

INDEX.

The References in this Index are to the STAR *pages.

ABANDONMENT.

See INSURANCE.

ACTION.

1. Where the proceeds of a prize are in the hands of the marshal, the parties entitled to the money, may either have a libel in the admiralty, or an action at law, for money had and received. *The Gloucester*.....*37
2. An action for money had and received to the use of the plaintiff, will not lie, where there is no privity between him and the defendant, nor any contract, express or implied. *Rapalje v. Emory*.....*54-5
3. The distinction is established between an action of trover, detinue or replevin, for specific property (which the true owner may recover from any person, who is in possession of it), and money, the medium of commerce, which can never be the subject of controversy, whether it really belongs to the person who pays it, or another.....*Id.*
4. How far the court will countenance actions of slander. *Rue v. Mitchell*.....*59-60
5. A trespass, which might be made the foundation of an action of trover, is fairly within the legislative exception from the jurisdiction of justices of the peace. *Lawrence v. Doublebower*.....*73
6. Whether an action of trover will lie for a ship and cargo taken on the seas, *quere?* *Taxier v. Sweet*.....*81-5
7. An instrument taken in the admiralty, though void as a stipulation, may be good as a contract; and a special action of *assumpsit* or an action of debt will lie upon it. *Respublica v. Lacaze*.....*122-4
8. For what debts, an action of debt may be brought, at common law.....*Id.*
9. An action cannot be maintained on a second bill of exchange, the first of the set being returned to the person remitting it on account of goods, with a desire that the goods should be paid for: the remedy is on the original contract. *Ingraham v. Gibbs*.....*134-6
10. Action for money had and received, where it will lie. *Barr v. Craig*.....*151
11. An action will lie for mesne profits, after a recovery in ejectment, though the plaintiff has since conveyed the premises by deed, with special warranty, to the defendant. *Duffield v. Stille*.....*156
12. In what cases an action at common law may, or may not, be brought, for matter originally arising on the high seas. *Taxier v. Sweet*, *81; *Ross v. Rittenhouse*.....*160-70
13. An action of *indebitatus assumpsit*, will lie against executors, to recover the mesne profits of an estate, of which the testator had wrongfully possessed himself. *Haldane v. Duché*.....*176-9
14. Whether a bond for the performance of certain conditions, is within the act which empowers an assignee to sue in his own name. *Sheredine v. Gaul*.....*190
15. An action for a tort cannot be maintained by the assignees of a bankrupt. *Shoemaker v. Keely*.....*213
16. An action for money had and received, &c., cannot be maintained, without proof that the defendant had actually received money to the plaintiff's use before the action was brought. *Ralston v. Bell*.....*242
17. In an action against the governor of Guadeloupe, for an injury done in that island, the

- defendant, after argument, was held to bail. *Waters v. Collot* *247
18. Whether tenant by the curtesy can maintain a writ of partition. *Walker v. Dilworth* *257
19. A person discharged as an insolvent debtor, cannot maintain an action in his own name for a debt previously due. *Young v. Wilking* *276
- See FEDERAL COURT: PRACTICE.
- ADDITION.
- See OUTLAWRY.
- ADMIRALTY.
1. Capture, authorized by the rights of war, transfers the property; but the rights of war can only take place among enemies, and therefore, a capture can give no right, unless the property captured belongs to an enemy. *The Resolution* *2
2. He who founds a claim upon the rights of war, must prove that peace was broken by some national hostility. *Id.* *3
3. Prize is generally used as a technical term to express a legal capture; and the ordinance of congress must be considered as adopting it, in that sense. *Id.* *4
4. But the municipal laws of a country cannot change the law of nations; and by the law of nations, a neutral subject, whose property has been illegally captured, may pursue and recover that property, in whatever country it is found, unless a competent jurisdiction had adjudged it prize. *Id.*
5. The ordinance of congress is a new regulation of the *post liminii*, limiting it to a recapture within twenty-four hours; and therefore, can only relate to citizens of the United States. *Id.*
6. The legality of a capture is always open for question and examination, notwithstanding the length of possession, until a competent jurisdiction has decided it. *Id.* *5
7. Possession and occupation ought, upon a question of property, to have the same influence in courts of admiralty, as in courts of common law; a good title, and conclusive upon all mankind, except the right owner. *Id.*
8. If a ship and cargo were originally neutral property, a capture and occupation by a British privateer, for twenty-four hours, did not change it into British property, so as to make it prize, on a recapture by an American privateer. *Id.* *6
9. The effect of the capitulation of the Island of Dominica, to protect property of residents, or non-residents, when shipped from the island, and afloat at sea. *Id.* *8
10. A subject cannot divest himself of the obligation of a citizen, and wantonly make a compact with the enemy of his country, stipulating a neutrality of conduct; but certainly he may enter into such an engagement by capitulation, if his government is no longer able to protect him. *Id.* *10
11. America was bound, as an ally of France, by the capitulation between Great Britain and France, for the surrender of Dominica. *Id.* *15
12. The ordinance of congress, founded on the Russian armed neutrality, declared that effects belonging to the belligerent powers should not be captured on board of neutral vessels: and included effects of Great Britain. *Id.* *18; *The Erstern* *36
13. Under what circumstances, the court of admiralty will grant or refuse a re-hearing. *The Resolution*, *19; *The Experiment* *41
14. Though Great Britain, after she had commenced hostilities against Holland, declared by proclamation, that Dutch vessels carrying the produce or manufactures of Dominica should be exempt from capture, for a limited time; this could only operate against British captures; it did not restore the neutrality of Holland; nor prevent the rights of war, in favor of other nations, who should retake a Dutch vessel from a British privateer. *The Resolution* *20
15. On a capture and libel as prize, the *onus probandi* lies on the captors; and what evidence the law of nations admits. *Id.* *22.
16. In what manner the rights of neutrality may be forfeited. *The Erstern*, *34-6; *Vasse v. Ball* *274
17. Congress did not mean by their ordinance to ascertain in what cases the rights of neutrality should be forfeited, in exclusion of all other cases; for, the instances not mentioned are as flagrant, as the cases particularized. *The Erstern* *34
18. A libel by the crew of the privateer, for their respective proportions of a prize, is the proper and regular mode of redress. *Id.* *37.
19. How distribution of prize money will be made, where the ship's articles do not provide for it. *Id.*
20. Where the proceeds of a prize are in the marshal's hands, the parties entitled to it, may either institute a supplemental libel in the admiralty, or bring an action at law for money had and received. *Id.*
21. If a marshal makes distribution without the orders of the admiralty court, he does it at his peril; and the court before issuing the order, will guard against fraud and imposition, by providing for latent claims. *Id.* *37-8
22. The right of a privateer's crew to captures is not founded on the articles of agreement, but on the privateer's commission; and the

- right cannot be destroyed by the captain's putting a mariner on shore.*Id.* *38-9.
23. Though a mariner, who is once shipped on board, and is dismissed by the master, without fault, before the voyage is ended, is entitled to his stipulated wages, for the whole voyage; yet the residue of the crew can only claim to the extent of their contract, though their risk and labor becomes greater.*Id.* *39
24. A prize schooner, in a perishing condition, ordered to be sold, on motion of the appellant, before the appearance of the appellee. *The Squirrel.* *40
25. A vessel captured after the preliminary articles of peace, cannot be condemned. *The Speedwell.* *40
26. Limitation of appeals. *Lake v. Hulbert,* *41
27. What irregularities are insufficient for setting aside a decree and rehearing the cause. *The Experiment.* *41-42
28. It is not material to whom a trader's national allegiance is due, if he is settled under another sovereign, enjoying the privileges and subject to the inconveniencies of the place where he resides.*Id.*
29. How far the judgment of a foreign court is binding here. *Rapalje v. Emory,* *51, 231-2; *Cupisino v. Terry,* *194-5; *Vance v. Ball.* *273
30. Under what circumstances a court of common law will take jurisdiction of a cause, originally arising on the sea. *Taxier v. Sweet,* *81; *Ross v. Rittenhouse,* *160; *Henderson v. Clarkson.* *74
31. Though the admiralty cannot take a recognisance, yet it can take a caution or stipulation in nature of a recognisance. *Respublica v. Lacaze.* *122
32. An instrument taken in the admiralty, though void as a stipulation, may be good as a contract, and an action of debt or of special *assumpsit* may be maintained on it. *Id.*
33. Whether the federal court of appeals in admiralty cases had jurisdiction to investigate facts, after a trial and general verdict by a jury, and to give a contrary decision, without the intervention of another jury. *Ross v. Rittenhouse.* *160-70
34. Whether an action can be maintained at common law, against a judge of the admiralty, for money lodged in his court, in consequence of his own decree, which was reversed on appeal.*Id.*
35. What shall be deemed a voyage, and in what case, mariners shall be entitled to wages, though the vessel be lost. *Marshall v. Montgomery.* *170
36. An action at common law lies for the agent of mariners, to recover their shares of prize

money, after a decree in the admiralty, and the money was actually in the hands of the marshal. *Henderson v. Clarkson.* *174

ADULTERY.

