

WYMAN *v.* UNITED STATES.

ERROR TO THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR  
THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK.

No. 140. Argued October 4, 1923.—Decided October 22, 1923.

Decided upon the authority of *Brede v. Powers*, *ante*, 4.  
Affirmed.

ERROR to the District Court for the Eastern District of New York, to review a judgment sentencing the plaintiff in error to imprisonment for 45 days in the Essex County Jail, Newark, New Jersey, for the offense of selling whisky for "beverage purposes," in violation of § 3, Title II, of the National Prohibition Act, 41 Stat. 305, 308. The prosecution was by information.

*Mr. Otho S. Bowling*, with whom *Mr. Robert H. Elder* was on the briefs, for plaintiff in error.

*Mr. Solicitor General Beck* and *Mrs. Mabel Walker Willebrandt*, Assistant Attorney General, appeared for the United States.

MR. JUSTICE MCKENNA delivered the opinion of the Court.

Plaintiff in error was proceeded against by an information for the violation of a section of the National Prohibition Act.

A motion was made to dismiss the information on the ground that the crime charged was an infamous one within the meaning of the Fifth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, in that by reason of the statutes of the United States and those of New York and New Jersey, the court had power to impose an infamous punishment, namely imprisonment at hard labor and

imprisonment at involuntary labor, and that, therefore, Wyman could not be held to answer for such crime except upon presentment or indictment by a grand jury.

The motion was denied and after trial plaintiff in error was found guilty and sentenced to imprisonment for a term of 45 days in the Essex County jail, Newark, New Jersey.

To review this conviction and sentence is the purpose of this writ of error.

It will be observed that the case is identical in its legal aspects with *Brede v. Powers*, just decided, *ante*, 4. For the reasons stated in the opinion in that case, the proceedings, action and judgment are

*Affirmed.*

MR. JUSTICE McREYNOLDS and MR. JUSTICE BRANDEIS concur in the result.

---

UNITED STATES *v.* WALTER.

ERROR TO THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA.

No. 20. Argued October 3, 1923.—Decided October 22, 1923.

1. The Act of October 23, 1918, so amending § 35 of the Criminal Code as to make it a crime to make or present, for payment, a fraudulent claim against "any corporation in which the United States of America is a stockholder," should be construed to refer only to corporations, like the Fleet Corporation, that are instrumentalities of the Government and in which, for that reason, it owns stock. P. 17.
2. The act, so construed, is constitutional. *Id.*
3. A conspiracy to "defraud the United States in any manner," as denounced by § 37 of the Criminal Code, includes a conspiracy to defraud the Fleet Corporation, which, if successful, would result directly in pecuniary loss to the United States (holding all the stock) and impair the efficiency of the corporation as a governmental instrumentality. P. 18.

291 Fed. 662, reversed.