3. *Id. Coal Mines.* Rule fixing number of cars distributable to coal mines in proportion to daily capacity of each to produce, held not arbitrary, or violative of due process, as applied to mines served by more than one carrier. *Id.*
4. *Joint Through Routes. Express Company,* not "carrier by railroad," within § 15(4), restricting power of Commission to require less than entire length of railroad to be included. *United States v. American Ry. Exp. Co.*..... 425
5. *Id. Power of Commission,* under § 15 (3), to require express company to form joint through routes with another between points it already serves, merely to promote better service. *Id.*
6. *Id. Choice of Route.* Commission may leave to shipper. *Id.*
7. *Id. Carrier's Interest.* No absolute right to retain traffic it originates for transportation from its own lines. *Id.*
8. *Enjoining Operative Orders.* District Court may enjoin order by division of Commission, which is operative unless stayed by division or by full Commission pending rehearing by latter. *United States v. Abilene & So. Ry.*..... 274
9. *Divisions of Joint Rates. Necessary Parties Before Commission,*—in proceeding to readjust divisions as between a carrier and its several immediate connections. *Id.*
10. *Just Divisions.* Elements to be considered by Commission in determining. *Id.*
11. *Id.* That increased divisions were measured by percentages of revenues of several connecting carriers from joint traffic, does not render division unjust. *Id.*
12. *Id.* Order increasing divisions not arbitrary because corresponding decreases confined to immediate connecting carriers. *Id.*

## INTERSTATE COMMERCE ACTS—Continued.

Page.

13. *How Divisions Determined.* On basis of individual rates and divisions, shown by tariffs and division sheets and found sufficiently typical in character and ample in quantity to justify findings as to each division of each rate of every carrier involved. *Id.*

14. *Presumption of Unjustness.* Not inferred because joint rates and divisions between particular carriers work injustice in the aggregate, that each particular division of each rate is unjust, and in like proportion. *Id.*

15. *Evidence. Findings,* unsupported by evidence, beyond power of Commission. *Id.*

16. *Id. Admissibility* of matter which in judicial proceedings would be incompetent. *Id.*

17. *Id. Reports of Carriers* on file with Commission not evidence unless introduced as such. *Id.*

18. *Id. Rule XIII,* does not relieve Commission from introducing, by specific reference, such parts of reports of carriers, properly on file, as it wishes to treat as evidence. *Id.*

19. *Id. Matter Not in Evidence.* Right of carriers to insist that consideration of, invalidates order, not lost by submission of case without argument or consent to omission of tentative report by examiner. *Id.*

20. *Id. Notice; Reliance on Annual Reports.* General notice, given at hearing by examiner, that Commission would rely upon annual reports previously filed with it by plaintiff carriers, is no notice whatever of evidence used against them. *Id.*

**INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION.** See **Interstate Commerce Acts, IV.**

**INTOXICATING LIQUORS.** See **Constitutional Law, VII, 2; IX; XII.**

Prosecution for concealing spirits; admissibility of testimony of revenue officers. See **Evidence, 1.**

1. *Indian Country.* Offense of possessing, (Act July 23, 1892,) not repealed by National Prohibition Act. *Kennedy v. United States.*..... 344

2. *Prescriptions; State Regulation.* City ordinance held not to infringe rights of pharmacists granted by Eighteenth Amendment or Prohibition Act and protected by Fourteenth Amendment. *Hixon v. Oakes.*..... 254

**INTOXICATING LIQUORS**—Continued.

	Page.
3. <i>Prohibition Commissioner and Directors</i> , defined as mere agents of Commissioner of Internal Revenue. <i>Gnerich v. Rutter</i> .....	388
4. <i>Attacking Regulations of Commissioner of Internal Revenue</i> , under Prohibition Act; suit not maintainable against subordinates. <i>Id.</i>	

**JAPAN:**

Treaty of April 4, 1911. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 3.

**JOINDER.** See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 9.

**JUDGMENTS.** See **Dismissal; Procedure.**

Full faith and credit. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

Finality. See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 10, 16.

Original cases. See **Boundaries; Procedure**, I.

Administrative decisions. See references under that title.

Based on void process; attachment and garnishment. See **Jurisdiction**, III.

Lien. See **Bankruptcy Act**, 2.

1. *Void or Erroneous Judgment.* Erroneous denial of claim under Constitution, does not make judgment void. *Goto v. Lane*..... 393
2. *Res Judicata; Obiter Dictum.* Where there are two grounds upon either of which an appellate court may rest its decision, and it adopts both, the ruling on neither is *obiter dictum*, but each is the judgment of the court, and of equal validity. *United States v. Title Ins. Co.*..... 472
3. *Id. Conflicting Constructions of Similar State Statutes.* When decree of federal court construing state statute requiring that fraternal order have a representative form of government, not binding in litigation in another State whose courts had given contrary construction to similar statute of latter State, *Knights of Pythias v. Meyer*..... 30
4. *Id. Habeas Corpus.* Strict doctrine of *res judicata* does not apply to *habeas corpus*. *Wong Doo v. United States*... 239  
*Salinger v. Loisel*..... 224
5. *Id. Effect of Prior Refusal; R. S. § 761.* Federal court may base refusal to discharge on prior refusal and require applicant to show whether he has made a prior application and, if so, what was done on it. *Salinger v. Loisel*..... 224
6. *Id. Judicial Discretion*, to dismiss petition, when ground for second application for *habeas corpus* was set up, with

## JUDGMENTS—Continued.

	Page.
another, in first application, and when evidence to support it then was withheld without excuse for use on second attempt if first failed. <i>Wong Doo v. United States</i> .....	239
7. <i>Confirmation of Mexican Grant</i> , in California; effect on Indian right of occupancy. <i>United States v. Title Ins Co.</i> ..	472
8. <i>Dismissal</i> , for lack of necessary party, should be on that ground and not on merits. <i>Gnerich v. Rutter</i> .....	388
9. <i>Double Appeals; Interlocutory Injunction and Final Decree</i> . Where appeal from refusal of interlocutory injunction followed by appeal from final decree dismissing bill, on same ground, first appeal dismissed and relief granted under second. <i>Pacific Tel. Co. v. Kuykendall</i> .....	196