1. If the defendant is unmarried, he cannot be convicted of adultery, though the woman is married, with whom he was guilty of *crim. con.* *Respublica v. Roberts.* *124-5

AGENT.

See MERCHANT.

AGREEMENT.

1. When the defendant is in possession under the agreement of the plaintiff, the court will not grant a writ of restitution, though they reverse the judgment, under which the possession was obtained. *Fitzalden v. Lee.* *205-6

ALIAS CAPIAS.

See PRACTICE.

ALLIES.

See ADMIRALTY.

ALMS-HOUSE.

See POOR.

AMENDMENT.

1. Amendment of the declaration in ejectment, by enlarging the demise. *Cockshot v. Hopkins.* *97
2. Amendment in an action *qui tam.* *Jones v. Ross.* *143
3. After error brought, the court allowed the plaintiff to enter a *remittitur* of surplus damages, beyond what was laid in the declaration. *Fury v. Stone.* *184
4. Amendment in ejectment, by altering the date of the demise. *Coates v. Hamilton.* *256
5. Amendment of a bill in equity, after plea of the statute of limitations, by stating that the frauds charged came to the complainants' knowledge within six years. *Wharton v. Lowrey.* *364-5

APPEALS.

See ADMIRALTY.

APPRENTICE.

See MASTER AND SERVANT.

ARREST OF JUDGMENT.

1. Omission of the technical epithets in an indictment for murder, is fatal in arrest of judgment. *Respublica v. Honeyman*... *228
2. Within what time a motion in arrest of judgment, must be made. *Burrall v. Du Blois*..... *229
3. Any exception which may be taken advantage of on a writ of error, may also be taken advantage of on a motion in arrest of judgment. *Barriere v. Nairac*..... *251-2

ARTICLES OF PEACE.

See ADMIRALTY.

ASSIGNEE.

See ASSIGNMENT: NOTICE.

ASSIGNMENT.

1. How far a prior equitable assignment of a legacy will be preferred to a formal transfer. *Inglis v. Inglis*..... *49
2. In what cases, notice of an assignment of a chose in action is necessary..... *Id.*
3. Whether a bond for the performance of conditions, is within the act empowering an assignee to sue in his own name, *quere?* *Sheredine v. Gaul*..... *190

ASSUMPSIT.

See ACTION.

ATTACHMENTS.

1. A foreign attachment will not lie against executors. *McCombe v. Dunch*, *73-4; *Pringle v. Black*..... *97-8
2. Partnership debts cannot be attached, to answer a separate debt, *quere?* *McCombe v. Dunch*..... *73-4
3. A rule to take depositions granted, before the return of a *sci. fa.* in a foreign attachment, on motion to the garnishee. — *v. Galbraith*..... *78
4. A motion to dissolve a foreign attachment must be made to the court at the first term. *Miltenberger v. Lloyd*..... *79
5. When the garnishee in a foreign attachment shall pay costs, and when not. *Walker v. Wallace*..... *113
6. When goods are so vested in a foreign consignee, that they may be attached. *Wood v. Roach*..... *180-2
7. Debts may be attached, before they are due and payable. *Walker v. Gibbs*..... *211
8. The fact of a debt due to the defendant,

- being admitted in the answers of the garnishee, the court will give judgment, on motion..... *Id.*
9. A *seire facias* upon a judgment obtained in the supreme court, against a garnishee, is to be brought there, though judgment had been obtained in the common pleas against the original debtor..... *Id.*
 10. When the garnishee will be liable to pay interest, and when not. *Fitzgerald v. Caldwell*..... *215-16
 11. A vessel belonging to a person abroad, being loaded by his agent here, and afterwards attached; the agent is not liable to the freighter for damages. *Joyce v. Sims*..... *223
 12. A debt in suit may be attached. *McCarty v. Emlen*..... *277
 13. A debt due to partners may be attached by a separate creditor of one of the partners, who shall recover a moiety of the amount. *Id.*
 14. A foreign attachment will not lie in the circuit court. *Hollingsworth v. Adams*.. *396

ATTORNEY-GENERAL.

1. EDMUND RANDOLPH, appointed attorney-general of the United States..... *399
2. Whether the attorney-general of the United States is entitled to move *ex officio* for a *mandamus* to the circuit court. *Hayburn's Case*..... *409

See INFORMATIONS.

ATTORNEYS.

See FEDERAL COURTS: PRACTICE.

AWARDS.

See EVIDENCE: PRACTICE.

BAGGAGE.

See INSURANCE.

BAIL.

See PRACTICE.

BANKRUPT.

1. The bonds belonging to another, in possession of a bankrupt, which the law makes subject to the commission, are goods which the true owner allows the bankrupt to sell *as his own*; not such as he sells under the mere authority of a factor. *Price v. Ralston*..... *66-7
2. A bankrupt's taking a bond, or note, for goods sold as factor, does not destroy the right of the principal to the money so secured..... *Id.* *67-8

3. An insolvent debtor discharged in Maryland, discharged on common bail here. *Donaldson v. Chambers*. *100
4. *Quere?* whether a deed made, without a valuable consideration, to a child, is an act of bankruptcy. *Joy v. Conant*. *126-7
5. What debt of the petitioning creditor is sufficient to support a commission of bankrupt. *Id.*
6. It is competent to third persons, whose interests are affected, to take advantage of the irregularity of the proceedings under a commission of bankrupt. *Id.*
7. What judgments and executions are liens upon a bankrupt's estate. *Ralston v. Bell*. *158
8. An action for a *tort* committed upon a bankrupt, cannot be maintained by his assignees. *Shoemaker v. Keely*. *213
9. A surety, who pays the bond, after the discharge of an insolvent debtor, is not barred by the discharge. *Haddens v. Chambers*. *236
10. The bankrupt laws of England are binding on British subjects here. *Harris v. Mandeville*. *256
11. A creditor is a competent witness to prove fraud in the petitioner, who applies to be discharged under the insolvent laws. *Greene's Case*. *268
12. A person discharged as an insolvent debtor cannot maintain an action in his own name, for a debt previously due. *Young v. Wilting*. *276

BARRATRY.

See **INSURANCE.**

BILL IN EQUITY.

See **EQUITY.**

BILL OF EXCHANGE.

1. What notice is necessary to be given to the drawer of a bill of exchange, or indorser of a promissory note, on a refusal to pay. *Bank of N. A. v. Varden*, *78; *Bank of N. A. v. McKnight*, *168; *Mallory v. Kirwan*, *192; *Warder v. Carson*. *233
2. Where bills of exchange shall be deemed payment, and where not. *Watts v. Willing*. *100; *Ingraham v. Gibbs*. *135-6
3. Though only one satisfaction can be recovered, execution for costs may issue in all the actions brought against the several parties to a promissory note. *Tarin v. Morris*. . . *115
4. In an action by the indorser (the payee) against the acceptor of a bill of exchange,

- which had been several times indorsed, the mere possession of the bill and protest is not sufficient evidence that the plaintiff had paid the subsequent indorsee, which must be proved, to entitle him to recover. *Gorgetat v. McCarty*. *144
5. An action cannot be maintained in the name of an indorsee, upon a promissory note, not payable to order. *Barriere v. Nairac*. *250
 6. Promissory notes are not entitled to the same priority of payment as bills of exchange, in a course of administration; the act putting those discounted at the Bank of Pennsylvania on the same footing, only applies to the case of defalcation. *Roberts v. Cary*. . . *263-4
 7. The blank indorsement of a bill of exchange passes all the interest in the bill to every indorsee in succession, discharged from any obligation, which might subsist between the original parties, but which does not appear on the face of the instrument itself. *Wilkinson v. Nicklin*. *396

See **WITNESS.**

BILL OF LADING.

See **EVIDENCE: MERCHANT.**

BLAIR, JOHN.

See **JUDGES.**

BOND.

See **ASSIGNMENT: NOTICE.**

BRIBERY.

1. An offer to bribe is indictable, though the bribe be not accepted. *United States v. Worral*. *384
2. Whether an offer to bribe the commissioner of the revenue, is indictable in the circuit court, *quere?* *Id.*

BRITISH DEBTS.

See **EQUITY: INTEREST.**

BROKER.

See **STOCK CONTRACT: WITNESS.**

CAPITULATION.

See **ADMIRALTY.**

CAPTION.

See **INDICTMENT: TREASON.**

CAPTURE.

See ADMIRALTY.

