

Opinion of the Court.

CHRYSTAL SPRINGS LAND AND WATER COMPANY
v. LOS ANGELES.

APPEAL FROM THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA.

No. 41. Submitted March 15, 1900.—Decided April 9, 1900.

Decree below affirmed on the authority of the cases named in the opinion
of the court.

THE case is stated in the opinion of the court.

Mr. John Garber for appellants.

Mr. Walter F. Haas for appellee. *Mr. S. O. Houghton* was
on his brief.

THE CHIEF JUSTICE: Bill to quiet title to certain waters, water
rights and works connected therewith. Bill dismissed for want
of jurisdiction, and question of jurisdiction certified. Reported
below, 82 Fed. Rep. 114; 76 Fed. Rep. 148.

Decree affirmed on authority of (1) *Phillips v. Mound City
Association*, 124 U. S. 605; *California Powder Works v. Davis*,
151 U. S. 389, 395; *New Orleans v. De Armas*, 9 Peters, 224;
Borgmeyer v. Idler, 159 U. S. 408; *Muse v. Arlington Hotel
Company*, 168 U. S. 430. (2) *Robinson v. Anderson*, 121 U. S.
522; *Florida Central Railroad v. Bell*, 176 U. S. 321; *Gold
Washing Company v. Keyes*, 96 U. S. 199; *Tennessee v. Union
and Planters' Bank*, 152 U. S. 454; *New Orleans v. Benjamin*,
153 U. S. 411, 424.