

OPINIONS PER CURIAM, ETC., FROM OCTOBER 10 TO DECEMBER 18, 1910.

No. —, Original. *Ex parte*: IN THE MATTER OF PATRICK LANCER, PETITIONER. Submitted October 11, 1910. Decided October 17, 1910. Motion for leave to file a petition for writ of mandamus denied. *Mr. Wilford H. Smith* for the petitioner. No one opposing.

No. 567. FRANK N. HOFFSTOT, APPELLANT, *v.* BERNARD A. FLOOD, A DETECTIVE OF POLICE OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Motions to dismiss or affirm or to advance submitted October 11, 1910. Decided October 17, 1910. Order affirmed with costs. No further opinion will be delivered. (Mr. Justice Hughes did not participate in the consideration or decision of this case.) *Mr. W. A. Blakeley, Mr. Charles S. Whitman, Mr. Warren I. Seymour, Mr. George Gordon Battle* and *Mr. H. Snowden Marshall* for the appellee, in support of the motions. *Mr. Adrian H. Joline, Mr. John D. Lindsay* and *Mr. Adrian H. Larkin* for the appellant, in opposition thereto.

No. 604. THE MERRIMACK RIVER SAVINGS BANK, APPELLANT, *v.* THE CITY OF CLAY CENTER, KANSAS, ET AL. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas. Submitted October 17, 1910. Decided October 24, 1910. Dismissed with costs. No further opinion will be delivered. *Mr. D. R. Hite* and *Mr.*

C. C. Coleman for appellant. *Mr. A. A. Godard* and *Mr. F. B. Dawes* for appellees.

No. 688. WILLIAM J. POCHIN, APPELLANT, *v.* THE CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER, COLO., ET AL. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado. Submitted October 17, 1910. Decided October 24, 1910. Decree affirmed with costs. No further opinion will be delivered. *Mr. Harvey Riddell* for appellant. *Mr. George Q. Richmond* and *Mr. F. W. Sanborn* for appellees.

No. 26. CHARLES MARTEL, PLAINTIFF IN ERROR, *v.* THE STATE OF MAINE. In error to the Supreme Judicial Court of the State of Maine. November 14, 1910. *Per Curiam*: Dismissed for want of jurisdiction in this court. *Mr. Herbert E. Holmes* for plaintiff in error. *Mr. Warren C. Philbrook* for defendant in error.

No. 30. THEODORE R. CONVERSE, RECEIVER, ETC., PLAINTIFF IN ERROR, *v.* JOHN A. STEWART. In error to the Supreme Court of the State of New York. Argued November 2, 1910. Decided November 14, 1910. *Per Curiam*: Writ of error dismissed for want of jurisdiction in this court. *Beupre v. Noyes*, 138 U. S. 397, 401; *Eustis v. Bolles*, 150 U. S. 361, 369, 370; *Rutland Railroad v. Central Vermont Railroad*, 159 U. S. 630; *Missouri Pacific Railway Company v. Fitzgerald*, 160 U. S. 556; *Bacon v. Texas*, 163 U. S. 207, 227. *Mr. William G. Wilson* and

218 U. S.

Opinions Per Curiam, Etc.

Mr. C. A. Severance for plaintiff in error. *Mr. Edward W. Sheldon* for defendant in error.

No. 34. MISSOURI, KANSAS & TEXAS RAILWAY COMPANY, PLAINTIFF IN ERROR, *v.* J. B. BLACHLEY. In error to the Court of Civil Appeals for the Fifth Supreme Judicial District of the State of Texas. Submitted November 2, 1910. Decided November 28, 1910. *Per Curiam*: It is ordered that the writ of error be dismissed for want of jurisdiction, on authority of *Missouri, Kansas & Texas Railway Company v. Hollan*, 216 U. S. 615. No further opinion will be delivered in this case. *Mr. James Hagerman* and *Mr. Joseph M. Bryson* for plaintiff in error. *Mr. B. F. Looney* for defendant in error.

No. 520. INDIAN PROTECTIVE ASSOCIATION, APPELLANT, *v.* HUGH H. GORDON AND BENJAMIN MILLER, ADMINISTRATOR. Appeal from the Court of Appeals of the District of Columbia. Motion to dismiss or affirm submitted November 14, 1910. Decided November 28, 1910. Decree affirmed with costs as to Benjamin Miller, Administrator. *Mr. Heber J. May*, for Benjamin Miller, Administrator, one of the appellees, in support of the motion. *Mr. Charles Poe*, *Mr. Daniel B. Henderson*, *Mr. Benjamin S. Minor* and *Mr. Hugh B. Rowland* for appellants, in opposition thereto.

