

INDEX.

	Page.
ABATEMENT. See Parties, 4.	
ACCOUNTING. See Indians, 8; Patents for Inventions, 2.	
ADEQUATE LEGAL REMEDY. See Equity, 2, 3.	
ADMINISTRATION. See Indians, 3, 7, 8.	
ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS. See Army; Immigration; Interstate Commerce Acts; Public Lands, 3; Statutes, 6; Taxation, 5, 6; Waters, 9.	
ADMIRALTY:	
Transshipment; foreign merchandise. See Intoxicating Liquors, 3.	
1. <i>Personal Injury; Jurisdiction.</i> Liability of employer for injuries to employee on board vessel in navigable waters determined under maritime law; local law applies where injuries occur on land. <i>State Industrial Comm. v. Nordenholt Corp</i>	263
2. <i>Id. New York Workmen's Compensation Act.</i> Applies to injury of longshoreman on dock; award, whether made as upon implied agreement of employer and employee or otherwise, does not conflict with federal or maritime law. <i>Id.</i>	
3. <i>Id. Seamen; Negligence; Unseaworthiness.</i> Recovery from ship or owner may be had for injuries due to unseaworthiness; but not for those due to negligence of master or crew; rules apply whether suit be in admiralty or in common law court. <i>Carlisle Packing Co. v. Sandanger</i>	255
4. <i>Id.</i> Irrespective of negligence, motor boat is unseaworthy if not equipped with life preservers, or if, on waters where prevails custom to start galley fires with coal oil, a can marked "coal oil" is filled with gasoline. <i>Id.</i>	
9545°—23—38	593

ADMIRALTY—Continued.

Page.

5. *Id. Questions for Jury; Harmless Error.* Where seaman recovered verdict for injuries due to presence of gasoline in a can usually containing coal oil, and due to absence of life preservers, submission to jury on theory of owner's negligence is harmless, since facts found warranted recovery upon ground of unseaworthiness. *Id.*

6. *Limited Liability; R. S. § 4283; When Properly Set Up.* Where there is only one possible claimant and one vessel owner, limited liability may be claimed in state court by proper pleading; too late when first presented by request for charge to jury. *Id.*

7. *Navigation; Negligence; Lookout.* Degree of care in maintaining and negligence in stationing of lookout. *British Columbia Co. v. Mylroie*..... 1

8. *Id. Towage.* Upon evidence, tug held negligent in taking tow near shore and in changing course without warning. *Id.*

9. *Towage Contract,* construed as leaving tug liable for damage to barge or cargo, while in tow, due to tug's failure to render assistance in emergency. *Id.*

ADVERTISEMENT. See **Contracts**, 3.

AGENTS. See **Employer and Employee**, 5, 6.

AGRICULTURE, SECRETARY OF. See **Taxation**, 5, 6.

ALIENS. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2; **Immigration**.

ALLOTMENTS. See **Indians**.

ANSWER. See **Pleading**, 1.

ANTI-TRUST ACTS. See **Jurisdiction**, II, 5.

1. *Sherman Act; Unlawful Combinations; Dissolution; Modification of Liability under General Mortgage.* Power of court to disregard legal effect of general mortgage of properties of consolidated corporations and of bonds secured thereby, to achieve purpose of act. *Continental Ins. Co. v. United States*..... 156

2. *Id. Decree on Mandate of this Court; Modifications.* Plan decreed by District Court for establishing independence of Reading railroad and coal companies, modified; pro-

ANTI-TRUST ACTS—Continued.

Page.

visions for merger and for separation of subsidiaries and for transfer of stock of coal company to new corporation to be organized by trustees of court, under conditions assuring severance of interest by requiring stockholders by affidavit to disclose interest before receiving shares, *approved*; and provisions dealing with liability under general mortgage and bonds *disapproved*, and directions given for modifications. *Id.*

3. *Id.* *Common and Preferred Stockholders.* Rights under dissolution plan, in assets of Reading Company. *Id.*

4. *Id.* *Commodities Clause; Pennsylvania Constitution.* Whether it will be lawful that Reading Company, becoming reorganized as a railroad corporation, continue to own stock of Iron Company, left for determination by District Court. *Id.*

See also **Corporations**, 2, 3.

5. *Id.* *Combination Antedating Statute.* Existence of competition when combination formed is immaterial; combination antedating act not exempt. *United States v. Southern Pac. Co.*..... 214

6. *Id.* *Stock Control; Pacific Railroads.* Combination whereby one road, through stock purchases, acquires control of another, reducing competition, violates act; so *held* of acquisition in 1899 by Southern Pacific of stock control of Central Pacific. *Id.*

7. *Id.* *Consolidation Prior to Act.* Stock purchase not justified upon theory of prior consolidation, through physical relations and community of stock ownership and control. *Id.*

8. *Id.* *Lease; Ultra Vires.* Lease by Central Pacific to Southern Pacific, *held* beyond corporate capacity in absence of authorization by Congress; approval not inferred from opportunity of Congress to learn of it through committee reports, etc. *Id.*

9. *Id.* *Central Pacific Debt Settlement; Condonation; Estoppel.* Where Southern Pacific guaranteed mortgage bonds of new company, organized under plan of settlement to take over Central Pacific property, and acquired control of new company's stock, acceptance of guaranty by Debt Commission *held* not a condonation of violation of Act committed in acquisition of stock; settlement did not estop prosecution by Government. *Id.*

ANTI-TRUST ACTS—Continued.

Page.

10. *Id. Res Judicata.* Decree in Union Pacific Case *held* not *res judicata*, since Central Pacific was not a party in this court and questions there decided and subject-matter were different. *Id.*

11. *Id. Laches.* Delay of 14 years in suing to set aside stock control, *held* not laches, in view of time consumed by intervening prosecution to set aside control by Union Pacific through purchase of Southern Pacific stock. *Id.*

12. *Id. Decree* should sever control by stock ownership or lease; protect mortgage of Trust Company; and insure both roads access to Coast points over terminals and lines acquired during unified control. *Id.*

13. *Id. New Parties,* may be brought in, by District Court, in framing decree. *Id.*

14. *Id. Pacific Railroads Act 1862.* Whether leases to Southern Pacific and acquisition of Central Pacific stock in and of themselves violated act of 1862—not decided. *Id.*

15. *Id. Triple Damages, § 7; Conspiracy; Baseball Business.* Action not maintainable against leagues and constituent clubs, joined with individuals, for conspiracy to monopolize baseball business. *Federal Club v. National League...* 200

See also **Interstate Commerce, 2.**

16. *Id. Joinder; Parties and Actions.* Discretion of District Court to permit joinder of allied corporations as plaintiffs in action by their receiver for damages. *United Mine Workers v. Coronado Coal Co.*..... 344

17. *Id. Unincorporated Labor Unions,* are suable, as distinct entities, in federal courts, and are included by § 7 permitting actions for damages against corporations and associations existing under federal or state laws. *Id.*

18. *Id. Conspiracy to Restrain Interstate Commerce,* by obstructing mining at particular mines, is not within act, unless intention to restrain that commerce be proven, or unless effect is so direct and substantial that such intention must be inferred. *Id.*

19. *Id. Strikes. Evidence,* *held* not sufficient to prove that conspiracy of local labor organization, accompanied by local strike, to prevent employment of non-union miners, was a conspiracy to restrain interstate commerce, in violation of act. *Id.*

See also **Employer and Employee, 5-8.**

APPEAL AND ERROR. See **Jurisdiction; Procedure.** Page.

APPROPRIATION. See **Waters, 3-13.**

ARMY:

1. *Military Tribunals; Jurisdiction; Judicial Review.*
Courts will not interfere, by mandamus or otherwise, with proceedings of military tribunals, acting within scope of authority and with jurisdiction over person and subject-matter. *French v. Weeks*..... 326
Creary v. Weeks..... 336
2. *Reorganization Act, 1920; Officers; Retirement or Discharge; Classification; Findings.* President's approval of action of Final Classification Board, personal or delegated, not necessary; review discretionary with President. *Id.*
3. *Id. Presidential Power; Delegation.* Power to approve findings may be exercised by Secretary of War. *French v. Weeks*..... 326
4. *Id. Hearing. Due Process* not violated by § 24b in not affording officer, after hearing and classification, a further hearing before another board on question whether classification was due to neglect, misconduct or avoidable habits, involving discharge if affirmative, or retirement if negative. *Creary v. Weeks*..... 336

ARREST. See **Extradition.**

ASSIGNMENT OF ERROR. See **Procedure, II, V.**

ATTORNEYS. See **Indians, 1-6.**

BANKS AND BANKING:

Bankers' tax; War Revenue Act, 1898. See **Taxation, 11-15.**

BASEBALL. See **Anti-Trust Acts, 15; Interstate Commerce, 2.**

BIDS. See **Contracts, 3.**

BLACKLISTING. See **Employer and Employee, 1, 2.**

BOARDS OF TRADE. See **Taxation, 5-7.**

BONDHOLDERS. See **Anti-Trust Acts, 1, 2, 9, 12.**

- BONDS.** See **Taxation**, 19. Page.
- BOUNDARIES.** See **Waters**, 1, 2.
 Columbia River; Oregon-Washington Compact; fisheries.
 See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.
 1. *Georgia-South Carolina.* Decree declaring location of interstate boundary. *Georgia v. South Carolina*..... 572
 2. *Oklahoma-Texas.* Decree adjudicating proprietary claims to land in bed of Red River. *Oklahoma v. Texas*..... 565
- BRIEFS.** See **Procedure**, II.
- BURDEN OF PROOF.** See **Evidence**, 3, 8, 10.
- CANCELLATION.** See **Indians**, 2-8; **Insurance**; **Public Lands**, 3.
- CAPITAL.** See **Taxation**, 11-15.
- CARRIERS.** See **Anti-Trust Acts**, 1-14; **Employers' Liability Act**; **Interstate Commerce Acts**; **Intoxicating Liquors**, 4, 5.
 Land grants. See **Public Lands**.
 Pipe Lines; State Regulation. Power to require operation by foreign corporation of private oil pipe line as common carrier. *Pierce Oil Co. v. Phoenix Refg. Co.*..... 125
- CERTIORARI.** See **Jurisdiction**, II, 8.
- CHEAT.** See **Criminal Law**.
- CHILD LABOR ACT:**
 Constitutionality. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 3, 4; IX;
 Jurisdiction, II, 1.
 Enjoining collection of tax. See **Equity**, 2.
- CHINESE EXCLUSION ACTS.** See **Immigration**.
- CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS.** See **Jurisdiction**, II, 2, 3; III.
- CITIES:**
 Rate regulation. See **Telephone Companies**.
 Bonds. See **Taxation**, 19.
 Street railways; interference with franchise rights. See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 1, 2.

CITIZENSHIP. See Constitutional Law, I, 2; Immigration, 4; Jurisdiction, II, 2.

CLAIMS. See Contracts, 2-9; Jurisdiction, II, 7; Limitations, 1; Taxation, 11-16.

CLAYTON ACT. See Anti-Trust Acts, 15.

COLORADO. See Procedure, I, 1; Waters, 3-13.

COLUMBIA RIVER. See Constitutional Law, I, 2.

