

CROWE v. HARMON.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF THE TERRITORY OF
ARIZONA.

No. 70. Submitted October 31, 1906.—Decided January 21, 1907.

Decided on authority of *Crowe v. Trickey*, ante, p. 228.
71 Pac. Rep. 1125, affirmed.

Mr. W. C. Keegin, Mr. F. H. Hereford and Mr. S. E. Hazzard
for appellant.¹

Mr. Eugene S. Ives for appellees.

MR. CHIEF JUSTICE FULLER: This case is identical in all
essential respects with that just decided, and must take the
same course.

Judgment affirmed.

BALLARD v. HUNTER.

ERROR TO THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF ARKANSAS.

No. 123. Argued December 7, 1906.—Decided January 14, 1907.

A State may make reasonable discriminations in regard to service of process for enforcement of liens for taxes and assessments on real estate between resident and non-resident owners, providing for personal service on the former and constructive service by publication on the latter. Land stands accountable to the demands of the State, and owners are charged with knowledge of laws affecting it, and the manner in which those demands may be enforced.

Whether provisions as to notice and service in a state statute have been complied with is wholly for the state court to determine.

Due process of law has never been precisely defined; while its fundamental requirement is opportunity for hearing and defense, the procedure may be adapted to the case, and proceedings in court are not always essential.

¹ For abstract of argument see ante, p. 234.