## JURISDICTION:

- I. Generally, p. 624.
- II. Jurisdiction of Federal Courts Generally, p. 624.
- III. Jurisdiction Over the Person, p. 625.
- IV. Jurisdiction of This Court:
  - (1) Original, p. 625.
  - (2) Over Circuit Court of Appeals, p. 625.
  - (3) Over District Court, p. 625.
  - (4) Over Court of Claims, p. 626.
  - (5) Over Courts of District of Columbia, p. 626.
  - (6) Over Territorial Courts, p. 626.
  - (7) Over State Courts, p. 626.
- V. Jurisdiction of District Court, p. 627.
- VI. Jurisdiction of Court of Claims, p. 627.
- VII. Jurisdiction of Territorial Courts, p. 627.
- VIII. Jurisdiction of State Courts, p. 627.
  - See **Constitutional Law; Dismissal; Equity; Habeas Corpus; Parties; Procedure; Trade Marks**, 2, 3.
  - Jurisdiction of Circuit Court of Appeals. See IV, 4, 5, *infra*.
  - Jurisdiction of Courts of District of Columbia. See IV, 13, *infra*; **Trade Marks**, 2, 3.
  - Administrative decisions. See references under that title.
  - Certiorari. See IV, 4, 16-18, *infra*; **Procedure**, V; VI, 2.
  - Comity; state and federal courts. See II, 6, *infra*.
  - Federal question. See I, 1; IV, 4, 13-15, 17, 18; V, 1; VII, *infra*.
  - Final judgment. See IV, 10, 16, *infra*.
  - Injunction; actions in state courts. See II; III; IV, 2, 3; VIII, 2, *infra*; **Equity**, 1.

## JURISDICTION—Continued.

Page.

Local law. See II; IV, 16-19; V, 2; VII; VIII, *infra*;  
 Banks and Banking; Equity, 1, 2; Judgments, 3; Pro-  
 cedure, VI, 6, 7; Real Property; Statutes, 4.

Removal proceedings. See Criminal Law, 1-5; Habeas  
 Corpus, 4, 5.

Transfer of causes. See IV, 5, *infra*.

## I. Generally.

1. *Void or Erroneous Judgment*. Erroneous denial of claim under Constitution, does not make judgment void. *Goto v. Lane*..... 393
2. *Res Judicata; Obiter Dictum*. Where there are two grounds upon either of which appellate court may rest its decision, and it adopts both, ruling on neither is *obiter dictum*, but each is the judgment of the court, and of equal validity. *United States v. Title Ins. Co.*..... 472
3. *Orders Interstate Commerce Commission*. Courts cannot substitute their judgment for findings and conclusions of Commission made within scope of its power. *United States v. New River Co.*..... 533

## II. Jurisdiction of Federal Courts Generally.

1. *Political Cases; Injunction*. Federal court is without jurisdiction of suit to enjoin prosecution of proceeding to remove state official from office. *Walton v. House of Representatives*..... 487
2. *Receivers; Suit Against*. When leave of court required, notwithstanding Jud. Code, § 66. *Oklahoma v. Texas*..... 490
3. *Confiscatory State Rates; Injunction*. Jurisdiction of state courts to review orders of rate fixing commission, held a judicial remedy, which need not be invoked before seeking relief in federal courts. *Pacific Tel. Co. v. Kuykendall*..... 196
4. *Id. Denial of Supersedeas*, by state law, pending final judicial decree in state courts, cannot prevent recourse to federal court for temporary relief. *Id.*
5. *Id. Legislative Valuation by State Courts*,—invoked by public utility; when not a bar to relief in federal court against rates based on valuation approved by state courts. *Id.*
6. *Id. Comity*. Relief in federal court withheld until legislative remedy in state courts exhausted; *contra*, where rates

**JURISDICTION—Continued.**

Page

fixed are confiscatory and state law allows no stay pending revision by state courts. *Id.*

See **Equity**, 1.

**III. Jurisdiction Over the Person.**

*Injunction; Void Judgment of State Court.* Judgments obtained by garnishment and constructive service against foreign railroad corporation and local railroad corporation, as garnishee, void under commerce clause, may be enjoined in federal court. *Atchison, T. & S. F. Ry. v. Wells*..... 101

**IV. Jurisdiction of This Court.**

(1) *Original.*

1. *Receivership.* Apportionment of expenses, and payment of claims. *Oklahoma v. Texas*..... 500

2. *Suit Against Receiver,* appointed in this Court, when not maintainable in state court. *Id.*, 490.

3. *Id. Injunction of,* by this Court. *Id.*

(2) *Over Circuit Court of Appeals.*

4. *Error or Certiorari.* Judgment of Court of Appeals in case involving liability of carrier for injury to interstate shipment under tariff and shipping agreement and act of Congress, reviewable by writ of error. *Adams Express Co. v. Darden*..... 265

5. *Transfer Act.* Case cannot be transferred if writ of error was sued out after expiration of period allowed by Act Sept. 6, 1916, for applying to this Court for process to review judgment of District Court. *United States & Cuban Co. v. Lloyds* ..... 454

(3) *Over District Court.* See V, *infra.*

6. *Jurisdictional Question. Sovereign Immunity,* defense of, in District Court, does not present jurisdictional question reviewable on direct appeal. *Transportes Maritimos v. Almeida*..... 104

7. *Id. Waiver.* This is true whether claim of immunity contested because of character of defendant or because immunity is alleged to have been waived. *Id.*