COMMERCE. See Anti-Trust Acts; Constitutional Law, III; Employers' Liability Act; Interstate Commerce; Interstate Commerce Acts.

COMMODITIES CLAUSE. See Anti-Trust Acts, 4.

COMMON LAW. See Admiralty, 3; Criminal Law.

COMPENSATION. See Contracts, 4-9; Fees.

COMPETENCY. See Drunkards; Indians, 4-6.

COMPETITION. See Anti-Trust Acts.

CONFLICT OF LAWS:

Contracts; law governing. See Insurance.

CONFORMITY ACTS. See Procedure, III.

CONGRESS:

Powers. See Constitutional Law.

Statutes cited. See Table at front of volume.

Debates and committee reports. See Statutes, 5.

CONSENT. See Jurisdiction, III, 2.

CONSOLIDATION:

Of causes. See Procedure, III.

CONSPIRACY. See Anti-Trust Acts, 15, 18, 19.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW:

I. States; Powers and Rights Inter Sese, p. 600.

II. Judiciary, p. 600.

III. Commerce Clause, p. 601.

IV. Federal Excise Taxes, p. 601.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

Page.

- V. Immigration, p. 601.
- VI. District of Columbia, p. 601.
- VII. Fifth Amendment, p. 602.
- VIII. Sixth Amendment, p. 603.
- IX. Tenth Amendment; Reserved Powers, p. 603.
- X. Fourteenth Amendment, p. 603.
- XI. Sixteenth Amendment, p. 604.
- XII. Eighteenth Amendment, p. 604.

See **Admiralty**, 2; **Jurisdiction**; **Statutes**, 1-4.

War power; price regulation; requisition. See VII, 1, 2, *infra*.

Equal protection of the laws. See X, 4, *infra*.

Liberty of speech. See X, 1, *infra*.

New States; title to innavigable streams. See **Waters**, 1.

Who may question validity of statutes. See **Parties**, 5, 6.

Unconstitutional taxes; injunction. See **Equity**, 2-4; **Jurisdiction**, II, 4.

Colorado constitution; water rights. See **Waters**, 13.

Pennsylvania constitution; railroad corporations; stock ownership in other companies. See **Anti-Trust Acts**, 4.

Texas constitution; municipalities; irrevocable grants.

See **Franchises**.

I. States; Powers and Rights Inter Sese. See IX, *infra*.

1. *Equal Rights; Innavigable Waters.* Adjudged in harmony with constitutional principle of state equality. *Wyoming v. Colorado* 419

2. *Compact; Washington-Oregon; Fisheries; Columbia River.* Provisions of Compact, approved by Congress, for preserving fish and of acts of acceptance that no license to fish shall be issued to non-citizens, do not prevent either State from narrowing licensable classes. *Olin v. Kitzmiller*. 260

II. Judiciary.

Partial Unconstitutionality; Non-separable Statutes. Saving clause is an assurance that separable valid provisions may be enforced consistently with legislative intent; but courts cannot amend inseparable provisions by inserting limitations not contained in statute. *Hill v. Wallace* 44

See IV, 2, *infra*.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

III. Commerce Clause. See IV, *infra*; **Interstate Commerce.** Page.

1. *Future Trading Act*, regulating grain boards of trade, with a penalty, called a tax, imposed on sales for future delivery, *held* invalid as respects such tax and related regulations. *Hill v. Wallace*..... 44
2. *Id.* Sales of grain for future delivery made between members of board of trade, *held* not within commerce power, unless regarded by Congress as directly interfering with interstate commerce. *Id.*

IV. Federal Excise Taxes. See III, *supra*.

1. *Future Trading Act*, regulating business of grain boards of trade, with a penalty, called a tax, imposed on sales for future delivery, *held* invalid as respects such tax and related regulations. *Hill v. Wallace*..... 44
2. *Id. Severability.* Under saving clause, provisions authorizing investigations by Secretary of Agriculture, and, *semble*, taxing options of purchase or sale, *held* unaffected by conclusion that tax on sales for future delivery is invalid. *Id.*
3. *Penalties; Reserved Powers of States.* Federal statute penalizing conduct the regulation of which is reserved to the States, cannot be sustained by calling penalty a tax. *Child Labor Tax Case*..... 20
4. *Child Labor Act, 1919.* Excise tax on net profits derived from sales of products of establishments employing child labor, is invalid. *Id.*

V. Immigration. See VII, 5, 6, *infra*; **Immigration.**

- Power of Congress*, to order deportation of aliens whose presence in country it deems hurtful, by proper executive proceedings. *Ng Fung Ho v. White*..... 276

VI. District of Columbia.

1. *Taxation; Suffrage.* Power of Congress to tax residents for support of District Government and over disposition of money, notwithstanding persons taxed lack suffrage and have politically no voice in expenditure. *Heald v. District of Columbia*..... 114
2. *Id. Act Mar. 3, 1917.* Whether exemption of stock of certain companies is void for uncertainty, not decided where not shown that any tax was levied on basis of it or that it subjected plaintiff to injury. *Id.*

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

Page.

3. *Id. Who May Object.* Objection to tax because of application to intangible property, credits, etc., of nonresidents and to state and municipal bonds, cannot be made by persons who are residents of, and whose property taxed is within, District and does not include such bonds. *Id.*

VII. Fifth Amendment.

1. *Taking of Property; Price Fixing; Lever Act.* No taking by Government, where coal was sold to private buyers at price fixed by Government which was less than claimant had contracted to sell it for to others. *Morrisdale Coal Co. v. United States* 188
See also *Pine Hill Coal Co. v. United States*..... 191

2. *Id. Requisition; National Defense Act.* Acceptance by claimant of proposal for delivery of copper before certain date at price to Government fixed by Act of Aug. 29, 1916, held a contract, and not a compulsory requisition under Defense Act authorizing fair compensation. *American Smelting Co. v. United States*..... 75

3. *Due Process; Hearing; Penalties.* Taxes retained in force by Prohibition Act, § 35, upon dealing in liquor made criminal by act, held a penalty and not enforceable by distraint without constitutional hearing. *Lipke v. Lederer*..... 557

4. *Id. Military Tribunals.* Army Reorganization Act does not violate due process in not affording officer, after hearing and classification, a further hearing before another board, on question whether classification was due to neglect, misconduct or avoidable habits. *Creary v. Weeks*..... 336

5. *Id. Aliens.* Chinese, admitted into country and afterwards held for deportation, who claim citizenship through their father, supported by evidence before immigration officers and upon petition for *habeas corpus*, are entitled to judicial hearing of claim. *Ng Fung Ho v. White*..... 276

6. *Id. Deportation; Retroactive Laws.* Chinese laborer in United States contrary to Exclusion Act, is subject to executive deportation under Immigration Act of 1917, without giving it a retroactive effect, although he entered country before it was passed. *Id.*

See V, *supra*; Immigration.

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

VIII. Sixth Amendment.

Page.

Jury Trial; Right to. Taxes retained in force by Prohibition Act, § 35, upon dealing in liquor made criminal by act, *held* a penalty and not enforceable by distraint without constitutional hearing. *Lipke v. Lederer*..... 557

IX. Tenth Amendment; Reserved Powers.

1. *Federal Taxation; Penalties.* Act of Congress penalizing conduct the regulation of which is reserved to States, cannot be sustained by calling penalty a tax. *Child Labor Tax Case*..... 20

2. *Child Labor Act, 1919.* Excise tax on net profits derived from sales of products of establishments employing child labor, *held* invalid. *Id.*

X. Fourteenth Amendment.

1. *Liberty of Speech.* Amendment imposes no restrictions protective of freedom of speech, or liberty of silence, or privacy of individuals or corporations. *Prudential Ins. Co. v. Cheek*..... 530

2. *Workmen's Compensation.* Extension of New York law to employments in which four or more workmen are employed, as construed by state court, does not violate rights of employers. *Ward & Gow v. Krinsky*..... 503

3. *Id.* So *held* of employer of salesmen in booths at railway stations working apart from other classes of employees, where salesman was injured while emptying from platform water used in connection with work in booth. *Id.*

4. *Corporations; Service Letters.* Missouri and Oklahoma laws, requiring corporations to furnish employees, when discharged or leaving service, letters setting forth nature and duration of service and cause of leaving, *held* not a denial of due process or equal protection and within power of State over foreign and domestic corporations. *Prudential Ins. Co. v. Cheek*..... 530
Chicago, R. I. & Pac. Ry. v. Perry..... 548

5. *Id.* Provisions of Oklahoma law as to form and contents of letters, also sustained. *Id.*

6. *State Judgment Affecting Liberty; Action for Damages.* State decision holding unlawful agreement of several corporations not to employ within two years employees discharged

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.

Page.

from or leaving service of either of others, and sustaining action by former employee for damages, does not deny due process. *Prudential Ins. Co. v. Cheek*..... 530

7. *Foreign Corporations; Pipe Lines*. State may require operation of local, private oil pipe line as common carrier, when done under valid statutory provisions in force and accepted by corporation when it entered State. *Pierce Oil Co. v. Phoenix Refg. Co.*..... 125

8. *Rates; Adequacy. Conclusions* of master and District Court that statutory rates had become confiscatory, sustained. *Newton v. Consolidated Gas Co.*..... 101

9. *Id.* Evidence held to establish that local ordinance rate for telephone service was confiscatory. *Houston v. Southwestern Tel. Co.*..... 318

XI. Sixteenth Amendment.

Income Tax; Apportionment; Corporate Shares; Preferential Subscription Right. Where intrinsic value of new shares is in excess of issuing price, stockholder's right is analogous to stock dividend and of itself constitutes no taxable income. *Miles v. Safe Deposit Co.*..... 247

See also **Taxation**, 8-10.

XII. Eighteenth Amendment.

Intoxicating Liquors; Transportation and Transshipment. Amendment and Prohibition Act forbid transportation through, and transshipment from one British ship to another in a port of, the United States; and supersede R. S. §3005, and treaty with Great Britain of 1871. *Grogan v. Walker & Sons*..... 80

CONSTRUCTION. See **Contracts; Franchises; Statutes.**

Tariffs. See **Interstate Commerce Acts.**

Treaties. See **Extradition**, 5, 6; **Intoxicating Liquors**, 3.

CONTEMPT.

Violation of injunction; criminal and civil aspects; review of order of District Court. See **Jurisdiction**, III, 3-6.

CONTRACTS. See **Anti-Trust Acts; Drunkards; Indians; Insurance.**

Agreements between States. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

Employer and employee. See **Admiralty**, 2.

CONTRACTS—Continued.

Page.

Lease. See **Anti-Trust Acts**, 8, 14; **Indians**, 7, 8; **Mines and Mining**.

Lieu selection. See **Public Lands**, 1.

Sales; future delivery. See **Constitutional Law**, III; IV, 1, 2.

Towage. See **Admiralty**, 9.

Central Pacific Debt Agreement. See **Anti-Trust Acts**, 9.

Municipal ordinance; irrevocable grant; mutuality. See **Franchises**.

1. *Blacklisting Agreement; Legality*. State decision holding unlawful agreement of several corporations not to employ within two years employees discharged from or leaving service of either of others, sustained. *Prudential Ins. Co. v. Cheek* 530

See also **Employer and Employee**, 1.