CARGO.

See INSURANCE.

CAVEAT EMPTOR.

1. The maxim of *caveat emptor* only applies to real estates; but the possession and sale of chattels is strong evidence of ownership. *Boyd v. Bopst*.....*91

CERTIORARI.

See JUSTICES OF THE PEACE: PRACTICE.

CHALLENGES.

See JURIES.

CHATELS.

- 1 The possession of chattels is strong evidence of ownership, and on the act of selling them alone, if it turns out otherwise, the value may be recovered from the seller. *Boyd v. Bopst*.....*91

CIRCUIT COURT.

See FEDERAL COURTS.

CITIZENSHIP.

See CONSULS: NATURALIZATION.

CLERK OF THE MAYOR'S COURT.

See MAYOR'S COURT.

CLOTHING.

See STATE NAVY.

COMMISSION.

1. What is a good, and what a defective, execution and return of a commission to examine witnesses abroad. *Jones v. Ross*, *143; *Stewart v. Ross*, *157; *Vaughan v. Blanchard*.....*192
2. The supreme court of the United States will not award a commission until the commissioners are named. *Vanstophorst v. Maryland*.....*401

COMMISSIONER OF THE REVENUE.

See BRIBERY.

COMMON LAW.

See FEDERAL COURTS.

CONCEALMENT.

See INSURANCE.

CONFESSION.

See EVIDENCE.

CONFISCATION.

See EQUITY.

CONNECTICUT CLAIMANTS.

1. Charge of the court, in relation to the title of the Connecticut claimants, to lands in Pennsylvania. *Vanhorne v. Dorrance*..*304

CONSIDERATION.

See WITNESS.

CONSIGNOR AND CONSIGNEE.

See MERCHANT.

CONSTITUTION.

1. The power of naturalization is concurrent and not exclusive. *Collet v. Collet*.....*294
2. The grant of original jurisdiction to the supreme court in certain cases, does not prevent the legislature from vesting a concurrent jurisdiction in the inferior courts. *United States v. Ravara*.....*297
3. The effect of the provision, that full faith and credit shall be given in each state to the public acts, &c., of every other state, in relation to a judgment. *Armstrong v. Carson*.*302-3
- 4 Analysis of the nature and obligation of a constitution of government. *Vanhorne v. Dorrance*.....*307-8
5. If a legislative act oppugns a constitutional principle, the former must give way, and be rejected on the score of repugnance. *Id*.*307-8
6. The quieting act (in relation to the Wyoming controversy) was a violation of the constitution of Pennsylvania.....*Id*.
7. Animadversions on the terms "*ex post facto* laws," and "laws impairing the obligation of contracts.".....*Id*.*319-20
8. The definition of high treason, by levying war against the United States. *United States v. Vigol*, *346; *United States v. Mitchell*.....*347-56
9. The act of the 13th of March 1789, prescribing a test to be taken by aliens, before they could be naturalized under the old constitution, is inconsistent with the existing constitution of Pennsylvania, and void. *United States v. Villato*.....*370-73
10. The federal constitution renders a state

liable to be sued, as a defendant, by an individual citizen of another state. *Chisholm v. Georgia*.....*419

CONSULAR CONVENTION.

See CONSULS.

CONSULS.

1. Who are, or are not, French citizens, subject to the consular jurisdiction. *Caignet v. Pettit*.....*234-5
2. Consuls subject to indictment, in the circuit court, for misdemeanors. *United States v. Ravara*.....*297

COSTS.

1. Costs not to be paid by plaintiff, when his debt is reduced below 10l., by a set-off. *Brailey v. Miller*.....*74
2. When the garnishee in a foreign attachment shall pay costs, and when not. *Walker v. Wallace*.....*113
3. Though only one satisfaction can be recovered, yet execution for costs may issue, in all the actions brought against the several parties to a promissory note. *Tarin v. Morris*.....*115
4. Awards in ejectment, confirmed, though costs are given, without finding damages. *Austin v. Snow*.....*157
5. The plaintiff shall not recover double costs in the supreme court, when, in the court below, he would not be entitled to recover any. *Scott v. McKisson*.....*183

COUNSELLORS.

See FEDERAL COURTS.

COURTS.

See FEDERAL COURTS.

CURTESY.

See PARTITION.

CUSHING, WILLIAM.

See JUDGES.

DAMAGES.

See INTEREST: JURIES.

DEBT.

See ACTION.

DECLARATION.

See AMENDMENT: PRACTICE.

DEED.

See EVIDENCE: WITNESS.

DEFALCATION.

1. A creditor of an insolvent debtor is not entitled to a set-off, in an action brought by the insolvent debtor's factor, for goods sold to the creditor. *Boinod v. Pelosi*.....*43
2. The plaintiff shall not be charged with costs, where the debt is reduced below 10l., by a set-off. *Brailey v. Miller*.....*74
3. Unascertained damages for a tort, can not be set off, in an action of debt on a bond. *Kachlin v. Mulhallon*.....*237-8
4. The act putting promissory notes, discounted at the Bank of Pennsylvania, on the same footing as bills of exchange, does not give them the same priority in paying the debts of a testator, but only applies to the case of defalcation. *Roberts v. Cary*.....*263-4
5. A balance of accounts, due from a factor to his principal, may be set off, in an action on a bond by the latter against the former; and such accounts are not within the act of limitations. *Stiles v. Donaldson*.....*264-5

DEPOSITIONS.

See PRACTICE.

DEPRECIATION.

1. In what cases, payments in continental money, shall, or shall not, be reduced by the scale of depreciation. *Ricup v. Bixter*, *182; *Miller v. Leonard*.....*237

DETINET.

See ACTION: PRACTICE.

DETINUE.

See PRACTICE.

DEVIATION.

See INSURANCE.

DEVISE.

See WILL.

DISTRESS.

1. Goods distrained and replevied, are discharged from the lien of the distrainer; but if the

- identical goods distrained are found in the hands of the tenant, undisposed of, and unincumbered, they may be taken on a *retorno habendo*. *Woglam v. Cowperthwaite*, *68-9; *Prey v. Lieper*.....*131
2. The usage in Pennsylvania has been, to impound a distress on the premises, though the act of assembly omits that provision, which is contained in the statute of 11 *Geo. I.*, c. 19; but *quere?* whether the usage is an adoption of the statute. *Woglam v. Cowperthwaite*.....*69-70
 3. The distrainer may leave the distress on the premises, for the five days mentioned in the act; and they cannot be appraised, until those days have elapsed.....*Id.*
 4. What is a reasonable time for pursuing and appraising goods taken in distress, and removed by the tenant, before the five days have expired.....*Id.*

DISTRICT COURT.

See FEDERAL COURTS.

DISTRINGAS.

See FEDERAL COURTS: PRACTICE.

DIVORCE.

1. Even after a sentence of divorce, the mere offer of the husband to cohabit, &c., would not, in all cases, be a cause of suspending it; but the offer, by way of answer, before sentence, is clearly insufficient. *Thompson v. Thompson*.....*128

DOLLARS.

See VERDICT.

DOWER.

1. Land sold under a mortgage, not executed by the wife, she is, nevertheless, not entitled to an action of dower, against the purchaser. *Scott v. Crosdale*.....*127

EJECTMENT.

See EVIDENCE: MESNE PROFITS: PRACTICE.

EMANCIPATION.

See MASTER AND SERVANT.

EQUITY.

1. What may be a proper foundation, besides the complainant's affidavit, in support of his bill, for issuing an injunction. *Schermerhorn v. L'Esperasse*.....*360

2. Under what circumstances of delay, the court will refuse to dissolve an injunction. *Id.*
3. A bill in equity amended, after plea of the statute of limitations, so as to state that the frauds charged came to the complainant's knowledge, within six years. *Wharton v. Lowrey*.....*364-5
4. Injunction issued by the supreme court, at the instance of Georgia, to stay money in the hands of the marshal, levied or to be levied on a judgment obtained by a British creditor, for a debt which the state had sequestered during the war. *Georgia v. Brailsford*.....*402
5. The same injunction continued....*Id.* *415

ESTATE FOR LIFE.

See EXECUTION.

EVIDENCE.

