

Plaintiff in error claimed to be entitled to trial by jury and that he had been denied due process of law.

*Mr. Thomas J. Barry, Mr. Harry J. Jaquith and Mr. Benjamin Dellheim* for plaintiff in error.

*The Attorney General and The Solicitor General* for defendant in error.

*Per Curiam*: Judgment affirmed. Act of September 13, 1888, 25 Stat. 476, § 13; Act of May 5, 1892, 27 Stat. 25, §§ 3, 6; Act of March 3, 1893, 28 Stat. 7, § 1; Treaty of 1904, 33 Stat. 2215; Act of April 27, 1904, 33 Stat. 394; *United States v. Lee Yen Tai*, 185 U. S. 213; *Chin Bak Kan v. United States*, 186 U. S. 193; *Lip Hop Fong v. United States*, 209 U. S. 453; *Fong Yue Ting v. United States*, 149 U. S. 698.

---

CONVERSE v. MINNESOTA THRESHER MFG. CO.  
SAME v. FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SUFFIELD.

ERROR TO THE SUPREME COURT OF ERRORS OF CONNECTICUT.

Nos. 75, 76. Argued January 14, 1909.—Decided January 25, 1909.

A judgment of the highest court of Connecticut, involving the liability of stockholders under provisions in the constitution of Minnesota, reversed on the authority of *Bernheimer v. Converse*, 206 U. S. 516.<sup>1</sup>

---

[<sup>1</sup> The leading headnote in *Bernheimer v. Converse*, 206 U. S. 516, referred to, is as follows:

“The court in this case followed the judgment of the highest court of the State in determining that a corporation was not within the exception,

*Mr. Wm. Waldo Hyde and Mr. Charles Welles Gross* for plaintiff in error.

No appearance for defendants in error.

*Per Curiam*: Judgments reversed with costs on the authority of *Bernheimer v. Converse*, 206 U. S. 516, and cases remanded for further proceedings in conformity to law.

---

VALDES *v.* MUNICH.

SAME *v.* WOOD.

SAME *v.* PERIANES.

ERROR TO THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR  
PORTO RICO.

Nos. 457, 473, 474. Motions to dismiss or affirm.—Submitted January 18,  
1909.—Decided February 1, 1909.

Writs of error to the District Court of the United States for Porto Rico, dismissed for want of jurisdiction because the judgments sought to be reversed were each less than \$5,000. Plaintiffs below were citizens of the United States and Porto Rico, and the defendant a citizen of Spain who filed pleas to the jurisdiction and claimed that by the over-

---

constitutional and statutory, as to stockholders' liability in favor of certain classes of corporations. Where, as in Minnesota, stockholders' liability is fixed and measured by the constitution, a stockholder upon acquiring his stock incurs an obligation arising from the constitutional provisions, and as such capable of being enforced in the courts not only of that State but of another State and of the United States."']