2. *Offer and Acceptance*, by correspondence, constitute contract, though made in contemplation of formal document to follow. *American Smelting Co. v. United States*..... 75

3. *United States; War Material; Advertising*. Contract for delivery by specified date, held within exception of R. S. § 3709, dispensing with advertising in emergency; formalities of section are to protect United States, not seller. *Id.*

4. *Id. Requisition; National Defense Act*. Acceptance by claimant of proposal for delivery before certain date at price to Government fixed by Act of Aug. 29, 1916, held a contract, and not a compulsory requisition under Defense Act authorizing fair compensation. *Id.*

5. *Id. Disaffirmance; Delay by Government; Shipping Orders*. Contractor, after delivery, cannot claim freedom from contract because of delay and recover difference between statutory and contract price, upon theory that deliveries were compulsory and called for fair compensation. *Id.*

6. *Id. Pleading. Damages* for Government's delay in performing can not be had upon petition framed upon theory of compulsory requisition. *Id.*

7. *Id. Legislative Relief; Statutory Formalities*. Case held not within Act of 1919, authorizing relief to contractors furnishing supplies under agreements not executed as provided by law. *Id.*

CONTRACTS—Continued.

Page.

8. *Id.* *Implied Contract; War-Time Price Regulation; Lever Act.* Where coal was sold to private buyers at price fixed by Government which was less than claimant had contracted to sell it for to others, *held*, there was no taking by Government and no implied contract to indemnify claimant for loss. *Morrisdale Coal Co. v. United States*..... 188

9. *Id.* *Requisition; Businesses of Non-complying Dealers; Compensation.* Section 25, authorizing suit against United States where owner not satisfied with compensation, refers only to price to be paid by Government; and is not an undertaking by United States to indemnify producers who sold to third parties. *Pine Hill Coal Co. v. United States*..... 191

See also **Statutes**, 5.

CONVEYANCES. See **Indians**.**CORPORATIONS.** See **Anti-Trust Acts**, 1-14; **Constitutional Law**, X, 1; **Taxation**, 18.

Banking corporations; special taxes; War Revenue Act, 1898. See **Taxation**, 11-15.

Foreign corporations. See **Constitutional Law**, X, 7.

Service letters; ex-employees. See *id.*, X, 4-6.

Consolidation; stock control. See **Anti-Trust Acts**, 6 *et seq.*

Public utilities. See **Gas Companies; Jurisdiction**, IV, 1, 2; **Telephone Companies**.

1. *Ultra Vires Acts; Lease.* In view of rights and franchises granted Central Pacific Railroad by United States, a 99 year lease of its road to a competitor *held* beyond its corporate capacity, in absence of authorization by Congress. *United States v. Southern Pac. Co.*..... 214

2. *Stockholders; Dissolution; Rights in Assets.* Common and preferred stockholders share alike in assets of liquidating corporation, if preference be only as to dividends. *Continental Ins. Co. v. United States*..... 156

3. *Id.* *Dividends.* Discretion of directors, as to, and effect of organization agreement. *Id.*

4. *Id.* *New Shares. Preferential Subscription Right* is not a fruit of stock ownership in nature of a profit, nor a division of part of assets, but a privilege, in preference to strangers, of contributing new capital, inhering in stock ownership as a quality inseparable from capital interest represented by old stock. *Miles v. Safe Deposit Co.*..... 247

CORPORATIONS—Continued.

Page.

5. *Id. Income*. Such subscription right is not taxable as income, though proceeds above cost from sale or assignment are. *Id.*

See also **Taxation**, 8-10.

6. *Unincorporated Associations. Trade Boards*. When members may sue to enjoin officers from complying with unconstitutional act of Congress. *Hill v. Wallace*..... 44

7. *Id. Labor Unions*. Suability, as distinct entities, in federal courts, upon process served on officers, for torts committed in strikes; action for triple damages under § 7, Sherman Act, permitting suits for damages against corporations and associations existing under federal or state laws. *United Mine Workers v. Coronado Coal Co.*..... 344

COSTS. See **Procedure**, VII, 1.

COURT OF CLAIMS. See **Contracts**, 2-9; **Jurisdiction**, II, 7; **Limitations**, 1; **Taxation**, 11-16.

COURTS: See **Admiralty**; **Equity**; **Evidence**; **Extradition**; **Habeas Corpus**; **Injunction**; **Judgments**; **Judicial Notice**; **Jurisdiction**; **Laches**; **Limitations**; **Mandamus**; **Parties**; **Pleading**; **Procedure**; **Statutes**.

Administrative decisions. See **Army**; **Immigration**; **Interstate Commerce Acts**; **Public Lands**, 3; **Statutes**, 6; **Taxation**, 5, 6; **Waters**, 9.

Equity Rule 68. See **Fees**, 1.

Equity Rule 75. See **Procedure**, II, 1

Rule 21, Supreme Court. See *id.*

CRIMINAL CODE. See **Intoxicating Liquors**, 4.

CRIMINAL LAW. See **Extradition**; **Intoxicating Liquors**, 4, 5. Contempt; injunction; criminal and civil aspects; review of order of District Court. See **Jurisdiction**, III, 3-6.

Conspiracy. See **Anti-Trust Acts**, 15, 18, 19.

Penalty or tax; injunction. See **Equity**, 2, 3.

False Pretenses; Common-Law Cheat. Evidence held sufficient to show an obtaining by false pretenses within Louisiana law, as well as a cheat at common law. *Collins v. Loisel*..... 309

CUSTOMS LAW:

Page.

Transportation and transshipment; foreign merchandise.

See **Intoxicating Liquors**, 3.**DAMAGES.** See **Anti-Trust Acts**, 15-19; **Contracts**, 6; **Employer and Employee**, 2; **Patents for Inventions**, 2.Limited liability. See **Admiralty**, 6.Towage contract. See *id.*, 9**DECREES.** See **Judgments; Procedure**, VII.**DEEDS.** See **Indians**.**DEPORTATION.** See **Immigration**.**DESCENT AND DISTRIBUTION.** See **Indians**, 3, 7, 8.**DIPLOMATIC OFFICERS.** See **Extradition**, 3, 4.**DISTRAINT.** See **Intoxicating Liquors**, 1.**DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.** See **Taxation**, 17-19.**DISTRICT COURT.** See **Anti-Trust Acts**, 2, 4, 12, 13; **Fees**; **Injunction**, 2; **Jurisdiction**, II, 2-6; III; IV; **Limitations**, 2; **Procedure**, III, V, VII.**DIVERSE CITIZENSHIP.** See **Jurisdiction**, II, 2.**DIVIDENDS.** See **Corporations**, 2-4.**DOCUMENTS.** See **Contracts; Extradition**, 3, 4.**DRUNKARDS:**

Contractual Capacity; Evidence. Inference of incapacity for business from reputation as a common drunkard can only be overcome by clear evidence of ability on particular occasion, when transaction in which party was overreached is in question. *Kendall v. Ewert*..... 139

See also **Indians**, 4-6.**DUE PROCESS.** See **Constitutional Law**.**DUTIES.** See **Intoxicating Liquors**, 3.**EIGHTEENTH AMENDMENT.** See **Constitutional Law**, XII.

EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEE. See Anti-Trust Acts, 16-19;

Employers' Liability Act.

Child Labor Tax Act. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 3, 4;
IX; **Equity**, 2; **Jurisdiction**, II, 1.

Personal injuries; non-maritime employment; New York
Workmen's Compensation Law. See **Admiralty**, 1, 2.

1. *Corporations; Service Letters.* Missouri and Oklahoma
laws, requiring corporations to furnish employees when dis-
charged or leaving service, letters setting forth nature and
duration of service and cause of leaving, *held* constitutional.
Prudential Ins. Co. v. Cheek..... 530
Chicago, R. I. & Pac. Ry. v. Perry..... 548

2. *Id. Blacklisting; Action for Damages.* State decision
holding unlawful agreement of several corporations not to
employ within two years employees discharged from or leav-
ing service of either of others, and sustaining action by
former employee for damages, is not a denial of due proc-
ess. *Id.*

3. *Workmen's Compensation.* Extension of New York law
to all employments in which four or more workmen are em-
ployed, as construed by state court, does not violate rights
of employers. *Ward & Gow v. Krinsky*..... 503

4. *Id.* So *held* of employer of salesmen in booths at rail-
way stations working apart from other classes of employees,
where salesman was injured while emptying from platform
water used in connection with work in booth. *Id.*

5. *Labor Unions; Strikes; Tort Liability; Agency.* General
association *held* not responsible, upon principles of agency,
for torts, committed in strike called by local union, in ac-
cordance with its constitution but without sanction of general
organization; latter not liable without evidence of participa-
tion in or ratification of torts committed. *United Mine
Workers v. Coronado Coal Co.*..... 344

6. *Id. Local Branches; Strike Funds.* Where constitution
of district organization authorizes officers to order local
strike, district is responsible for injuries unlawfully inflicted
in strike so ordered. *Id.*

7. *Id. Conspiracy; Rights of Employers.* Evidence *held*
to establish conspiracy of local union to deprive plaintiffs
of their employees by intimidation and violence, and de-
struction of plaintiff's property in its execution. *Id.*

EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEE—Continued.

Page.

8. *Id.* *Restraint on Interstate Commerce.* Evidence held not sufficient to prove that conspiracy of district organization, accompanied by local strike, to prevent employment of non-union miners, restrained interstate commerce. *Id.*

EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT:

Interstate Commerce; Employment In. Employee engaged in overhauling in repair shops of engine temporarily withdrawn from exclusive employment in interstate commerce, is not employed in such commerce; action lies under state compensation and not federal act. *Industrial Accident Comm. v. Davis*..... 182

EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS. See **Constitutional Law**, X, 4.**EQUITY.** See **Injunction**.

Masters' fees; Rule 68. See **Fees**, 1.

Moot case. See **Procedure**, VI; VII, 1.

Record on appeal; Rule 75. See *id.*, II, 1.

1. *Doctrine of Relation.* Not applied to sustain inequitable title. *Kendall v. Ewert*..... 139

2. *Injunction; R. S. § 3224; Unconstitutional Tax; Penalties.* Bill to enjoin sale to satisfy penalty described as tax by unconstitutional act of Congress; when not maintainable; adequacy of legal remedy of payment under protest and action to recover. *Bailey v. George*..... 16

3. *Id.* Taxes retained in force by Prohibition Act, § 35, upon dealing in liquor made criminal by act, held a penalty and not enforceable by distraint; § 3224 is inapplicable, and person affected is entitled to injunction for want of adequate legal remedy. *Lipke v. Lederer*..... 557

4. *Id.* *Who May Sue.* Members of unincorporated board of trade may sue to enjoin its officers from complying with invalid act of Congress; § 3224 held inapplicable. *Hill v. Wallace*..... 44

5. *Id.* *Confiscatory Rates; Right to Equitable Relief; Evidence.* Plaintiff leasing telephone instruments from corporation, which owned stock of plaintiff and of a third corporation from which plaintiff obtained supplies, held not obliged to prove profits of two other companies, generally or in business with plaintiff. *Houston v. Southwestern Tel. Co*..... 318

EQUITY RULE 68:

Masters' fees. See **Fees**, 1.

Page.

EQUITY RULE 75:

Record on appeal. See **Procedure**, II, 1.

