

As these reasons, as well as those stated in No. 239, are conclusive that the demurrers in these cases should not have been sustained, it follows that the decrees sustaining the demurrers in these cases must be and they are reversed and remanded for further proceedings in accordance with this and the opinion in *Daniels v. Wagner*, ante, p. 547.
Reversed.

DANIELS *v.* CRADDOCK.

APPEAL FROM THE CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE
NINTH CIRCUIT.

No. 248. Argued April 21, 22, 1915.—Decided June 1, 1915.

Decided on authority of *Daniels v. Wagner*, ante, p. 547.
205 Fed. Rep. 235, reversed.

THE facts are stated in the opinion.

Mr. Harrison G. Platt, with whom *Mr. Robert Treat Platt* and *Mr. Hugh Montgomery* were on the brief, for appellant.

Mr. Will R. King, *Mr. F. M. Saxton* and *Mr. L. F. Conn* for appellees, submitted.

MR. CHIEF JUSTICE WHITE delivered the opinion of the court.

Included among the fifteen cases which were argued by the appellant in a single brief, this case was separately argued by the appellees. The brief pressed upon our attention seven propositions, all of which we are of opinion

237 U. S.

Syllabus.

are disposed of by the views announced in *Daniels v. Wagner*, ante, p. 547 (No. 239), since the propositions all in substance either conflict with the finding of the Secretary of the Interior as to the performance by the lieu applicants of every essential requirement to entitle them to make the entry, or directly or indirectly assert the possession by the Land Department, at least as to the lieu entries, of the discretionary power which was asserted and recognized by the court below. It follows therefore that for the reasons here stated and those expressed in No. 239 the judgment must be and it is reversed and the case is remanded for further proceedings in accordance with this and the opinion in *Daniels v. Wagner*, ante, p. 547.

Reversed.

ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH OF ST. ANTHONY
OF PADUA, JERSEY CITY, v. THE PENNSYLVANIA
RAILROAD COMPANY.

APPEAL FROM THE CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE
THIRD CIRCUIT.

No. 269. Argued May 7, 1915.—Decided June 1, 1915.

This court cannot review the judgment of the Circuit Court of Appeals when the complaint alleged diversity of citizenship unless there remain in the complaint, if the averments of such diversity were disregarded, such averments as to existence of rights under the Constitution and laws of the United States as are adequate to sustain jurisdiction.

Inadequacy of averments in the bill to sustain jurisdiction under the Constitution and laws of the United States cannot be cured by showing that the nature and character of the acts relied upon are sufficient to justify the implication that such Constitution and laws were relied upon.

In this case the facts alleged in regard to damages caused by negligent