1. On a capture and libel as prize, the *onus probandi* lies on the captors—what evidence the law of nations admits. *The Resolution*.*22-3
2. In mercantile disputes, the plaintiff's instructions to his master may be given in evidence. *McClenachan v. McCarty*.....*51
3. Evidence admitted, to prove that a legacy bequeathed to Samuel, was intended for William, though there were persons of both names. *Powell v. Biddle*.....*70-2
4. The judgment of a justice of the peace, given merely on the attestation of the party interested, cannot be sustained. *Sharpe v. Thatcher*.....*78-8
5. When parol testimony will be admitted, to explain the meaning of a will. *Powell v. Biddle*, *70: *Stackhouse v. Stackhouse*.*80
6. The office-copy of a survey, without seal given in evidence. *Martin v. Shute*....*86
7. When the confession of the party may be given in evidence, on a trial for high treason. *Respublica v. McCarty*.....*81
8. What declarations of the parties may be given in evidence, on the trial of ejectments. *Andrew v. Fleming*.....*93
9. What is sufficient evidence of signing, sealing and delivering a deed, to be left to the jury. *Leshner v. Levan*.....*96
10. The plaintiff is a good witness, to prove the death of a subscribing witness to a deed, in order to let in evidence of the handwriting. *Douglass v. Sanderson*.....*116-18
11. What evidence is admissible, in cases of pedigree.....*Id.*
12. Proof that evidence now offered (1791) was not given on a former trial for the same cause in 1755, refused, as too dangerous, after such a lapse of time. *Leach v. Armitage*....*125
13. Evidence, that a bond payable in "current money of Pennsylvania," was intended

- to be paid in specie, rejected. *Bond v Haas*.....*133-4
14. What is a good, or a defective, execution and return of a commission to examine witnesses abroad. *Jones v. Ross*, *143-4; *Stewart v. Ross*.....*157
15. When evidence *dehors* may be given, to show that when a bond was executed, it was agreed, that it should be void on a particular contingency. *Field v. Oxley*.....*171
16. Evidence admitted, that at the time of entering into a covenant for the payment of money, it was agreed, the instalments should be paid in whatever money was current at the time they became due. *McMinn v. Owen*.....*173
17. Evidence admitted, to show the person meant by an award, in which a blank was left for the name. *Lynn v. Ritsberg*...*180
18. The copy of a bill of lading is not evidence, to prove the date of the original. *Wood v. Roach*.....*180-1
19. Evidence admitted, that a receipt for continental money was given under a verbal agreement, that the value should afterwards be adjusted. *Pleasants v. Pemberton*, *196-7
20. Under what circumstances, books or copies of entries in original books, sworn to be truly transcribed, cannot be evidence. *Penn v. Hartman*.....*230-1
21. Evidence admitted, to show, whether the deceased, by cancelling a subsequent will, meant to revive the former, or to die intestate. *Boudinot v. Bradford*.....*266-8
22. What evidence is sufficient, to maintain an action on a stock contract. *Livingston v. Swanwick*.....*301
23. On a trial for high treason, a copy of a letter was rejected, unless it could be proved to be one of the copies circulated during the insurrection. *United States v. Mitchell*, *357
24. An act committed with a felonious intention (for which another indictment was depending) cannot be given in evidence, on a trial for high treason.....*Id.*
25. The date of a letter is proof of the place where it was written and published, on an indictment. *United States v. Worrall*...*383
26. What is sufficient proof of a corrupt offer to bribe a public officer.....*Id.*

See COMMISSION : WITNESS.

EXECUTION.

1. An estate for life, taken in execution, may be sold, without holding an inquest on its value. *Howell v. Woolfert*.....*75-7
2. Lands may be taken in execution on a *fi. fa.* directing the sheriff to levy on the goods and chattels, &c. *Andrew v. Fleming*.*93

3. A vested remainder in tail may be taken in execution and sold by the sheriff.....*223
4. The sheriff cannot pay money, levied upon an execution to the nominal plaintiff, after notice indorsed on the writ, for whose use the action is prosecuted. *Zantzinger v. Old*.*265

EXECUTORS.

See ACTION : ATTACHMENT : PRACTICE : WILL

EX OFFICIO.

See ATTORNEY-GENERAL : INFORMATION.

EXONERATUR.

See PRACTICE.

EXTINGUISHMENT.

See PAYMENT.

FACTOR.

See BANKRUPT : MERCHANT.

FEDERAL CONSTITUTION.

See CONSTITUTION.

FEDERAL COURTS.

1. The amount of the plaintiff's claim, laid in the declaration, and not the finding of a jury, or referees, is the rule to ascertain the jurisdiction of the circuit court. *Hulsecamp v. Teel*, *358-9; *Wilson v. Daniel*....*360
2. The district court has exclusive cognizance of informations and suits for forfeitures. *The Cassius*.....*365-9
3. A conveyance of lands, made, without consideration, to the lessor of the plaintiff in ejectment, for the mere purpose of bringing the suit in the circuit court, will not be admitted as a sufficient foundation for the jurisdiction. *Maxwell v. Levy*.....*381
4. Whether the federal courts have jurisdiction, in cases of indictment for crimes and offences at the common law, *quere?* *United States v. Worrall*.....*389-96
5. The writing and delivering a letter, at the post-office in Pennsylvania, though the party to whom it is directed, receives it in New Jersey, is sufficient to give jurisdiction to the circuit court for the district of Pennsylvania, in the case of an indictment founded on the letter.....*Id.* *388
6. A foreign attachment will not lie in the circuit court. *Hollingsworth v. Adams*, *396
7. Judges of the supreme court appointed.....*399, 400, 402

8. Seals of the supreme court, and circuit courts of the United States established. . *399
9. Rules respecting the admission of counsellors and attorneys in the supreme court. *399-400
10. All process of the supreme court shall be in the name of the President of the United States. *400
11. Writs of error to remove causes into the supreme court can only issue from the clerk's office of that court. *West v. Barnes*. *401
12. Whether a state can be admitted to defend or assert a claim in the circuit court, in a suit depending between two individuals. *Georgia v. Brailsford*. *402-415
13. Whether the attorney-general is entitled to move *ex officio*, for a *mandamus* to the circuit court. *Hayburn's Case*. *409
14. Reasons assigned by the judges for refusing to execute certain parts of the act of congress for the relief of invalid pensioners. *410 in note.
15. Rule relative to the practice of the supreme court. *411
16. A state is liable as defendant, to a suit, at the instance of an individual citizen of another state. *Chisholm v. Georgia*. . . *419

FEME-COVERT.

See ADULTERY: DIVORCE: DOWER: HUSBAND AND WIFE.

FIERI FACIAS.

See EXECUTION.

FOREIGN ATTACHMENTS.

See ATTACHMENTS.

FOREIGN GOVERNOR.

See ACTION: BAIL.

FOREIGN JUDGMENTS.

1. How far the judgment of a foreign court is binding here. *Rapalje v. Emory*. *51, 231-2; *Cupisino v. Perez*, *184-5; *Vasse v. Ball*. *270-6.
2. The effect of a judgment obtained in another state, when an action of debt is brought on it here. *Armstrong v. Carson*. *302

FOREIGN MONEY.

See PRACTICE.

FORFEITURES.

See FEDERAL COURTS.

GARNISHEES.

See ATTACHMENTS.

GOVERNOR.

1. The appointment of the clerk of the mayor's court belongs constitutionally to the governor. *Johns v. Nichols*. *184-8

GUARDIANS OF THE POOR.

See POOR.

HALF-PAY.

See STATE NAVY.

HIGH TREASON.

See TREASON.

HOMINE REPLEGIANDO.

See MASTER AND SERVANT.

HOUSE OF EMPLOYMENT.

See POOR.

HUCKSTER.

1. Proceedings against a huckster, under a by-law of the corporation of Philadelphia, *quere*, how far legal? *De Willer v. Smith*. *236

HUSBAND AND WIFE.

1. Under what circumstances, a *feme covert* may give away her real estate, by will, or any instrument in nature of will. *Barnes v. Irwin*. *199-204
2. Whether a husband, who marries a woman in debt on bond, is liable to pay the debt, after her death, he having obtained considerable property by the marriage. *Beach v. Lee*. *257

HYPOTHECATION.

See MERCHANT.

ILLEGAL OUTFIT.

1. What constitutes an illegal outfit of a privateer, in an American port. *United States v. Guinet*. *228

IMPARLANCES

See PRACTICE.

IMPROVEMENT RIGHTS.

See REAL ESTATE.

INDICTMENT.

1. Omission of the technical epithets in an indictment for murder, is fatal. *Respublica v. Honeyman*.....*228
- 2 The circuit court has cognisance of indictments for offences committed by consuls. *United States v. Ravara*.....*297
3. Sending menacing letters, with a view to extort money, is indictable at common law.....*Id.* 299 n.
4. Proceedings on indictments for high treason. *United States v. Insurgents**325-42

See PRACTICE: TREASON.

INFORMATIONS.

1. Informations filed on the relation of a private prosecutor, are not to be drawn and prosecuted *ex officio*, by the attorney-general, though his name must be used *pro formâ*. *Respublica v. Griffiths*.....*112

INHABITANT.

1. What constitutes an inhabitant of a place. *Respublica v. Steele*.....*92-3

INJUNCTION.