ERROR AND APPEAL. See **Jurisdiction**; **Procedure**.

ESTATES OF DECEDENTS. See **Indians**, 3, 7, 8.

ESTOPPEL.

United States. See **Anti-Trust Acts**, 9.

Rates; Fair Return; Invalid Ordinance. Acceptance of ordinance guaranteeing a fair return, does not estop company from insisting that rates be based upon value of property at time of inquiry, when ordinance is void as to city, under state constitution, and therefore lacks mutuality as between parties. *Houston v. Southwestern Tel. Co.*..... 318

EVIDENCE. See **Interstate Commerce Acts**; **Judicial Notice**.

Masters' fees; elements in determination. See **Fees**.

Record on appeal; condensation of evidence; Equity Rule 75. See **Procedure**, II, 1.

Presumption. See 10, *infra*; **Anti-Trust Acts**, 8.

Capacity to contract. See 10, *infra*; **Indians**, 4-6.

Negligence. See **Admiralty**.

Conspiracy to restrain interstate commerce and to deprive employer of services of employees. See **Anti-Trust Acts**, 18, 19; **Employer and Employee**, 7, 8.

1. *Extradition.* Admissibility and authentication of documents; evidence of criminality; nature of evidence which may be introduced by accused; function of committing magistrate and review on *habeas corpus* of conclusions as to relevancy of evidence; Treaty with Great Britain of 1842 and Louisiana law considered. *Collins v. Loisel*..... 309

2. *Questions of Fact.* *Capital Used in Banking.* In action for refund of special bankers' taxes paid under War Revenue Act 1898, extent to which capital of corporation engaged in distinct lines of business is used in banking is a question of fact. *Fidelity & Deposit Co. v. United States*..... 296

3. *Id.* *Burden of Proof*, is on plaintiff, to show that none of capital, or less than amount of assessment, was employed in banking. *Fidelity Title Co. v. United States*..... 304

EVIDENCE—Continued.

Page.

4. *False Pretenses; Common-Law Cheat.* Evidence held sufficient to show an obtaining by false pretenses within Louisiana law, as well as a cheat at common law. *Collins v. Loisel*..... 309
5. *Innavigable Streams; Laramie River; Yearly Flow; Appropriation.* Conclusions made from evidence as to available yearly supply and extent of existing Wyoming and Colorado appropriations. *Wyoming v. Colorado*..... 419
6. *Statutory and Ordinance Rates; Adequacy.* Conclusions of master and District Court that statutory gas rate had become confiscatory, sustained. *Newton v. Consolidated Gas Co* 101
7. *Id.* Evidence held to establish that local telephone rate fixed by city was confiscatory. *Houston v. Southwestern Tel. Co.*..... 318
8. *Id. Equitable Relief; Burden of Proof.* In suit to enjoin enforcement, where evidence showed plaintiff leased its instruments from a corporation which owned stock of plaintiff and also of a third corporation from which plaintiff obtained supplies, and that charges paid therefor were reasonable, plaintiff held not obliged to prove profits made by two other companies, generally or in business done with plaintiff. *Id.*
9. *Going Concern Value.* Consideration, in determining base for fixing rates, depends on financial history of corporation. *Id.*
10. *Contractual Capacity; Drunkards.* Inference of incapacity for business from reputation as common drunkard can only be overcome by clear evidence of ability on particular occasion, when transaction in which party was overreached is in question. *Kendall v. Ewert*..... 139

EXCHANGES. See **Taxation**, 5-7.

EXCISE TAXES. See **Constitutional Law**, III, IV.

EXECUTION:

Injunction. See **Equity**, 2, 3.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS. See **Extradition**, 3, 4; **Fees; Indians**, 1-5; **Public Lands**, 1, 2; **Waters**, 10, 12.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS—Continued.

Page.

Administrative decisions. See **Army; Immigration; Interstate Commerce Acts; Public Lands, 3; Statutes, 6; Taxation, 5, 6; Waters, 9.**

Injunction; unconstitutional tax. See **Equity, 2-4; Jurisdiction, II, 4.**

EXTRADITION:

1. *Extraditable Offenses.* Description of crime in two countries need not be same, nor need scope of liability be co-extensive in each; it is enough if act charged be criminal in both jurisdictions. *Collins v. Loisel*. 309
2. "*Foreign Country.*" Where offense committed at Bombay, India is the foreign country, within statute. *Id.*
3. *Consuls; Certificate by.* *Judicial Notice* of identity and diplomatic status. *Id.*
4. *Evidence; Warrants, Depositions, Etc.* Admissibility in proceedings under Act Aug. 3, 1882; authentication and proof of certificate of resident diplomatic or consular officer. *Id.*
5. *Id. Probable Cause; Criminality.* Under Treaty with Great Britain, 1842, and under Louisiana law, person arrested may introduce before committing magistrate evidence rebutting probable cause, but not evidence in defense. *Id.*
6. *Id. "Evidence of Criminality"*, as used in treaty, refers to sufficiency of evidence in elements essential to conviction, not to character of specific instruments of evidence or rules of admissibility. *Id.*
7. *Id. State Procedure*, cannot entitle prisoner to introduce evidence made irrelevant by treaty. *Id.*
8. *Committing Magistrate. Function.* May determine whether there is competent evidence sufficient to hold for trial, not whether it would suffice for conviction. *Id.*
9. *Id. Conclusions; Review; Habeas Corpus.* Conclusions as to relevancy of evidence; when not reëxaminable in *habeas corpus*. *Id.*

See also **Criminal Law.**

FACTS. See **Evidence; Judicial Notice.**

Findings of jury; instructions; harmless error. See **Admiralty, 5, 6.**

FACTS—Continued.

Page.

Administrative decisions. See **Army; Immigration; Interstate Commerce Acts; Public Lands, 3; Statutes, 6; Taxation, 5, 6; Waters, 9.**

Remand for further findings by Court of Claims. See **Jurisdiction, II, 7.**

FALSE PRETENSES. See **Criminal Law.**

FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY ACT. See **Employers' Liability Act.**

FEDERAL QUESTION. See **Jurisdiction, II, 2, 4, 8, 9; IV, 1, 2.**

FEES:

1. *Masters.* Discretion of District Court, under Equity Rule 68, in fixing fees; review in case of abuse. *Newton v. Consolidated Gas Co.*..... 101

2. *Id. Determination of Amount.* Compensation should be adequate to work done, time employed and responsibility assumed—liberal but not exorbitant; salaries of judicial officers as guides; higher rate generally necessary. *Id.*

FIFTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law, VII.**

FINAL JUDGMENT. See **Jurisdiction, III, 3.**

FISHERIES. See **Constitutional Law, I, 2.**

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law, X.**

FRANCHISES. See **Corporations, 1.**

Irrevocable Grants; Rates; Texas-Constitution. Acceptance of city ordinance guaranteeing fair return upon investment, does not estop company from insisting that rates be based upon value of property at time of inquiry, when ordinance is void as to city, under state constitution, and therefore lacks mutuality as between parties. *Houston v. Southwestern Tel. Co.*..... 318

FREE SPEECH. See **Constitutional Law, X, 1.**

FUEL REGULATION. See **Contracts, 8, 9.**

GAS COMPANIES:

Page.

- Rates; Adequacy.* Conclusions of master and District Court that statutory gas rate had become confiscatory, sustained.
Newton v. Consolidated Gas Co...... 101

GEORGIA. See *Boundaries*, 1.

GRAIN BOARDS. See *Taxation*, 5-7.

GREAT BRITAIN. See *Extradition*, 5, 6; *Intoxicating Liquors*, 3.

GUARANTY. See *Anti-Trust Acts*, 9.

HABEAS CORPUS:

1. *Judicial Hearing; Claim of Citizenship.* Chinese, admitted and afterwards held for deportation, who claim citizenship through their father, and who support claim by evidence before immigration officers and upon petition for *habeas corpus*, are entitled to judicial hearing. *Ng Fung Ho v. White* 276
2. *Extradition. Conclusions of Committing Magistrate*, as to relevancy of evidence; when not reëxaminable in *habeas corpus*. *Collins v. Loisel*..... 309

HEARING. See *Constitutional Law*, VII, 3-6.

HEIRS. See *Indians*, 3, 7, 8.

IMMIGRATION:

1. *Power of Congress*, to order deportation of aliens whose presence in country it deems hurtful, by proper executive proceedings. *Ng Fung Ho v. White*..... 276
2. *Chinese Exclusion Act, 1892*, prohibits Chinese laborer not in possession of certificate of residence to remain in country, irrespective of legality of his entry. *Id.*
3. *Id. Deportation; Immigration Act 1917.* Such Chinese person is subject to executive deportation under Act of 1917, without giving it a retroactive effect, although he entered country before it was passed. *Id.*
4. *Id. Judicial Hearing; Habeas Corpus.* Chinese, admitted and afterwards held for deportation, who claim through citizenship of their father, and who support claim by evidence before immigration officers and upon petition for *habeas corpus*, are entitled to judicial hearing. *Id.*

INCOME TAX. See **Corporations**, 4, 5; **Taxation**, 8-10.

Page.

INDIANS. See **Drunkards**; **Equity**, 1; **Procedure**, VII, 5; **Statutes**, 6.

Reservations; federal mining laws. See **Mines and Mining**.

1. *Persons Employed in Indian Affairs. Conveyances to.* Special assistant to Attorney General held employed in Indian affairs within R. S. § 2078, forbidding interest in trade with Indians; section covers individual purchase of land allotment; deed taken in violation of section is void, passing legal title only. *Ewert v. Bluejacket*..... 129
Kendall v. Ewert..... 139

2. *Id. Cancellation; Limitations; Laches. Mortgage.* Neither state statute of limitations nor doctrine of laches applies to suit in District Court by Indian owners to set transaction aside; right to indemnity against mortgages by grantee and to reconveyance. *Ewert v. Bluejacket*..... 129

3. *Id. Restricted Allotments; Public Sale; Executive Approval.* So held where land was not involved in litigation about which attorney was employed, and conveyed with approval of Secretary of Interior, under act of Congress authorizing sale by adult and minor heirs of allottees. *Id.*

4. *Conveyance by Drunkard.* Upon evidence, held that stipulation to dismiss suit and quit-claim deed were executed by Indian when incompetent, due to addiction to drink, and should be set aside. *Kendall v. Ewert*..... 139

5. *Id. Executive Approval*, without knowledge of Indian's condition when deed executed, does not validate deed which is invalid because of mental incompetency. *Id.*

6. *Id. Indemnification Against Mortgage.* Where conveyance set aside because of grantor's incompetency, grantee must give indemnification for mortgage by which he had incumbered title, if it remains a subsisting lien. *Id.*

7. *Descent and Distribution. Rents and Royalties*, from restricted allotment, are personalty and pass to administrator for payment of taxes and charges of administration and for distribution under state law, when no act of Congress controls. *Id.*

8. *Id. Revivor*, by administrator of suit by allottee to set aside conveyance and for accounting, in respect of rents and royalties and costs and expenses of litigation, after land conveyed to defendant by allottee's heirs. *Id.*

INFANTS. See **Indians**, 3.

Page.

Child Labor Tax Act. See **Constitutional Law**, IV, 3, 4;
IX; **Equity**, 2; **Jurisdiction**, II, 1.

