

207 U. S.

Opinion of the Court.

then the order prior to such action on the part of the court was clearly interlocutory in the suit. *Alexander v. United States*, 201 U. S. 117. If the provision of § 724 in respect of disobedience of such an order was exclusive, then, of course, respondents were in no way aggrieved. *Doyle v. London Guarantee Co.*, 204 U. S. 599.

Whether the order to produce was valid, and whether it warranted judgment by default against the defendant company were matters in which plaintiffs in error had no concern. There was here no attachment for contempt, no judgment on default, and no independent and collateral proceeding, the order disposing of which could be considered as a final decree.

*Judgment reversed and cause remanded with a direction to dismiss the writ of error.*

---

PENNSYLVANIA COAL AND COKE COMPANY v.  
CASSATT.

CERTIORARI TO THE CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD  
CIRCUIT.

No. 284. Argued October 28, 29, 1907.—Decided December 2, 1907.

Decided on authority of preceding case.  
150 Fed. Rep. 48, reversed.

THIS case was argued simultaneously with, and by the same counsel as, No. 283.<sup>1</sup>

MR. CHIEF JUSTICE FULLER: For the reasons given in the preceding case the judgment is reversed, and the cause remanded with a direction to dismiss the writ of error.

---

<sup>1</sup> *Webster Coal & Coke Co. v. Cassatt*, ante, p. 181.