

*Mr. Wm. Waldo Hyde and Mr. Charles Welles Gross* for plaintiff in error.

No appearance for defendants in error.

*Per Curiam*: Judgments reversed with costs on the authority of *Bernheimer v. Converse*, 206 U. S. 516, and cases remanded for further proceedings in conformity to law.

---

VALDES *v.* MUNICH.

SAME *v.* WOOD.

SAME *v.* PERIANES.

ERROR TO THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR  
PORTO RICO.

Nos. 457, 473, 474. Motions to dismiss or affirm.—Submitted January 18,  
1909.—Decided February 1, 1909.

Writs of error to the District Court of the United States for Porto Rico, dismissed for want of jurisdiction because the judgments sought to be reversed were each less than \$5,000. Plaintiffs below were citizens of the United States and Porto Rico, and the defendant a citizen of Spain who filed pleas to the jurisdiction and claimed that by the over-

---

constitutional and statutory, as to stockholders' liability in favor of certain classes of corporations. Where, as in Minnesota, stockholders' liability is fixed and measured by the constitution, a stockholder upon acquiring his stock incurs an obligation arising from the constitutional provisions, and as such capable of being enforced in the courts not only of that State but of another State and of the United States."']

212 U. S.

Per Curiam.

ruling of such pleas he had been denied rights under the treaty of Paris and laws of the United States.

Writ of error to review 3 Porto Rico, 251, 503, dismissed.

*Mr. Willis Sweet* for defendant in error in Nos. 457 and 473, and *Mr. Willis Sweet* and *Mr. Joseph Anderson, Jr.*, for defendant in error in No. 474, in support of the motion.

*Mr. F. Kingsbury Curtis*, *Mr. John G. Carlisle* and *Mr. Henry A. Stickney* in Nos. 457 and 474; *Mr. F. Kingsbury Curtis* and *Mr. Henry A. Stickney* in No. 473 for plaintiff in error, in opposition.

*Per Curiam*: Writs of error dismissed for want of jurisdictional amounts. Act of April 12, 1900, c. 191, 31 Stat. 77, §§ 34, 35; Act of March 2, 1901, c. 812, 31 Stat. 953, § 3; *Royal Insurance Company v. Martin*, 192 U. S. 149, 159; *Ortega v. Lara*, 202 U. S. 339; *Perez v. Fernandez*, 202 U. S. 80; *Garrozi v. Dastas*, 204 U. S. 64, 73.

---

In re DOWAGIAC MANUFACTURING CO.

Submitted January 25, 1909.—Decided February 1, 1909.

Petition for leave to file petition for mandamus to the Circuit Court of Appeals directing it to reinstate an appeal from the Circuit Court which had already been decided by such Court of Appeals, denied.

*Mr. Fred L. Chappell*, *Mr. Morrison R. Waite* and *Mr. Edmund Wetmore* for petitioner.

*Per Curiam*: Motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of mandamus denied.