8. *Order Taxing Costs; Appeal.* Rule forbidding appeals from decrees for costs only, does not apply when power of

## JURISDICTION—Continued.

- |   | Page. |
|---|-------|
| court to assess item in question is in issue. <i>Newton v. Consolidated Gas Co.</i> .....   | 78    |
| 9. <i>Id.</i> <i>Joinder of Parties.</i> State commission made defendant in District Court and later abolished by state law; joinder or exclusion by summons and severance not necessary to sustain appeal by codefendants from order taxing costs. <i>Id.</i>  |       |
| 10. <i>Id.</i> <i>Finality.</i> Order taxing costs in equity suit otherwise ended, is final. <i>Id.</i>   |       |
| 11. <i>Id.</i> <i>Surety Bonds.</i> When premiums are taxable against defeated party as costs. <i>Id.</i>   |       |
| (4) <i>Over Court of Claims.</i> See VI, <i>infra.</i>  |       |
| 12. <i>Mandamus; Denial of Plaintiff's Right to Dismiss Suit.</i> Order of Court of Claims reinstating dismissed case in violation of plaintiff's right to dismiss it, depriving plaintiff of right to jury trial in state court, corrected by mandamus. <i>Ex parte Skinner &amp; Eddy Corp.</i> .....   | 86    |
| (5) <i>Over Courts of District of Columbia.</i>   |       |
| 13. <i>Trade Mark Cases; Appeal.</i> Decree of Court of Appeals directing dismissal of bill for want of jurisdiction upon construction of Trade Mark Act, reviewable by appeal. <i>Baldwin Co. v. Robertson</i> .....   | 168   |
| See <b>Trade Marks</b> , 2, 3.  |       |
| (6) <i>Over Territorial Courts.</i> See VII, <i>infra.</i>  |       |
| 14. <i>Hawaii; Habeas Corpus.</i> Criminal conviction in Hawaiian territorial court, involving constitutional questions, may be taken to Supreme Court, and judgment of latter reviewed here. <i>Goto v. Lane</i> .....   | 393   |
| 15. <i>Id.</i> <i>Waiver of Review.</i> Where opportunity to take case to territorial Supreme Court so as to secure its final judgment reviewable here, was waived by going up on exceptions reserved at trial, constitutional questions could not be reasserted in United States Court of Hawaii and here through <i>habeas corpus.</i> <i>Id.</i> |       |
| (7) <i>Over State Courts.</i> See II; III; IV, 12, <i>supra</i> ; V, 2; VI; VIII, <i>infra.</i>   |       |
| 16. <i>Certiorari. Final Decree,</i> necessary to confer jurisdiction on this Court to issue writ. <i>Chicago &amp; N. W. Ry. v. Durham</i> .....   | 580   |

## JURISDICTION—Continued.

	Page.
17. <i>Error or Certiorari</i> . State decision denying interstate carrier immunity based upon stipulation on interstate passenger ticket, reviewable by certiorari. <i>Missouri Pacific R. R. v. Prude</i> .....	99
18. <i>Id.</i> Certiorari is proper remedy to review state decision on right claimed under federal statute, where validity of statute itself is not in question. <i>Knights of Pythias v. Meyer</i> .....	30
19. <i>Construction of Local Statutes</i> . Interpretation by State Supreme Court accepted by this Court, on review. <i>Id.</i>	
V. <b>Jurisdiction of District Court.</b> See IV, 5-11, <i>supra</i> .	
1. <i>Arising under Federal Laws</i> . Suit to compel operation of steamboat route as service required by Act to Regulate Commerce. <i>Lucking v. Detroit Nav. Co.</i> .....	346
2. <i>Injunction; Discriminatory State Taxes</i> . District Court may enjoin collection, where remedies provided by state law for review of action of assessing board were inadequate. <i>Chicago, B. &amp; Q. R. R. v. Osborne</i> .....	14
3. <i>Orders of Interstate Commerce Commission</i> . Order negative in form but positive in effect, reviewable. <i>United States v. New River Co.</i> .....	533
4. <i>Id. Injunction</i> . District Court may enjoin order by division of Commission, which is operative unless stayed by division or full Commission pending rehearing by latter. <i>United States v. Abilene &amp; So. Ry.</i> .....	274
VI. <b>Jurisdiction of Court of Claims.</b> See IV, 12, <i>supra</i> .	
<i>Jud. Code, § 154; Pendency of Action in State Court</i> . Where plaintiff, after dismissing suit in Court of Claims, sued in state court on same causes of action, which remained pending, Court of Claims could not resume jurisdiction by setting aside dismissal retroactively. <i>Ex parte Skinner &amp; Eddy Corp.</i> .....	86
See also <b>Dismissal</b> .	
VII. <b>Jurisdiction of Territorial Courts.</b> See IV, 14, 15, <i>supra</i> .	
<i>Hawaii; Circuit Court</i> . Jurisdiction to determine constitutional questions in criminal case. <i>Goto v. Lane</i> .....	393
VIII. <b>Jurisdiction of State Courts.</b> See II; III; IV, 12, 16-19; V, 2; VI, <i>supra</i> .	

**JURISDICTION**—Continued.

Page.

Suits against federal court receiver. See IV, 2, 3, *supra*.Full faith and credit. See **Constitutional Law**, IV.

1. *Legislative Functions; Review of Public Utility Valuations*. Function of state courts, under Washington statute, held not merely judicial but also legislative. *Pacific Tel. Co. v. Kuykendall*..... 196

2. *Id. Injunction; Confiscatory Rates*. Failure to resort to state courts for review of rate orders; when not a bar to temporary relief by injunction in federal courts. *Id.*

See **Equity**, 1.

**JURY**. See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 12.

**LACHES**. See **Habeas Corpus**, 3; **Trade Marks**, 3.

**LEASE**. See **Interstate Commerce Acts**, II; **Public Lands**, 5.

**LICENSE**:

Tax. See **Constitutional Law**, X, 11.

