

259 U.S. Cases Disposed of Without Consideration by the Court.

CASES DISPOSED OF WITHOUT CONSIDERATION
BY THE COURT, FROM MAY 2, 1922, TO AND
INCLUDING JUNE 5, 1922.

No. 346. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, ETC., ET AL. *v.* ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY. Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois. May 15, 1922. Dismissed with costs, on motion of counsel for appellants. *Mr. Edward J. Brundage, Mr. James H. Wilkerson and Mr. Garfield Charles* for appellants. No appearance for appellee.

No. 711. STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.* THOMAS McAULIFFE. Error to the District Court of the United States for the District of Connecticut. Argued March 15, 1922. June 5, 1922, abated, upon suggestion of death of defendant in error. *Mr. Allan K. Smith and Mr. Hugh M. Alcorn* for plaintiff in error. *Mr. Walter J. Walsh and Mr. Henry J. Calnen* for defendant in error. *Mrs. Mabel Walker Willebrandt*, Assistant Attorney General, by leave of court, filed a brief on behalf of the United States as *amica curiae*.

No. 605. NORTHERN PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY ET AL. *v.* HAM, YEARSLEY & RYRIE. Error to the Supreme Court of the State of Washington. June 5, 1922. Dismissed with costs, pursuant to the tenth rule. *Mr. Ralph B. Williamson* for plaintiffs in error. *Mr. Reese H. Voorhees* for defendant in error.

THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT'S POLICY IN THE TIBET

THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT'S POLICY IN THE TIBET

THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT'S POLICY IN THE TIBET

THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT'S POLICY IN THE TIBET

THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT'S POLICY IN THE TIBET

THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT'S POLICY IN THE TIBET