See EQUITY.

INSOLVENT DEBTOR.

See BANKRUPT: DEFALCATION.

INSURANCE.

1. What departure from the course of the voyage, shall be deemed a deviation or bartrary in the master. *Hood v. Nesbit*...*137
2. The master's protest of a loss, must be made at the first port where it is practicable. *Boyce v. Moore*.....*196
3. What constitutes an abandonment; when it must be made, and under what circumstances the assured may, or may not, recover as a total loss. *Fuller v. McCall*, *219; *Camberling v. McCall*.....*280
4. What is concealment, to vacate a policy. *Vasse v. Ball*.....*275
5. What constitutes baggage, and what cargo.....*Id.*
6. Whether proof of the loss must be made three months before bringing an action on the policy. *Camberling v. McCall*.....*280
7. Whether it is necessary to *abandon*, when there has been a total loss of ship and cargo.....*Id.*

INSURGENTS.

See TREASON.

INTEREST.

1. Where usurious interest is included in any note, &c., the whole amount cannot be recovered; but the plaintiff is intitled to a verdict for the just principal and lawful interest. *Wycoff v. Longhead*.....*92
2. A man who takes usurious interest, incurs a forfeiture; but in an action for the loan, the defendant is not entitled to a verdict.....*Id.*
3. Any security for the payment of money may be purchased at any rate, without incurring the penalties of usury.....*Id.*
4. The state is liable to pay interest, as well as individuals. *Respublica v. Mitchell*...*101
5. A British subject is not entitled to recover interest on a debt, during the war. *Hoare v. Allen*, *102-4; *Foxcroft v. Nagle*...*133
6. When a trustee shall be liable to pay interest. *Knight v. Reese*.....*182
7. When interest shall be allowed upon a book account. *Knox v. Jones*.....*193
8. The jury may give interest, by way of damages, beyond the penalty of a bond for the performance of a contract. *Petit v. Wallace*.....*252-6
9. Where judgments are affirmed upon writs of error, the execution may include the interest from the date of the original judgment. *Respublica v. Nicholson*.....*256
10. Where the court will not settle the interest, in an action of debt on a judgment, without a jury. *Armstrong v. Carson*.....*303

INTESTATE.

See WILL.

INVALID PENSIONERS.

See FEDERAL COURTS.

JAY, JOHN.

See JUDGES.

JEW.

See WITNESS.

IREDELL, JAMES.

See JUDGES.

JUDGES.

1. John Jay appointed chief justice, and William Cushing, James Wilson and John Blair appointed associate justices of the supreme court of the United States.....*399
2. James Iredell appointed an associate justice

- of the supreme court of the United States.....*404
3. Thomas Johnson appointed as associate justice of the supreme court of the United States.....*402
4. William Paterson appointed an associate justice of the supreme court of the United States.....*480

JUDGMENT.

See ARREST OF JUDGMENT: FOREIGN JUDGMENT: JUSTICES OF THE PEACE: REAL ESTATE.

JURIES.

1. In torts, a jury can seldom be expected to agree upon the precise sum for damages; a middle sum may, in some cases, be a good rule; and though it may be abused, unless the abuse appears, fraud will not be presumed. *Cowperthwaite v. Jones*.....*56
2. The court cannot direct the sheriff to take a jury from any particular part of the county, *Hartshorn v. Patton*.....*252
3. Where the court will not calculate interest, without a jury. *Armstrong v. Carson*.*303
4. The number and addition of jurors to be returned, and the form of the panels, in trials for high treason. *United States v. Insurgents*.....*335-42
5. Challenge of a juror retracted, and the juror sworn, on a trial for high treason. *United States v. Porter*.....*345
6. The circuit court will order a *tales* in special as well as common jury causes. *Anon.*.*382

JURISDICTION.

See CONSTITUTION: FEDERAL COURTS.

JUSTICES OF THE PEACE.

1. Trespass, which might be made the foundation of an action of trover, is within the legislative exception from the jurisdiction of justices of the peace. *Lawrence v. Doublebower*.....*73
2. No justice ought to take cognisance of a cause, which has been previously decided by another justice. *Sharpe v. Thatcher*, *77-8; *Overseers v. Cummings*.....*114
3. The judgment of a justice of the peace, given merely on the attestation of the party interested, cannot be sustained.....*Id.*
4. Proceedings before a justice to recover a fine imposed by a militia court-martial. *Ferguson v. Baron*.....*113
5. The court must take the case as stated upon the justice's return to a *certiorari*, without travelling into the merits of the original question. *Overseers v. Cummings*,*114

6. To establish an exception to the proceedings before a justice, the affidavit of the party, though not conclusive, will throw the *onus probandi* on the other side, to show that it is false. *Vansciver v. Bolton*.....*114-15

LANDS.

See REAL ESTATE.

LAW OF NATIONS.

See ADMIRALTY.

LEGACY.

See ASSIGNMENT: WILL.

LEGAL REPRESENTATIVES.

1. Who are "legal representatives," according to the subject-matter. *Duncan v. Walker*.....*205

LEVYING WAR.

See TREASON.

LIEN.

See MERCHANT: REAL ESTATE.

LIMITATIONS.

1. The act of limitations is a good plea in bar to an action brought by a citizen of the United States, resident in South Carolina, against a citizen resident in Pennsylvania. *Vance v. Fairis*.....*217
2. Accounts between a principal and factor are merchants' accounts, not within the act of limitations. *Stiles v. Donaldson*.*264-5

MARINERS.

See ADMIRALTY.

MARSHAL.

See ADMIRALTY.

MASTER AND SERVANT.

1. Where the jury make the price of the negro slave, in a writ *de homine replegiando*, the measure of damages, if accepted by the master, it will in equity, and, perhaps, by operation of law too, emancipate the negro. *Cowperthwaite v. Jones*.....*57-8
2. A minor, white child, born in Pennsylvania, cannot be bound to serve as a servant. *Res-publica v. Keppel*.....*197
3. The owner of a slave has a right to carry

him out of the state, and is entitled to the aid of the magistrates for that purpose. *Respublica v. Richards*. *224-8

MAYOR'S COURT.

1. The appointment of the clerk of the mayor's court is vested in the governor, and not the corporation. *Johns v. Nichols*. . . *184-8

MENACING LETTERS.

See INDICTMENT.

MERCHANT.

1. In what cases, the principal has a lien on goods, and the securities for which they are sold, in the hands of his factor, becoming bankrupt. *Price v. Ralston*. *66-8
2. A factor, who remits one bill of exchange on account of several merchants, is not answerable, if the bill be not paid, provided he has acted *bond fide*. *Schenkhouse v. Gibbs*, *136 n.
3. If a merchant return a protested bill of exchange to his factor, who remitted it on account of goods, he cannot afterwards bring an action on one of the set of bills, but must resort to the original contract. *Ingraham v. Gibbs*. *134-6
4. The copy of a bill of lading is not evidence to prove the date of the original. *Wood v. Roach*. *180
5. A consignor can only stop goods *in transitu*, in two cases:—1st. Where he has received no consideration; and 2d. Where the consignee is insolvent. *Id.*
6. When goods are vested in the consignee, and may be subjected to a foreign attachment. *Id.*
7. In what cases, the master of a vessel may hypothecate the vessel; but he cannot make his owner personally responsible in a foreign port. *Cupisino v. Percz*. *194-5
8. A factor or agent, having procured freight for a vessel belonging to his principal, the vessel was attached, after she was loaded, and the voyage thereupon broken up; but it was held, that the factor was not liable to the freighters for damages. *Joyce v. Sims*. *223
9. Accounts between factor and principal, are merchant's accounts, not within the act of limitations. *Stiles v. Donaldson*. . . . *264-5

See ADMIRALTY: BANKRUPT: INSURANCE.

MESNE PROFITS.

1. An action for mesne profits, after a recovery in ejectment, will lie, though the plaintiff has since conveyed the premises to the defendant, with special warranty; and the deed of conveyance is no release. *Duffield v. Stille*. *156

MISNOMER.

See WILL.

MISPRISION OF TREASON.

See TREASON.

MONEY.

See ACTION.

MONTHS.

1. The six months allowed for recording mortgages, are calendar months. *Brudenell v. Vaux*. *302

MORTGAGE.

See DOWER: MONTHS: WILL.

MOVABLES.

See WILL.

MUNICIPAL LAW.

See ADMIRALTY.

MURDER.

See INDICTMENT.

NATURALIZATION.

1. Who are, or are not, French citizens, subject to the consular jurisdiction. *Caignet v. Pettit*. *234-5
2. The power of naturalization vested in the federal government, is concurrent with, and not exclusive of, the power of the respective States. *Collet v. Collet*, *294, *quere?* and see *United States v. Villato*. *373
3. The Pennsylvania act, prescribing the test to be taken by aliens, in order to be naturalized, is obsolete and void, being inconsistent with the present state constitution. *United States v. Villato*. *370-3

NEGRO.