Revocable permits. See **Public Lands**, 1-4.

**LIEN**. See **Bankruptcy Act**, 2, 8.

**LIMITATIONS**. See **Bankruptcy Act**, 1; **Trade Marks**, 3.

Appeal and error. See **Habeas Corpus**, 3; **Jurisdiction**, IV, 5.

*Federal Control Act; Actions by Director General*. State statute of limitations inapplicable to action, in state court, for damage to railroad wharf under federal control. *Davis v. Corona Coal Co.*..... 219

**LIQUORS**. See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 2; IX; XII; **Evidence**, 1; **Intoxicating Liquors**.

**LOCAL LAW**. See **Banks and Banking**; **Equity**, 1, 2; **Judgments**, 3; **Jurisdiction**, II; IV, 16-19; V, 2; VII; VIII; **Procedure**, VI, 6, 7; **Real Property**; **Statutes**, 4.

**MAILS**. See **Criminal Law**, 3.

**MANDAMUS**. See **Procedure**, III.

**MANDATE**. See **Procedure**, IV.

**MASTER AND SERVANT**:

Strikes. See **Anti-Trust Act**.

Workmen's Compensation Laws. See **Constitutional Law**, X, 12.

- MEXICAN GRANTS.** See **Indians, 1.** Page.
- MILITARY FORCES.** See **Army.**
- MINNESOTA:**  
 Constitution; power of municipalities to grant franchises and regulate rates. See *Public Service Co. v. St. Cloud*..... 352
- MINORS.** See **Bankruptcy Act, 10.**
- MISBRANDING.** See **Food and Drugs, 1, 2.**
- MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS:**  
 Regulation of pawnbrokers. See **Constitutional Law, II, 3.**  
 Regulation of prescriptions. See **Intoxicating Liquors, 2.**  
 1. *Franchises; Contract Rates.* State decisions construing state constitution and city charter as permitting city to grant franchise and fix rates, held binding in suit for relief on ground that rates had become confiscatory. *Opelika v. Opelika Sewer Co.*..... 215  
 2. *Id.* Utility company bound by contract rates which, if imposed under police power, would be confiscatory. *Id.*  
 3. *Minnesota Constitution; Exclusive Franchise.* Power of municipality to grant. *Public Service Co. v. St. Cloud*.... 352  
 4. *Gas Franchise; Rates. Power to Contract* as to rates, exercised, suspends power to regulate. *Id.*  
 5. *Id.* Law authorizing regulation of rates by cities, cannot be invoked by company to escape rates fixed by contract with city before law was passed. *Id.*  
 6. *Id. Ordinance Construed.* Where grantee "authorized" to sell gas at not to exceed a price fixed. *Id.*
- NATIONAL BANKS.** See **Banks and Banking.**
- NATIONAL PROHIBITION ACT.** See **Constitutional Law, VII, 2; IX; XII; Intoxicating Liquors.**
- NEGLIGENCE.** See **Carriers, 2; Interstate Commerce Acts, III, 1.**
- NONRESIDENTS.** See **Constitutional Law, III, 3, 4; Taxation, 1.**
- NONSUIT.** See **Dismissal.**

- NOTICE.** See **Constitutional Law**, X, 1; **Interstate Commerce Acts**, III, 3; IV, 20.
- OBITER DICTUM.** See **Judgments**, 2.
- OFFICERS.** See **Army**; **Evidence**, 1; **Statutes**, 3.  
 Administrative decisions. See references under that title.  
 Director General of Railroads; actions. See **Carriers**, 1-3.  
 Commissioner of Internal Revenue and subordinates; actions. See **Parties**, 1.  
 Foreign officials; sovereign immunity. See **Admiralty**.  
 Impeachment; state officers; injunction. See **Equity**, 3.
- OIL AND GAS.** See **Public Lands**, 5; **Receivers**.
- OKLAHOMA.** See **Boundaries**; **Procedure**, I.
- OLEOMARGARINE LAWS.** See **Food and Drugs**, 3-6.
- ORIGINAL CASES.** See **Boundaries**; **Jurisdiction**, IV (1); **Procedure**, I.
- PARTIES:**  
 Joinder; appeal from order taxing costs. See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 9.  
 Right of plaintiff to dismiss suit. See **Dismissal**.  
 Right to object to location of interstate boundary. See **Boundaries**, 2.  
 Sovereign immunity. See **Admiralty**.  
 Director General; actions. See **Carriers**, 1-3.  
 Necessary parties; before Interstate Commerce Commission. See **Interstate Commerce Acts**, IV, 9.  
 1. *Necessary Party.* Suit attacking regulation of Commissioner of Internal Revenue under Prohibition Act, cannot be maintained against his subordinates. *Gnerich v. Rutter*.... 388  
 2. *Id. Bill Defective,* for want of necessary party, should be dismissed on that ground and not on merits. *Id.*
- PARTNERSHIP.** See **Bankruptcy Act**, 3-5.
- PASSENGERS.** See **Interstate Commerce Acts**, III, 1.
- PATENTS, COMMISSIONER OF.** See **Trade Marks**, 2, 3.
- PATENTS FOR INVENTIONS:**  
 1. *Invention or Mechanical Skill.* Question of fact. *Thomson Co. v. Ford Motor Co.*..... 445

**PATENTS FOR INVENTIONS**—Continued.

Page.

- 2. *Spot Welding Process*. Patent to Thomson Electric Company, assignee, void for want of invention. *Id.*
- 3. *Certiorari*. *Concurrent Findings* of fact by lower courts; rule as to, not strictly applied where object of certiorari is to settle conflicting decisions of two circuits on same patent. *Id.*
- 4. *Valuation of Patent Rights*, in appraising property of public utility company as basis for fixing its rates. *Pacific Gas Co. v. San Francisco*..... 403

**PAWNBROKERS:**

Regulation of. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 3.