INFRINGEMENT. See **Patents for Inventions**.

INJUNCTION:

Interlocutory injunction, review. See **Jurisdiction**, III, 1, 2.

Moot case. See **Procedure**, VII, 1.

Contempt orders, review. See **Jurisdiction**, III, 3-6.

Unconstitutional tax. See **Equity**, 2-4; **Jurisdiction**, II, 4.

Confiscatory rates. See **Telephone Companies**.

Interference with franchise rights. See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 1, 2.

Water appropriations. See **Waters**, 10, 12.

Cancellation; lieu selections. See **Public Lands**, 3.

1. *Obedience*. One knowing of injunction must obey it, even if writ has not issued. *Union Tool Co. v. Wilson*..... 107

2. *Teste; Waiver*. Injunction in District Court may bear teste of Chief Justice of United States; objection waived if not seasonably made. *Id*.

3. *Patent Infringement; License; Compensation*. Where patentee obtained injunction against sale of machines and spare parts and decree for accounting as to sales prior to injunction, but received no compensation for infringement by use of those machines, license to use spare parts on machines sold not implied; sale of parts, to be so used, violates injunction. *Id*.

INSTRUCTIONS. See **Admiralty**, 5, 6.

INSURANCE:

1. *Life Policies; Loan Agreements; Validity; Law Governing*. Law of State where policy executed, directing temporary continuance by application of proportion of net value upon default in premiums, controls later loan agreement, made in same State, and stipulating for cancellation upon default in repaying loan. *Mutual Life Ins. Co. v. Liebing* 209

2. *Id*. Loan agreement (under policy executed in Missouri) held made in Missouri and governed by Missouri law. *Id*.

INTERIOR, SECRETARY OF. See **Indians**, 3, 5; **Public Lands**, 1-3; **Statutes**, 6.

- Page.
- INTERLOCUTORY INJUNCTION.** See Jurisdiction, III, 1, 2.
- INTERNAL REVENUE.** See Constitutional Law, III, IV; Taxation.
- INTERNATIONAL LAW.** See Boundaries; Constitutional Law, I, 2; Extradition; Waters.
- INTERSTATE COMMERCE.** See Anti-Trust Acts; Constitutional Law, III; Interstate Commerce Acts.
- Employment in. See Employers' Liability Act.
1. *Mining of Coal* is not interstate commerce. *United Mine Workers v. Coronado Coal Co.*..... 344
 2. *Professional Baseball.* Exhibitions, for profit, between clubs of league, involving repeated traveling of players between States, provided for and controlled by organizations employing them; not interstate commerce. *Federal Club v. National League.*..... 200
 3. *Sales; Future Delivery.* Sales made at Chicago between members of board of trade, to be settled there by off-setting purchases or by delivery of warehouse receipts for grain there stored, *held* not interstate commerce. *Hill v. Wallace.*.. 44
- INTERSTATE COMMERCE ACTS.** See Anti-Trust Acts; Constitutional Law, III; Employers' Liability Act; Interstate Commerce.
- Commodities clause. See Anti-Trust Acts, 4.
- Preliminary Resort to Commission.* Not necessary where, in action for charges exacted by carrier under interstate tariff, rights of parties depend on legal construction of tariff and involve no question of fact in aid of construction and no question of administrative discretion. *Great Northern Ry. v. Merchants Elev. Co.*..... 285
- INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION.** See Interstate Commerce Acts.
- INTERVENTION.** See Procedure, I, 4.
- INTOXICATING LIQUORS:**
1. *Prohibition Act; Penalties.* Taxes retained in force by § 35, upon dealing in liquor made criminal by act, *held* a penalty and not enforceable by distraint without constitutional hearing. *Lipke v. Lederer.*..... 557

INTOXICATING LIQUORS—Continued.

Page.

2. *Id. Injunction.* R. S., § 3224, held inapplicable and person affected entitled to injunction. *Id.*
3. *Id. Transportation and Transshipment.* Eighteenth Amendment and Prohibition Act forbid transportation through, and transshipment from one British ship to another in a port of, the United States, and supersede R. S., § 3005, and treaty with Great Britain, 1871. *Grogan v. Walker & Sons*..... 80
4. *Carriers; Transportation.* Under Crim. Code, § 240, and Webb-Kenyon Act, liquors may be carried into a State only when labeled as required by § 240 and by state law. *Rainier Brewing Co. v. Great Northern S. S. Co.*..... 150
5. *Id. Washington Law,* permitting importations in limited quantity under permit, does not allow transportation in car-load lots billed to transfer company for distribution at destination to permittees. *Id.*

INVENTIONS. See **Patents for Inventions.**

IRRIGATION. See **Waters**, 4-13.

JOINDER. See **Parties**, 1.

JUDGMENTS. See **Injunction.**

Finality; contempt orders. See **Jurisdiction**, III, 3-6.

Moot cases. See **Procedure**, VII, 1.

Administrative decisions. See **Army; Immigration; Interstate Commerce Acts; Public Lands**, 3; **Statutes**, 6; **Taxation**, 5, 6; **Waters**, 9.

1. *Original Cases.* Decree enjoining State and its officers from diverting more than specified amount of water of navigable stream. *Wyoming v. Colorado*..... 496
2. *Id.* Declaring location of interstate boundary. *Georgia v. South Carolina*..... 572
3. *Id.* Adjudicating proprietary claims to land in bed of Red River; petitions of interveners claiming under lease from Oklahoma, dismissed. *Oklahoma v. Texas*..... 565
4. *Anti-Trust Act; Res Judicata; United States.* Decree in Union Pacific Case held not to conclude Government in suit against Central Pacific and Southern Pacific railroads, as an unlawful combination, since Central Pacific was not a party and questions there decided and subject-matter were different. *United States v. Southern Pac. Co.*..... 214

JUDGMENTS—Continued.

Page.

5. *Id. Scope of Decree.* Decree should sever control by stock ownership or lease; protect mortgage of Trust Company; and insure both roads access to Coast points over terminals and lines acquired during unified control. *Id.*
6. *Id. New Parties.* In framing decree, District Court may bring in additional parties. *Id.*
7. *Id. Execution of Mandate; Dissolution of Combination in Restraint of Trade.* Plan of District Court for dissolution of Reading and subsidiary companies, approved with modifications. *Continental Ins. Co. v. United States*..... 156
8. *Pro Forma Injunction; Form of Decree After Reversal.* Where injunction granted, with consent of parties, merely that order may be appealed to Court of Appeals and cause submitted there as though it were a court of original jurisdiction, Court of Appeals may decline to consider merits and reverse for proper proceedings, but it cannot dismiss appeal leaving injunction in force. *Ex parte Harley-Davidson Co.*..... 414

JUDICIAL NOTICE:

Diplomatic Officers; Status. Papers in extradition may be certified by U. S. Consul General in foreign country, of whose identity and diplomatic status court takes judicial notice. *Collins v. Loisel*..... 309

JURISDICTION:

- I. Generally, p. 621.
- II. Jurisdiction of this Court:
- (1) Generally, p. 621.
 - (2) Over Circuit Court of Appeals, p. 621.
 - (3) Over District Court, p. 622.
 - (4) Over Court of Claims, p. 622.
 - (5) Over State Courts, p. 622.
- III. Jurisdiction of Circuit Court of Appeals, p. 622.
- IV. Jurisdiction of District Court, p. 623.

See **Admiralty**, 1-6; **Constitutional Law**; **Equity**; **Extradition**; **Procedure**.

Jurisdiction of state courts. See I, 2; II (5), *infra*; **Admiralty**, 6.

Administrative decisions. See **Army**; **Immigration**; **Interstate Commerce Acts**; **Public Lands**, 3; **Statutes**, 6; **Taxation**, 5, 6; **Waters**, 9.

JURISDICTION—Continued.

Page.

Certiorari. See II, 8, *infra*.

Federal question. See II, 2, 4, 8, 9; IV, 1, 2, *infra*.

Final judgment. See III, 3, *infra*.

Local law. See II (5), *infra*; **Admiralty**, 1, 2; **Extradition**; **Insurance**; **Limitations**, 2; **Procedure**, III; **Waters**, 4, 5, 13.

Moot cases. See II, 1, *infra*; **Procedure**, VII, 1.

Concurrent jurisdiction; States; Columbia River. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

I. Generally.

1. *Mandamus. Proceedings of Military Tribunals*, acting within scope of authority, with jurisdiction over person and subject-matter, not interfered with by civil courts. *French v. Weeks*..... 326
Creary v. Weeks..... 336
2. *Interstate Commerce Commission. Resort to, Not Necessary*, when rights of parties depend on legal construction of tariff and involve no question of fact in aid of construction and no question of administrative discretion. *Great Northern Ry. v. Merchants Elev. Co.*..... 285

II. Jurisdiction of this Court.

(1) *Generally.*

1. *Moot Cases. Constitutionality of Child Labor Tax* not decided, where minor, pending appeal, reaches age not affected by statute. *Atherton Mills v. Johnston*..... 13

See also **Procedure**, VII, 1.

(2) *Over Circuit Court of Appeals.* See III, *infra*.

2. *Federal Question; Diverse Citizenship.* Where substantial federal question is set up in bill, in addition to diverse citizenship, and is basis of decision in District Court and Court of Appeals, decree of latter is appealable. Jud. Code, § 128. *Weiland v. Pioneer Irrig. Co.*..... 498
3. *Void Stipulation to Dismiss; Review of Merits.* Upon appeal from decree of Court of Appeals dismissing appeal from District Court pursuant to stipulation for final dismissal of suit, this court, finding stipulation invalid, may dispose of entire case. *Kendall v. Ewert*..... 139

JURISDICTION—Continued.

Page.

(3) *Over District Court.* See II, 2, 3, *supra*; III; IV, *infra*.

4. *Federal Question.* In suit to restrain seizure of property by revenue officers under color of act of Congress, claim that act as construed and sought to be applied by them is invalid, will support direct writ of error. *Lipke v. Lederer*..... 557

5. *Execution of Mandate.* Court may determine, upon appeal, on own motion, independently of assignments of error, whether mandate directing dissolution of unlawful combination has been complied with. *Continental Ins. Co. v. United States* 156

See also **Anti-Trust Acts**, 1-4.

6. *Habeas Corpus; Extradition.* Conclusions of committing magistrate as to relevancy of evidence; when reviewable. *Collins v. Loisel*..... 309

(4) *Over Court of Claims.*

7. *Remand*, for further findings, where, in action for refund of bankers' taxes paid under Act of 1898, corporation claimed banking department was conducted without use of its capital but on depositors' money, and Court of Claims made no specific finding on subject but other findings respecting segregation of several kinds of business, from which extent to which capital was used in banking could not be ascertained. *Fidelity & Deposit Co. v. United States*..... 296

(5) *Over State Courts.* See I, 2, *supra*; **Admiralty**, 6.