See MASTER AND SERVANT.

NEUTRALS.

See ADMIRALTY.

NEW TRIAL.

1. In what cases, the court will grant, or refuse new trials. *Cowperthwaite v. Jones*, *55-6; *Respublica v. Lacaze*. *121
2. Where each of the jury, in an action for a tort, set down a particular sum, for dama ge

- and dividing the aggregate by the number of jurymen, found the product in damages, the court did not think it cause for a new trial. *Cowperthwaite v. Jones*. 55
3. A motion for a new trial should not be made, after a motion in arrest of judgment. *Respublica v. Lacaze*. *121
4. Notice of a motion for a new trial, when to be given. *Foxcraft v. Nagle*. *150

NON-PROS.

See PRACTICE.

NOTICE.

1. Assignee of a bond ought to give notice to the obligor; but there is no positive law that requires a first assignee to notify a subsequent one. *Inglis v. Inglis*. *49-50
2. What notice is necessary, in the case of non-payment of bills of exchange and promissory notes. *Bank of N. A. v. Varden*, *78; *Bank of N. A. v. McKnight*, *158; *Mallory v. Kirwan*, *192; *Warder v. Carson*. . . *233
3. On whom notice to produce deeds and papers should be served: and discretion of the court therein. *Geyger v. Geyger*. *332

OFFICE-COPY.

See EVIDENCE.

OFFICE-PAPER.

See EVIDENCE.

ORPHANS' COURT.

See PRACTICE.

OUTLAWRY.

1. In a process of outlawry, it is necessary to state the township of which the defendant was inhabitant; but if he is proved to have been there, it is sufficient, though not his place of residence. *Respublica v. Steele*. *92-3
2. What addition is sufficient, in a process of outlawry. *Id.*

PARTITION.

1. Whether tenant by the curtesy is entitled to a writ of partition, against tenants in common seised of estates for life, or in fee. *Walker v. Dilworth*. *257

PATERSON, WILLIAM.

See JUDGES.

PAUPER.

See POOR.

PAYMENT.

1. Bills of exchange accepted as payment, will extinguish a demand on a bond, in favor of the surety. *Watts v. Willing*. *101
2. What shall be deemed payment. *Barr v. Craig*. *161-4

PEDIGREE.

See EVIDENCE.

PERJURY.

See SLANDER.

POOR.

1. An order of two justices for removing a pauper from Germantown to Upper Dublin, confirmed on appeal to the quarter sessions, was quashed in the supreme court, the justices who made it, being inhabitants of, and ratable to the poor-tax of Germantown. *Upper Dublin v. Germantown*. *219
2. An election of six new members, half-yearly from among the guardians of the poor, to superintend the alms-house and house of employment, is good, though there has been a usage to elect only three new members. *Respublica v. Guardians of the Poor*. *224

POST LIMINII.

See ADMIRALTY.

PRACTICE.

1. Under what circumstances, the court of admiralty will grant or refuse a rehearing. *The Resolution*, *19; *Lake v. Hulbert*, *41; *The Experiment*. *42
2. A prize schooner, in a perishing condition, ordered to be sold, on motion of the appellant, before appearance filed on behalf of the appellee. *The Squirrel*. *40
3. It is questionable, whether the supreme court can issue writs of attachment, for not obeying a *subpena*, into another county. *Bowen v. Douglass*. *45
4. In what cases, the court will continue a cause, if witnesses, or even parties, do not attend, and grant a rule for taking depositions *de bene esse*. *Id.*; *Pennington v. Scott*, *94; *Respublica v. Matlack*. *108-9
5. And that, notwithstanding the act of congress. *Symes v. Irvine*. *383
6. In what cases, the court will grant, or refuse new trials. *Cowperthwaite v. Jones*. . . *58-8

7. Estates for life, taken in execution, may be sold, without holding an inquest on their value. *Howell v. Woolfort*.....*75-7
8. Rule for taking depositions granted, in a foreign attachment, before the *scire facias* was returned, on notice to the garnishee. ——— *v. Galbraith*.....*78
9. A motion to dissolve a foreign attachment must be made to the court, at the first term. *Miltenberger v. Lloyd*.....*79
10. Special bail was entered, but afterwards the defendant was discharged, upon citation, on common bail: an *exoneretur* ordered. *Myers v. Young*.....*79
11. How notice of trial must be given, in the country. *Cecil v. Lebenstein*.....*95-6
12. Amendment of the declaration in ejectment, by enlarging the demise. *Cockshot v. Hopkins*.....*97
13. Under the pleas of *non assumpsit* and payment, it is not necessary for the plaintiff, an executor, to produce his letters testamentary. *McKinn v. Riddle*.....*100
14. How a *subpoena* may be served on a witness out of the county, where the court sits. *Respublica v. St. Clair*.....*101
15. When a rule for trial or *non-pros.* will be granted, refused or enforced. *Todd v. Thompson*, *105-6; *Nesbit v. Pope*....*143
16. A rule for trial by proviso cannot be granted against the commonwealth; but under a peremptory rule to try at the next term, the court will order the jury to be qualified. *Respublica v. Coates*....*109-10
17. It is too late, to move for a rule to show the plaintiff's cause of action, and why the defendant should not be discharged on common bail, on the last day of the term to which the *capias* is returned. *Berger v. Searle*.....*110
18. The jury being at the bar, the court refused to let the defendant retract his plea, and enter the judgment by *non sum informatus*, on account of the delay. *Vasse v. Spicer*.....*111
19. A special court may be granted, on the application of one of the several joint defendants, though the others are not about to depart. *Ex parte Holker*.....*111
20. Informations filed on the relation of a private prosecutor, are not to be drawn, *ex officio*, by the attorney-general, though his name must be used *pro formá*. *Respublica v. Griffith*.....*112
21. A motion for a new trial should not be made, after a motion in arrest of judgment. *Respublica v. Lacaze*.....*121
22. Where proof of the issue lies upon the defendant, he shall open the cause. *Leech v. Armitage*.....*125
23. Filing a declaration is no waiver of bail. *Caton v. McCarty*.....*141
24. A rule to file the plaintiff's warrant of attorney, must be moved for, before plea is pleaded. *Mercier v. Mercier*.....*142
25. Amendment allowed, in a *qui tam* action. *Jones v. Ross*.....*143
26. What is a good or a defective execution and return of a commission to examine witnesses abroad. *Id.* *143-4; *Stewart v. Ross*, *157; *Vaughan v. Blanchard*.....*192
27. Notice of a motion for a new trial, when to be given. *Foxcraft v. Nagle*.....*150
28. Wherever a landlord means to take defence in ejectment, he ought to make himself a party on the record. *Clayton v. Alshouse*.....*150-1
29. Notice of the trial given to the defendant in ejectment is sufficient; it need not be given to the landlord.*Id.*
30. If an issue is joined, and the defendant submits to a rule for trial or *non pros.*, before the declaration is filed, he cannot elude the operation of the rule, at a subsequent term. *Wenn v. Adams*.....*156
31. The usage of referring ejectments as well as accounts, is very ancient; and it has been the constant usage, to confirm awards, though no damages or costs are found. *Austin v. Snow*.....*157
32. In *detinet* for Connecticut money, the referees found a certain sum in Connecticut money, due to the plaintiff; and judgment was entered for the value in Pennsylvania money; but the judgment was reversed by consent. *Stewart v. Biddack*.....*158
33. It is never too late, to grant a rule for security for costs, when it will not delay the trial. *Shaw v. Wallace*.....*179
34. Proof of defendant's being dangerously ill, is not cause of putting off a trial. *Jones v. Little*.....*182
35. A *subpoena* need not be taken out, to ground a motion for putting off a trial, where the witness was an attorney, and had promised to attend. *White v. Lynch*....*183
36. The defendant pleaded in abatement, that the plaintiff was a *feme covert*, without filing an affidavit of the fact; and on motion, at a subsequent term, the plea was stricken off. *Rapp v. Elliot*.....*184
27. After error brought, the court allowed the plaintiff to enter a *remittitur* of surplus damages, beyond what was laid in the declaration. *Fury v. Stone*.....*184
38. The defendant, on a submission to an indictment, may be heard without oath, but not upon his own affidavit, in mitigation of the fine. *Respublica v. Askeo*.....*189
39. The regular way to remove a record of the orphans' court is by *certiorari*, and nothing

