

gone before, the contentions now advanced against the correctness of the judgment are so wholly without merit as not to require further argument. The motion to affirm must therefore prevail.

Affirmed.

GRIFFITH, *alias* GRIFFIN *v.* STATE OF
CONNECTICUT.

ERROR TO THE SUPREME COURT OF ERRORS OF THE
STATE OF CONNECTICUT.

No. 515. Motion to dismiss or affirm. Submitted November 28, 1910.—
Decided December 12, 1910.

Decided on authority of *Griffith v. Connecticut*, *ante*, p. 563.

THE facts are stated in the opinion.

Mr. I. Henry Harris for plaintiff in error.

Mr. Hugh M. Alcorn for defendant in error.

MR. JUSTICE WHITE delivered the opinion of the court.

The parties to this record are the same as in No. 514, just decided, *ante*, p. 563, and the questions involved are the same, the prosecution being for similar offenses against the Connecticut act of 1907. Both cases were tried together. Upon the conviction in this, however, the trial court imposed the penalty of imprisonment. The two cases were disposed of by the Supreme Court of Errors in one opinion. As the decision in No. 514 is necessarily controlling, it follows that the judgment of the Supreme Court of Errors of Connecticut must be and it is

Affirmed.