**PAY.** See **Army**.

**PAYMENT.** See **Bankruptcy Act**, 1, 6-10.

**PERSONAL INJURY:**

- Limitation of liability. See **Interstate Commerce Acts**, III, 1.
- Workmen's Compensation Laws. See **Constitutional Law**, X, 12.

**PHYSICIANS:**

Prescriptions; intoxicating liquors. See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 2; IX; XII.

**PIPE LINES.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1, 2.

**PLEADING.** See **Bankruptcy Act**, 2; **Dismissal**; **Habeas Corpus**, 5.

Indictment. See **Criminal Law**, 6, 7.

Necessary parties; dismissal. See **Parties**, 2.

*Issues Decided*; *Appeal from Decrees Refusing Interlocutory Injunction and Dismissing Bill on Demurrer*. Court will not pass upon issue not shown by bill but only by opposing affidavit used at injunction hearing. *Home Tel. Co. v. Kuykendall*..... 206

**POLICE POWER.** See **Constitutional Law**.

**POWER COMPANIES.** See **Public Lands**, 1-4.

**PRESUMPTION.** See **Bankruptcy Act**, 4, 5; **Evidence**, 2; **Interstate Commerce Acts**, III, 1; IV, 14.

**PRIVATE LAND CLAIMS:**

Page.

Effect of confirmation of Mexican Grant in California on Indian right of occupancy. See **Indians**, 2.

**PRIVILEGE TAX.** See **Constitutional Law**, X, 11.

**PROCEDURE.** See **Admiralty**; **Bankruptcy Act**; **Constitutional Law**; **Criminal Law**; **Dismissal**; **Eminent Domain**; **Equity**; **Evidence**; **Habeas Corpus**; **Interstate Commerce Acts**; **Judgments**; **Jurisdiction**; **Limitations**; **Parties**; **Pleading**; **Receivers**; **Statutes**; **Trade Marks**; **Treaties**.

Amendment. See **Criminal Law**, 6; **Habeas Corpus**, 5.

Attachment and garnishment. See **Carriers**, 10-12.

Certiorari. See V; VI, 2, *infra*; **Jurisdiction**, IV, 4, 16-18.

Comity. See **Jurisdiction**, II, 6.

Counterclaim. See **Dismissal**, 3.

Estoppel. See **Interstate Commerce Acts**, III, 4.

Federal question. See **Jurisdiction**, I, 1; IV, 4, 13-15, 17, 18; V, 1; VII.

Final judgment. See *id.*, IV, 10, 16.

Impeachment; state officials; injunction. See **Equity**, 3.

Injunction. See VI, 4, 5, *infra*; **Equity**; **Jurisdiction**, II, 3-6; III; IV, 3; V, 4; **Trade Marks**, 2, 3; **Unfair Competition**, 3, 4; **Waters**, 3, 4.

Joinder. See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 9.

Jury. See *id.*, IV, 12.

Laches. See **Habeas Corpus**, 3; **Trade Marks**, 3.

Rehearing. See **Jurisdiction**, V, 4.

Removal. See **Criminal Law**, 1-5; **Habeas Corpus**, 4, 5.

Res judicata. See **Judgments**, 2-6.

Search and seizure; self-incrimination. See **Evidence**, 1.

Stipulation. See **Criminal Law**, 6, 7.

Summons and severance. See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 9.

Supersedeas. See **Equity**, 1; **Habeas Corpus**, 4; **Jurisdiction**, II, 4.

Transfer of causes. See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 5.

Venue. See **Criminal Law**, 1-5.

Waiver. See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 7, 15.

**I. Original Cases.**

1. *Decrees and Orders* relative to Oklahoma-Texas Boundary. *Oklahoma v. Texas*..... 493, 500, 513
2. *Receivership*. Apportionment of expenses and payment of claims. *Id.*, 76, 500, 505.

**PROCEDURE—Continued.**

3. *Id. Injunction.* Suit in state court against receiver appointed in this Court. *Id.*, 76, 490. Page.

**II. Costs.**

1. *Impounded Funds; Substitution of Bond to Secure Re-payment Pending Appeal.* When premiums for bonds are taxable against defeated party as costs. *Newton v. Consolidated Gas Co.*..... 78

2. *Order Taxing Costs.* Right to appeal; finality of order; joinder of parties. *Id.*

**III. Mandamus.**

*Court of Claims; Denial of Plaintiff's Right to Dismiss Suit.* Order reinstating dismissed case in violation of plaintiff's right to dismiss it, corrected by mandamus. *Ex parte Skinner & Eddy Corp.*..... 86

**IV. Mandate.**

1. *Habeas Corpus; Unreasonable Delays,* caused by resort to *habeas corpus* proceedings; mandate of this Court directed to issue forthwith. *Wong Doo v. United States.*.... 239

2. *Id. Delaying Removal.* Mandate ordered to issue immediately, with orders requiring accused to surrender to marshal in district of removal proceeding or district of indictment. *Salinger v. Loisel.*..... 224

**V. Proceedings in Forma Pauperis.**

*Certiorari.* Consideration of manuscript petition. *Branan v. Wimsatt.*..... 591

**VI. Scope of Review and Disposition of Case.**

1. *Dismissal,* for lack of necessary party, should be on that ground, and not on merits. *Gnerich v. Rutter.*..... 388

2. *Certiorari. Concurrent Findings,* of fact, by lower courts; rule as to, not strictly applied where object of certiorari is to settle conflicting decisions of two circuits on same patent. *Thomson Co. v. Ford Motor Co.*..... 445

3. *Double Appeals; Consolidation.* Where second petition for *habeas corpus* is erroneously brought, the two should be consolidated to avoid confusion and expense. *Salinger v. Loisel.*..... 224

4. *Id. Dismissal. Interlocutory Injunction and Final Decree.* Where appeal from refusal of interlocutory injunction