- also can stay the proceedings below. *Walker's Appeal*.....*190
40. What is a good tender, and how it must be taken advantage of. *Sheredine v. Gaul*.....*190-1
41. A *procedendo* granted, because the action was removed, after the referees had entered on the business, under a rule of reference. *Grubb v. Grubb*.....*191-2
42. Under what circumstances, the court will refuse a writ of restitution, though they reverse the judgment under which possession was obtained. *Fitzalden v. Lee*.....*205
43. A *scire facias* upon a judgment, obtained in the supreme court against a garnishee, is to be brought there, though judgment had been obtained in the common pleas against the original debtor. *Walker v. Gibbs*.....*211
44. A verdict informally expressed, aided by the court.....*Id.*
45. A Jew refusing to be sworn as a witness, because it was Saturday, his Sabbath, the court fined him £10. *Stansbury v. Marks*.....*213
46. The act of limitations is a good bar to an action brought by a resident in South Carolina, against a resident in Pennsylvania. *Ward v. Hallows*.....*217
47. Within what time, a motion in arrest of judgment must be made. *Burrall v. Du Blois*.....*229
48. In what case, the court will grant the plaintiff leave to enter a verdict, given generally, on the proper count.....*Id.*
49. What is sufficient cause to hold a foreign governor to bail, for an act done abroad. *Barriere v. Nairac*.....*249
50. The court cannot direct the sheriff to take a jury from any particular part of a county. *Hartshorne v. Patton*.....*252
51. Executions issued in the case of judgments affirmed on writ of error, may include interest from the date of the original judgment. *Respublica v. Nicholson*.....*256
52. Though the court has a discretionary power to grant or refuse imparlances, they will not compel executors to plead at the first term, in order to prevent their giving a fair preference to certain creditors. *Roberts v. Cay*.....*260-3
53. A sheriff cannot pay money, levied on an execution, to the nominal plaintiff, when the writ is indorsed, for whose use the action is prosecuted. *Zantlinger v. Old*.....*265
54. A *non pros.*, entered on a mistaken presumption that a rule had been obtained to try, or *non pros.*, taken off upon terms. *German v. Wainwright*.....*266
55. Judgment the first day of September term; *test. fi. fa.* to Allegheny county, founded on a *fi. fa.* to Philadelphia county, returnable to the last day of the same September term never taken out, but minuted on the roll: held to be good, within the act of assembly. *Ewing v. McNair*.....*269
56. What variances between the declaration and evidence are immaterial. *Livingston v. Swanwick*.....*301
57. To an action of debt, brought here, on a judgment obtained in another state, there can be no plea but *nul tiel record*. *Armstrong v. Carson*.....*302
58. Under what circumstances, the circuit court will order bail, on an action brought there, though it had been refused in a state court, in consequence of which the plaintiff discontinued. *Parasset v. Gauthier*.....*330
59. On whom notice to produce deeds and papers, shall be served; but if they are on record, the court will not indulge the party with a rule to produce them, merely as a cheap mode of procuring evidence. *Geyger v. Geyger*.....*332
60. What is a reasonable time of serving a *subpoena*, and to move for an attachment. *United States v. Caldwell*.....*333-4
61. The marshal must serve attachments on witnesses, for not obeying a *subpoena*, wherever they reside, in the district. *United States v. Montgomery*.....*335
62. Under what circumstances, injunctions will be issued, continued or dissolved. *Schermerhorn v. D'Espenasse*, *362; *Georgia v. Brailsford*..... *402, 415
63. An *alias capias* must be tested of the term to which the original was returnable, and be made returnable the next immediately ensuing term. *United States v. Parker*.*373-80
64. *Quere?* What is the regular form of proceeding, after the return of the writ, where only one defendant is taken on a *capias* against several defendants.....*Id.*
65. Agreement to enter judgment on a promissory note "for what may be due," there having been several partial payments; the amount must be settled, before the plaintiff can issue execution; or the court will stay proceedings. *Hancock v. Hillegas*..*380-1
66. Writs of error to remove cases into the supreme court of the United States can only issue from the clerk's office of the court *Wert v. Barnes*.....*401
67. The supreme court of the United States will not award a commission to examine witnesses, until the commissioners are named. *Vanstophorst v. Maryland*.....*400
68. Whether a *distringas* is the proper mode to compel the appearance of a state. *Oswald v. New York*.....*401
69. Rule on the marshal to return a summons issued against a state.....*402
70. General rules relative to the practice

of the supreme court of the United States.....*399, 400, 402
 71. Rule for entering judgment by default against a state. *Oswald v. New York*..415

See ADMIRALTY: EVIDENCE: FEDERAL COURTS:
 JUSTICES OF THE PEACE: NEW TRIAL:
 WITNESS.

PRE-EMPTION.

See REAL ESTATE.

PRELIMINARIES OF PEACE

See ADMIRALTY.

PRIORITY OF DEBTS.

1. The order prescribed to executors or administrators, for paying debts, respects voluntary, and not compulsory payments, in a course of law. *Roberts v. Cay*.....*262

PRIVATEER.

See ADMIRALTY: ILLEGAL OUTFIT.

PRIZE.

See ADMIRALTY.

PRIZE-MONEY.

See ADMIRALTY.

PROCESS.

See PRACTICE: FEDERAL COURTS.

PROMISSORY NOTES.

See BILLS OF EXCHANGE: WITNESS.

PROTEST.

See INSURANCE.

REAL ESTATES.

1. The maxim of *caveat emptor*, applies only to real estate; not chattels. *Boyd v. Bopst*.*91
2. Lands are considered as chattels for the payment of debts in Pennsylvania, and may be taken in execution on a *fi. fa.* directed to the sheriff to levy of the goods and chattels, &c. *Andrew v. Fleming*, *94; *Hannum v. Spear*.....*291-2
3. What constitutes a settlement, or improvement right, on lands in Pennsylvania, and vests a pre-emption, under the act of assembly. *McCurdy v. Potts*,*98-9; *Sweeney v. Toner*, *129; *Duncan v. Walker*....*205

4. What judgments and executions are binding on lands of a bankrupt.....*59
5. A judgment of the supreme court, in a cause removed from an inferior court, is a lien on the defendant's land throughout the state. *Ralston v. Bell*.....*158-60
6. Real property in Pennsylvania is assets for the payment of debts; and is always to be so applied, in the case of a deficiency of personal property. *Morris McConaughy*.....*189-90
7. Under what circumstances, a *feme covert* may give away her real estate by will or any instrument in nature of a will. *Barnes v. Irwin*.....*199-204
8. The words "legal representatives," applied to lands, must be the heir, and not the administrator. *Duncan v. Walker*....*205
9. A sale of lands by executors, under a power to sell for the payment of debts, is good against creditors; but not under a power to sell for the payment of legacies. *Hannum v. Spear*.....*291

See WILLS.

RECOGNISANCE.

See ADMIRALTY.

REFERENCE.

See PRACTICE.

REHEARING.

See ADMIRALTY: PRACTICE.

REMAINDER.

See EXECUTION.

REMITTITUR.

See AMENDMENT.

REPLEVIN.

See ACTION: DISTRESS: MASTER AND SERVANT.

RESTITUTION.

1. Under what circumstances, the court will refuse a writ of restitution, though they reverse the judgment under which possession was obtained. *Fitzalden v. Lee*.....*205-6

RULES.

See FEDERAL COURTS: PRACTICE.

SCIRE FACIAS.

See ATTACHMENTS: PRACTICE.

SEALS.

See FEDERAL COURTS.

SEQUESTRATION.

See EQUITY.

SERVANTS.

See MASTER AND SERVANT

SET-OFF.

See DEFALCATION.

SETTLEMENT.

See REAL ESTATE.

SHERIFF.

See EXECUTION: REAL ESTATE.

SLANDER.

1. The sense in which words are received by the world, is the sense which courts ought to ascribe to them, on trials for slander. *Rue v. Mitchell*.....*59
2. What amounts to a charge of perjury, as foundation for an action of slander.....*Id*

SLAVE.

See MASTER AND SERVANT.

SPECIAL COURT.

1. A special court may be granted, on the motion of one of several defendants, though the others are not about to depart. *Ex parte Holker*.....*111

STATE NAVY.

1. Decision respecting the clothing supplied to the officers of the state-navy, during the war, and their allowance of half-pay. *Roach v. Commonwealth*..... *206

STATES.

1. Whether a state may be admitted to defend or assert a claim to a debt, for which a suit is depending between two individuals in the circuit court. *Georgia v. Brailsford*. *402, 415
2. A state is liable to be sued as a defendant, by an individual citizen of another state. *Chisholm v. Georgia*.....*419

STIPULATION.

See ADMIRALTY.

STOCK CONTRACT.

1. The broker is a good witness, to prove his authority to make a stock contract. *Livingston v. Swanwick*.....*301
2. The non-attendance of one party, at the place of transfer, is sufficiently excused, if waived by the other party.....*Id*.