8. *Federal Question; Jud. Code, § 237; Scope of Review.* When case is properly here on writ of error because involving constitutionality of statute, other federal questions, in themselves reviewable only by certiorari, will be determined also. *Prudential Ins. Co. v. Cheek*..... 530

9. *Id. When Properly Raised.* Where issue upon constitutionality of state statute, though not actively litigated in trial court, is actually decided by state court of last resort in favor of statute, its judgment is reviewable. *Chicago, R. I. & Pac. Ry. v. Perry*..... 548

III. Jurisdiction of Circuit Court of Appeals. See II (2), *supra*.

1. *Jud. Code, § 129. Interlocutory Injunction.* Order granting injunction is reviewable only after District Court has heard and considered case. *Ex parte Harley-Davidson Co.*..... 414

JURISDICTION—Continued.

Page.

2. *Id. Form of Decree After Reversal.* Where injunction granted, with consent of parties, for purpose of appeal to and decision by Court of Appeals as a court of original jurisdiction, Court of Appeals has jurisdiction; it may decline to consider merits and reverse for proper proceedings, but it cannot dismiss appeal leaving injunction in force. *Id.*
3. *Contempt; Final Judgment.* Review by writ of error, in civil and criminal aspects, of order of District Court fining defendant for contempt of injunction, partly as punishment and partly as compensation to plaintiff, and purging defendant in other respects, without prejudice. *Union Tool Co. v. Wilson* 107
4. *Id. Cross Writ of Error.* Where only legal questions arising upon agreed facts are involved, plaintiff is entitled to review of part of order unfavorable to himself by cross writ of error. *Id.*
5. *Id. Discretion of Trial Court. Correction of Errors of Law by Appellate Court,* where District Court, through failure to apply settled legal principles to conceded facts, refuses to impose fine as compensation to plaintiff for violation of injunction. *Id.*
6. *Id. Punitive or Remedial Punishment.* Direction by Court of Appeals to impose such punishment as may seem proper, referred to civil compensation. *Id.*

See also **Injunction.**

IV. Jurisdiction of District Court. See II, 2-6; III, *supra*.

1. *Federal Question,* raised by bill of street railway company to enjoin removal of wires as in violation of constitutional rights. *South Covington Ry. v. Newport* 97
2. *Id.* Jurisdiction, having attached, is not defeated by answer denying intention to enforce removal except through court order. *Id.*
3. *Joinder of Parties. Discretion,* in view of Conformity Act and state law respecting consolidation of causes, to permit joinder of allied corporations as plaintiffs in action by their receiver for triple damages under Sherman Act. *United Mine Workers v. Coronado Coal Co.* 344
4. *Id. Master's Fees.* Discretion, under Equity Rule 68, in fixing fees; review in case of abuse. *Newton v. Consolidated Gas Co* 101

JURY:

Page.

Right to. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.Instructions. See **Admiralty**, 5, 6.**LABOR UNIONS.** See **Anti-Trust Acts**, 16-19; **Employer and Employee**, 5-8.**LACHES:**

1. *Conveyance of Restricted Indian Allotment; Cancellation.* Doctrine of laches does not apply to suit in District Court against grantee by Indian owners to set aside deed which is void under R. S. § 2078. *Ewert v. Bluejacket*..... 129

2. *United States; Anti-Trust Act.* Delay of 14 years in suing to set aside stock control by Southern Pacific through purchase of Central Pacific stock, held not laches, in view of time consumed by intervening prosecution to set aside control by Union Pacific Railroad through purchase of Southern Pacific stock. *United States v. Southern Pac. Co.*..... 214

LEASE. See **Anti-Trust Acts**, 8, 14; **Indians**, 7, 8; **Mines and Mining**.**LEVER ACT.** See **Contracts**, 8, 9.**LICENSE.** See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2; **Patents for Inventions**, 2; **Waters**, 9.**LIEN.** See **Indians**, 2, 6.**LIFE INSURANCE.** See **Insurance**.**LIMITATIONS:**

1. *Refunding Act 1912.* Limitation on actions in Court of Claims on claims arising under Act of July 27, 1912, is 6 years. *Fidelity & Deposit Co. v. United States*..... 296
Fidelity Title Co. v. United States..... 304

2. *State Statutes; Conveyances; Indians; Cancellation.* State statute does not apply to suit in District Court against grantee by Indian owners to set aside deed which is void under R. S. § 2078. *Ewert v. Bluejacket*..... 129

LIMITED LIABILITY. See **Admiralty**, 6.**LIQUORS.** See **Intoxicating Liquors**.

LOCAL LAW. See Admiralty, 1, 2; Extradition; Insurance; Jurisdiction, II (5); Limitations, 2; Procedure, III; Waters, 4, 5, 13.

MANDAMUS:

Proceedings of Military Tribunals, acting within scope of authority, with jurisdiction over person and subject-matter, not interfered with by civil courts.

French v. Weeks..... 326

Creary v. Weeks..... 336

MANDATE. See Procedure, V.

MARITIME LAW. See Admiralty.

MASTER AND SERVANT. See Admiralty, 1, 2; Anti-Trust Acts, 16-19; Employer and Employee; Employers' Liability Act.

Child Labor Tax Act. See Constitutional Law, IV, 3, 4; IX; Equity, 2; Jurisdiction, II, 1.

MASTERS. See Fees; Procedure, VII, 6.

MILITARY LAW. See Army.

MINES AND MINING:

Federal Laws are inapplicable to parts of bed of Red River lying within and south of Indian pasture reserve; petitions of intervention, in original suit, claiming under lease from Oklahoma or based on locations under placer mining laws, dismissed. *Oklahoma v. Texas*..... 565

MINORS. See Indians, 3.

Child Labor Tax Act. See Constitutional Law, IV, 3, 4; IX; Equity, 2; Jurisdiction, II, 1.

MONOPOLIES. See Anti-Trust Acts; Patents for Inventions.

MOOT CASES. See Procedure, VI; VII, 1.

MORTGAGE. See Anti-Trust Acts, 1, 2, 9, 12; Indians, 2, 6.

MUNICIPALITIES:

Rate regulation. See Telephone Companies.

Street railways; interference with franchise rights. See Jurisdiction, IV, 1, 2.

Bonds. See Taxation, 19.

- NATIONAL DEFENSE ACT.** See **Contracts**, 4, 5. Page.
- NATIONAL PROHIBITION ACT.** See **Intoxicating Liquors**, 1-3.
- NAVIGABLE WATERS.** See **Admiralty**; **Boundaries**; **Waters**.
- NEGLIGENCE.** See **Admiralty**.
- NONRESIDENTS.** See **Jurisdiction**, II, 2; **Taxation**, 19.
- NOTICE.** See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 3-6; **Judicial Notice**.
- OFFICERS.** See **Extradition**, 3, 4; **Fees**; **Indians**, 1-5; **Parties**, 2, 6; **Public Lands**, 1, 2; **Waters**, 10, 12.
 Administrative decisions. See **Army**; **Immigration**; **Interstate Commerce Acts**; **Public Lands**, 3; **Statutes**, 6; **Taxation**, 5, 6; **Waters**, 9.
 Injunction; unconstitutional tax. See **Equity**, 2-4; **Jurisdiction**, II, 4.
- OIL COMPANIES:**
 Pipe lines. See **Constitutional Law**, X, 7.
- OKLAHOMA.** See **Boundaries**, 2; **Waters**, 1, 2.
- OREGON:**
 Columbia River; fisheries. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.
- ORIGINAL CASES.** See **Boundaries**; **Procedure**, I; **Waters**.
- PARTIES:**
 Bringing in additional parties, in framing decree. See **Anti-Trust Acts**, 13.
 Res judicata. See **Judgments**, 4.
 Estoppel. See **Anti-Trust Acts**, 9; **Estoppel**.
 Intervention. See **Procedure**, I, 4.
 1. *Joinder; Actions and Parties Plaintiff.* Discretion, of District Court to permit joinder of allied corporations as plaintiffs in action by their receiver for triple damages under Sherman Act. *United Mine Workers v. Coronado Coal Co.* 344
 2. *Unincorporated Labor Unions.* Suability, as distinct entities, in federal courts, upon process served on officers, for torts committed in strikes. *Id.*

PARTIES—Continued.

	Page.
3. <i>Id. Sherman Act.</i> Such associations are included in § 7, permitting actions for damages against corporations and associations existing under federal or state laws. <i>Id.</i>	
See also Employer and Employee , 5-8.	
4. <i>Revivor. Administrator of Indian Allottee</i> , may revive suit to set aside conveyance of allotment and for accounting, in respect of rents and royalties and costs and expenses of litigation, after land conveyed to defendant by allottee's heirs. <i>Kendall v. Ewert</i>	139
5. <i>Residents of District of Columbia; Attacking Constitutionality of Tax.</i> Objection to tax because of application to intangible property, etc., of nonresidents and to state and municipal bonds, cannot be made by residents whose property taxed is within District and does not include such bonds. <i>Heald v. District of Columbia</i>	114
6. <i>Injunctions; Unincorporated Associations.</i> When members of board of trade may enjoin officers from complying with unconstitutional act of Congress. <i>Hill v. Wallace</i>	44
7. <i>Moot Case.</i> Constitutionality of Child Labor Tax Act not decided, where minor, pending appeal, reaches age not affected by statute. <i>Atherton Mills v. Johnston</i>	13

PATENTS FOR INVENTIONS:

1. <i>Infringement; Injunction; Teste.</i> Writ in District Court may properly bear teste of Chief Justice of United States. <i>Union Tool Co. v. Wilson</i>	107
2. <i>Id. Use After Injunction; When License Not Implied.</i> Where patentee obtained injunction against sale of machines and spare parts and decree for accounting as to sales prior to injunction, but received no compensation for infringement by use of those machines, no license to use spare parts on machines so sold can be implied; sale of parts, to be so used, violates injunction. <i>Id.</i>	

PATENTS FOR LANDS. See **Indians; Mines and Mining; Public Lands.**

PAYMENT. See **Equity**, 2, 3.

PENALTIES. See **Equity**, 2-4; **Taxation**, 1-7.

- Page.
- PERSONAL INJURY.** See Admiralty, 1-6; Employer and Employee, 3, 4; Employers' Liability Act.
- PIPE LINES.** See Constitutional Law, X, 7.
- PLEADING:**
- Limited liability; when properly set up. See Admiralty, 6.
 - 1. *Answer; Attempt to Defeat Federal Jurisdiction.* Where bill to enjoin removal of wires of street railway company sets up federal question, jurisdiction of District Court is not defeated by answer denying intention to enforce removal except through court order. *South Covington Ry. v. Newport* 97
 - 2. *Claims; Contract or Requisition.* Damages for Government's delay under contract cannot be had upon petition framed upon theory of compulsory requisition under National Defense Act. *American Smelting Co. v. United States* 75
- POLICE POWER.** See Constitutional Law.
- PRESIDENT.** See Army, 2, 3.
- PRESUMPTION.** See Anti-Trust Acts, 8; Evidence, 10.
- PRINCIPAL AND AGENT.** See Employer and Employee, 5, 6.
- PRIORITY.** See Waters, 3 *et seq.*
- PROBABLE CAUSE.** See Extradition, 5, 6.
- PROCEDURE.** See Admiralty; Equity; Estoppel; Evidence; Extradition; Habeas Corpus; Immigration; Judgments; Judicial Notice; Jurisdiction; Laches; Limitations; Mandamus; Parties; Pleading; Statutes.
- Abatement and revivor. See Parties, 4.
 - Assignment of error. See II, V, *infra*.
 - Certiorari. See Jurisdiction, II, 8.
 - Damages. See Admiralty, 6, 9; Anti-Trust Acts, 15-19; Contracts, 6; Employer and Employee, 2; Patents for Inventions, 2.
 - Federal question. See Jurisdiction, II, 2, 4, 8, 9; IV, 1, 2.
 - Final judgment. See *id.*, III, 3.
 - Injunction. See I, 1; VII, 1, *infra*; Equity, 2-4; Injunc-

PROCEDURE—Continued.

