

UNITED STATES *v.* TERMINAL R. R. ASSN. 595

215 U. S.

Per Curiam.

Connico, 168 U. S. 674; no Federal question was suggested prior to petition for writ of error.

Writ of error to review 135 Iowa, 597, dismissed.

Mr. Chester C. Cole for plaintiff in error.

No appearance for defendant in error.

Per Curiam. Writ of error dismissed for the want of jurisdiction. *Castillo v. McConnico*, 168 U. S. 674. No Federal question suggested prior to petition for writ of error. Case below, 135 Iowa, 597.

UNITED STATES *v.* TERMINAL RAILROAD ASSOCIATION OF ST. LOUIS.

CERTIFICATE FROM THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI.

No. 597. Motion to dismiss submitted January 31, 1910.—Decided January 31, 1910.

A certificate in which there was no opinion, judgment or order of the court below dismissed on authority of *Baltimore & Ohio Railroad Company v. Interstate Commerce Commission*, *ante*, p. 216.

The Attorney General and *The Solicitor General* for the United States.

No appearance for The Terminal Railroad Association of St. Louis *et al.*

Per Curiam. Certificate dismissed on authority of *Baltimore & Ohio R. R. Co. v. Interstate Commerce Commission*, 215 U. S. 216.