SUBMISSION.

See PRACTICE.

SUBPENA.

See PRACTICE.

SUPREME COURT.

See FEDERAL COURTS.

SURETY.

See BANKRUPT.

TALES.

See JURIES.

TENANT BY THE CURTESY.

See PARTITION.

TENANT IN TAIL.

See EXECUTION.

TENDER.

1. A mere offer to pay is not, in legal strictness, a tender: nor is the defendant entitled to take advantage of a tender, unless he pleads it, and brings the money into the court. *Sheredine v. Gaul*.....*190

TORTS.

See ACTION: BANKRUPT.

TRANSFER.

See STOCK CONTRACT: ASSIGNMENT.

TREASON.

1. When the confession of a party shall be evidence, on a trial for high treason. *Republica v. McCarty*.....*86-7
2. Enlisting, or procuring any person to be enlisted in the service of the enemy, is clearly an act of treason.....*Id*.
3. Nothing will excuse the act of joining an enemy, or levying war, but the fear of immediate death. *Id*; *United States v. Vigol*. *847

4. The obscure passage in the clause relating to misprisions of treason, would be rendered perspicuous and intelligible, without the addition of any words, by expunging the semicolon, and the monosyllable *or*. *Respublica v. Weidle*.....*91
5. The words charged must be spoken with a malicious and mischievous intention, in order to render them criminal, as misprision of treason; but drunkenness is no excuse or justification.*Id.*
6. The number of jurors that may be returned, and the form of the panels, on trial for high treason. *United States v. Insurgents*.*335-42
7. A copy of the *caption* of the indictment, as well as of the indictment itself, must be delivered to the prisoner.....*Id.*
8. What is a sufficient addition, and what a sufficient definition of the places of abode of jurors and witnesses.....*Id.*
9. A reasonable time must be allowed, after the list of the names of witnesses is furnished to the prisoner, for the purpose of bringing testimony from the counties in which those witnesses live. *United States v. Stewart*.....*343-4
10. What constitutes treason, by levying war against the United States, and how to be proved. *United States v. Vigol*, *346-7: *United States v. Mitchell*.....*348-56
11. If the *overt* act of treason is proved and laid before the charge was presented, it is sufficient; and whether committed by the number of insurgents specified in the indictment, is immaterial. *United States v. Vigol*..*347

TROVER.

See ACTION.

TRUSTEE.

See INTEREST : WITNESS.

USURY.

See INTEREST.

VERDICT.

1. Verdict informally expressed, aided by the court. *Walker v. Gibbs*.....*211-12
2. The first verdict given in dollars in the state court. *Ingraham v. Gibbs*.....*219
3. In what case, the court will allow the plaintiff to enter a verdict, given generally, upon the proper count. *Burrall v. Du Blois*..*229

VENIRE.

See PRACTICE.

VARIANCE.

See PRACTICE.


WARRANT OF ATTORNEY.


See PRACTICE.


WILL.


1. How far a prior equitable assignment of a legacy will be preferred to a formal transfer. *Inglis v. Inglis*.....*49
2. Evidence admitted, that a legacy bequeathed to a person by the name of Samuel, who was always called Samuel by the testator, was intended for a person of the name of William. *Powell v. Biddle*.....*70-2
3. When parol testimony may be admitted, to explain the meaning of a will. *Stackhouse v. Stackhouse*.....*80
4. In what case, the residuary devisee takes land charged with legacies. *Nichols v. Postlethwaite*.....*131
5. A bequest of "wearing apparel, household furniture, plate, linen, books, and every movable," will not include debts due to the testatrix. *Jackson v. Vandersprengle*...*42
6. R. B. devised, after payment of debts, a house to his wife for life, remainder to his children. The widow and children mortgaged the house for the proper debt of one of the children; and the house was sold to pay the mortgage money: adjudged, that the surplus be paid to the widow, on security that her executors should, after her death, account for it to those in remainder; or if such security is not given, that it be paid to those in remainder, they giving security to pay the interest to the widow, during her life. *Bloomfield v. Budden*.....*183
7. I. M., having mortgaged to the plaintiff's testator, a plantation, devised all his estate, consisting of many other tracts of land, to his mother. The mother devised the mortgage tract to her niece, and the residue of her estate to her executors: adjudged, that all the real estate of I. M. shall contribute, according to the value of the several tracts, to pay the mortgage money. *Morris v. McConnaughy*.....*189
8. Under what circumstances, a *feme covert* may give away her real estate by will, or by any instrument in nature of a will. *Barnes v. Irwin*.....*199-204
9. Lands devised to be sold, and the money divided, without saying by whom the sale should be made: adjudged, that a sale by the survivor of two executors was good. *Lloyd v. Taylor*.....*223
10. In what case, a devise of lands must be


- taken *cum onere*; and where the personal estate is liable to discharge a mortgage on the real. *Ruston v. Ruston*.....*243
11. The order prescribed to executors, &c., and for the payment of debts, respects voluntary, and not compulsory payments. *Roberts v. Cay*.....*263
 12. Executors have an unquestionable right, generally speaking, to give a preference to any creditor of the same degree: under what circumstances, the court will not direct them to plead without the usual imparlance. *Roberts v. Cay*.....*260
 13. Evidence admitted, to show whether the deceased, by cancelling a subsequent will, meant to revive a former one, uncancelled, or to die intestate. *Boudinot v. Bradford*, *266-7; *Lawson v. Morrison*.....*286
 14. General rules as to the revocation of wills and testaments. *Boudinot v. Bradford*, *268; *Lawson v. Morrison*.....*288
 15. The next of kin are only entitled to personal estate, in the case of intestacy; and a man cannot be intestate who has made an executor: the rule is the same here as in England. *Boudinot v. Bradford*.....*268
 16. A sale of lands by executors, under a power to sell for the payment of debts, is good against creditors; but not, if the power is to sell for the payment of legacies. *Lawson v. Morrison*.....*291
- WILSON, JAMES.**
- See JUDGES.
- WITNESS.**
1. A creditor is not excluded from giving testimony, as such; but if he acknowledges an expectation that he shall gain or lose by the fate of the cause, he ought not to be admitted as a witness. *Innis v. Miller*...*150
 2. The judgment of a justice of the peace, given merely on the attestation of the party interested, cannot be sustained. *Sharpe v. Thatcher*, *77-8; *Vansciver v. Bolton*...*14
 3. Under what circumstances, a residuary legatee will be admitted a witness. *Galbraith v. Scott*.....*95
 4. The plaintiff is a good witness, to prove the death of the subscribing witness to a deed, in order to let in evidence of the handwriting. *Douglass v. Sanderson*.....*116-8
 5. Whether a nominal plaintiff, or mere trustee, can be a witness in the cause. *Field v. Bidle*.....*170 n.
 6. The indorser, the original payee, who had become a bankrupt, is not a witness to prove the want of consideration, in an action by the indorsee against the maker. *Stille v. Lynch*.....*194
 7. Modifications of the rule, that a witness shall not be admitted to contradict or explain his own deed, or instrument. *Pleasants v. Pemberton*.....*96-7
 8. A guardian who had given a receipt, was admitted as a witness, upon being released. *Id.*
 9. A Jew refusing to be sworn as a witness, on his sabbath (Saturday) was fined. *Stansbury v. Marks*.....*213
 10. A subscribing witness to a deed, attests nothing but the sealing and delivery; he does not attest the date; and therefore, he is competent to prove that the deed was not executed at the time it bears date. *Fox v. Palmer*.....*214
 11. The person whose name is alleged to be forged, is a competent witness, on an indictment, to prove the forgery. *Respublica v. Ross*.....*239-40
 12. The indorser of a note, who is liable to the holder, is not a competent witness, on an indictment for forging the name of the maker; otherwise, if he has paid the note. *Id.*.....*241-2
 13. An agent to sell lands is not a competent witness to prove the contents of his own written authority, which is lost. *Nicholson v. Mifflin*.....*246
 14. A creditor is a competent witness, to prove fraud in the petitioner, on an application to be discharged under the insolvent laws. *Greene's Case*.....*268
 15. A broker is a good witness, to prove his authority and instructions for making a stock contract. *Livingston v. Swanwick*.....*300
 16. The addition of witnesses to be specified in the lists furnished on trials for high treason, and time allowed to the prisoner to canvass their character. *United States v. Insurgents*, *342; *United States v. Stewart*.....*343
- WORDS.**
- See EVIDENCE: TREASON.
- See LEGAL REPRESENTATIVES: SLANDEB: TREA
SON.
- WRIT OF ERROR.**
- See ARREST OF JUDGMENT: PRACTICE.














UNIVERSITY
R...

D...
B...

[Small silver label]