Page.

- tion; Jurisdiction, II, 4; III; IV, 1, 2; **Public Lands**, 3;
- Telephone Companies; Waters**, 10, 12.
- Instructions. See **Admiralty**, 5, 6.
- Intervention. See I, 4, *infra*.
- Limited liability; when properly set up. See **Admiralty**, 6.
- Local law. See III, *infra*; **Admiralty**, 1, 2; **Extradition**;
- Insurance; Jurisdiction**, II (5); **Limitations**, 2; **Waters**, 4, 5, 13.
- Masters. See VII, 6, *infra*; **Fees**.
- Presumption. See **Anti-Trust Acts**, 8; **Evidence**, 10.
- Record. See II, 1, *infra*.
- Remand. See **Jurisdiction**, II, 7.
- Rules of court. See II, 1, *infra*; **Fees**.
- Sentence; contempt. See **Jurisdiction**, III, 6.
- Waiver. See **Injunction**, 2.

I. Original Cases.

- 1. *Decree* enjoining State and its officers from diverting more than specified amount of water of innavigable stream. *Wyoming v. Colorado*..... 496
- 2. *Id.* Declaring location of interstate boundary. *Georgia v. South Carolina*..... 572
- 3. *Id.* Adjudicating proprietary claims to land in bed of Red River. *Oklahoma v. Texas*..... 565
- 4. *Id.* *Interventions*. Petitions claiming under lease from Oklahoma dismissed. *Id.*

II. Assignment of Error. See V, *infra*.

- 1. *Voluminous Records; Briefs*. Assignment not considered if provisions of Equity Rule 75, that evidence be in condensed form, and of Rule 21 of this court, that briefs refer to pages of record, not complied with. *Houston v. South-western Tel. Co.*..... 318
- 2. *Objections Raised Too Late*. Objections to teste of writ of injunction held waived when made for first time in brief in this court. *Union Tool Co. v. Wilson*..... 107

III. Conformity Acts.

- Joinder; Parties and Actions*. Discretion of District Court, under Conformity Act and state law respecting consolidation of causes, to permit joinder of allied corporations as plaintiffs in action by their receiver for triple damages under Sherman Act. *United Mine Workers v. Coronado Coal Co.*..... 344

PROCEDURE—Continued.

Page.

IV. Mandamus.

Proceedings of Military Tribunals, acting within scope of authority, with jurisdiction over person and subject-matter, not interfered with by civil courts. *French v. Weeks*..... 326
Creary v. Weeks..... 336

V. Mandate.

Execution of; Review on Appeal. Court may determine, upon appeal, on its own motion, independently of assignments of error, whether mandate directing dissolution of unlawful combination has been complied with. *Continental Ins. Co. v. United States*..... 156
 See also **Anti-Trust Acts, 2.**

VI. Moot Cases. See VII, 1, *infra*.

Pending Appeal. Constitutionality of Child Labor Tax not decided, where minor, pending appeal, reaches age not affected by statute. *Atherton Mills v. Johnston*..... 13

VII. Scope of Review and Disposition of Case. See II, VI, *supra*.

1. *Form of Decree; Moot Case.* Where case becomes moot pending appeal, decree granting permanent injunction should be reversed with direction to dismiss without costs. *Atherton Mills v. Johnston*..... 13
2. *Id. Review of Pro Forma Decree of Interlocutory Injunction.* Where injunction granted, with consent of parties, for purpose of appeal to and decision by Court of Appeals as a court of original jurisdiction, Court of Appeals may decline to consider merits and reverse for proper proceedings, but it cannot dismiss appeal leaving injunction in force. *Ex parte Harley-Davidson Co.*..... 414
3. *Id. Anti-Trust Act.* Decree in suit against unlawful railroad combination, should sever control by stock ownership or lease, protect mortgage of Trust Company; and insure both roads access to Coast points over terminals and lines acquired during unified control. *United States v. Southern Pac. Co.*..... 214
4. *Id. New Parties.* In framing decree, District Court may bring in additional parties. *Id.*
5. *Void Stipulation to Dismiss; Review of Merits.* Upon appeal from decree of Court of Appeals dismissing appeal from District Court pursuant to stipulation for final dismissal of suit, this court, finding stipulation invalid, may dispose of entire case as justice may require. *Kendall v. Ewert*..... 139

PROCEDURE—Continued.

Page.

6. *Findings of District Court and Master*, that statutory gas rate had become confiscatory, sustained. *Newton v. Consolidated Gas Co.*..... 101

PROCESS. See **Parties**, 2.

PROHIBITION ACT. See **Intoxicating Liquors**, 1-3.

PUBLIC LANDS. See **Mines and Mining**; **Waters**, 1, 2, 4.

1. *Railroad Grants; Lieu Selections; Contract Rights.* Relinquishment, under Act 1904, at request of Secretary of Interior, effects a contract binding Government to convey such vacant lands as railroad should select, provided only they are of equal quality with lands relinquished. *Santa Fe Pac. R. R. v. Fall*..... 197
2. *Id. Equality Determined* according to conditions existing at time of selection. *Id.*
3. *Id. Cancellation. Abuse of Discretion. Injunction*, where Secretary undertook cancellation because of higher values of selected lands, revealed by investigations made long after selections. *Id.*
4. *Pacific Railroads; Act 1862.* Whether leases to Southern Pacific Company and acquisition of Central Pacific stock in and of themselves violated Pacific Railroads Acts, not decided in dissolution suit under Anti-Trust Act. *United States v. Southern Pac. Co.*..... 214
5. *Id. Lease; Ultra Vires.* In view of rights and franchises conferred upon Central Pacific R. R. Co., a 99 year lease of its road made to a competitor, *held* beyond its corporate capacity, in absence of authorization by Congress. *Id.*

RAILROADS. See **Anti-Trust Acts**, 1-14; **Carriers**; **Employers' Liability Act**; **Interstate Commerce Acts**; **Intoxicating Liquors**, 4, 5.

Land grants. See **Public Lands**.

Street railways. See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 1, 2.

RATES. See **Gas Companies**; **Interstate Commerce Acts**; **Telephone Companies**.

RECEIVERS. See **Parties**, 1.

RECORD. See **Procedure**, II, 1.

REFUNDING ACT. See **Taxation**, 16.

REMAND. See **Jurisdiction**, II, 7.

REMOVAL. See **Army**.

RENT. See **Indians**, 7, 8.

REPRESENTATION. See **Taxation**, 17.

REQUISITION. See **Contracts**, 4-9.

RESIDENCE. See **Immigration**, 2.

RESIDENTS. See **Jurisdiction**, II, 2; **Taxation**, 19.

RES JUDICATA. See **Judgments**, 4.

RETROACTIVE LAWS. See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 6.

REVENUE. See **Constitutional Law**, III, IV; **Taxation**.

REVIVOR. See **Parties**, 4.

ROYALTIES. See **Indians**, 7, 8.

RULES:

Equity Rule 68. See **Fees**, 1.

Equity Rule 75. See **Procedure**, II, 1.

Rule 21, Supreme Court. See *id.*

SALES. See **Contracts**, 3-9; **Indians**, 1-3; **Patents for Inventions**, 2; **Taxation**, 2, 5, 6, 8-10.

Execution; injunction. See **Equity**, 2.

SEAMEN. See **Admiralty**, 3-5.

SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE. See **Taxation**, 5, 6.

SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR. See **Indians**, 3, 5; **Public Lands**, 1-3; **Statutes**, 6.

SECRETARY OF WAR. See **Army**, 3.

SELECTION. See **Public Lands**, 1-3.

SENTENCE:

Contempt. See **Jurisdiction**, III, 6.

SERVICE LETTER LAWS. See **Constitutional Law**, X, 4-6.

SERVICE OF PROCESS. See **Parties**, 2.

SHAREHOLDERS. See **Anti-Trust Acts**, 1-14; **Corporations**, 2-5; **Taxation**, 8-10.

SHERMAN ACT. See **Anti-Trust Acts**.

SIXTEENTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, XI.

SIXTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

SOUTH CAROLINA. See **Boundaries**, 1.

SPECIAL ASSISTANT TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL.
See **Indians**, 1-6.

SPEECH, FREEDOM OF. See **Constitutional Law**, X, 1.

STATES. See **Boundaries**; **Constitutional Law**; **Extradition**;
Waters.

Original suits. See **Boundaries**; **Procedure**, I; **Waters**.

Courts. See **Admiralty**, 6; **Jurisdiction**, I, 2; II (5).

Officers; injunction. See **Waters**, 10, 12.

Local law. See **Admiralty**, 1, 2; **Extradition**; **Insurance**;
Jurisdiction, II (5); **Limitations**, 2; **Procedure**, III; **Waters**, 4, 5, 13.

Bonds. See **Taxation**, 19.

New States; title, innavigable streams. See **Waters**, 1.

Workmen's compensation laws. See **Admiralty**, 1, 2; **Employer and Employee**, 3, 4; **Employers' Liability Act**.

Colorado constitution; water rights. See **Waters**, 13.

Pennsylvania constitution; railroad corporations; stock ownership in other companies. See **Anti-Trust Acts**, 4.

Texas constitution; municipalities; irrevocable grants. See **Franchises**.

Washington-Oregon Compact; fisheries; Columbia River.
See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

STATUTES. See **Admiralty**; **Anti-Trust Acts**; **Army**; **Constitutional Law**; **Contracts**, 3-9; **Criminal Law**; **Employer and Employee**; **Employers' Liability Act**; **Equity**; **Extradition**; **Immigration**; **Indians**; **Insurance**; **Interstate Commerce Acts**; **Intoxicating Liquors**; **Jurisdiction**; **Limita-**

STATUTES—Continued.

* Page.

tions; Mines and Mining; Procedure, III; Public Lands; Taxation.

Retroactive laws. See **Constitutional Law**, VII, 6.

Treaties. See **Extradition**, 5, 6; **Intoxicating Liquors**, 3.

1. *Constitutionality*. Not decided where case becomes moot pending appeal. *Atherton Mills v. Johnston*..... 13

2. *Id. Severability*. Saving clause held an assurance that separable valid provisions may be enforced consistently with legislative intent; but courts cannot amend inseparable provisions by inserting limitations which act does not contain. *Hill v. Wallace*..... 44

3. *Questioning Validity. Members of Unincorporated Board of Trade*. Right to enjoin officers and directors from complying with unconstitutional act of Congress. *Id.*

4. *Id. Owners of Property Not Taxed*. Objection to tax because of application to intangible property, etc., of non-residents and to state and municipal bonds, cannot be made by residents of District of Columbia whose property taxed is within District and does not include such bonds. *Heald v. District of Columbia*..... 114

5. *Construction Entailing Heavy Liability on United States*. Construction which would make Government liable, in great sums, for losses to individuals from obedience to its regulations, cannot be based upon vicissitudes attending passage of bill nor be adopted unless expressed in plainest language. *Pine Hill Coal Co. v. United States*..... 191

6. *Executive Interpretation*. Error by Interior Department in interpretation of a statute cannot confer legal rights inconsistent with its express terms. *Ewert v. Bluejacket*..... 129

STIPULATION. See **Procedure**, VII, 5.