**PROCEDURE—Continued.**

	Page.
followed by appeal from final decree dismissing bill, on same ground, first appeal dismissed and relief granted under second. <i>Pacific Tel. Co. v. Kuykendall</i> .....	196
5. <i>Id. Issues Decided</i> . On appeal from refusal of interlocutory injunction and dismissal of bill on demurrer, court will not pass upon issue not shown by bill but only by opposing affidavit used at injunction hearing. <i>Home Tel. Co. v. Kuykendall</i> .....	206
6. <i>Construction; Local Laws</i> . Interpretation by state court accepted by this Court, on review. <i>Knights of Pythias v. Meyer</i> .....	30
See Statutes, 4.	
7. <i>Id.</i> State decisions construing state constitution and city charter, respecting power of city to contract respecting rates; when binding in suit for relief on ground that rates had become confiscatory. <i>Opelika v. Opelika Sewer Co</i> .....	215

**PROCESS.** See Jurisdiction III; IV, 6, 7.

**PROHIBITION ACT.** See Constitutional Law, VII, 2; IX; XII; Intoxicating Liquors.

**PUBLIC LANDS.** See Boundaries; Waters.

Land-grant rates. See Carriers, 5.

1. *Rights of Way; Revocable Permit. Effect of Entry and Patent*, on rights of power line company operating over land under revocable permit from Secretary of Interior. *Swendig v. Washington Co*..... 322
2. *Id. Telephone and Power Lines*. Act of Mar. 3, 1901, providing for granting rights of way for telephone lines, does not apply to wires of electric power line used only in connection with its operation and maintenance. *Id.*
3. *Id. Power Lines Through Reservations; Act Feb. 15, 1901*. Right of use continues until permit revoked. *Id.*
4. *Id. Rights of Patentees of Lands Traversed*. Take subject to company's right of use, where regulations so provide. *Id.*
5. *Oil and Gas Lease*, application for, when premature as to land in bed of Red River, *sub judice*, in interstate boundary litigation. *Oklahoma v. Texas*..... 493

**PUBLIC LANDS**—Continued.

Page.

6. *Trespassers. Compensation of*, for work done in drilling oil wells inuring to benefit of subsequent receivership. *Oklahoma v. Texas*..... 500
7. *Mexican Grants; Indian Occupancy*. Abandonment of Indian right to occupy land included in Mexican grant, by failure to assert when grant adjudicated. *United States v. Title Ins. Co.*..... 472

**PUBLIC UTILITIES.** See **Municipal Corporations.**

- Pipe lines. See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1, 2.
- Railroad facilities. See *id.*, X, 3, 4.
- Rates. See *id.*, X, 5-10.
- Id.* Injunction, when confiscatory. See **Equity**, 1; **Jurisdiction**, II, 3-6.
- Privilege tax. See **Constitutional Law**, X, 11.
1. *Gas Rates; Adequacy*. Seven per cent. return upheld. *Pacific Gas Co. v. San Francisco*..... 403
2. *Id. Rate Base*. Methods by which depreciation should be determined. *Id.*
3. *Id. Patent Rights; Obsolescent Property*. Duty to give these a true valuation. *Id.*
4. *Findings as to Depreciation*. Where depreciation is due partly to physical causes and partly to obsolescence resulting from improvements in plant, amount should be found separately if practicable. *Id.*

**RAILROADS.** See **Carriers; Interstate Commerce Acts; Safety Appliance Act.****RATES.** See **Constitutional Law**, III, 1, 2; X, 5-10; **Evidence**, 3; **Interstate Commerce Acts**, III, 4-8; IV, 9-14; **Municipal Corporations; Public Utilities.**

- Land-grant rates. See **Carriers**, 5.
- Injunction; confiscatory state rates. See **Equity**, 1; **Jurisdiction**, II, 3-6.

**REAL PROPERTY:**

- Rule of Property*. Decisions which have become, not disturbed. *United States v. Title Ins. Co.*..... 472

**RECEIVERS:**

- Suits against. See **Jurisdiction**, II, 2; IV, 1-3.

**RECEIVERS**—Continued.

Page.

1. *Receivership Expenses*. Apportionment to separate funds derived by receiver from operation of oil and gas wells. *Oklahoma v. Texas*..... 76, 500, 505
2. *Payments by Receiver*, for property taken by him, and for beneficial drilling done by trespassers before receivership. *Id.*
3. *Trespasses Committed before Receivership*. When not subject to litigation in receivership suit or compensation from receivership funds. *Id.*

**REHEARING.** See **Jurisdiction**, V, 4.**REMOVAL.** See **Criminal Law**, 1-5; **Habeas Corpus**, 4, 5.**REPEAL.** See **Intoxicating Liquors**, 1.**REQUISITION.** See **Eminent Domain**.**RESCISSION.** See **Bankruptcy Act**, 6, 8.**RES JUDICATA.** See **Judgments**, 2-6.**RETROACTIVE LAWS.** See **Taxation**, 13.**REVENUE.** See **Food and Drugs**, 3-6; **Taxation**.**RIGHTS OF WAY.** See **Public Lands**, 1-4.**SAFETY APPLIANCE ACT:**

1. *Liberal Construction of Power Brake Provisions*, to relieve trainmen of labor and danger and to promote safety of persons and property. *New York Cent. R. R. v. United States*..... 41
2. *Percentage of Equipped Cars*. Cars whose power brakes become disabled en route may not be hauled past repair station contrary to requirement that 85% of cars in any train shall be equipped with power brakes operated by engineer. *Id.*

**SALES.** See **Anti-Trust Act**; **Carriers**, 5; **Constitutional Law**, III, 1, 2; **Taxation**, 3, 4.**SEARCH AND SEIZURE.** See **Evidence**, 1.**SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR.** See **Public Lands**, 1-4; **Waters**, 2.

**SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY.** See **Food and Drugs**, 4-6.

**SELECTIVE DRAFT ACT.** See **Criminal Law**, 8-10.

**SELF-INCRIMINATION.** See **Evidence**, 1.

**SEVERANCE.** See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 9.

**SHERMAN ACT.** See **Anti-Trust Act**.

**SIXTEENTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, XI.

**SIXTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

**SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY.** See **Admiralty**.

**STATES.** See **Banks and Banking**; **Boundaries**; **Constitutional Law**; **Waters**.

Reserved powers. See **Constitutional Law**, IX; XII, 2, 3.

Full faith and credit. See *id.*, IV.

Original cases. See **Jurisdiction**, IV (1); **Procedure**, I.

Courts. See **Jurisdiction**, II; III; IV, 2, 3, 12, 16-19; V, 2; VI; VIII.

Officers; impeachment; injunction. See **Equity**, 3.

Sovereign immunity. See **Admiralty**.

Aliens; regulation of pawnbrokers. See **Constitutional Law**, II, 3.

Workmen's Compensation Laws. See *id.*, X, 12.

Minnesota constitution; power of municipality to grant franchise and regulate rates. See **Municipal Corporations**, 3.

**STATUTES.** See **Aliens**; **Anti-Trust Act**; **Army**; **Bankruptcy Act**; **Banks and Banking**; **Carriers**; **Constitutional Law**; **Criminal Law**; **Food and Drugs**; **Indians**; **Interstate Commerce Acts**; **Intoxicating Liquors**, **Jurisdiction**; **Municipal Corporations**; **Public Lands**; **Safety Appliance Act**; **Taxation**; **Trade Marks**; **Waters**.

Treaties; construction of. See **Treaties**.

Repeal. See **Intoxicating Liquors**, 1.

Retroactive laws. See **Taxation**, 13.

Limitations. See **Bankruptcy Act**, 1; **Habeas Corpus**, 3; **Jurisdiction**, IV, 5; **Limitations**; **Trade Marks**, 3.

1. *Unambiguous Statute.* When plain words of statute leave no room for construction, courts must follow it, however

**STATUTES**—Continued.

Page.

harsh consequences. *Commissioner of Immigration v. Gottlieb* . . . . . 310

See also **Aliens**, 1.

2. *Adoption of Language of Earlier Enactment.* Congress regarded as adopting also construction given that language by this Court. *Hecht v. Malley* . . . . . 144

3. *Construction of Executive Departments, followed.* *Swendig v. Washington Co.* . . . . . 322

4. *Similar State Statutes; Divergent Local Constructions.* Where statutes of two States, couched in same terms, receive different constructions by local courts, constructions become parts of respective statutes, and are treated as different laws. *Knights of Pythias v. Meyer* . . . . . 30

5. *Income Tax Laws.* Courts regard matters of substance and not of form. *Weiss v. Stearn* . . . . . 242

6. *Id. Subjects Included.* Intent to tax proceeds of life insurance as income should be expressed; construction of war taxing act as imposing both income and estate tax on such proceeds avoided. *United States v. Supplee-Biddle Co.* . . . . . 189

7. *Safety Appliance Act.* Power brake provisions, liberally construed. *New York Cent. R. R. v. United States* . . . . . 41

**STEAMBOATS.** See **Carriers**, 6; **Interstate Commerce Acts**, I.

**STIPULATION.** See **Criminal Law**, 6, 7.

**STOCKHOLDERS.** See **Taxation**, 3, 4.

**STRIKES.** See **Anti-Trust Act**.

**SUMMONS.** See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 9.

**SUPERSEDEAS.** See **Equity**, 1; **Habeas Corpus**, 4; **Jurisdiction**, II, 4.

**SURETY BONDS.** See **Procedure**, II, 1.

**SURVEYS.** See **Boundaries**.

**TAXATION:**

Butter. See **Food and Drugs**.

Enjoining discriminatory state tax. See **Equity**, 2.

## TAXATION—Continued.

- |  | Page. |
|--|-------|
| Privilege tax; telegraph companies. See <b>Constitutional Law</b> , X, 11.   |       |
| Special assessments; notice. See <i>id.</i> , X, 1.  |       |
| 1. <i>Federal Income Tax; Citizen Domiciled Abroad.</i> Congress may tax income received from property situated abroad. <i>Cook v. Tait</i> .....  | 47    |
| 2. <i>Income Tax Laws; Construction.</i> Courts regard matters of substance and not of mere form. <i>Weiss v. Stearn</i> .....   | 242   |
| 3. <i>Revenue Act of 1916; Corporate Reorganization; Taxable Income of Stockholders.</i> Stock in new corporation, held not proceeds of sale, but represented part of same capital investment as stockholders' old shares, without any segregated gain taxable as income. <i>Id.</i>               |       |
| 4. <i>Id.</i> Transaction held a financial reorganization under which each stockholder retained half his interest and disposed of remainder. <i>Id.</i>  |       |
| 5. <i>Id.</i> Questions of taxation determined by viewing what was actually done rather than declared purpose of participants. <i>Id.</i>  |       |
| 6. <i>Revenue Act 1918; Income Tax. Proceeds of Life Insurance Policies</i> —exclusion from gross income, no distinction between individual and corporate beneficiaries; proceeds of insurance by corporation on life of its officer not taxable. <i>United States v. Supplee-Biddle Co.</i> ..... | 189   |
| 7. <i>Id.</i> <i>Congressional Intent</i> , to tax proceeds of indemnity life insurance as income, should be express. <i>Id.</i>   |       |
| 8. <i>Id.</i> <i>Construction of War Taxing Act</i> , as imposing both income and estate tax on proceeds of life insurance, avoided unless expressly required. <i>Id.</i>  |       |
| 9. <i>Domestic Corporations. Excise Tax</i> , imposed by Revenue Act 1916, does not apply to associations, not organized under, or deriving any quality or benefit from, any statute. <i>Hecht v. Malley</i> .....   | 144   |
| 10. <i>Id.</i> <i>Revenue Act 1918, § 1000(a).</i> Special excise extends to organizations doing business as associations under common law. <i>Id.</i>   |       |
| 11. <i>Id.</i> "Associations." Massachusetts Trusts held "associations" created or organized in United States and engaged in business, within Act 1918, <i>supra</i> . <i>Id.</i>  |       |

**TAXATION**—Continued.

Page.

