

Opinion of the Court.

CHRYSTAL SPRINGS LAND AND WATER COMPANY  
v. LOS ANGELES.

APPEAL FROM THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF  
CALIFORNIA.

No. 41. Submitted March 15, 1900.—Decided April 9, 1900.

Decree below affirmed on the authority of the cases named in the opinion of the court.

THE case is stated in the opinion of the court.

*Mr. John Garber* for appellants.

*Mr. Walter F. Haas* for appellee. *Mr. S. O. Houghton* was on his brief.

THE CHIEF JUSTICE: Bill to quiet title to certain waters, water rights and works connected therewith. Bill dismissed for want of jurisdiction, and question of jurisdiction certified. Reported below, 82 Fed. Rep. 114; 76 Fed. Rep. 148.

Decree affirmed on authority of (1) *Phillips v. Mound City Association*, 124 U. S. 605; *California Powder Works v. Davis*, 151 U. S. 389, 395; *New Orleans v. De Armas*, 9 Peters, 224; *Borgmeyer v. Idler*, 159 U. S. 408; *Muse v. Arlington Hotel Company*, 168 U. S. 430. (2) *Robinson v. Anderson*, 121 U. S. 522; *Florida Central Railroad v. Bell*, 176 U. S. 321; *Gold Washing Company v. Keyes*, 96 U. S. 199; *Tennessee v. Union and Planters' Bank*, 152 U. S. 454; *New Orleans v. Benjamin*, 153 U. S. 411, 424.