

Opinion of the Court.

204 U. S.

and the other, and until it is shown what the Supreme Court did in fact decide, it is impossible to hold that the section as construed by it is in conflict with the Federal Constitution.

Under those circumstances it is clear that we have no jurisdiction, *Johnson v. Risk*, 137 U. S. 300, and cases cited in opinion, and the writ of error is

Dismissed.

BACHTEL *v.* WILSON, SHERIFF.

MILLER *v.* SAME.

DAVIS *v.* SAME.

VAN HORN *v.* SAME.

ERROR TO THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF OHIO.

Nos. 447, 448, 449 and 450. Argued November 14, 15, 1906.—Decided January 7, 1907.

Bachtel v. Wilson, *ante* p. 36, followed.

THE facts appear in the statement of the previous case which was argued simultaneously herewith.

Mr. William A. Lynch for plaintiffs in error.¹

Mr. Charles C. Upham and *Mr. John W. Craine* for defendant in error.¹

MR. JUSTICE BREWER delivered the opinion of the court.

The same question controls these cases as the one just decided, and, for the reasons given in the foregoing opinion, they are

Dismissed.

¹ For abstracts of arguments see *ante*, pp. 37, 38.