No. —, Original. *Ex parte*: IN THE MATTER OF THE MALLORY STEAMSHIP COMPANY, PETITIONER. Submitted November 28, 1910. Decided December 5, 1910. Motion for leave to file petition for a writ of prohibition denied. *Mr. Everett P. Wheeler* for petitioner. *Mr. Frederick M. Brown* for respondent.

No. —, Original. *Ex parte*: IN THE MATTER OF H. A. BRADFORD, PETITIONER. Submitted November 28, 1910. Decided December 5, 1910. Motion for leave to file petition for writs of prohibition and mandamus denied. *Mr. George P. Hoover* and *Mr. William E. Borah* for petitioner. No one opposing.

No. —, Original. *Ex parte*: IN THE MATTER OF SOBRINOS DE EZQUIAGA, PETITIONER. Submitted November 28, 1910. Decided December 5, 1910. Motion for leave to file petition for writ of prohibition or mandamus denied. *Mr. Francis H. Dexter* for petitioner. No one opposing.

No. 8, Original. *Ex parte*: IN THE MATTER OF ATHANASI NICOLA, PETITIONER. Argued November 28, 1910. Decided December 5, 1910. Petition for a writ of mandamus denied, rule discharged, and petition dismissed, on authority of *Tobin, Petitioner*, 214 U. S. 507. *Mr. Lon O. Hocker*, *Mr. J. J. Darlington* and *Mr. James C. Jones* for petitioner. *Mr. Tyson S. Dines* and *Mr. Millard F. Watts* for respondent.

No. 11, Original. *Ex parte*: IN THE MATTER OF THE CUDAHY PACKING COMPANY, PETITIONER. Argued November 28, 1910. Decided December 5, 1910. Petition for a writ of mandamus denied, rule discharged, and petition dismissed, on the authority of *In re Pennsylvania Company, Petitioner &c.*, 137 U. S. 451. *Mr. Ralph W. Breckenridge* and *Mr. Charles J. Greene* for petitioner.

218 U. S.

Opinions Per Curiam, Etc.

Mr. Constantine J. Smyth and Mr. Edward P. Smith for respondent.

No. 61. MARY R. TRIMBLE ET AL., PLAINTIFFS IN ERROR, *v.* IDA V. KLUGH ET AL. In error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of South Carolina. Argued December 2, 1910. Decided December 5, 1910. Dismissed for want of jurisdiction, on authority of *Empire State-Idaho Mining and Development Company v. Hanley*, 205 U. S. 225. *Mr. William A. Gunter* for plaintiffs in error. *Mr. F. Barron Grier* for defendants in error.

No. 125. CLARENCE H. VENNER, PLAINTIFF IN ERROR, *v.* THE CHICAGO CITY RAILWAY COMPANY ET AL. In error to the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois. Motion to dismiss or affirm submitted December 5, 1910. Decided December 12, 1910. *Per Curiam*: As it does not appear from the record that this court has jurisdiction in this case, the writ of error is dismissed for want of jurisdiction. *Mr. John P. Wilson* for defendants in error, in support of the motion. *Mr. Elijah N. Zoline* for plaintiff in error, in opposition thereto.

Nos. 68 and 69. JULIO AYBAR, APPELLANT, *v.* THE PEOPLE OF PORTO RICO. Appeals from the Supreme Court of Porto Rico. Argued December 7, 1910. Decided December 12, 1910. *Per Curiam*: As jurisdiction in this court does not appear from the records in these cases, the appeal in each case is dismissed for want of jurisdiction. *Mr. Jackson H. Ralston, Mr. F. L. Siddons and Mr. Wil-*

liam E. Richardson for appellant. *Mr. Paul Charlton* and *Mr. Foster V. Brown* for appellees.

*Decisions on Petitions for Writs of Certiorari from
October 10 to December 18, 1910.*

No. 562. BARTLETT RICHARDS, PETITIONER, *v.* THE UNITED STATES. October 17, 1910. Petition for a writ of certiorari to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit denied. *Mr. Charles J. Hughes, Jr., Mr. R. S. Hall* and *Mr. John W. Lacey* for petitioner. *The Attorney General* and *The Solicitor General* for respondent.

No. 563. WILL G. COMSTOCK, PETITIONER, *v.* THE UNITED STATES. October 17, 1910. Petition for a writ of certiorari to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit denied. *Mr. Charles J. Hughes, Jr., Mr. R. S. Hall* and *Mr. John W. Lacey* for petitioner. *The Attorney General* and *The Solicitor General* for respondent.

No. 564. CHARLES C. JAMESON, PETITIONER, *v.* THE UNITED STATES. October 17, 1910. Petition for a writ of certiorari to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit denied. *Mr. Charles J. Hughes, Jr., Mr. R. S. Hall* and *Mr. John W. Lacey* for petitioner. *The Attorney General* and *The Solicitor General* for respondent.