

212 U. S.

Per Curiam.

ruling of such pleas he had been denied rights under the treaty of Paris and laws of the United States.

Writ of error to review 3 Porto Rico, 251, 503, dismissed.

*Mr. Willis Sweet* for defendant in error in Nos. 457 and 473, and *Mr. Willis Sweet* and *Mr. Joseph Anderson, Jr.*, for defendant in error in No. 474, in support of the motion.

*Mr. F. Kingsbury Curtis*, *Mr. John G. Carlisle* and *Mr. Henry A. Stickney* in Nos. 457 and 474; *Mr. F. Kingsbury Curtis* and *Mr. Henry A. Stickney* in No. 473 for plaintiff in error, in opposition.

*Per Curiam*: Writs of error dismissed for want of jurisdictional amounts. Act of April 12, 1900, c. 191, 31 Stat. 77, §§ 34, 35; Act of March 2, 1901, c. 812, 31 Stat. 953, § 3; *Royal Insurance Company v. Martin*, 192 U. S. 149, 159; *Ortega v. Lara*, 202 U. S. 339; *Perez v. Fernandez*, 202 U. S. 80; *Garrozi v. Dastas*, 204 U. S. 64, 73.

---

In re DOWAGIAC MANUFACTURING CO.

Submitted January 25, 1909.—Decided February 1, 1909.

Petition for leave to file petition for mandamus to the Circuit Court of Appeals directing it to reinstate an appeal from the Circuit Court which had already been decided by such Court of Appeals, denied.

*Mr. Fred L. Chappell*, *Mr. Morrison R. Waite* and *Mr. Edmund Wetmore* for petitioner.

*Per Curiam*: Motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of mandamus denied.