12. *Id.* "*Capital Stock*". Under Act 1918, imposing special excise tax on domestic association, in absence of a fixed share capital, capital stock is net value of property owned by association and used in business. *Id.*

13. *Retroactive Assessment; Satisfaction from Moneys Previously Paid under Protest.* Taxes unlawfully assessed under Act 1916 and paid under protest, may be retained by Government to satisfy lawful retroactive assessment for same period under Act 1918. *Id.*

**TELEGRAPH AND TELEPHONE COMPANIES.** See Constitutional Law, X, 11; Public Lands, 2.

**TENTH AMENDMENT.** See Constitutional Law, IX.

**TERRITORIES.** See Jurisdiction, IV, 14, 15; VII.

**TEXAS.** See Boundaries; Procedure, I.

**TRADE MARKS.** See Jurisdiction, IV, 13; Unfair Competition.

1. *Descriptive Name*, not appropriable. *Warner & Co. v. Lilly & Co.*..... 526

2. *Cancellation of Registration; Injunction.* Under § 9 of Act, registrant who successfully resisted application to cancel before Commissioner of Patents but was defeated in Court of Appeals of District of Columbia, may maintain bill, under R. S. § 4915, to enjoin cancellation. *Baldwin Co. v. Robertson.*..... 168

3. *Id. Laches.* Such bill not barred by R. S. § 4894, where delay beyond period there prescribed justified by taking of appeal to this Court. *Id.*

**TRANSFER OF CAUSES.** See Jurisdiction, IV, 5.

**TRANSPORTATION ACT.** See Interstate Commerce Acts, II.

**TREATIES.** See Constitutional Law, II.

*Construction.* Treaty liberally construed; when two constructions are possible, one restrictive of rights that may be claimed under it and the other favorable to them, the latter is preferred. *Asakura v. Seattle.*..... 332

**TRESPASS.** See Evidence, 1; Public Lands, 6; Receivers, 3.

**TRIAL.** See **Criminal Law**, 1-5; **Jurisdiction**, IV, 12. Page.

**TRUSTS AND TRUSTEES.** See **Banks and Banking**; **Taxation**, 9-11.

Resulting trust; claim of, by defrauded creditors; illegal preference. See **Bankruptcy Act**, 8.

**UNFAIR COMPETITION:**

1. *Descriptive Name.* Use of, truthfully to describe one's own product, legal, though name previously adopted by another and confusion of public result. *Warner & Co. v. Lilly & Co.*..... 526

2. *Fraudulent Palming Off.* Manufacturer who induced retail druggists to palm off his medicine for competitor's in dispensing out of original bottles, guilty of unfair competition. *Id.*

3. *Injunction.* When several acts of unfair competition shown. *Id.*

4. *Id.* Scope of. *Id.*

**UNITED STATES.** See **Aliens**; **Army**; **Banks and Banking**; **Eminent Domain**; **Indians**; **Public Lands**; **Taxation**; **Trade Marks**.

Conspiracy to defraud. See **Criminal Law**, 8-10.

Federal Control Act. See **Carriers**, 1-4.

Land-grant rates. See *id.*, 5.

Treaties. See **Constitutional Law**, II; **Treaties**.

**VENUE.** See **Criminal Law**, 1-5.

**WAIVER.** See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 7, 15.

**WAR.** See **Army**.

Construction of taxing statutes. See **Taxation**, 8.

**WARRANT.** See **Evidence**, 1; **Habeas Corpus**, 4, 5.

**WATERS.** See **Boundaries**.

1. *Quantity*, called for by water company contract impliedly constrained by Idaho statute limiting water-right owner to amount beneficially used or required. *Idaho Irrig. Co. v. Gooding*..... 518

2. *Carey Act Project.* *Finding of Secretary of Interior*, that water suffices for entire acreage; not binding on settler

**WATERS**—Continued.

Page.

opposing issuance by company of shares exceeding actual supply. *Id.*

3. *Id.* *Excessive Shares.* Owners share water *pro rata*, but may enjoin company from issuing more. *Id.*

4. *Id.* *Shares Reacquired by Company.* Injunction against reselling, though appurtenant to its land. *Id.*

5. *Nature of Water Rights in Idaho.* Distinct property not inseparably appurtenant to land. *Id.*

**WITNESSES.** See **Evidence**, 1, 3.

**WORDS AND PHRASES:**

1. "Adulterated butter." See *Lynch v. Tilden Co.*..... 315
2. "Associations." See *Hecht v. Malley*..... 144
3. "Capital Stock." See *id.*
4. "Carrier by railroad." See *Chicago Refrigerator Co. v. Interstate Commerce Comm.*..... 292  
*United States v. American Ry. Express Co.*..... 425
5. "Defraud" the United States. See *Hammerschmidt v. United States*..... 182
6. "Income." See *Weiss v. Stearn*..... 242
7. "Interstate Commerce." See **Interstate Commerce.**
8. "Just compensation." See *Brooks-Scanlon Corp. v. United States*..... 106
9. "Prohibition Commissioner and Directors." See *Gnerich v. Rutter*..... 388
10. "Property of the United States." See *Illinois Cent. R. R. v. United States*..... 209
11. "Serving with troops operating against an enemy." *United States v. Ferris*..... 165
12. "Trade." See *Asakura v. Seattle*..... 332

**WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION LAWS.** See **Constitutional Law**, X, 12.



