STOCK DIVIDENDS. See **Taxation**, 8.

STOCKHOLDERS. See **Anti-Trust Acts**, 1-14; **Corporations**, 2-5; **Taxation**, 8-10.

STREET RAILWAYS. See **Jurisdiction**, IV, 1, 2.

STRIKES. See **Anti-Trust Acts**, 16-19; **Employer and Employee**, 5-8.

SUFFRAGE. See **Taxation**, 17.

SURPLUS. See **Taxation**, 14.

Page.

TARIFFS. See **Interstate Commerce Acts**.

TAXATION:

Enjoining collection of tax. See **Equity**, 2-4.

Duties. See **Intoxicating Liquors**, 3.

1. *Federal Taxation. Reserved Powers of States; Penalties.* Act of Congress penalizing conduct the regulation of which is reserved to States, cannot be sustained by calling penalty a tax. *Child Labor Tax Case*..... 20

2. *Id. Child Labor Act, 1919.* Excise tax on net profits from sales of products of establishments employing child labor, held unconstitutional. *Id.*

3. *Prohibition Act; Penalty.* Taxes retained in force by § 35, upon dealing in liquor made criminal by act, held a penalty and not enforceable by distraint without constitutional hearing. *Lipke v. Lederer*..... 557

4. *Id. Injunction. R. S. § 3224,* forbidding suits to restrain collection of tax, and remedy of payment and action to recover, held inapplicable; injunction should issue for want of adequate legal remedy. *Id.*

5. *Future Trading Act; Grain Boards; Penalty.* Regulation of business, with penalty, called a tax, imposed on sales for future delivery, cannot be sustained under taxing or commerce powers, as respects such tax and related regulations. *Hill v. Wallace*..... 44

6. *Id. Severability.* Under saving clause, provisions authorizing investigations by Secretary of Agriculture, and *semble*, imposing a tax on options of purchase or sale, are unaffected by conclusion that tax on sales for future delivery and related regulations, are invalid. *Id.*

7. *Id. Injunction; Members of Board of Trade. R. S. § 3224* held inapplicable to suit to enjoin officers from complying with unconstitutional act of Congress. *Id.*

8. *Income Tax. Corporate Shares; New Issue. Preferential Subscription Right,* nature of. Where intrinsic value of new shares is in excess of issuing price, stockholder's right is analogous to stock dividend and of itself constitutes no taxable income. *Miles v. Safe Deposit Co.*..... 247

9. *Id. Profit from Sale.* Where right is sold or assigned, proceeds representing profit above cost to stockholder of what was sold, are taxable. *Id.*

TAXATION—Continued.

Page.

10. *Id.* *Computation* of gain or income, where corporation doubled capital stock and offered new stock share for share to stockholders at stated price, and stockholder sold preference right. *Id.*

11. *War Revenue Act 1898; Bankers' Taxes; Capital.* Not only capital used in banking, but capital held as reserve or invested to give credit to banking business is taxable. *Fidelity & Deposit Co. v. United States*..... 296

12. *Id. Refund; Distinct Businesses; Question of Fact.* Whole of common capital of corporation not deemed capital of single department; there should be apportionment; extent to which capital is used in banking is a question of fact. *Id.*

13. *Id. Burden of Proof.* Plaintiff must show that none of capital, or less than amount of assessment, was employed in banking. *Fidelity Title Co. v. United States*..... 304

14. *Id. Surplus and Undivided Profits.* Act, in providing that in estimating capital surplus be included, takes no account of technical distinction between surplus and undivided profits. *Id.*

15. *Id. Court of Claims. Remand for Further Findings,* where corporation claimed banking department was conducted solely on depositors' money, and Court of Claims made no specific finding on that subject but other findings respecting segregation of several kinds of business, from which extent to which capital was used in banking could not be ascertained. *Fidelity & Deposit Co. v. United States*... 296

16. *Id. Refunding Act 1912. Limitation,* on actions in Court of Claims on claims arising under Act of 1912, is 6 years. *Id. Fidelity Title Co. v. United States*..... 304

17. *Taxation Without Representation.* Power of Congress to tax residents for support of District Government and to direct disposition of money, notwithstanding persons taxed lack suffrage and have politically no voice in expenditure. *Heald v. District of Columbia*..... 114

18. *Id. Act Mar. 3, 1917; Who May Object.* Whether exemption of stock of certain companies is void for uncertainty, not decided where it was not shown that any tax was levied on basis of it or that it subjected plaintiff to injury. *Id.*

19. *Id.* Objection to tax because of application to intangible property, credits, etc., of nonresidents and to state and municipal bonds, cannot be made by residents whose property taxed is within District and does not include such bonds. *Id.*

TELEPHONE COMPANIES:

Page.

1. *Ordinance Rates; Adequacy.* Evidence held to establish that local rate fixed by city was confiscatory. *Houston v. Southwestern Tel. Co.*..... 318
2. *Id. Equitable Relief; Burden of Proof.* Plaintiff leasing instruments from corporation, which owned stock of plaintiff and of a third corporation from which plaintiff obtained supplies, not obliged to prove profits of two other companies, generally or in business with plaintiff. *Id.*
3. *Id. Estoppel; Void Ordinance.* Acceptance of ordinance guaranteeing fair return upon investment, does not estop company from insisting that rates be based upon value of property at time of inquiry, when ordinance is void as to city, under state constitution, and lacks mutuality as between parties. *Id.*
4. *Id. Going Concern Value.* Consideration, in determining base for fixing rates, depends on financial history of corporation. *Id.*

TENTH AMENDMENT. See **Constitutional Law**, IX.

TESTE. See **Injunction**, 2.

TEXAS. See **Boundaries**, 2; **Waters**, 1, 2.

TITLE. See **Waters**, 1.

TRADE. See **Anti-Trust Acts**; **Indians**, 1-3.

TREATIES. See **Extradition**, 5, 6; **Intoxicating Liquors**, 3.

TRIAL. See **Admiralty**, 5, 6; **Constitutional Law**, VIII.

TRUSTEES. See **Anti-Trust Acts**, 1, 2, 9, 12.

ULTRA VIRES ACTS. See **Corporations**, 1.

UNDIVIDED PROFITS. See **Taxation**, 14.

UNIONS. See **Anti-Trust Acts**, 16-19; **Employer and Employee**, 5-8.

UNITED STATES. See **Army**; **Contracts**, 2-9; **Corporations**, 1; **Immigration**; **Indians**; **Mines and Mining**; **Public Lands**; **Taxation**; **Waters**, 4.

UNITED STATES—Continued.

Page.

Estoppel. See **Anti-Trust Acts**, 9.Laches. See *id.*, 11.Treaties; Great Britain. See **Extradition**, 5, 6; **Intoxicating Liquors**, 3.**UNSEAWORTHINESS.** See **Admiralty**, 3-5.**VALUATION.** See **Telephone Companies**, 3, 4.**VERDICT.** See **Admiralty**, 5, 6.**VOTERS.** See **Taxation**, 17.**WAIVER.** See **Injunction**, 2.**WAR.** See **Army**; **Constitutional Law**, VII, 1, 2; **Contracts**, 2-9.**WAR REVENUE ACT 1898.** See **Taxation**, 11-15.**WAR, SECRETARY OF.** See **Army**, 3.**WASHINGTON.**Columbia River; fisheries. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.**WATERS.** See **Admiralty**; **Boundaries.**Columbia River; fisheries. See **Constitutional Law**, I, 2.

1. *Innavigable Streams; Title of State.* Red River is not navigable in Oklahoma; State acquired no title to part of bed within her borders by virtue of admission into Union; her rights are only such as are incidental to ownership of lands on northerly bank. *Oklahoma v. Texas*..... 565

2. *Id.* *Federal Mining Laws* are not applicable to parts of river bed lying within and south of Indian pasture reserve. *Id.*

3. *Id.* *Rights of States Inter Sese; Principle of Determination.* State equality does not imply equal division of water between the States. *Wyoming v. Colorado*..... 419

4. *Id.* *Irrigation; Appropriation; Rights of United States.* Where two States had always adhered to doctrine of appropriation, question whether United States, in virtue of public land holdings, might enforce different policy, not considered, since it asserts none. *Id.*

WATERS—Continued.

Page.

5. *Id. Diversion; Law and Custom.* Colorado may not divert waters within her borders, regardless of rights in stream below her boundary; in view of law and practice of both States, objection to diversion on ground that it is to another watershed from which Wyoming can receive no benefit, is not tenable. *Id.*

6. *Id. Priority.* Doctrine of appropriation held only equitable basis for decision; private appropriations recognized in order of priority; supply available determined with regard to conservation and avoidance of waste. *Id.*

7. *Id. Evidence; Available Supply; Laramie River.* Conclusions made from evidence and controlling principles stated as to proper measure of available yearly supply and extent of existing Wyoming and Colorado appropriations. *Id.*

8. *Id. Time of Proposed Colorado Appropriation.* Dated from time when project became fixed plan and when work begun; not related back to earlier date when project was in inceptive stage. *Id.*

9. *Id. Permits,* of state engineer, to appropriate water in specified quantity, are mere licenses, and not adjudications that surplus subject to appropriation exists. *Id.*

10. *Id. Decree* enjoining Colorado and its officers from diverting more than specified amount of water for proposed project. *Wyoming v. Colorado*..... 496

11. *Id. Interstate Streams; Priority of Appropriation.* Diversion in Colorado for use on Nebraska lands held superior to later appropriations made in Colorado for use on Colorado lands. *Weiland v. Pioneer Irrig. Co.*..... 498

12. *Id. Injunction.* State Officials enjoined from interfering with appropriation and from treating appropriator in distribution of water otherwise than if lands irrigated were wholly within Colorado. *Id.*

13. *Id. State Law.* Objection that waters are by Colorado constitution and laws the property of her people and cannot be taken for use elsewhere as against persons desiring to use them in Colorado, is untenable. *Id.*

WEBB-KENYON ACT. See Intoxicating Liquors, 4.

WORDS AND PHRASES:

Page.


1. "Capital used in banking." See *Fidelity & Deposit Co. v. United States*..... 296
- Fidelity Title Co. v. United States*..... 304
2. "Evidence of criminality." See *Collins v. Loisel*..... 309
3. "Foreign country." See *Id.*
4. "Interstate commerce." See **Interstate Commerce.**
5. "Persons employed in Indian affairs." See *Ewert v. Blue-jacket* 129
- Kendall v. Ewert*..... 139


WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION LAWS. See Admiralty, 1, 2; Employer and Employee, 3, 4; Employers' Liability Act.


WRIT. See Habeas Corpus; Injunction; Jurisdiction; Procedure.


WYOMING. See Procedure, I, 1; Waters, 3-13.














UNIVERSITY

OF

CHICAGO

VOLUME

OCTOBER

1900

1900